WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:41:28.576" NOTE Confidence: 0.9937474

 $00:00:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.179$  And so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9883142

 $00:00:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.760$  We're very happy to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9883142

 $00:00:03.760 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.720$  you here as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9883142

 $00:00:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.519$  all of us from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9883142

00:00:05.519 --> 00:00:06.420 United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603051

00:00:07.200 --> 00:00:09.039 I'm I'm Donna Spiegelman. I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603051

 $00:00:09.039 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.400$  director of our Center on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603051

 $00:00:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.460$  Methods for Implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8926254

 $00:00:12.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.299$  and Prevention Science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72997063

00:00:13.759 --> 00:00:14.980 I'm professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.48773485

00:00:16.755 --> 00:00:17.494 Public Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643887

00:00:18.114 --> 00:00:19.555 And I'm very pleased to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643887

 $00:00:19.555 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.494$  have as our, center's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9837421

00:00:22.195 --> 00:00:22.695 guest,

 $00:00:23.075 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.235$  for our seminar today, doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.95120627

 $00:00:25.235 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.134$  Carol Lewis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99138856

 $00:00:26.435 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.275$  who is deputy director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99138856

 $00:00:28.275 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.494$  the Center for Translational

NOTE Confidence: 0.9814409

 $00:00:30.330 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.590$  Research and Implementation Science, otherwise

NOTE Confidence: 0.9814409

 $00:00:32.650 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.170$  known as CITRIS, at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9814409

00:00:34.170 --> 00:00:35.770 National Heart, Lung, and Blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.9814409

 $00{:}00{:}35.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38.250$  Institute at the National Institutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9814409

 $00:00:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.530$  of Health of the United

NOTE Confidence: 0.9814409

 $00:00:39.530 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.030$  States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7729564

00:00:41.130 --> 00:00:42.330 As CITRIS is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97756726

 $00:00:43.465 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.684$  going to be offering

NOTE Confidence: 0.95959884

00:00:45.225 --> 00:00:46.905 a a full day of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95959884

 $00{:}00{:}46.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.245$  of, activities at the upcoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.95959884

 $00:00:49.545 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.085$  dissemination and implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9460676

00:00:51.945 --> 00:00:53.864 of science re conference in

 $00:00:53.864 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.625$  December, and so we encourage

NOTE Confidence: 0.9460676

 $00:00:55.625 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.664$  all of you to attend

NOTE Confidence: 0.9460676

 $00:00:56.664 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.480$  that as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872147

 $00:00:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.399$  Doctor Lewis has a PhD

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872147

 $00:00:59.399 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.239$  in clinical psychology with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872147

 $00:01:01.239 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.820$  research focus on advancing pragmatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872147

 $00:01:03.879 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.819$  and rigorous measures and methods

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872147

 $00:01:06.119 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.220$  for implementation science and practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99348915

 $00:01:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.040$  She has pushed the field

NOTE Confidence: 0.99348915

00:01:10.040 --> 00:01:11.720 to focus on measurement quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.99348915

 $00:01:11.720 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.645$  to improve the field's research

NOTE Confidence: 0.99348915

 $00:01:13.784 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.765$  rigor and generalizability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94333375

 $00{:}01{:}15.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}17.225$  Her work has led the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94333375

00:01:17.225 --> 00:01:19.244 field in considering nuances

NOTE Confidence: 0.9914032

 $00:01:19.784 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.784$  of health systems, organizations, and

00:01:21.784 --> 00:01:23.005 individual change

NOTE Confidence: 0.9810881

 $00{:}01{:}23.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.565$  in how evidence based interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9810881

 $00:01:25.784 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.005$  can be more effectively

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992437

 $00:01:27.709 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.010$  implemented and sustained

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986758

 $00:01:29.390 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.150$  to benefit patients and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986758

 $00:01:31.150 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.050$  general public.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9082481

00:01:32.510 --> 00:01:33.970 Doctor Lewis' expertise

NOTE Confidence: 0.7669274

 $00:01:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.010$  in implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.98186666

 $00:01:35.470 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.810$  science is evidenced through national

NOTE Confidence: 0.99117863

 $00:01:38.110 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.930$  and international

NOTE Confidence: 0.9517548

 $00{:}01{:}39.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}39.890$  responsibilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9312765

00:01:41.155 --> 00:01:42.115 Ally, can you please keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.9312765

00:01:42.115 --> 00:01:43.235 an eye on having everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.9312765

 $00:01:43.235 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.895$  be muted?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9980001

 $00:01:44.435 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.735$  Her national and international

NOTE Confidence: 0.88685876

 $00:01:46.035 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.535$  responsibilities,

 $00:01:46.995 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.495$  including

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884926

 $00:01:47.875 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.315$  serving as president of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884926

 $00:01:49.315 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.615$  Society for Implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.988928

 $00:01:51.235 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.555$  Research Collaboration, otherwise known as

NOTE Confidence: 0.988928

 $00:01:53.555 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.030$  CERC, which is how I

NOTE Confidence: 0.988928

 $00:01:55.030 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.150$  first met her when she

NOTE Confidence: 0.988928

 $00:01:56.150 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.430$  invited me to give a

NOTE Confidence: 0.988928

 $00:01:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.490$  talk at the SERC

NOTE Confidence: 0.9540887

 $00:01:58.870 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.550$  meeting maybe five or six

NOTE Confidence: 0.9540887

 $00:02:00.550 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.730$  years ago. She's also cofounding

NOTE Confidence: 0.9540887

 $00:02:02.950 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.390$  editor in chief of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9540887

00:02:04.390 --> 00:02:05.610 journal Implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.99449605

 $00{:}02{:}05.990 --> 00{:}02{:}07.130$  Research and Practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93799114

 $00:02:07.784 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.405$  She's a lecturer for implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9980839

00:02:09.705 --> 00:02:11.165 science training institutes,

 $00:02:11.705 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.785$  a scientific adviser domestically and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9226738

 $00:02:13.785 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.205$  abroad, and coeditor of Practical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9226738

00:02:16.264 --> 00:02:16.764 Implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.99576527

 $00:02:17.224 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.724$  Science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99898255

00:02:18.105 --> 00:02:19.465 And in twenty twenty two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99898255

 $00:02:19.465 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.444$  she was recognized

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959639

 $00:02:20.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.280$  by Clarivate as one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959639

 $00:02:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.980$  the most highly cited social

NOTE Confidence: 0.99708426

 $00:02:24.280 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.500$  scientists in the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9925859

00:02:26.120 --> 00:02:27.480 Doctor Lewis has been a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9925859

 $00:02:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.319$  driving force in elevating the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9925859

 $00:02:29.319 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.819$  importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.99977666

 $00:02:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.939$  of implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.96712947

 $00:02:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.900$  mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987967

 $00:02:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.159$  emphasizing how studying mechanisms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987967

 $00:02:35.159 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.815$  change may improve tailoring, optimizing,

 $00:02:37.955 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.694$  and scaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993145

 $00:02:38.995 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.215$  of effective implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9239716

 $00:02:40.675 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.175$  strategies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98580843

 $00:02:41.794 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.995$  a topic that's of great

NOTE Confidence: 0.98580843

 $00:02:42.995 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.355$  interest to us here in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98580843

 $00:02:44.355 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.595$  our center, both, in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.98580843 00:02:46.595 --> 00:02:47.075 of, NOTE Confidence: 0.9973755

 $00:02:47.555 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.614$  advancing methodology

NOTE Confidence: 0.99948114

 $00:02:48.915 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.535$  for mediation analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9698975

 $00:02:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.280$  and using this sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9698975

 $00:02:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.660$  information to improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995763

 $00:02:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.700$  the optimization

NOTE Confidence: 0.9779823

 $00{:}02{:}55.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57.240$  of implementation studies as they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9779823

 $00:02:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.840$  go through the design logo

NOTE Confidence: 0.9779823

 $00:02:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.360$  that we're working on. So

 $00:03:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.300$  I'm very pleased to turn

NOTE Confidence: 0.9779823

 $00{:}03{:}02.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02.600$  the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9509153

00:03:03.255 --> 00:03:05.175 meeting over today to doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9509153

 $00:03:05.175 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.794$  Lewis who's gonna talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9509153

 $00{:}03{:}06.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09.095$ a research agenda to advance

NOTE Confidence: 0.9509153

 $00:03:09.095 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.995$  the study of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5611788

 $00:03:11.014 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.514$  mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9528563

 $00:03:11.975 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.375$  And, well, doctor Lewis is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9528563

00:03:14.375 --> 00:03:15.815 open to people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95829296

 $00:03:16.375 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.995$  speaking up if they have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94824004

 $00{:}03{:}19.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}20.870$  a clarifying question while she's

NOTE Confidence: 0.94824004

 $00:03:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.370$  talking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98833495

 $00:03:21.669 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.889$  and then you can put

NOTE Confidence: 0.98833495

 $00:03:22.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.810$  sort of more opinion and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8740845

 $00:03:26.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.590$  questions of a of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8740845

 $00:03:27.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.889$  sort of far ranging

00:03:29.669 --> 00:03:30.950 nature in the chat, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9841417

 $00:03:30.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.445$  then we'll go through them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9841417

 $00:03:32.445 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.245$  at the end of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9841417

 $00:03:33.245 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.845$  talk along with any questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9841417

 $00:03:34.845 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.045$  that any of you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9841417

 $00:03:36.045 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.845$  at the end of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9841417

 $00:03:36.845 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.525$  talk. So with that, I'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.9841417

 $00:03:38.525 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.645$  like to turn things over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9841417

 $00:03:39.645 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.185$  to doctor Lewis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9610727

00:03:42.605 --> 00:03:44.525 Thank you, doctor Spiegelman, for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9610727

 $00:03:44.525 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.585$  the kind invitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9496143

 $00:03:45.965 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.785$  and introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91348076

 $00:03:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.320$  And, absolutely, I'm hoping that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98829955

 $00{:}03{:}50.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52.320$  I can address any clarifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.98829955

 $00:03:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.440$  issues that might arise. It

 $00:03:53.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.080$  sounds like we have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98829955

 $00:03:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.900$  really diverse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98929244

 $00:03:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.720$  set of backgrounds in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.98929244

00:03:56.720 --> 00:03:58.400 audience today. I see some

NOTE Confidence: 0.98929244

 $00:03:58.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.760$  old and new friends. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.98929244

 $00:03:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.525$  thanks for joining us. I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.98929244

 $00:04:01.525 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.045$  delighted. This is the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.9892924400:04:03.045 --> 00:04:03.545 time

NOTE Confidence: 0.99235624

 $00{:}04{:}03.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}05.845$  we'll have, a significant amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.99235624

00:04:05.845 --> 00:04:07.465 of minutes to actually unpack

NOTE Confidence: 0.99235624

 $00:04:07.605 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.965$  the process that led to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99235624

 $00:04:08.965 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.345$  and then the results of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99235624

 $00:04:10.565 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.325$  developing a research agenda to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99235624

00:04:12.325 --> 00:04:13.865 advance the study of implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971918

 $00:04:14.325 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.825$  mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93445665

 $00:04:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.580$  And so importantly,

 $00:04:17.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.960$  I don't have anything to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97743267

 $00:04:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.640$  disclose and that the contents

NOTE Confidence: 0.97743267

 $00:04:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.000$  of the presentation today are

NOTE Confidence: 0.97743267

 $00:04:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.520$  not to be construed as

NOTE Confidence: 0.97743267

 $00{:}04{:}23.520 {\: -->\:} 00{:}04{:}25.520$  representing the official position of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97743267

 $00:04:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.720$  NIH or the Department of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97743267

00:04:26.720 --> 00:04:28.000 Health and Human Services here

NOTE Confidence: 0.97743267

 $00:04:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.740$  in the United

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877082

 $00:04:29.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.540$  States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99957097

00:04:30.625 --> 00:04:31.845 I thought it was important

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995611

 $00:04:32.305 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.925$  to remind us all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935302

00:04:34.705 --> 00:04:36.225 why we're here in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935302

 $00{:}04{:}36.225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38.305$  of thinking about implementation science

NOTE Confidence: 0.993530200:04:38.305 --> 00:04:38.805 and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990109

 $00:04:39.345 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.845$  implementation

 $00:04:40.145 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.605$  mechanisms. And so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98745054

00:04:43.210 --> 00:04:44.570 folks might recall back in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98745054

 $00:04:44.570 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.529$  two thousand and one when

NOTE Confidence: 0.98745054

 $00:04:45.529 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.889$  the institutes of medicine in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98745054

 $00:04:46.889 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.250$  the United States made this

NOTE Confidence: 0.98745054

00:04:48.250 --> 00:04:50.650 urgent call for fundamental change

NOTE Confidence: 0.98745054

 $00:04:50.650 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.250$  to close the quality gap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98745054 00:04:52.250 --> 00:04:52.750 And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9441461

 $00:04:53.130 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.169$  the book featured on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9441461

 $00:04:54.169 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.450$  screen on the left here

NOTE Confidence: 0.9441461

 $00:04:55.450 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.370$  recommended a sweeping redesign really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9441461

 $00:04:57.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.095$  of the American health healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.9441461

 $00:04:59.095 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.595$  system

NOTE Confidence: 0.98814106

 $00:05:00.214 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.235$  and provided four overarching principles

NOTE Confidence: 0.98814106

 $00:05:02.294 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.595$  for specific direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9822648

 $00:05:03.974 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.275$  One of those four principles

 $00{:}05{:}05.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.094$  were key steps to promote

NOTE Confidence: 0.9822648

00:05:07.094 --> 00:05:08.555 evidence based practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96860343

 $00:05:09.014 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.675$  integration, and strengthening clinical information

NOTE Confidence: 0.96860343

 $00:05:11.814 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.314$  systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.994138

 $00:05:12.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.730$  And then in two thousand

NOTE Confidence: 0.994138

 $00:05:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.010$  and eight, the Institute of

NOTE Confidence: 0.994138

 $00:05:15.010 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.510$  Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.931373

 $00:05:15.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.690$  put, together this, also this

NOTE Confidence: 0.931373

00:05:18.690 --> 00:05:20.450 publication of To is Human,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931373

 $00:05:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.830$  where they broke the silence

NOTE Confidence: 0.931373

00:05:21.890 --> 00:05:23.330 around medical errors and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.931373

 $00{:}05{:}23.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.250$  consequence and set forth a

NOTE Confidence: 0.931373

 $00{:}05{:}25.250 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!\!>}\ 00{:}05{:}26.790$  national agenda to improve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495785

 $00:05:27.264 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.884$  patient safety through the design

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495785

 $00:05:29.104 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.544$  of a safer health health

 $00:05:30.544 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.384$  care system. So these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495785

 $00:05:32.384 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.525$  some of the inspirations

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653259

 $00:05:33.904 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.664$  for this formal field of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653259

 $00:05:35.664 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.164$  implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.94247854

 $00:05:36.785 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.104$  science, coming to be. In

NOTE Confidence: 0.94247854

 $00{:}05{:}39.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40.950$  addition to the publication that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94247854

 $00:05:40.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.630$  and colleagues put out in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94247854

 $00:05:42.630 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.070$  two thousand and nine where

NOTE Confidence: 0.94247854 00:05:44.070 --> 00:05:44.570 they,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9399925

00:05:45.110 --> 00:05:47.029 feature this leaky pipeline of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9399925

 $00:05:47.029 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.210$  research that helped to explain

NOTE Confidence: 0.9399925

 $00:05:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.210$  a very commonly cited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94206005

 $00:05:51.670 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.970$  statistic that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95325935

 $00:05:54.585 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.104$  a small percentage of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.95325935

 $00:05:56.104 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.245$  research takes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99351263

 $00:05:57.944 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.944$  up to seventeen years for

 $00:05:59.944 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.125$  effective interventions to become routinely

NOTE Confidence: 0.99351263

 $00:06:02.345 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.865$  available to patients. And you

NOTE Confidence: 0.99351263

 $00:06:03.865 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.224$  can see some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99351263

 $00:06:05.224 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.904$  reasons for that time lag

NOTE Confidence: 0.99351263

 $00:06:06.904 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.104$  and then the leak in

NOTE Confidence: 0.99351263

 $00:06:08.104 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.520$  this pipeline on the image

NOTE Confidence: 0.99351263

 $00:06:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.419$  on your screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996479

 $00:06:11.839 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.440$  I'm delighted to say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996479

 $00{:}06{:}13.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}15.199$  we are approaching twenty years

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996479

00:06:15.199 --> 00:06:15.860 of implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.99271333

 $00:06:16.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.100$  science at the National Institutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.99271333

00:06:18.160 --> 00:06:18.820 of Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98509425

 $00:06:19.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.800$  What you see on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.98509425

 $00:06:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.779$  slide is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98439205

 $00:06:22.305 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.665$  what is fondly referred to

 $00:06:23.665 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.185$  as the PAR twenty two

NOTE Confidence: 0.98439205

00:06:25.185 --> 00:06:26.465 one zero five, which,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984847

00:06:27.105 --> 00:06:28.705 is a funding mechanism for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984847

00:06:28.705 --> 00:06:29.845 independent investigator

NOTE Confidence: 0.9928795

 $00:06:30.145 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.445$  initiated applications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9546247

 $00:06:32.545 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.465$  both in the United States

NOTE Confidence: 0.9546247

 $00:06:34.465 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.065$  also with foreign components, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9546247

 $00{:}06{:}36.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37.445$  also for foreign investigators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984008

 $00{:}06{:}37.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}39.159$  And you can see just

NOTE Confidence: 0.984008

 $00:06:39.159 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.539$  how many of the institutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.984008

 $00:06:40.599 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.300$  centers, and offices at NIH

NOTE Confidence: 0.98455244

 $00:06:42.680 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.720$  have signed on to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.98455244

 $00:06:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.240$  program announcement. And later in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98455244

 $00{:}06{:}45.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}46.199$  my talk, you'll see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98455244

 $00:06:46.199 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.879$  this week, we've renewed this

NOTE Confidence: 0.98455244

 $00:06:47.879 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.539$  for another,

 $00:06:49.080 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.440$  another term, which I'm really

NOTE Confidence: 0.99332875

 $00:06:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.339$  excited about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9871332

00:06:51.754 --> 00:06:52.154 But,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9818751

 $00:06:52.794 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.914$  just to make sure we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9818751

 $00:06:53.914 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.534$  on the same page, implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675497

 $00:06:55.914 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.935$  science is the scientific study

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675497

 $00:06:58.154 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.375$  of the use of strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675497

 $00:06:59.514 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.815$  to adopt and integrate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9768763

 $00:07:02.074 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.074$  evidence based health interventions into

NOTE Confidence: 0.9768763

 $00{:}07{:}04.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.675$  clinical and community settings to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9768763

 $00:07:05.675 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.410$  improve individual outcomes and benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9768763

 $00:07:08.550 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.530$  population health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9651754

 $00:07:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.990$  Now I have permission from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9651754

 $00{:}07{:}11.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}13.030$  the gentleman in the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.9651754

 $00:07:13.030 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.410$  hand corner of my screen,

00:07:15.190 --> 00:07:16.790 doctor David Chambers, who's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9501004

 $00:07:16.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.310$  deputy director for implementation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9501004

 $00:07:18.310 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.669$  science at the National Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9501004

 $00:07:19.669 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.169$  Institute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9932224

 $00:07:20.495 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.035$  to share how he characterized

NOTE Confidence: 0.9932224

 $00:07:22.175 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.295$  our field when he and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9932224

00:07:23.295 --> 00:07:24.655 I did a plenary session

NOTE Confidence: 0.9932224

 $00:07:24.655 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.395$  at SERC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834123

 $00{:}07{:}26.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28.015$  several years ago now. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834123

 $00:07:28.015 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.175$  so, briefly, in the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834123

 $00{:}07{:}30.175 --> 00{:}07{:}30.675 \ thousands,$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.9961299

 $00:07:31.135 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.255$  right on the heels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9961299

00:07:32.255 --> 00:07:34.035 that, funding opportunity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9972463

 $00:07:34.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.570$  we saw a great deal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9972463

 $00:07:36.570 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.490$  of emphasis on trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9972463

 $00{:}07{:}38.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40.750$  explain and understand the gap

 $00:07:40.890 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.490$  between what we know from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9972463

 $00:07:42.490 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.330$  scientific evidence and then what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9972463

 $00:07:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.710$  we see in practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985415

 $00:07:46.410 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.330$  And, one of the seminal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985415

 $00:07:48.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.335$  articles that came out during

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985415

 $00:07:50.335 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.715$  that decade of implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.95935863

 $00:07:52.175 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.455$  science is featured at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95935863

 $00:07:53.455 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.275$  bottom here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95136374

 $00:07:54.575 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.095$  published by Laura Damschroeder and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95136374

 $00:07:56.095 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.595$  colleagues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832149

 $00:07:56.975 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.675$  This is referred to oftentimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832149

00:07:58.815 --> 00:08:00.514 as the CFIR, the consolidated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832149

 $00{:}08{:}00.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.835$  framework for implementation research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98267657

 $00{:}08{:}03.169 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.290$  And what they did was

NOTE Confidence: 0.98267657

 $00:08:04.290 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.230$  they took together these determinant

 $00:08:06.290 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.870$  frameworks or frameworks that characterize

NOTE Confidence: 0.98267657

 $00{:}08{:}09.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.270$  barriers and facilitators to implementing

NOTE Confidence: 0.98267657

00:08:11.330 --> 00:08:12.630 evidence based interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94353867

 $00:08:13.570 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.010$  and they organized them into

NOTE Confidence: 0.94353867

00:08:15.010 --> 00:08:16.370 five domains. And I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.94353867

 $00:08:16.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.095$  it was forty seven constructs

NOTE Confidence: 0.94353867

 $00{:}08{:}18.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}19.775$  including sub constructs. And these

NOTE Confidence: 0.94353867

 $00:08:19.775 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.755$  are things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9470796

 $00{:}08{:}21.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22.995$  inner setting, culture, and climate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9470796

 $00:08:23.135 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.335$  of a health system or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9470796

 $00:08:24.335 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.255$  even an educational setting if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9470796

 $00:08:26.255 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.375$  that's the target of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9470796

 $00:08:27.375 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.315$  evidence based in, intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.91719687

 $00:08:29.855 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.995$  integration effort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9677748

 $00:08:31.700 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.780$  to outer setting characteristics such

NOTE Confidence: 0.9677748

 $00:08:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.140$  as policies, but also, of

 $00:08:35.140 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.920$  course, characteristics of individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98475933

 $00{:}08{:}37.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39.160$  the process, and the intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.98475933

 $00:08:39.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.360$  characteristics themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983538

 $00:08:41.140 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.179$  So we saw a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983538

 $00:08:42.179 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.000$  of these publications

NOTE Confidence: 0.9266123

 $00:08:43.460 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.360$  on characterizing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994094

00:08:45.535 --> 00:08:47.535 contextual factors that might help

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994094

 $00:08:47.535 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.295$  or hinder the integration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994094

 $00:08:49.295 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.835$  an evidence based intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868173

00:08:51.855 --> 00:08:53.455 Fast forward ten years or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868173 00:08:53.455 --> 00:08:53.955 so, NOTE Confidence: 0.9936545

00:08:54.415 --> 00:08:54.915 implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796256

00:08:55.295 --> 00:08:56.975 scientists started grappling with, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796256

 $00:08:56.975 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.335$  what works? We know what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796256

 $00:08:58.335 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.610$  gets in the way. What

 $00:08:59.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.070$  are the methods or techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796256

 $00:09:01.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.730$  that we need to deploy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796256

 $00:09:02.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.730$  in order to either resolve

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796256

 $00:09:04.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.490$  those barriers or leverage those

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796256

 $00:09:06.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.990$  facilitators

NOTE Confidence: 0.9922474

 $00:09:07.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.450$  and have success in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9922474

 $00:09:09.450 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.890$  of fidelity to an evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.9922474

 $00:09:10.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.870$  based intervention?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94685525

 $00:09:12.565 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.085$  And here, I saw that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94685525

 $00:09:14.085 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.045$  doctor Powell is with us

NOTE Confidence: 0.94685525

00:09:15.045 --> 00:09:15.765 today. So if you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.94685525

 $00:09:15.765 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.765$  any questions about implementation strategies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94685525

00:09:17.765 --> 00:09:18.905 direct them to him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95746595

 $00:09:19.285 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.045$  But he was important in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95746595

 $00:09:21.045 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.885$  leading the charge on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95746595

 $00:09:22.885 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.385$  compilation of, strategies for implementing,

 $00:09:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.480$  clinical inner innovations in health

NOTE Confidence: 0.93524194

 $00{:}09{:}28.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}30.339$  and mental health and subsequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.93524194

 $00:09:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.480$  in twenty fifteen updated this

NOTE Confidence: 0.93524194

 $00:09:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.920$  compilation that folks might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.93524194

 $00:09:33.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.839$  familiar with, and referred to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93524194

 $00:09:35.839 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.360$  as ERIC, the experts for

NOTE Confidence: 0.93524194

 $00:09:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.860$  implementing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9902203

 $00:09:39.705 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.385$  interventions recommending change. The mark

NOTE Confidence: 0.9902203

 $00:09:41.385 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.345$  of a good acronym is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9902203

00:09:42.345 --> 00:09:43.385 you can't remember what it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9902203

 $00:09:43.385 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.245$  stands for. But so ERIC

NOTE Confidence: 0.9902203

 $00:09:45.385 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.425$  is on the street, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9902203

 $00:09:46.425 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.385$  a lot of people use

NOTE Confidence: 0.9902203

 $00:09:47.385 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.465$  this as a menu for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996177

 $00:09:49.865 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.025$  selecting strategies to overcome these

00:09:52.025 --> 00:09:53.325 obstacles for implementation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95131177

 $00:09:54.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.770$  And now in the twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.95131177

00:09:55.770 --> 00:09:57.450 twenties, we're starting to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.95131177

 $00:09:57.450 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.490$  more of and and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.95131177

 $00:09:58.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.490$  hope to inspire you today

NOTE Confidence: 0.95131177

 $00:10:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.630$  and in other spaces

NOTE Confidence: 0.99044204

 $00:10:02.090 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.670$  to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.999565

 $00:10:03.130 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.350$  critically evaluating

NOTE Confidence: 0.97313726

 $00{:}10{:}04.809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07.150$  why, how are these implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.94095933

00:10:07.530 --> 00:10:09.309 strategies working or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956163

 $00{:}10{:}10.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10.745$  And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9810712

 $00:10:12.005 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.365$  answering this question of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9810712

 $00:10:13.365 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.045$  works best for whom, when,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9810712

 $00:10:15.045 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.805$  and under what conditions. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9810712

00:10:16.805 --> 00:10:17.684 I'll talk a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9810712

 $00{:}10{:}17.684 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}19.385$  more about the systematic review,

 $00:10:19.845 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.745$  that we led

NOTE Confidence: 0.9465776

 $00:10:21.125 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.245$  a few years ago in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9465776

 $00:10:22.245 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.065$  just a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99549395

 $00:10:24.110 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.150$  But before we get into

NOTE Confidence: 0.99549395

 $00:10:25.150 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.910$  that, I thought maybe another

NOTE Confidence: 0.99549395

 $00:10:26.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.030$  way to break this down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99549395

 $00:10:28.030 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.150$  What are the factors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99549395

 $00:10:29.150 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.350$  we've been exploring? What are

NOTE Confidence: 0.99549395

 $00:10:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.630$  we really interested in? What

NOTE Confidence: 0.99549395

 $00:10:31.630 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.690$  should we be studying?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951777

 $00:10:33.310 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.430$  So in this table on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951777

 $00:10:34.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.950$  the right hand side, we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951777

 $00{:}10{:}35.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37.964$  featuring implementation outcomes. This is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951777

 $00:10:37.964 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.005$  not the host of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951777

00:10:39.005 --> 00:10:40.225 These are just some examples.

 $00:10:40.684 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.565$  There are at least eight

NOTE Confidence: 0.994534

 $00:10:41.565 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.684$  of them that we seek

NOTE Confidence: 0.994534

 $00:10:42.684 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.605$  to achieve, but we're here

NOTE Confidence: 0.994534

00:10:44.605 --> 00:10:45.964 because we want adoption of

NOTE Confidence: 0.994534

 $00:10:45.964 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.825$  evidence and evidence based practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.994534

 $00:10:47.885 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.765$  We want to see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.994534

 $00:10:48.765 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.304$  they're acceptable, appropriate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9859277

 $00:10:51.085 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.445$  feasible to be done in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9859277

 $00:10:52.445 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.025$  the intended

NOTE Confidence: 0.85844606

 $00:10:53.779 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.000$  and that they're sustainable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.992918

 $00:10:55.699 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.839$  and that they're spread.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194133

 $00:10:57.300 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.339$  So this is this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194133

 $00:10:58.339 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.439$  why we're here, implementation outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97156453

 $00:11:00.819 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.420$  But then, as you saw,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97156453

 $00:11:02.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.779$  that first decade, we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.97156453

 $00:11:03.779 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.980$  started to unpack what are

 $00{:}11{:}04.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06.255$  the determinants, what are those

NOTE Confidence: 0.97156453

 $00:11:06.255 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.795$  barriers, what are those facilitators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97156453

00:11:07.934 --> 00:11:09.215 And, again, these are just

NOTE Confidence: 0.97156453

 $00:11:09.215 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.815$  a a handful of examples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97156453

 $00:11:10.815 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.355$  and they range from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97156453

00:11:12.575 --> 00:11:13.075 intrapersonal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99640113

 $00:11:13.535 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.555$  so in the individual provider,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99640113

 $00:11:15.615 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.215$  for example, all the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.99640113

00:11:17.215 --> 00:11:18.335 to system level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99875003

 $00:11:18.815 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.875$  types of determinants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997702

 $00:11:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.230$  And then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959001

 $00:11:22.610 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.470$  what are the implementation strategies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959001

 $00{:}11{:}24.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26.050$  What are the activities we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959001

 $00:11:26.050 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.090$  should be doing? What are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959001 $00:11:27.090 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.570$  the,

 $00:11:28.050 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.170$  methods that we should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9911061

 $00:11:29.170 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.530$  deploying in order order to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9911061

 $00:11:30.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.030$  overcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.99953187

 $00:11:31.890 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.630$  those determinants

NOTE Confidence: 0.98776376

 $00:11:32.930 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.590$  of practice?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884823

 $00:11:34.045 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.245$  And then what the focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884823

 $00:11:35.245 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.525$  of today is is on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884823

 $00:11:36.525 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.265$  the mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99142015

 $00:11:37.804 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.945$  How are those strategies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9582264

 $00:11:40.045 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.965$  working through what process to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9582264

 $00{:}11{:}41.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}43.725$  overcome those determinants to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.9582264

 $00:11:43.725 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.025$  us achieve those outcomes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95660126

 $00{:}11{:}46.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47.260$  And I have permission from

NOTE Confidence: 0.95660126

00:11:47.260 --> 00:11:48.940 this gentleman here featured on

NOTE Confidence: 0.95660126

00:11:48.940 --> 00:11:50.620 your screen, doctor Alvin Gang,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95660126 00:11:50.940 --> 00:11:51.440 to

 $00:11:51.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.320$  retell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98791546 00:11:53.100 --> 00:11:53.580 a re

NOTE Confidence: 0.73617494

00:11:54.700 --> 00:11:55.440 a a a retelling

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678331

 $00:11:56.220 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.500$  of the story of vitamin

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678331

 $00:11:57.500 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.780$  c and how it surfaced

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678331

 $00:11:58.780 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.080$  as a cure for scurvy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678331 00:12:00.140 --> 00:12:00.460 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9820157

00:12:01.005 --> 00:12:02.445 thanks to Elvin. When I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9820157

00:12:02.445 --> 00:12:03.425 heard him describe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99318475

 $00:12:04.285 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.265$  in this way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9946979

 $00:12:06.125 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.885$  the the retelling of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9946979

 $00:12:07.885 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.184$  vitamin c discoveries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9779005

 $00:12:09.725 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.745 \text{ I I}$ , was so compelled

NOTE Confidence: 0.9601898

 $00:12:12.125 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.165$  that it helped us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8985741

 $00:12:14.125 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.745$  motivate the study for mechanisms

 $00:12:15.804 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.740$  to advance implementation science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86706907

 $00{:}12{:}17.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}18.991$  Implementation science, so I wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.86706907

 $00:12:18.991 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.770$  to share it briefly with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86706907

 $00:12:19.770 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.526$  you. So as you all

NOTE Confidence: 0.86706907

00:12:20.526 --> 00:12:21.640 know, scurvy was this devastating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97121

 $00{:}12{:}22.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.020$  disease that killed perhaps two

NOTE Confidence: 0.97121

 $00:12:24.020 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.700$  million sailors before the twentieth

NOTE Confidence: 0.97121

00:12:25.700 --> 00:12:27.459 century, often claiming half of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97121

 $00:12:27.459 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.120$  a crew.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97664547

 $00:12:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.779$  And as we now know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97664547

 $00{:}12{:}29.779 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31.140$  vitamin c contributes to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97664547

 $00:12:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.315$  formation of the collagenous tissues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97664547

 $00:12:33.315 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.434$  a material that keeps your

NOTE Confidence: 0.97664547

 $00{:}12{:}34.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}35.875$  blood vessels, joints, and gums

NOTE Confidence: 0.97664547

 $00:12:35.875 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.714$  intact, and its absence can

NOTE Confidence: 0.97664547

 $00:12:37.714 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.795$  be fatal. So in seventeen

 $00{:}12{:}39.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}41.635$  forty seven, James Linda Surgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.97664547

 $00{:}12{:}41.635 \longrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42.995$  tried an experiment that then

NOTE Confidence: 0.97664547

00:12:42.995 --> 00:12:44.295 made history. Right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9807701

00:12:46.089 --> 00:12:47.230 So his experiment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99497473

00:12:47.610 --> 00:12:48.890 went something like this. He

NOTE Confidence: 0.99497473

00:12:48.890 --> 00:12:49.710 got twelve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96143013

00:12:50.170 --> 00:12:51.690 sailors sick with scurvy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96143013

00:12:51.690 --> 00:12:53.130 put them into six groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96143013

 $00:12:53.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.250$  two in each, and gave

NOTE Confidence: 0.96143013

 $00:12:54.250 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.850$  each group the candidate treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.96143013

 $00:12:55.850 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.290$  that you see here. The

NOTE Confidence: 0.96143013

 $00:12:57.290 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.730$  two that got citrus recovered

NOTE Confidence: 0.96143013

 $00{:}12{:}58.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00.510$  dramatically while the others languished.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96143013

 $00:13:00.804 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.405$  And this final finding is

NOTE Confidence: 0.96143013

 $00:13:02.405 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.684$  hailed as an early, if

 $00:13:03.684 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.445$  not the earliest successful clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.96143013

 $00:13:05.445 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.804$  trial, and Lind has gone

NOTE Confidence: 0.96143013

 $00:13:06.804 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.005$  down in history as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.96143013

 $00:13:08.005 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.065$  scientific hero.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97162944

00:13:09.925 --> 00:13:11.285 However, the results were not

NOTE Confidence: 0.97162944

00:13:11.285 --> 00:13:13.230 successfully or consistently taken up

NOTE Confidence: 0.97162944

 $00:13:13.309 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.429$  for fifty to a hundred

NOTE Confidence: 0.97162944

 $00:13:14.429 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.029$  years, and admissions for scurvy

NOTE Confidence: 0.97162944

 $00{:}13{:}16.029 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}17.309$  at this typical hospital in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97162944

00:13:17.309 --> 00:13:18.750 Britain continued to be high

NOTE Confidence: 0.97162944

 $00:13:18.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.790$  for at least one hundred

NOTE Confidence: 0.97162944

 $00:13:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.410$  years after Lynn's experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728624

 $00:13:21.870 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.829$  So here we have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728624

 $00:13:22.829 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.350$  fabulous example of what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728624

 $00:13:24.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.389$  now refer to as this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728624

 $00:13:25.389 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.774$  no do gap. So it's

 $00:13:26.774 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.214$  clear that the citrus theory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728624

 $00:13:28.214 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.175$  had far less of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728624

 $00:13:29.175 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.054$  effect than it could have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728624

 $00:13:30.054 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.274$  and this is where implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.99965686

00:13:31.575 --> 00:13:32.934 science can really come into

NOTE Confidence: 0.99965686

 $00{:}13{:}32.934 --> 00{:}13{:}33.434 \text{ play}.$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944177

 $00:13:33.894 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.095$  And as with everything that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944177

 $00:13:35.095 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.475$  we undertake in implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9797734

00:13:36.855 --> 00:13:38.375 science, the truth is far

NOTE Confidence: 0.9797734

 $00{:}13{:}38.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}40.480$  more complicated. So even though

NOTE Confidence: 0.9797734

 $00:13:40.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.760$  Lynn found that citrus led

NOTE Confidence: 0.9797734

 $00:13:41.760 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.880$  to recovery, no one knew

NOTE Confidence: 0.9797734

 $00:13:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.620$  what the mechanism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95923805

 $00{:}13{:}44.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}46.340$  knew the mechanism, vitamin c.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708279

 $00:13:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.080$  It's tragic in a way.

00:13:48.960 --> 00:13:49.920 As you can read, Lynn

NOTE Confidence: 0.9556666

00:13:49.920 --> 00:13:52.000 can, himself confesses in his

NOTE Confidence: 0.9556666

 $00{:}13{:}52.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.280$  final writings on the topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9556666

 $00:13:53.280 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.640$  He doesn't understand what he

NOTE Confidence: 0.9556666

 $00:13:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.455$  observed. And so some ships

NOTE Confidence: 0.9556666

 $00{:}13{:}56.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}58.075$  boiled lemon juice to concentrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9556666

 $00:13:58.135 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.815$  it, but that inactivated vitamin

NOTE Confidence: 0.9556666

 $00:13:59.815 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.415$  c and sailors got scurvy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9556666

 $00:14:01.415 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.915$  and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989894

 $00:14:02.455 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.735$  casting doubt on the theory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.989894

 $00{:}14{:}03.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}05.255$  And so in Elvin's words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989894

 $00:14:05.255 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.455$  this is not the story

NOTE Confidence: 0.989894

 $00:14:06.455 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.655$  of a man with evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989894

 $00:14:07.655 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.029$  but the failure of

NOTE Confidence: 0.989894

 $00:14:09.029 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.570$  a system to use it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98309815

 $00:14:11.029 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.070$  And it's the story of

 $00{:}14{:}12.070 --> 00{:}14{:}13.510$  a society that did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.98309815

 $00:14:13.510 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.110$  understand the mechanism of effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.98309815

 $00:14:15.110 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.550$  and therefore did not understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.98309815

 $00:14:16.550 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.750$  how to to use the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98309815

 $00:14:17.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.890$  intervention. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.994267

00:14:19.269 --> 00:14:20.310 if you're if you're thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.994267

 $00:14:20.310 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.130$  about mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98134005

 $00:14:21.670 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.870$  you won't be making these

NOTE Confidence: 0.98134005

00:14:22.870 --> 00:14:23.925 mistakes, and that's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966192

00:14:24.565 --> 00:14:25.285 hopefully what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962449

 $00:14:26.325 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.685$  will inspire more and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962449

 $00:14:27.685 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.365$  study of mechanisms in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962449

 $00{:}14{:}29.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31.625$  implementation science space as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962449

 $00:14:31.685 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.385$  move forward in the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99382263

 $00:14:34.005 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.765$  Other things that inspire us

 $00:14:35.765 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.205$  to focus on mechanisms are

NOTE Confidence: 0.99382263

00:14:37.205 --> 00:14:38.910 these quotes that I hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.99382263

 $00:14:38.910 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.030$  and read about all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99382263

 $00:14:40.030 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.110$  time. This strategy works here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99382263

 $00:14:42.110 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.090$  but not here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971757

 $00{:}14{:}43.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}44.850$  There's no implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.89522314

 $00:14:45.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.210$  without adaptation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9915085

00:14:46.910 --> 00:14:48.190 And I think it's hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.9915085 00:14:48.190 --> 00:14:48.690 to, NOTE Confidence: 0.99875325

 $00:14:49.310 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.370$  face these realities

NOTE Confidence: 0.99934834

 $00:14:50.670 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.845$  without centering mechanisms in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99934834

 $00:14:52.845 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.345$  conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.964308

00:14:54.365 --> 00:14:56.125 So our our group was

NOTE Confidence: 0.964308

 $00:14:56.125 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.625$  so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99943656

 $00:14:57.324 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.824$  motivated

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569612 00:14:58.204 --> 00:14:58.605 to,

 $00:14:59.725 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.425$  understand mechanisms of implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.95962095

 $00:15:01.805 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.584$  strategies. We undertook the systematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.95962095

 $00:15:03.644 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.144$  review

NOTE Confidence: 0.9905752

00:15:04.620 --> 00:15:06.560 of empirical studies examining,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99932253

 $00:15:07.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.920$  just that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96790934

 $00:15:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.660$  Now before I I share

NOTE Confidence: 0.96790934

 $00:15:09.660 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.940$  the methods and results of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96790934

 $00:15:10.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.860$  that review, I wanna ground

NOTE Confidence: 0.96790934

 $00:15:12.860 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.820$  us in in at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.96790934

 $00:15:13.820 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.520$  how our team was defining

NOTE Confidence: 0.9430255

 $00{:}15{:}16.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18.540$  mechanisms for the systematic review

NOTE Confidence: 0.9430255

 $00:15:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.245$  and the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.981598

00:15:19.964 --> 00:15:21.505 aspects of the talk today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.981598

 $00:15:21.725 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.245$  So the reasons why change

NOTE Confidence: 0.981598

00:15:23.245 --> 00:15:24.845 occurred or how change came

 $00:15:24.845 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.285$  about, so it being a

NOTE Confidence: 0.981598

 $00:15:26.285 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.785$  process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94903785

 $00:15:27.725 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.685$  they can be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960826

 $00:15:29.885 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.425$  mechanisms can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995177

 $00:15:32.170 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.110$  found through examining mediators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9744754

00:15:34.490 --> 00:15:36.750 Mediators are not necessarily inherently

NOTE Confidence: 0.9744754

 $00:15:36.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.390$  mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950343

 $00:15:37.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.810$  and, of course, it all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950343

 $00:15:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.570$  depends on your definition and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950343

 $00:15:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.690$  design and things of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950343

 $00:15:41.690 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.190$  nature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9897788

00:15:42.810 --> 00:15:44.170 But you can read more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9897788

 $00:15:44.170 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.670$  about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99306583 00:15:45.290 --> 00:15:45.790 the NOTE Confidence: 0.9848582

 $00:15:46.465 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.385$  definitions of of mechanisms in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848582

 $00:15:48.385 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.425$  the paper I've put on

 $00:15:49.425 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.085$  the screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984524

 $00{:}15{:}50.465 --> 00{:}15{:}51.685$  I thought another way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936957

 $00:15:52.305 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.745$  based on what Donna was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936957

 $00:15:53.745 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.265$  sharing with me about her

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936957

00:15:55.265 --> 00:15:56.565 group of just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995357

 $00:15:57.585 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.085$  depicting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9929484

00:15:58.625 --> 00:16:00.145 mechanisms in the causal chain

NOTE Confidence: 0.9929484

 $00:16:00.145 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.290$  was to put up this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943233

00:16:01.930 --> 00:16:04.250 schematic of causal pathway diagramming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943233

 $00:16:04.250 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.370$  and it features all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943233

 $00:16:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.910$  the terms that I've mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939654

 $00:16:07.290 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.130$  to you already. So on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939654

 $00{:}16{:}09.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10.589$  the far right hand side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939654

 $00:16:10.730 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.649$  we're striving for some distal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939654

00:16:12.649 --> 00:16:13.930 implementation outcome,

 $00:16:15.014 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.295$  when we bring a strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9906731

 $00:16:16.295 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.194$  into the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99920785

 $00:16:17.495 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.694$  And if we are to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99920785

 $00:16:18.694 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.875$  center the mechanism of action,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952094

 $00:16:21.735 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.274$  we might be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952094

 $00{:}16{:}23.495 --> 00{:}16{:}23.975 \ \mathrm{more},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.95222574

 $00:16:24.454 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.175$  expertly, strongly, swiftly overcome and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95222574

 $00:16:27.175 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.279$  determinant to get to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.95222574

 $00:16:29.279 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.779$  proximal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592088

 $00:16:30.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.920$  signal of success and ultimately

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592088

 $00{:}16{:}31.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34.000$  to that implementation outcome. Of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592088

 $00:16:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.800$  course, we need to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592088

 $00:16:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.560$  thinking about moderators, what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592088

 $00{:}16{:}36.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}37.940$  amplifying or dampening

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800306

 $00:16:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.620$  the impact of a strategy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800306

 $00:16:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.200$  and to make sure that

 $00:16:41.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.060$  there are the necessary preconditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800306

 $00:16:43.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.055$  in place in order to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800306

 $00:16:45.055 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.575$  activate a mechanism. So just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800306

 $00:16:46.575 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.175$  another way to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800306

00:16:48.175 -> 00:16:48.675 and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9458177

 $00:16:49.135 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.815$  stand up an evaluation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9458177

 $00:16:50.815 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.755$  implementation strategy mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944479

 $00:16:54.255 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.015$  So for the systematic review

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944479

 $00:16:56.015 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.060$  that was published in twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944479

 $00:16:57.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.980$  twenty, we identified thirty nine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944479

 $00:16:58.980 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.100$  studies, and that was as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944479

 $00:17:00.100 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.460$  of twenty eighteen. That's when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944479

 $00{:}17{:}01.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03.720$  our systematic review analysis ended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98973554

 $00:17:04.420 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.300$  Fifty four of those were

NOTE Confidence: 0.98973554

 $00:17:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.740$  conducted in the US, so

 $00:17:06.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.780$  a lot of global work

NOTE Confidence: 0.98973554

 $00:17:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.240$  in this space to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996538

00:17:09.619 --> 00:17:10.520 study implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.999727

 $00:17:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.320$  mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98960483

00:17:11.984 --> 00:17:13.905 Interestingly, behavioral health settings were

NOTE Confidence: 0.98960483

 $00:17:13.905 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.885$  the most common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88520175

 $00:17:15.265 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.945$  featured in this in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.88520175

 $00:17:16.945 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.445$  review,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99694973

 $00:17:17.744 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.425$  followed by hospitals and health

NOTE Confidence: 0.99694973

 $00:17:19.425 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.925$  systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9829946

 $00:17:20.385 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.505$  Seventy four percent of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9829946

 $00{:}17{:}21.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}23.184$  empirical studies we included were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9829946

00:17:23.184 --> 00:17:25.105 quantitative in nature. There were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9829946

 $00{:}17{:}25.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.385$ a handful of mixed methods

NOTE Confidence: 0.9829946

00:17:26.385 --> 00:17:27.799 and qualitative only, of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9829946

 $00:17:27.799 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.299$  course.

 $00:17:29.559 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.919$  It's interesting that not all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9751921

 $00:17:30.919 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.520$  of these studies actually used

NOTE Confidence: 0.9751921

 $00:17:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.980$  a theory model or framework

NOTE Confidence: 0.975192100:17:34.039 --> 00:17:34.539 to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9711432

 $00:17:34.919 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.419$  articulate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253138

 $00:17:36.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.580$  and con contextualize

NOTE Confidence: 0.9425351

 $00:17:37.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.820$  their, mechanism evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870732

00:17:40.600 --> 00:17:41.720 But of those who did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870732

 $00:17:41.720 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.020$  about seventy four percent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9886164

 $00:17:43.515 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.515$  the diffusion of innovations theory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9886164

 $00:17:45.515 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.115$  featured most with twenty percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9886164

 $00:17:47.115 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.855$  of researchers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731753 00:17:49.435 --> 00:17:49.935 So, NOTE Confidence: 0.9995453

1101L Connuciee. 0.3333433

 $00{:}17{:}50.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51.915$  this is a legend that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995453

00:17:51.915 --> 00:17:53.035 you see on your screen

 $00:17:53.035 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.515$  now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695179

 $00{:}17{:}53.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55.195$  You've seen all these terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695179

00:17:55.195 --> 00:17:56.095 before implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898897

00:17:56.475 --> 00:17:57.615 strategy, determinant

NOTE Confidence: 0.98635876

00:17:58.440 --> 00:17:59.799 implementation outcome, which, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98635876

 $00:17:59.799 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.480$  is different than the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.98635876

 $00:18:01.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.520$  outcome. And so in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.98635876

 $00:18:02.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.799$  ways, we have two levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.98635876

 $00:18:03.799 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.320$  of intervention and two levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.98635876

 $00:18:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.059$  of outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977119

 $00:18:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.159$  And then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919833

 $00:18:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.559$  we're characterizing the mechanism as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919833

00:18:09.559 --> 00:18:11.159 a mediator in these models

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919833

 $00:18:11.159 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.465$  you'll see. So what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919833

00:18:12.465 --> 00:18:14.325 tried to do is actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9945773

 $00:18:15.505 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.804$  in a in a figure

 $00:18:16.865 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.804$  using these shapes and icons

NOTE Confidence: 0.96585244

 $00:18:19.184 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.905$  is characterize the range of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96585244

00:18:21.905 --> 00:18:23.984 types of mechanism evaluations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.96585244

 $00:18:23.984 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.205$  the field had contributed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.999788

 $00:18:25.744 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.645$  to this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668599

 $00:18:27.359 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.960$  So in the upper left

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668599

 $00:18:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.460$  corner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955127

 $00:18:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.359$  model one, we saw this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955127

 $00:18:31.359 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.800$  in one study where,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99671364

 $00:18:33.119 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.020$  folks were

NOTE Confidence: 0.99364376

 $00:18:34.559 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.059$  actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.98358

 $00:18:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.480$  looking at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99796593

 $00:18:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.460$  determinants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8754183

 $00:18:37.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.840$  and then, the change in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8754183

 $00:18:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.440$  strategies, which was a little

 $00:18:41.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.680$  bit interesting and unexpected for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8754183

 $00:18:41.680 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.920$  me at least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99023443

 $00:18:44.855 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.215$  to then change in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.99023443

00:18:46.215 --> 00:18:47.515 determinants of practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701147

 $00:18:48.135 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.815$  This model two appeared in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701147

00:18:49.815 --> 00:18:51.595 two different studies, strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.975844

 $00:18:52.294 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.794$  to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98695844

00:18:54.215 --> 00:18:55.835 outcome to another outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98530614

00:18:56.290 --> 00:18:57.490 And this was the primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.98530614

00:18:57.490 --> 00:18:58.930 model we were interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98530614

 $00:18:58.930 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.070$  seeing where strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743491

 $00:19:00.369 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.530$  are changing determinants in practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743491

 $00:19:02.530 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.150$  and then yielding the implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743491

 $00:19:04.450 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.130$  outcomes of interest. We saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743491

 $00:19:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.650$  this I'm sorry. This is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743491

00:19:07.650 --> 00:19:09.330 Donna. Just because even I

00:19:09.330 --> 00:19:10.930 am a I understand all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743491

 $00:19:10.930 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.105$  the terms, but I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743491

00:19:12.105 --> 00:19:13.625 quite sure what determinant of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743491

 $00:19:13.625 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.605$  practice means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.995165

 $00{:}19{:}15.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17.085$  Sure. Yes. Thanks for clarifying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.995165

 $00:19:17.304 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.205$  So determinant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9808107

 $00:19:18.744 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.785$  I'm using that as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9808107

00:19:19.785 --> 00:19:21.544 jargony term for barriers or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9808107

 $00{:}19{:}21.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23.145$  facilitators. So these are Okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9808107

00:19:23.225 --> 00:19:24.684 Those contextual factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.9538814

 $00:19:25.385 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.625$  that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991024

 $00{:}19{:}26.269 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}28.429$  would otherwise undermine a successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991024

 $00{:}19{:}28.429 --> 00{:}19{:}28.929 \ \mathrm{implementation}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.95162857

 $00:19:29.389 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.769$  with an example being,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99441355

 $00:19:31.549 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.690$  something like turnover

 $00:19:33.070 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.730$  or implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770016

00:19:34.109 --> 00:19:36.529 climate. Right? Mhmm. Mhmm. Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770016

 $00:19:36.669 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.669$  So the idea being that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770016

00:19:38.669 --> 00:19:41.019 we're often choosing a strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985793

 $00:19:41.725 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.585$  to address a determinant

NOTE Confidence: 0.96482414

00:19:43.965 --> 00:19:45.725 to then yield that outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.96482414

 $00:19:45.725 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.484$  of interest. And it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.96482414

 $00:19:47.484 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.085$  interesting. We only saw of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96482414

 $00{:}19{:}49.085 \to 00{:}19{:}50.524$  the thirty nine studies, this

NOTE Confidence: 0.96482414

00:19:50.524 --> 00:19:52.125 particular model showing up in

NOTE Confidence: 0.96482414

 $00{:}19{:}52.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}53.585$  seven of the studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973795

 $00{:}19{:}54.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}55.619$  Otherwise, we're seeing a whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973795

 $00:19:55.619 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.460$  different range of constellations, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973795

 $00:19:57.460 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.679$  I'll just let the screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9768619

 $00:19:59.059 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.419$  continue to populate here so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9768619

 $00:20:00.419 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.460$  you can see the different

 $00:20:01.460 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.200$  range of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973466

 $00:20:02.580 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.799$  mechanism evaluations

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993266

 $00:20:04.179 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.880$  among those thirty nine studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.73172027 00:20:07.299 --> 00:20:07.799 here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92626226

 $00:20:09.375 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.815$  So then also the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.92626226

00:20:10.815 --> 00:20:12.095 thing I'll say about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92626226

00:20:12.095 --> 00:20:14.275 systematic review findings is that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694927

 $00:20:15.055 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.895$  if you if you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694927

 $00:20:16.895 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.575$  at the, you know, Seminole

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694927

00:20:18.575 --> 00:20:19.795 Bradford Hill

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939184

 $00:20:21.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.580$  criteria for establishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9335535

 $00{:}20{:}22.880 \to 00{:}20{:}25.300$  mechanisms then elaborated on in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9335535

 $00:20:25.359 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.880$  in later years by Al

NOTE Confidence: 0.9335535

 $00:20:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.380$  Kasdan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99849623

 $00:20:28.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.100$  there are these criteria

00:20:30.400 --> 00:20:32.240 that's not just single studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9333599

 $00{:}20{:}32.240 --> 00{:}20{:}32.715 \text{ but},\\$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.98648053

 $00:20:33.355 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.534$  subsequent studies of similar mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.98648053

 $00:20:35.755 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.355$  really need to address in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98648053

 $00:20:37.355 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.635$  order to have confidence that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98648053

 $00{:}20{:}38.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40.734$ you've established a mechanism. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.98648053

 $00:20:40.955 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.015$  things like plausibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97084594

00:20:42.395 --> 00:20:44.075 There's a timeline issue. Right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97084594

 $00{:}20{:}44.075 --> 00{:}20{:}44.875$  And that's why we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.97084594

 $00{:}20{:}44.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.315$  about mediators when we talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.97084594

 $00:20:46.315 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.135$  about mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99238265

 $00:20:47.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.980$  There needs to be association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974101

 $00:20:49.440 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.660$  Ideally, there's manipulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.93254685

 $00:20:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.700$  happening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996939

00:20:52.480 --> 00:20:52.980 consistency

NOTE Confidence: 0.9913939

 $00:20:53.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.260$  across studies,

 $00:20:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.220$  specificity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9807299

 $00{:}20{:}56.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}57.520$  that you're able to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9807299

 $00:20:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.960$  you're able to shed light

NOTE Confidence: 0.9807299

 $00:20:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.900$  on evidence for a specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869285

 $00:21:01.359 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.060$  mechanism and not others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8880874

 $00:21:03.734 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.395$  and then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97403425

 $00:21:05.095 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.775$  evidence of a gradient of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97403425

00:21:06.775 --> 00:21:08.455 change. And so you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.97403425

 $00:21:08.455 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.335$  see that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771318

00:21:09.895 --> 00:21:11.575 very few studies were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771318

 $00:21:11.575 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.015$  to address all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771318

 $00:21:13.015 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.795$  or any of these criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771318

 $00{:}21{:}14.934 --> 00{:}21{:}15.830$  So there's work to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771318

 $00:21:15.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.330$  done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984415

 $00:21:16.630 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.070$  This is all inspiration for

 $00:21:18.070 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.730$  what led

NOTE Confidence: 0.97329533

 $00{:}21{:}19.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}20.869$  us to wanna unpack this

NOTE Confidence: 0.97329533

 $00:21:20.869 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.310$  line from a to b,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97329533

00:21:22.310 --> 00:21:23.450 strategy to outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97954476

 $00:21:23.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.830$  and, borrowed this comic from

NOTE Confidence: 0.97954476

 $00:21:25.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.190$  Byron Powell and others that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97954476

 $00:21:27.190 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.070$  I think you should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.97954476

 $00:21:28.070 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.510$  more explicit here in step

NOTE Confidence: 0.97954476

 $00:21:29.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.490$  two. And so

NOTE Confidence: 0.98512346

 $00:21:30.994 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.355$  here we go. A little

NOTE Confidence: 0.98512346

 $00{:}21{:}32.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33.575$  bit more on the motivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98512346

 $00:21:33.635 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.755$  What are the problems we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.98512346

 $00:21:34.755 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.795$  trying to solve when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.98512346

 $00:21:35.795 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.935$  think about mechanisms?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9925452

00:21:38.115 --> 00:21:39.255 You know, unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988953

00:21:39.635 --> 00:21:41.315 despite the progress, the twenty

 $00:21:41.315 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.675$  years or more of science

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988953

 $00:21:42.675 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.494$  in this area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9921658

 $00:21:44.355 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.540$  we see that, there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9921658

 $00:21:46.540 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.680$  still some suboptimal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99932027

 $00:21:48.940 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.859$  outcomes in terms of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99932027

 $00:21:50.859 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.359$  implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943341

 $00:21:51.660 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.740$  clinical trials that demand a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943341

 $00:21:53.740 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.560$  huge investment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968292

 $00:21:55.500 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.960$  both domestically and abroad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.973543

00:21:58.060 --> 00:21:59.340 And there's one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9754553

 $00:21:59.740 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.940$  example that I like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9754553

 $00:22:00.940 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.994$  bring up, the tailored implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9754553

 $00:22:03.215 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.355$  in chronic diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987399

 $00:22:04.975 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.034$  This is a multinational

NOTE Confidence: 0.9915438

 $00:22:06.575 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.755$  study in Europe that took

 $00:22:08.894 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.635$  seven years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9897299

 $00:22:10.815 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.434$  to come to fruition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984244

 $00{:}22{:}12.734 --> 00{:}22{:}13.234 \ \mathrm{And}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.99878985

 $00:22:13.630 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.450$  in the introductory

NOTE Confidence: 0.96955305

 $00:22:14.830 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.450$  article to the special collection

NOTE Confidence: 0.96955305

 $00:22:16.510 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.190$  that gave us so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.96955305

 $00:22:18.190 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.190$  learnings in the implementation science

NOTE Confidence: 0.96955305

00:22:20.190 --> 00:22:22.350 space, unfold or, unfortunately, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96955305

 $00:22:22.350 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.950$  effects were null in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.96955305

 $00:22:23.950 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.409$  of the tailoring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553223

 $00{:}22{:}26.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27.710$  influencing the outcomes they cared

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553223

00:22:27.710 --> 00:22:29.715 about. And Michelle Vinseng, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553223

 $00:22:29.715 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.255$  former editor of implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675993

 $00:22:31.555 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.575$  science, states that the chosen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675993

 $00:22:33.635 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.795$  strategies were not sufficiently matched

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675993

 $00:22:35.795 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.875$  with targeted determinants or not

 $00:22:37.875 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.375$  effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.9929532

 $00:22:38.835 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.035$  in the targeted groups and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9929532

 $00:22:40.035 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.635$  settings. So insight into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9929532

 $00:22:41.635 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.820$  linkages of interventions and determinants

NOTE Confidence: 0.9929532

 $00:22:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.780$  is very limited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969914

 $00:22:45.559 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.000$  So that that's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8176768

 $00:22:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.619$  a more recent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996923

 $00:22:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.740$  observation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9885791

 $00:22:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.640$  that motivates this, and I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.9885791

 $00:22:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.760$  stay back on that slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.9885791

 $00:22:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.299$  just for another moment to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9885791

 $00:22:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.980$  acknowledge also that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99662066

 $00:22:57.255 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.875$  the higher cost and complexity

NOTE Confidence: 0.99662066

00:22:59.015 --> 00:22:59.675 of implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708474

 $00:23:00.055 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.135$  strategies is sometimes perturbing to

00:23:02.135 --> 00:23:03.815 me. You know, we've got,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943359

 $00:23:04.375 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.535$  we've got such inequities in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943359

 $00:23:06.535 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.135$  terms of access to evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943359

 $00:23:08.135 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.035$  based interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95417327

 $00:23:09.655 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.695$  And if here we are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99476606

 $00:23:11.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.460$  designing and testing implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9652237

 $00{:}23{:}13.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.680$  strategies that cannot be accessed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9652237

 $00:23:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.380$  by low resource settings that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9652237

 $00:23:17.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.960$  cannot be scaled because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9652237

 $00:23:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.720$  their cost, I I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9652237

 $00{:}23{:}20.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22.580$  we're doing ourselves a disservice

NOTE Confidence: 0.9652237

 $00:23:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.540$  and might unfortunately

NOTE Confidence: 0.99710196

 $00{:}23{:}24.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}26.054$  land ourselves with this ironic

NOTE Confidence: 0.99710196

 $00:23:26.195 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.634$  situation where we've created our

NOTE Confidence: 0.99710196

 $00:23:27.634 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.654$  own gap between implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9265073

 $00:23:30.034 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.975$  strategy evidence and then implementation

 $00{:}23{:}32.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33.815$  practice and what is possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98083633

 $00:23:34.434 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.794$  And then finally, and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.98083633

 $00:23:35.794 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.914$  is actually more along the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98083633

00:23:36.914 --> 00:23:37.794 lines of what I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.98083633

00:23:37.794 --> 00:23:38.534 just saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8993616

 $00:23:39.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.429$  that, inequities

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990415

 $00:23:40.730 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.590$  could could really be exacerbated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990415

00:23:42.809 --> 00:23:44.270 when we're really trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990415

00:23:44.330 --> 00:23:45.770 address them and achieve health

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990415

 $00:23:45.770 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.270$  equity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95349956

 $00:23:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.770$  So practically speaking, we and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95349956

00:23:49.770 --> 00:23:50.835 I always feel like I

NOTE Confidence: 0.95349956

00:23:50.835 --> 00:23:51.654 should say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98726654

 $00:23:52.115 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.395$  at least a few things

NOTE Confidence: 0.98726654

 $00:23:53.395 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.755$  along the lines of why

 $00:23:54.755 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.695$  are why is studying implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.98726654

 $00:23:56.914 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.534$  mechanisms useful practically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819497

 $00:23:59.395 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.835$  because it does seem like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819497

00:24:00.835 --> 00:24:02.695 such a scientific sort of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90179527

00:24:03.635 --> 00:24:04.135 specific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941314

 $00:24:04.674 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.654$  type of evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99617606

00:24:06.380 --> 00:24:07.340 Well, you've heard me talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.99617606

 $00:24:07.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.880$  about selecting strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97767705

 $00:24:09.900 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.100$  This is not an easy

NOTE Confidence: 0.97767705

 $00:24:11.100 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.800$  task. When we do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9524822

 $00:24:14.140 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.900$  try to characterize the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.9524822

 $00:24:15.900 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.780$  on what are the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9524822

 $00:24:16.780 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.280$  common

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931579

 $00:24:17.580 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.080$  implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.99178416

00:24:18.460 --> 00:24:19.760 strategies being tested,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97101057

 $00:24:20.185 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.225$  we see so much of

00:24:21.225 --> 00:24:22.665 it being perhaps driven by

NOTE Confidence: 0.97101057

00:24:22.665 --> 00:24:23.165 familiarity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971954

 $00:24:23.785 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.065$  you know, groups being or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971954

 $00:24:25.065 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.005$  hospital systems being familiar with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971954

 $00:24:27.225 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.585$  CMEs. And so what do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971954

 $00:24:28.585 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.465$  they do when they need

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971954

 $00:24:29.465 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.265$  to bring in a new

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971954

 $00:24:30.265 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.244$  clinical intervention?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99606913

 $00:24:31.945 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.244$  They offer a CME.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874559

 $00:24:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.520$  But there should be other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874559

 $00:24:35.520 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.600$  ways to inform selection of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874559

 $00:24:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.100$  strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8229426

 $00:24:39.359 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.859$  Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884404

 $00:24:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.780$  and there are other examples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884404

00:24:41.919 --> 00:24:42.820 not just familiarity,

 $00:24:44.799 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.679$  which is sort of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8961452

00:24:45.679 --> 00:24:47.039 first that the train and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8961452

 $00:24:47.039 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.539$  pray,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9196117

 $00:24:48.125 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.965$  But there's the kitchen sink

NOTE Confidence: 0.9196117

00:24:49.965 --> 00:24:50.465 approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621914

 $00:24:50.845 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.205$  that relates to the increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621914

 $00{:}24{:}52.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54.205$  cost and complexity. Let's throw

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621914

 $00:24:54.205 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.725$  all the strategies we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621914

 $00:24:55.725 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.465$  at the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99906605

 $00:24:57.244 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.744$  implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9538978

 $00:24:58.125 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.345$  problems we're facing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97236156

 $00:25:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.850$  We I talked about tailoring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98992586

 $00:25:02.230 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.910$  There's and the the opposite

NOTE Confidence: 0.98992586

 $00:25:03.910 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.790$  of that is a one

NOTE Confidence: 0.98992586

 $00:25:04.790 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.310$  size fits all approach. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.98992586

 $00:25:06.310 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.830$  so if we're selecting a

00:25:07.830 --> 00:25:08.890 bundle of strategies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423765

 $00:25:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.109$  and using them the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423765

 $00:25:11.109 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.790$  same across context, then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329441

 $00:25:13.965 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.925$  that might not be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329441

 $00:25:14.925 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.125$  best way to to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329441

 $00:25:16.125 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.385$  selections. And then Martin Echols

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329441

 $00:25:18.445 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.605$  coined the is logiat, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329441

 $00:25:20.605 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.565$  was it seemed like a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329441

 $00:25:21.565 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.945$  good idea at the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98813856

 $00:25:23.325 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.285$  And so we can do

NOTE Confidence: 0.98813856

 $00{:}25{:}24.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25.825$  better, and I think studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.98813856

 $00{:}25{:}25.885 \rightarrow 00{:}25{:}27.565$  mechanisms and centering them in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98813856

 $00{:}25{:}27.565 --> 00{:}25{:}28.845$  selection is one way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98813856 00:25:28.845 --> 00:25:28.980 do NOTE Confidence: 0.9827528

 $00:25:31.220 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.059$  better. Practically speaking then,

 $00:25:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.400$  part of selecting is matching

NOTE Confidence: 0.99513066

 $00:25:35.700 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.640$  to the strategies to barriers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99791384

00:25:38.340 --> 00:25:39.299 So you've heard me talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.99791384

 $00:25:39.299 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.725$  about determinants multiple times. This

NOTE Confidence: 0.99791384

 $00:25:41.725 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.845$  is one of the really

NOTE Confidence: 0.99791384

 $00:25:42.845 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.345$  interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99624777

 $00:25:43.885 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.664$  outputs from that tailored implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.99624777

 $00:25:45.725 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.784$  of chronic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9620139

 $00:25:47.404 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.085$  multinational study where Kraus and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9620139

 $00:25:49.085 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.284$  colleagues and forgive me for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9620139

 $00:25:50.284 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.804$  not having the citation here

NOTE Confidence: 0.9620139

 $00:25:51.804 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.465$  for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92519134

 $00:25:52.845 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.924$  They discovered six hundred and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92519134 00:25:54.924 --> 00:25:55.424 one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8732031

00:25:56.530 --> 00:25:58.950 discrete plausible determinants of practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989098

 $00:25:59.330 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.690$  and they did shake loose

 $00:26:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.210$  across a variety of levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989098

00:26:02.210 --> 00:26:03.830 patient, provider, organization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952492

 $00:26:04.369 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.070$  community, and policy levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97776145

 $00:26:06.609 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.115$  So when you're faced with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97776145

 $00:26:08.195 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.395$  you know, I think we

NOTE Confidence: 0.97776145

 $00:26:09.395 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.915$  see more often fifty or

NOTE Confidence: 0.97776145

 $00:26:10.915 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.434$  so determinants in a given

NOTE Confidence: 0.97776145

 $00:26:12.434 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.135$  project. This was across many.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97776145

 $00:26:14.275 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.315$  But when you're faced with

NOTE Confidence: 0.97776145

00:26:15.315 --> 00:26:16.515 so many determinants, how do

NOTE Confidence: 0.97776145

00:26:16.515 --> 00:26:18.054 you select and match strategies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9622292

 $00{:}26{:}18.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.115$  Especially when then you're faced

NOTE Confidence: 0.9622292

00:26:20.115 --> 00:26:21.635 with seventy or more. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9622292

 $00{:}26{:}21.635 --> 00{:}26{:}23.190$  think more recently, we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9622292

 $00:26:23.269 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.789$  at least one hundred discrete

00:26:24.789 --> 00:26:26.389 strategies are available to us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9622292

 $00{:}26{:}26{:}26{:}389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27{:}830$  for use. And I'm giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.9622292

 $00:26:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.669$  you some some examples across

NOTE Confidence: 0.9622292

00:26:29.669 --> 00:26:32.250 plan, educate, finance, restructure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95480174

00:26:32.789 --> 00:26:34.389 quality management, and the policy

NOTE Confidence: 0.95480174

 $00:26:34.389 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.889$  context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910453

 $00:26:36.865 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.105$  So then, tailoring is another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99788505

00:26:39.505 --> 00:26:40.965 approach to implementation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9566527

 $00{:}26{:}41.825 \to 00{:}26{:}43.345$  that I've been talking about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9566527

 $00:26:43.345 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.845$  and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99220324

00:26:44.625 --> 00:26:46.465 I'm excited about the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.99220324

 $00:26:46.465 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.545$  for thcoming from Sheena McHugh and

NOTE Confidence: 0.99220324

 $00:26:48.545 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.930$  her team. They're characterizing processes

NOTE Confidence: 0.99220324

 $00:26:50.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.790$  and outcomes of tailoring implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9465244

 $00:26:53.090 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.590$  strategies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9588214

00:26:54.369 --> 00:26:55.730 because, really, there's so much

 $00:26:55.730 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.369$  to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9899553

 $00:26:57.330 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.170$  learned and specified in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9899553

 $00:26:59.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.770$  space. Even the definition of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9899553

00:27:00.770 --> 00:27:01.270 tailoring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986787

 $00{:}27{:}02.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}03.825$  has some tension associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.986787 00:27:03.825 --> 00:27:04.325 it, NOTE Confidence: 0.9961158

 $00:27:04.705 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.305$  and the methods are unclear

NOTE Confidence: 0.9961158

 $00:27:06.305 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.365$  about how best,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99369824

 $00:27:07.744 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.765$  to tailor to optimize outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99960136

00:27:10.225 --> 00:27:11.605 And speaking of optimization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9865846

 $00:27:12.065 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.025$  there are a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9865846

 $00:27:13.025 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.785$  groups, including yours, Donna, who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9865846

00:27:14.785 --> 00:27:16.140 are helping us think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9865846

 $00:27:16.300 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.200$  how do we optimize

NOTE Confidence: 0.99930835

 $00:27:17.580 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.080$  implementation

00:27:18.460 --> 00:27:18.960 strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.93423903

 $00{:}27{:}19.420 \to 00{:}27{:}21.500$  when we're centering criteria like

NOTE Confidence: 0.93423903

 $00:27:21.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.560$  equity or

NOTE Confidence: 0.929931

 $00:27:23.020 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.480$  cost or efficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98553056

00:27:25.100 --> 00:27:26.619 How do we streamline? How

NOTE Confidence: 0.98553056

00:27:26.619 --> 00:27:28.160 do we scale implementation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9801897

 $00:27:29.660 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.160$  accordingly?

NOTE Confidence: 0.97603595

 $00:27:31.325 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.865$  So that all of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97603595

 $00:27:33.005 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.445$  led us to apply to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97603595

 $00{:}27{:}34.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.125$  the Agency for Healthcare Research

NOTE Confidence: 0.97603595

 $00:27:36.125 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.725$  in the United States, for

NOTE Confidence: 0.97603595

 $00:27:37.725 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.484$  an r thirteen award. This

NOTE Confidence: 0.97603595

00:27:39.484 --> 00:27:41.105 is a conference grant mechanism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553218

 $00:27:41.965 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.725$  that we fortunately received after

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553218

 $00:27:43.725 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.625$  our third application.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9883645

 $00:27:44.925 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.805$  I like to be a

00:27:45.805 --> 00:27:47.460 humble human and let folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.9883645

 $00:27:47.460 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.900$  know it takes a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.9883645

00:27:48.900 --> 00:27:50.340 of tries, right, to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9883645

00:27:50.340 --> 00:27:50.840 your,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978857

 $00:27:51.380 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.840$  grant ideas funded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9793774

 $00:27:53.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.740$  This was not my record.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9793774

 $00:27:54.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.940$  I think I submitted an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9793774

 $00:27:55.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.140$  r o one four times

NOTE Confidence: 0.9793774

 $00:27:57.140 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.000$  once before I was successful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98283017

 $00:27:59.925 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.885$  But the goals of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.98283017

 $00:28:00.885 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.425$  r thirteen were to establish

NOTE Confidence: 0.98283017

 $00:28:02.485 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.845$  the priorities to guide a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98283017

 $00{:}28{:}03.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05.385$  research agenda on implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.98960775

 $00:28:05.685 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.965$  mechanisms and then to share

NOTE Confidence: 0.98960775

 $00:28:06.965 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.625$  it broadly.

 $00:28:08.565 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.925$  The methods we use were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9380634

 $00:28:09.925 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.425$  multifold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9719949

00:28:10.885 --> 00:28:12.265 They featured partnership,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88413215

 $00:28:12.885 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.929$  with SERC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943007

 $00:28:14.390 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.669$  that society that has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.943007

00:28:15.669 --> 00:28:17.049 mentioned a couple of times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9864847

00:28:17.590 --> 00:28:18.490 network recruitment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959879

 $00:28:19.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.650$  to folks who had mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.959879

00:28:20.789 --> 00:28:21.690 like expertise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9652324

 $00:28:22.630 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.090$  and we formed this mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9652324

 $00{:}28{:}24.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26.115$  network of expertise in SERC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9392979

00:28:26.915 --> 00:28:28.775 We then identified challenges,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977017

 $00:28:30.035 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.155$  to doing this type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977017

 $00{:}28{:}31.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}31.655 \ \mathrm{research},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.993554

 $00:28:32.035 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.215$  did some synthesis work, articulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.993554

 $00:28:34.355 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.575$  priorities and possibilities,

 $00:28:36.595 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.895$  and are in the dissemination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99933577

 $00:28:38.675 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.655$  stage of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98076826

 $00:28:40.370 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.490$  So just wanted to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.98076826

 $00:28:41.490 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.610$  a nod to the many

NOTE Confidence: 0.98076826

00:28:42.610 --> 00:28:44.770 humans who participated in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.98076826

 $00:28:44.770 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.290$  effort. I have to acknowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.98076826

 $00:28:46.290 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.970$  that they're predominantly in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98076826

00:28:47.970 --> 00:28:49.910 United States. We had funding

NOTE Confidence: 0.98076826

 $00:28:49.970 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.070$  limitations to travel people broadly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97518194

 $00:28:52.765 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.965$  And, also, as you might

NOTE Confidence: 0.97518194

 $00:28:53.965 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.245$  have noted with the timeline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97518194

 $00:28:55.245 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.425$  this overlapped with COVID, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.97518194

 $00{:}28{:}57.565 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}28{:}59.325$  prevented some of the conference

NOTE Confidence: 0.97518194

 $00:28:59.325 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.845$  like activities that we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.97518194

 $00:29:00.845 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.345$  planned.

 $00:29:02.045 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.405$  But we kicked things off

NOTE Confidence: 0.96758723

00:29:03.405 --> 00:29:05.485 with a, plenary session at

NOTE Confidence: 0.96758723

 $00:29:05.485 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.465$  SERC in twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421935

 $00:29:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.860$  seven nineteen, it looks like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421935

 $00:29:09.860 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.520$  it was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97629684

 $00:29:10.900 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.260$  with David Chambers and doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.97629684

 $00:29:12.260 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.380$  Nate Williams where we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.97629684

00:29:13.380 --> 00:29:14.840 setting the stage for understanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97629684

00:29:14.900 --> 00:29:15.620 sort of trying to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.97629684

 $00:29:15.620 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.820$  on the same page about

NOTE Confidence: 0.97629684

 $00:29:16.820 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.320$  implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876088

 $00:29:17.700 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.200$  mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9792913

 $00:29:18.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.020$  and what we knew about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9792913

00:29:20.020 --> 00:29:20.980 them and what we might

NOTE Confidence: 0.9792913  $00:29:20.980 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.480$  not. NOTE Confidence: 0.97748816

 $00:29:22.415 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.495$  And then we had several

 $00{:}29{:}24.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26.355$  inputs for this research agenda.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97748816

 $00{:}29{:}26.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28.174$  So the systematic reviews that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97748816

 $00:29:28.174 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.794$  existed in this space were

NOTE Confidence: 0.97748816

 $00:29:30.015 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.615$  among the inputs. We did

NOTE Confidence: 0.97748816

 $00:29:31.615 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.294$  an exercise we we called

NOTE Confidence: 0.97748816

 $00:29:33.294 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.020$  matrix mapping. So all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97748816

 $00:29:35.020 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.720$  those, folks on the mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.97748816

 $00:29:36.780 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.300$  of expertise in the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.97748816 00:29:38.300 --> 00:29:38.800 days

NOTE Confidence: 0.9322432

 $00:29:39.260 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.240$  helped share,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99354666

 $00:29:41.100 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.640$  where they were studying mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99354666

 $00:29:42.700 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.140$  what they knew about them

NOTE Confidence: 0.99354666

 $00{:}29{:}44.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}46.000$  in a really systematic approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592264

 $00:29:46.905 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.985$  We also listened in on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592264

00:29:49.385 --> 00:29:51.145 studies being proposed that were

00:29:51.145 --> 00:29:52.445 being discussed in these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98360884

 $00{:}29{:}52.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}54.445$  workshops, and we qualitatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873519

 $00:29:54.825 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.765$  extracted challenges to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994027

 $00:29:57.225 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.685$  doing mechanism evaluations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863844

 $00{:}29{:}59.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}01.250$  We hosted breakout sessions at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863844

 $00:30:01.250 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.290$  SERC. So some of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863844

 $00:30:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.410$  might even been in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863844

 $00:30:03.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.590$  rooms where we had facilitated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863844

 $00{:}30{:}05.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}07.010$  conversations, and I know some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863844

00:30:07.010 --> 00:30:08.210 of our facilitators are on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863844

 $00{:}30{:}08.210 --> 00{:}30{:}09.970$  the call today. So grateful

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863844

 $00:30:09.970 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.650$  for all you did, to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863844

00:30:11.650 --> 00:30:13.330 solicit information as one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863844

 $00:30:13.330 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.684$  these inputs. And then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863844

00:30:14.684 --> 00:30:16.924 actually coded abstracts at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863844

00:30:16.924 --> 00:30:18.065 particular conference.

 $00:30:20.125 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.804$  And this this was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9770582

 $00:30:21.804 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.585$  theme of the CERC conference

NOTE Confidence: 0.9770582

00:30:23.725 --> 00:30:26.065 back in twenty seventeen, actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.997603

 $00:30:27.164 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.065$  Excuse me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98209095

 $00{:}30{:}28.445 --> 00{:}30{:}28.684 \ \mathrm{And},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.92571026

 $00:30:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.679$  I should make

NOTE Confidence: 0.91936255

 $00:30:31.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.260$  come off mute to share

NOTE Confidence: 0.91936255

 $00:30:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.200$  the methods behind concept mapping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954577

00:30:34.659 --> 00:30:36.100 It's a great way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954577

 $00:30:36.100 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.000$  bring together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9698995

 $00:30:38.020 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.559$  experts to consider,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7385314

 $00:30:40.659 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.159$  discrete,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98643166

 $00:30:41.700 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.545$  pieces of information. In this

NOTE Confidence: 0.98643166

 $00:30:43.545 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.305$  case, they were considering one

NOTE Confidence: 0.98643166

 $00:30:45.305 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.805$  hundred and five unique challenges

00:30:48.105 --> 00:30:49.245 to studying implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7926846

00:30:49.625 --> 00:30:50.125 mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9829151

 $00:30:50.585 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.425$  and they were asked to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950851

 $00:30:52.825 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.485$  group them to conceptually distinct

NOTE Confidence: 0.950851

00:30:55.545 --> 00:30:57.885 piles and help us characterize

NOTE Confidence: 0.950851

 $00:30:58.105 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.605$  those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97752

 $00{:}30{:}59.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01.090$  discrete challenges. And this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97752

 $00:31:01.090 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.370$  where we landed in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.97752

 $00:31:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.750$  of a concept map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99470097

 $00:31:04.370 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.650$  And so you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.99470097

 $00:31:05.650 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.090$  the challenges to doing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.99470097

 $00:31:07.090 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.490$  work range from theoretical and

NOTE Confidence: 0.99470097

 $00:31:09.490 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.630$  causal in nature

NOTE Confidence: 0.99562204

 $00:31:11.365 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.645$  to the types of methods

NOTE Confidence: 0.99562204

 $00:31:12.645 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.184$  and designs that are needed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9547689

 $00:31:15.365 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.825$  and and and more.

 $00:31:17.445 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.885$  So these one hundred and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9923838

 $00{:}31{:}18.885 --> 00{:}31{:}19.385 \ \mathrm{five},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.95546466

 $00:31:19.924 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.145$  challenges were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9937599

 $00:31:22.085 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.825$  the springboard

NOTE Confidence: 0.962203

 $00:31:23.284 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.184$  for then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954919 00:31:24.485 --> 00:31:24.985 our

NOTE Confidence: 0.926891

 $00:31:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.380$  mechanisms research agenda.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97203285

 $00{:}31{:}27.760 \to 00{:}31{:}29.520$  Just acknowledging that during COVID,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97203285

 $00:31:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.720$  we got creative and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.97203285

 $00:31:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.160$  met on rocks and stumps

NOTE Confidence: 0.97203285

 $00:31:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.900$  in the woods

NOTE Confidence: 0.9946338

 $00:31:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.420$  to articulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996214

 $00{:}31{:}34.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}35.780$ our research agenda

NOTE Confidence: 0.98101056

 $00:31:36.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.440$  and used a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98101056

 $00:31:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.540$  sticky notes to, help

 $00:31:39.915 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.855$  clarify priorities and possibilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936506

 $00:31:42.715 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.415$  So this paper was published,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99831134

 $00:31:44.955 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.555$  just in September of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983113400:31:46.555 --> 00:31:47.055 year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9880454

 $00:31:47.355 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.035$  Another artifact of COVID is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9880454

 $00{:}31{:}49.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}50.475$  that some papers took a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9880454

00:31:50.475 --> 00:31:51.755 little bit longer to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9880454

 $00:31:51.755 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.575$  out the door,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99807805

 $00:31:53.070 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.669$  but I'm delighted to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.99807805

 $00:31:54.669 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.029$  that out there in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.99807805

00:31:56.029 --> 00:31:57.630 open access journal for folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.99807805

 $00:31:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.850$  to consider,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988308

 $00:31:59.630 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.570$  as you're thinking about implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9875964

 $00:32:01.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.370$  evaluations

NOTE Confidence: 0.99888796

 $00:32:02.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.570$  going forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.998921

 $00:32:03.950 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.990$  I'll spend just a few

 $00:32:04.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.669$  minutes then on what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.998921

00:32:06.669 --> 00:32:08.049 featured in that paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96796894

 $00:32:08.804 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.404$  And so how we organize

NOTE Confidence: 0.96796894

 $00:32:10.404 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.384$  things was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97583133

 $00:32:11.924 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.605$  grouping them into what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.97583133

 $00:32:13.605 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.585$  felt like were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919214

 $00:32:15.125 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.284$  conceptually sort of distinct areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919214

00:32:17.284 --> 00:32:17.945 of priority.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826239

00:32:18.404 --> 00:32:19.684 And then within each of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826239

 $00:32:19.684 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.125$  those areas of priority, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826239

00:32:21.125 --> 00:32:22.504 had at least two goals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99922895

 $00:32:23.284 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.024$  and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.96815646

00:32:24.409 --> 00:32:25.870 we tried to make things

NOTE Confidence: 0.96815646

 $00:32:25.929 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.929$  concrete and actionable. So we

NOTE Confidence: 0.96815646

 $00:32:27.929 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.070$  called these possibilities,

 $00:32:29.370 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.909$  sort of action possibilities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99165994

 $00:32:31.610 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.890$  And so there's a hundred

NOTE Confidence: 0.99165994

 $00:32:32.890 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.750$  and fifty one action possibilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98167247

00:32:35.370 --> 00:32:36.750 for studying implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848181

 $00:32:37.795 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.955$  mechanisms moving forward, and I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848181

 $00:32:39.955 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.235$  give you some examples of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848181

 $00:32:41.235 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.735$  those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99939257

 $00:32:42.435 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.715$  So one of the priority

NOTE Confidence: 0.99939257

 $00:32:43.715 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.215$  areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879871

 $00:32:44.595 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.195$  is accumulating knowledge within and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879871

00:32:46.195 --> 00:32:47.975 across disciplines. So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9417976

 $00:32:48.915 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.535$  there you know, this included

NOTE Confidence: 0.9417976

 $00{:}32{:}50.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52.799$  nineteen actions actions that featured

NOTE Confidence: 0.9417976

 $00:32:52.799 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.299$  specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314054

 $00:32:53.679 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.460$  systematic reviews and meta analyses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314054

 $00:32:55.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.900$  When we think about mechanisms,

 $00:32:57.600 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.200$  the questions we hope scholars

NOTE Confidence: 0.9790792

 $00:32:59.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.740$  will answer are things like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9790792

 $00:33:01.039 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.780$  do mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327524

 $00:33:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.299$  operate universally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9450584

 $00:33:03.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.220$  or is there variation across

NOTE Confidence: 0.9450584

 $00:33:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.865$  context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975533

 $00:33:06.585 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.625$  that we need to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975533

 $00:33:07.625 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.184$  aware of?

NOTE Confidence: 0.943027

 $00:33:09.545 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.145$  Another priority area is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97788656

00:33:11.625 --> 00:33:14.205 prioritizing mechanism research incorporating

other

NOTE Confidence: 0.97788656

 $00:33:14.265 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.965$  knowledge. So this had eleven

NOTE Confidence: 0.9546372

 $00:33:16.265 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.445$  possible actions to bring transdisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624835

 $00:33:29.679 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.179$  epidemiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99156094

 $00:33:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.054$  psychology, and various other disciplines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99156094

 $00:33:33.054 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.514$  And so there are named

 $00:33:34.575 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.095$  possible actions for how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99156094

 $00:33:36.095 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.315$  do that type of work

NOTE Confidence: 0.99958664

 $00:33:37.615 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.735$  in the table featured in

NOTE Confidence: 0.99958664

 $00:33:38.735 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.475$  that paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9710493

 $00{:}33{:}40.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42.014$  We another priority area was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9710493

 $00{:}33{:}42.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}44.115$  overcome design challenges and innovate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9710493

 $00:33:44.174 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.870$  methods. There were eighteen actions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9710493

 $00:33:45.870 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.310$  here where there's really new

NOTE Confidence: 0.9710493

 $00:33:47.310 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.670$  methods needed or we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.9710493

 $00{:}33{:}48.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}50.030$  to borrow methods from other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9710493

 $00:33:50.030 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.090$  disciplines. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.970365

 $00:33:51.470 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.890$  modeling time and quantitative assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.970365

 $00{:}33{:}54.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55.810$  for isolating specific mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953662

 $00:33:56.830 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.890$  identifying underutilized

NOTE Confidence: 0.95673996

 $00:33:58.190 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.030$  methods that offer specific value,

 $00:34:00.030 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.695$  like like comparative case studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.95673996

 $00:34:01.695 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.855$  that could generate hypotheses about

NOTE Confidence: 0.95673996

 $00{:}34{:}03.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05.555$  complex mechanistic pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95456153

 $00:34:08.655 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.835$  Priority area around improving measurements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95456153

00:34:10.895 --> 00:34:12.275 So measurement of mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9362599

 $00{:}34{:}12.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}14.415$  particularly in the context where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9362599

 $00:34:14.415 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.859$  implementation scientists work is pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.99834365

 $00:34:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.880$  challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9401908

 $00:34:18.599 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.780$  This included thirteen different actions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9401908

 $00:34:20.839 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.500$  such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9908667

 $00{:}34{:}21.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}24.119$  pragmatic approaches for objective data

NOTE Confidence: 0.9908667

 $00:34:24.119 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.619$  collection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9945726

 $00:34:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.000$  those capturing lived experiences of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9945726

 $00:34:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.500$  individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98384196

 $00:34:29.295 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.055$  Then we have another priority

NOTE Confidence: 0.98384196

 $00:34:31.055 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.895$  area for providing guidance for

 $00:34:32.895 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.614$  specifying mechanisms. There were fifteen

NOTE Confidence: 0.98384196

 $00{:}34{:}35.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}37.775$  actions here reflecting mostly tools

NOTE Confidence: 0.98384196 00:34:37.775 --> 00:34:38.275 and NOTE Confidence: 0.9879905

 $00:34:38.575 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.594$  aids to improve researchers' approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879905

00:34:40.655 --> 00:34:41.954 to examining mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936869

 $00:34:42.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.360$  I, didn't share this when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936869

 $00:34:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.320$  I was reporting out on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936869

00:34:45.320 --> 00:34:47.100 the systematic review of mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936869

 $00:34:47.160 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.500$  but it was really challenging

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936869

 $00:34:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.340$  for us as a research

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936869

 $00:34:51.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.460$  team to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983824

 $00:34:53.239 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.739$  synthesize

NOTE Confidence: 0.967778

 $00{:}34{:}54.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55.800$  across those thirty nine studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.967778

 $00:34:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.120$  because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99971247

 $00:34:57.575 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.035$  most of the

 $00:34:59.734 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.114$  studies and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.99154204

 $00:35:01.575 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.654$  authors conceptualize mechanisms in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.99154204

 $00{:}35{:}03.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05.494$  ways and conceptualize the causal

NOTE Confidence: 0.99154204

 $00:35:05.494 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.875$  pathway in different ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919957

00:35:07.494 --> 00:35:08.315 And so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98571706

00:35:08.775 --> 00:35:10.214 that's just one piece of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98571706

 $00:35:10.214 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.835$  evidence or example that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99916965

00:35:12.279 --> 00:35:14.279 having some alignment or harmony

NOTE Confidence: 0.99916965

00:35:14.279 --> 00:35:15.400 around how we're thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.99916965

 $00:35:15.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.859$  this could be really helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.99916965

 $00:35:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.739$  moving forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9932388

 $00:35:18.759 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.839$  Another priority area on increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9932388

 $00:35:20.839 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.599$  the focus on theorizing. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9932388

 $00:35:22.599 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.359$  there were twelve possible actions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9932388

 $00:35:24.359 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.779$  here for developing, incorporating, refining

NOTE Confidence: 0.9585224

 $00{:}35{:}27.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}29.255$  theory and mechanistic research to

 $00:35:29.255 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.575$  better characterize mechanisms, so making

NOTE Confidence: 0.9585224

00:35:31.575 --> 00:35:32.934 theory explicit even in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9585224

 $00:35:32.934 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.394$  strategy design phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9624186

 $00:35:35.255 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.535$  And this is the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.9624186

 $00:35:36.535 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.535$  group. These were emergent actions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9624186

 $00:35:38.535 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.934$  so they,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9837533

 $00:35:39.869 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.609$  were not

NOTE Confidence: 0.99968946

 $00:35:40.910 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.529$  similar to the challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.97724044 $00:35:42.829 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.230$  that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97709346

 $00:35:43.789 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.650$  fed into the concept mapping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97709346

 $00:35:45.869 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.670$  But the group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992882

 $00:35:47.069 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.089$  who articulated the research agenda

NOTE Confidence: 0.98159325

 $00{:}35{:}49.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50.750$  said just how important it

NOTE Confidence: 0.98159325

 $00:35:50.750 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.349$  was to engage the policy

NOTE Confidence: 0.98159325

00:35:52.349 --> 00:35:53.569 and practice community,

 $00:35:54.114 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.975$  twelve possible actions there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9888508

00:35:56.755 --> 00:35:57.655 for understanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.995094

 $00:35:58.355 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.135$  the perspectives of these partners,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96265936

 $00:36:00.995 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.215$  using things like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99908626

 $00:36:02.675 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.175$  implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.93133014

 $00{:}36{:}03.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05.555$  mapping, cognitive walk throughs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93133014

 $00:36:05.555 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.855$  unpack or surface,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9810028

00:36:07.599 --> 00:36:09.599 mechanisms, really needing to plain

NOTE Confidence: 0.9810028

 $00:36:09.599 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.900$  language this space

NOTE Confidence: 0.983438

 $00:36:11.359 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.739$  in order for it to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984678

 $00:36:13.359 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.280$  be engaging and informed by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984678

 $00:36:15.280 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.400$  the people who really have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984678

 $00:36:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.260$  the expertise to do so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94461286

 $00{:}36{:}20.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}22.154$  Another priority area around engaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.94461286

 $00:36:22.154 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.194$  funders and the need for

NOTE Confidence: 0.94461286

 $00:36:23.194 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.555$  funding, and so this comes

 $00:36:24.555 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.174$  from the mechanisms of expertise

NOTE Confidence: 0.94461286

 $00:36:26.394 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.135$  saying that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944687

 $00{:}36{:}27.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}29.434$  there are seventeen actions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944687

 $00:36:29.434 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.795$  could be happening in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944687

 $00:36:30.795 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.295$  space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99018234

 $00:36:31.914 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.674$  to leverage or stand up

NOTE Confidence: 0.99018234

 $00:36:33.674 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.494$  new opportunities

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898502

 $00:36:35.180 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.560$  and then building capacity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9721595

 $00:36:36.860 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.360$  So, seventeen actions offering

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884987

00:36:39.739 --> 00:36:41.120 clarification or guidance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845103

 $00:36:41.739 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.180$  and avenues to build the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845103

 $00:36:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.160$  field's capacity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98542666

 $00:36:44.620 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.300$  And then finally, just this

NOTE Confidence: 0.98542666

 $00:36:46.300 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.840$  huge emphasis on dissemination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95082724 00:36:48.140 --> 00:36:48.640 So,

 $00:36:49.185 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.785$  several goals listed for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9482197

 $00{:}36{:}50.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52.545$  seventeen actions in the table

NOTE Confidence: 0.9482197

 $00:36:52.545 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.905$  and the paper. There are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9482197

00:36:53.905 --> 00:36:56.145 specific manuscript ideas that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9922611

 $00:36:56.705 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.665$  if you happen to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9922611

00:36:57.665 --> 00:36:59.205 looking for a paper topic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9922611

 $00:36:59.344 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.465$  they're in there for you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9922611

 $00:37:00.465 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.125$  to consider,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92088866

 $00{:}37{:}01.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03.829$  but also suggestions around forums

NOTE Confidence: 0.92088866

 $00:37:03.969 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.109$  to host dialogue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987026

00:37:06.609 --> 00:37:07.809 you know, ways to engage

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987026

 $00:37:07.809 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.309$  journal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510575

 $00:37:08.609 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.349$  editors, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97393596

 $00:37:09.650 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.489$  failing faster with wisdom was

NOTE Confidence: 0.97393596

 $00:37:11.489 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.549$  a paper type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96096194

 $00{:}37{:}13.089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}15.010$  that the mechanisms network of

 $00{:}37{:}15.010 --> 00{:}37{:}16.665$  expertise came up with. We

NOTE Confidence: 0.96096194

00:37:16.665 --> 00:37:18.285 so often missed the opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.96096194

 $00:37:18.585 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.025$  to learn from null studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96096194

 $00:37:20.025 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.025$  and couldn't we just make

NOTE Confidence: 0.96096194

 $00:37:22.025 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.785$  that an explicit priority, for

NOTE Confidence: 0.96096194

00:37:23.785 --> 00:37:24.285 example?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863403

 $00:37:25.225 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.725$  So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99174416

 $00:37:26.425 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.885$  true to form, our mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.99174416

 $00:37:27.945 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.880$  network of expertise couldn't stop

NOTE Confidence: 0.99174416

 $00:37:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.480$  with just articulating the research

NOTE Confidence: 0.99174416

 $00:37:31.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.680$  agenda. Many of them have

NOTE Confidence: 0.99174416

 $00:37:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.119$  written papers that address some

NOTE Confidence: 0.99174416

00:37:34.119 --> 00:37:35.079 of the items on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99174416

00:37:35.079 --> 00:37:37.000 research agenda. So we stood

NOTE Confidence: 0.99174416

 $00:37:37.000 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.680$  up a special collection across

 $00:37:38.680 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.420$  the two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851382

 $00:37:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.359$  sibling journals, implementation science and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851382

 $00:37:42.359 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.900$  implementation science communications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732473

00:37:44.405 --> 00:37:45.844 It's an open special collection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732473

 $00:37:45.844 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.805$  So if you're doing work

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732473

 $00:37:46.805 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.844$  in this space, we hope

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732473

 $00:37:47.844 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.505$  you'll consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.99525744

 $00:37:48.964 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.645$  selecting that special collection from

NOTE Confidence: 0.99525744

 $00{:}37{:}50.645 --> 00{:}37{:}51.765$  the drop down menu and

NOTE Confidence: 0.99525744

 $00:37:51.765 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.704$  submitting to it directly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9556558

00:37:55.204 --> 00:37:56.805 And I wanted to feature

NOTE Confidence: 0.9556558

 $00:37:56.805 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.005$  an article that came out

NOTE Confidence: 0.9556558

 $00:37:58.005 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.370$  last month by the editors

NOTE Confidence: 0.84680915

 $00:38:00.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.410$  and associate editors, several of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84680915

 $00:38:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.150$  them from those two

NOTE Confidence: 0.85331005

 $00:38:03.450 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.650$  journals where they update the

 $00:38:04.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.150$  scope,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948437

 $00:38:05.690 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.150$  of those two journals. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.948437

 $00:38:07.210 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.510$  I I had to highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.948437

 $00:38:08.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.790$  that among the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9707436

 $00:38:10.250 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.030$  items included in the abstract

NOTE Confidence: 0.9707436

 $00:38:12.090 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.370$  was the import of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9707436

00:38:13.370 --> 00:38:13.870 study

NOTE Confidence: 0.98068404

 $00:38:14.424 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.085$  of of implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994266

 $00:38:15.704 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.204$  mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960953

00:38:17.145 --> 00:38:18.184 I'll wrap up with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960953

 $00:38:18.184 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.005$  few NHLBI

NOTE Confidence: 0.9629644

00:38:19.545 --> 00:38:20.045 specific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910507

 $00:38:20.664 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.184$  items for you. So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9414689

 $00:38:22.585 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.545$  as you can see from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9414689

 $00:38:23.545 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.005$  my background, I sit,

00:38:25.704 --> 00:38:26.605 within NHLBI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132664

 $00{:}38{:}27.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}28.940$  specifically the Center for Translation

NOTE Confidence: 0.92132664

 $00:38:29.000 \dashrightarrow 00:38:30.859$  Research and Implementation Science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99884033

 $00:38:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.600$  And over the last year

NOTE Confidence: 0.99884033

 $00:38:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.040$  or so, we've been wrapping

NOTE Confidence: 0.99884033

 $00:38:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.160$  our arms around a ten

NOTE Confidence: 0.99884033

 $00:38:35.160 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.900$  year investment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99250054

 $00:38:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.260$  in implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.98604023

 $00{:}38{:}37.640 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}38{:}39.800$  science and making sense of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98604023

 $00:38:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.619$  our institute's

NOTE Confidence: 0.99138707

 $00:38:40.994 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.855$  contributions and that of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.99138707 00:38:42.914 --> 00:38:43.414 ICs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9892333

00:38:43.875 --> 00:38:45.394 in the National Institutes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9892333

00:38:45.394 --> 00:38:46.755 Health. And what you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9892333

 $00:38:46.755 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.714$  see on the screen on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9892333

 $00:38:47.714 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.174$  the left here is that

 $00:38:49.795 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.875$  the proportion of NIH awards

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596692

00:38:51.875 --> 00:38:53.015 has grown substantially

NOTE Confidence: 0.99966145

 $00:38:53.394 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.180$  over the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.99824095

 $00:38:54.660 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.020$  ten years or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91890746

 $00:38:56.739 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.920$  which is very exciting. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.91890746

00:38:59.059 --> 00:38:59.559 NHLBI

NOTE Confidence: 0.96424073

 $00:38:59.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.239$  holds thirteen percent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996586

 $00:39:02.020 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.559$  share of all NIH

NOTE Confidence: 0.95529866

 $00:39:03.859 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.180$  implementation science awards with fourth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95529866

 $00:39:06.500 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.960$  overall for total costs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863414

 $00:39:08.260 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.000$  It's an exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9785142

 $00:39:09.300 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.555$  trajectory to see. And then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9785142

 $00:39:10.555 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.175$  when we look within NHLBI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99412745

 $00:39:12.955 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.575$  that trajectory of increase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988761

 $00:39:15.355 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.215$  is also evident. However,

 $00:39:18.235 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.435$  I think you'll find it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9810629

00:39:19.435 --> 00:39:21.195 staggering as do I that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9810629

 $00:39:21.515 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.255$  the percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.99351484

 $00:39:22.635 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.200$  of our total cost for

NOTE Confidence: 0.99351484

 $00:39:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.960$  competing awards is about two

NOTE Confidence: 0.99351484

 $00:39:25.960 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.460$  percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975185

 $00:39:26.839 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.900$  focused on implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.99882996

 $00:39:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.200$  science. So it's not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.99882996

 $00:39:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.260$  the study of implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.97467345

 $00:39:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.160$  mechanisms that we need. It's

NOTE Confidence: 0.97467345

 $00{:}39{:}33.160 --> 00{:}39{:}33.660 \ \mathrm{implementation}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952752

 $00:39:33.960 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.319$  science more broadly, and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952752

00:39:35.319 --> 00:39:37.315 hope you'll consider targeting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996488

 $00:39:37.775 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.275$  NHLBI

NOTE Confidence: 0.99884933

 $00:39:38.575 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.535$  with some of your good

NOTE Confidence: 0.99884933

 $00:39:39.535 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.434$  grant ideas,

 $00:39:41.055 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.094$  in the coming months and

NOTE Confidence: 0.99981695

 $00:39:42.094 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.594$  years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93748283

 $00:39:43.135 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.815$  So as I mentioned, just

NOTE Confidence: 0.93748283

 $00:39:44.815 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.255$  this week, the new program

NOTE Confidence: 0.93748283

 $00{:}39{:}46.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}47.855$  announcement has been released. I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.93748283

00:39:47.855 --> 00:39:48.895 just showing you the r

NOTE Confidence: 0.93748283

 $00:39:48.895 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.530$  l one for for investigator

NOTE Confidence: 0.93748283

 $00:39:50.589 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.830$  initiated awards here, PAR twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.93748283

 $00:39:52.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.869$  five one hundred and forty

NOTE Confidence: 0.93748283

00:39:53.869 --> 00:39:54.369 four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731035

 $00:39:54.670 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.750$  Again, US, US with foreign

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731035

 $00:39:56.750 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.369$  components, and foreign investigators

NOTE Confidence: 0.9600744

 $00{:}39{:}58.670 --> 00{:}39{:}59.890$  can submit to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811396

 $00:40:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.790$  And we're here for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811396

 $00:40:01.790 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.885$  Right? So the our job

 $00:40:03.885 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.645$  is to be available for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811396

 $00{:}40{:}05.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}07.165$  technical assistance is what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811396

 $00:40:07.165 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.525$  call it, to help you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811396

 $00:40:08.525 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.045$  think through the ideas, their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811396

00:40:10.045 --> 00:40:11.825 alignment with the various institutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962391

 $00{:}40{:}12.765 \to 00{:}40{:}14.525$  and responsiveness to the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962391

 $00{:}40{:}14.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}15.985$  announcements such as this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939102

 $00:40:16.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.180$  Donna shared that we're celebrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939102

00:40:18.180 --> 00:40:19.080 our tenth anniversary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.997289

 $00:40:19.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.500$  There's a lot of red

NOTE Confidence: 0.997289

 $00{:}40{:}20.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}21.480$  around me today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9846654

 $00:40:21.780 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.380$  It's a hybrid event. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9846654

 $00:40:23.380 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.660$  if you're interested, send me

NOTE Confidence: 0.9846654

 $00:40:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.400$  an email.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98039913

 $00:40:25.940 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.980$  There is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.98039913

 $00:40:26.980 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.960$  a cap, unfortunately,

 $00:40:28.340 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.224$  just given size of rooms

NOTE Confidence: 0.99145585

00:40:30.224 --> 00:40:31.984 and ability to host virtually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99145585

 $00:40:31.984 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.184$  but you'll email me, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.99145585

 $00:40:33.184 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.385$  I'll I'll help you find

NOTE Confidence: 0.99145585

 $00:40:34.385 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.045$  your way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9829419

00:40:35.664 --> 00:40:36.484 And lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962696

 $00:40:37.265 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.785$  we're hiring, and there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.962696

00:40:38.785 --> 00:40:40.464 several opportunities if you're an

NOTE Confidence: 0.962696

 $00:40:40.464 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.964$  implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960757

 $00:40:41.344 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.260$  scientist looking to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960757

 $00:40:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.540$  seat at the table and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960757

 $00:40:44.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.680$  contribute to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92928493 00:40:46.300 --> 00:40:46.780 the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968532

 $00:40:47.260 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.719$  strategic vision implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991364

 $00:40:49.020 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.300$  plan for this work at

 $00:40:50.300 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.800$  NHLBI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9946925

 $00:40:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.420$  And if you wanna stay

NOTE Confidence: 0.9946925

 $00:40:53.420 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.200$  abreast of when those opportunities

NOTE Confidence: 0.9946925

00:40:55.260 --> 00:40:56.960 emerge, follow us on LinkedIn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91292995

 $00:40:58.445 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.285$  NIH largely has moved away

NOTE Confidence: 0.91292995

 $00:41:00.285 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.285$  from Twitter and accent is

NOTE Confidence: 0.91292995

00:41:02.285 --> 00:41:03.805 finding our new social media

NOTE Confidence: 0.91292995

 $00:41:03.805 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.864$  home in LinkedIn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9852468

 $00{:}41{:}05.245 {\: -->\:} 00{:}41{:}07.165$  and this is the, Citrus

NOTE Confidence: 0.9852468

 $00:41:07.165 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.005$  official page here. So on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9852468

 $00:41:09.005 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.440$  that note, thank you so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9852468

 $00:41:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.719$  much for hanging in there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9852468

 $00:41:11.719 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.080$  with me. It's a super

NOTE Confidence: 0.9852468

00:41:13.080 --> 00:41:14.760 nerdy topic. Hopefully, I made

NOTE Confidence: 0.9852468

 $00:41:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.680$  it accessible, but now is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9852468

00:41:16.680 --> 00:41:17.820 our chance to dialogue.

00:41:19.871 --> 00:41:21.710 Yeah. Thanks very much, Kara.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92933947

 $00{:}41{:}21.710 \longrightarrow 00{:}41{:}22.991$  That was a really excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.92933947

 $00:41:22.991 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.491$  comprehensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9358424

 $00:41:23.951 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.390$  talk that covered a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.9358424

 $00:41:25.390 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.231$  of the key points, so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9358424

 $00:41:27.231 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.576$  we really appreciate it.