WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:54:38.7400000"

NOTE recognizability:0.839

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00:00:00.000 \dashrightarrow 00:00:03.768$ Today is my great pleasure and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00:00:03.770 \rightarrow 00:00:06.050$ to introduce to this speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

00:00:06.050 --> 00:00:08.210 doctor Susan Annenberg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00{:}00{:}08{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}11{.}180$ Susan is associate professor and chair

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00{:}00{:}11.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.021$ of the Department of Environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00:00:14.021 \rightarrow 00:00:17.706$ and Occupational Health in the George

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00:00:17.706 \rightarrow 00:00:19.966$ Washington University and she's also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00{:}00{:}19.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.576$ the current director of the DW PAN and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

00:00:23.576 --> 00:00:27.250 Health Initiative Institute and Doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00{:}00{:}27.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.885$ August Research focus on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00{:}00{:}28.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.193$ health implications of air

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

00:00:30.193 --> 00:00:31.530 pollution and climate change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00:00:31.530 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.836$ from both local to global skills.

 $00:00:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.964$ And we talk a lot about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00:00:36.964 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.026$ like policy implications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

00:00:38.030 --> 00:00:40.490 And Doctor Annenberg is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00:00:40.490 \rightarrow 00:00:43.436$ the true pioneer of making the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00:00:43.436 \rightarrow 00:00:45.876$ science of this policy relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00{:}00{:}45.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.344$ So she serves on the US EPA Science

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00:00:49.344 \dashrightarrow 00:00:52.110$ Advisory Board and the Clean Air

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00{:}00{:}52.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54.816$ Act Advisory Committee and The Who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

00:00:54.906 --> 00:00:57.681 Global air pollution and Health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00{:}00{:}57.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}00.456$ Technical Advisory Group and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

00:01:00.460 --> 00:01:02.495 National Academy of Sciences Committee

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00:01:02.495 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.060$ to advise the US Global change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

00:01:05.060 --> 00:01:05.962 Research programs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00:01:05.962 \rightarrow 00:01:08.668$ She also serves as currently the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00{:}01{:}08.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.435$ President of the Jail House section

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667

 $00:01:11.435 \dashrightarrow 00:01:13.670$ of the American Geophysical Union.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667
- $00{:}01{:}13.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.850$ So without first deal,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8253637866666667
- $00:01:14.850 \rightarrow 00:01:16.030$ let's welcome those energy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668
- 00:01:18.890 --> 00:01:20.630 And for being here today,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668
- $00{:}01{:}20.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}22.695$ I really appreciate you taking the time
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668
- $00:01:22.695 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.910$ out of your days to to be here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668
- 00:01:24.910 --> 00:01:27.035 So I'm Susan Annenberg from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668
- 00:01:27.035 --> 00:01:28.310 George Washington University,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668
- $00:01:28.310 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.530$ and I will be talking today
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668
- 00:01:30.530 --> 00:01:32.010 about linking climate change,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668
- $00{:}01{:}32{.}010$ --> $00{:}01{:}33{.}738$ air pollution and human health and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668
- 00:01:33.738 --> 00:01:35.290 bridging science to the policy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668
- 00:01:35.290 --> 00:01:36.900 which is really what I'm
- NOTE Confidence: 0.821119668
- 00:01:36.900 --> 00:01:38.188 very passionate about doing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6007091466666667
- $00{:}01{:}43.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}44.668$ Shape that, people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- 00:01:47.090 --> 00:01:49.950 OK, so before I start,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:01:49.950 --> 00:01:51.520 let me just say that a lot of the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}01{:}51{.}565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}53{.}221$ that I'm going to show today is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}01{:}53.221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}54.668$ standing on the shoulders of giants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:01:54.670 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.931$ This is work that would not be

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:01:56.931 --> 00:01:58.992 possible without the people who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}01{:}58{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}01{.}258$ spent many years that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:02:01.258 --> 00:02:03.034 detecting associations between air

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:03.034 \rightarrow 00:02:04.810$ pollutants and health outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:02:04.810 --> 00:02:06.406 developing air pollution exposure

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}02{:}06{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08{.}800$ datasets that are open and publicly

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}02{:}08.867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10.487$ available for others to use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}02{:}10.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}11.685$ And I appreciate the efforts

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}02{:}11.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}13.522$ of many people in this room and

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:13.522 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.710$ contributing to that science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:14.710 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.860$ And this really makes the bridging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:17.860 \rightarrow 00:02:20.410$ From science to the policy possible

- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:02:20.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.611$ by creating these datasets and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00{:}02{:}22{.}611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}24{.}726$ associations that others can use.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00{:}02{:}24.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.992$ But based on the information that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:02:26.992 \rightarrow 00:02:29.158$ we have from Epidemia epidemiology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:02:29.158 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.907$ and exposure science,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:02:30.910 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.824$ we know that air pollution continues
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:02:32.824 \rightarrow 00:02:34.914$ to be a leading health risk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:02:34.914 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.794$ factor in nearly all countries.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:02:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.520$ Is currently considered to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:02:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.240$ the 4th leading risk factor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:02:40.300 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.929$ affecting global mortality.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00{:}02{:}41{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}43{.}805$ That's not the 4th leading
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:02:43.805 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.930$ environmental risk factor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00{:}02{:}44.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.870$ That's the 4th leading overall risk factor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00{:}02{:}47.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}49.998$ And and really indicates that air pollution
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}02{:}49{.}998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52{.}793$ needs to be central on the global health

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:52.793 \rightarrow 00:02:54.618$ agenda for improving people's health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:54.620 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.811$ And if you look at the diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:02:56.811 \rightarrow 00:02:58.320$ that air pollution impacts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}02{:}58{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}01{.}029$ it is not a small fraction of these diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:01.029 \rightarrow 00:03:03.417$ that air pollution is responsible for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.684$ I mean this is a, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:05.684 \rightarrow 00:03:07.916 40\%$ of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

00:03:07.920 --> 00:03:08.841 20% of diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}03{:}08{.}841 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11{.}344$ 20% of ischemic heart disease and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}03{:}11{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13{.}720$ read the rest of the the percentages there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.844$ So this is a preventable risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:15.844 \rightarrow 00:03:18.550$ factor that it is responsible for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00{:}03{:}18.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}19.962$ Millions of premature deaths

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:19.962 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.727$ globally and a very large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

 $00:03:21.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.600$ very substantial fraction of the incidence

- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:03:25.600 \dashrightarrow 00:03:29.250$ of these diseases around the world.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00{:}03{:}29{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31{.}422$ And we also know that climate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- 00:03:31.422 > 00:03:32.870 change worsens air pollution.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:03:32.870 \rightarrow 00:03:34.895$ So climate change is contributing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:03:34.895 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.110$ to worsening ozone,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- 00:03:36.110 --> 00:03:38.030 increased wildfire smoke,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- 00:03:38.030 --> 00:03:39.310 increased dust,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:03:39.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.876$ worsened allergy conditions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:03:40.876 \rightarrow 00:03:42.964$ and even potentially impacting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- 00:03:42.964 --> 00:03:44.530 airborne infectious diseases,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:03:44.530 \rightarrow 00:03:46.930$ both the spread and the severity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00{:}03{:}46{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}48{.}530$ of airborne infectious diseases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:03:48.530 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.745$ So air pollution and climate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- 00:03:50.745 --> 00:03:52.517 change are highly interlinked.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:03:52.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.067$ This is just one of the ways
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556

- $00:03:54.067 \rightarrow 00:03:54.730$ that they're interlinked,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- 00:03:54.730 --> 00:03:55.996 and we're going to talk about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:03:55.996 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.840$ some of the others.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:03:56.840 \rightarrow 00:03:59.186$ But climate change is now worsening.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:03:59.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.605$ Air pollution making it harder
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:04:00.605 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.300$ for us to protect the air,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:04:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.625$ making it healthy for people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:04:04.625 \rightarrow 00:04:06.485$ to breathe and and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00{:}04{:}06{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09{.}030$ One of the ways that we one of the most
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:04:09.099 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.403$ prominent effects of climate change on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:04:11.403 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.990$ air pollution is now wildfire smoke.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:04:13.990 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.694$ So I just want to look at some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00{:}04{:}15.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}17.359$ of the most recent work that I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- 00:04:17.359 --> 00:04:19.842 was a part of looking at PM 2.5,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:04:19.842 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.608$ you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:04:20.610 \rightarrow 00:04:22.158$ very fine particle concentrations

- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- 00:04:22.158 --> 00:04:23.706 across the United States,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00{:}04{:}23.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25.866$ the Eastern US and then the Western
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00{:}04{:}25.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28.681$ US and we see across the the last
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:04:28.681 \rightarrow 00:04:31.050$ couple decades for the United States,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- 00:04:31.050 --> 00:04:32.738 PM 2.5 concentrations have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:04:32.738 \rightarrow 00:04:34.004$ been declining substantially.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:04:34.010 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.719$ That's a huge public health win and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:04:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.891$ Is the result of many years of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:04:39.891 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.713$ effective regulations under the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00:04:41.713 \dashrightarrow 00:04:44.380$ Clean Air Act in the United States.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- 00:04:44.380 --> 00:04:46.420 So PM 2.5 concentrations have been
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- $00{:}04{:}46{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}48{.}151$ declining substantially and even more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.848036556
- 00:04:48.151 -> 00:04:49.716 substantially in the eastern US,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666
- $00{:}04{:}49{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}51{.}634$ where we have very strong anthropogenic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666
- $00{:}04{:}51{.}634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53{.}250$ emissions that have been controlled
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:04:53.250 \rightarrow 00:04:55.273$ from our power plants and our vehicles

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}04{:}55{.}273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57{.}047$ over the last couple of decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:04:57.050 \dashrightarrow 00:04:58.835$ And we've seen this very dramatic decrease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:04:58.840 --> 00:05:00.600 Again, 2.5 crowded Eastern

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:05:00.600 --> 00:05:02.800 US in the western US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}05{:}02{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04{.}915$ we have a different story here with a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588574716666666

 $00:05:04.915 \rightarrow 00:05:07.247$ of interannual variability in those PM 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:07.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.362$ 25 concentrations in the last 5-10 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}05{:}10.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}12.798$ and that's driven by wild fire smoke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.676$ If you draw a line through this

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:14.676 \rightarrow 00:05:16.130$ very large interannual variability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588574716666666

 $00{:}05{:}16.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18.265$ you see that PM 2.5 concentrations are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588574716666666

 $00:05:18.265 \rightarrow 00:05:19.988$ actually increasing in the Western US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.378$ despite the very effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}05{:}21{.}378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23{.}460$ regulations that we have on power

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:23.527 \rightarrow 00:05:25.437$ plants and industry and vehicles.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666
- $00:05:25.440 \dashrightarrow 00:05:27.112$ And that different disparate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666
- $00:05:27.112 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.620$ picture between the western US and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666
- $00:05:29.691 \rightarrow 00:05:31.854$ the eastern US is driving what we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666
- $00:05:31.854 \rightarrow 00:05:34.200$ see here for the US on average,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8588574716666666
- $00{:}05{:}34{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37{.}060$ that we actually see that the PM 2.5
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666
- $00{:}05{:}37{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39{.}100$ concentrations are beginning to flatten out.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666
- $00:05:39.100 \rightarrow 00:05:40.582$ They're not declining to the same
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666
- $00:05:40.582 \rightarrow 00:05:42.100$ degree as they have been for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8588574716666666
- $00:05:42.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.300$ the past couple of decades.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666
- $00:05:43.300 \rightarrow 00:05:45.208$ We're actually seeing that they're starting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666
- $00:05:45.208 \rightarrow 00:05:47.309$ to stagnate in the coming decades.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666
- $00:05:47.310 \rightarrow 00:05:48.878$ We might actually start to see that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666
- $00:05:48.878 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.050$ they're starting to rise again.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666
- $00{:}05{:}50{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}51{.}779$ And this makes it more difficult for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666
- $00{:}05{:}51{.}779 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53{.}564$ us to attain our national ambient
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8588574716666666

 $00:05:53.564 \rightarrow 00:05:56.004$ air quality standards for PM 2.5

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}05{:}56{.}004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58{.}789$ because of this climate induced

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:05:58.789 \rightarrow 00:06:01.809$ change and wildfire smoke keeping

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:01.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.154$ PM 2.5 concentrations high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}06{:}03{.}154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06{.}070$ I had the honor of working with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}06{:}06{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08{.}155$ US Environmental Protection Agency on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588574716666666

 $00:06:08.155 \rightarrow 00:06:10.741$ their climate change impacts and risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:10.741 \rightarrow 00:06:12.586$ analysis project, their Sierra project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}06{:}12.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.910$ I used to actually work at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:06:14.979 --> 00:06:16.501 EPA from 2010 to 2014,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}06{:}16{.}501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}18{.}186$ and when I was there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588574716666666

 $00:06:18.190 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.465$ we were starting this project to quantify

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588574716666666

 $00{:}06{:}20.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.040$ the different damages of climate

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.930$ change on life in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:23.930 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.660$ and that includes air pollution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:25.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.484$ but it also includes a lot of other

 $00:06:27.484 \rightarrow 00:06:29.347$ things like labor and extreme temperature

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:29.347 \dashrightarrow 00:06:30.703$ mortality and coastal property

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}06{:}30{.}703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}33{.}390$ and roads and back at that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:33.390 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.326$ the only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:06:34.326 --> 00:06:37.134 Estimate of how climate change impacted

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:37.134 \rightarrow 00:06:39.396$ air pollution and therefore damages

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:39.396 \rightarrow 00:06:43.130$ through human health in the US was via ozone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}06{:}43.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47.018$ So temperature worsens ozone and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}06{:}47.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49.610$ contributes to premature mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:49.610 \rightarrow 00:06:53.711$ And you can see that that back in about 2014,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:06:53.711 - 00:06:54.112 2015,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588574716666666

 $00{:}06{:}54.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56.518$ air quality was the 4th largest

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:06:56.518 \dashrightarrow 00:06:59.213$ damage of climate change in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}06{:}59{.}213 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01{.}029$ United States once valued.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}07{:}01.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03.028$ But we really recognized, you know,

 $00:07:03.030 \rightarrow 00:07:04.812$ we also think that climate change

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:07:04.812 --> 00:07:05.406 is influencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:07:05.410 --> 00:07:05.727 Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}07{:}05{.}727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08{.}263$ 2.5 and PM 2.5 has a very strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:08.263 \rightarrow 00:07:10.199$ relationship with premature mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}07{:}10.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.096$ So if we were able to quantify the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:07:12.096 --> 00:07:13.938 impacts of climate change on PM 2.5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:07:13.940 --> 00:07:15.332 in addition to ozone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:15.332 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.960$ we likely would get an A large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.152$ potentially a larger number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:19.152 \dashrightarrow 00:07:21.340$ We would likely get a different number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588574716666666

 $00:07:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.549$ Back in 2015,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}07{:}22.549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.967$ climate models were still very uncertain

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:24.967 \dashrightarrow 00:07:27.598$ about where the precipitation happens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588574716666666

00:07:27.600 -> 00:07:29.412 what's going to happen to PM

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}07{:}29{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}30{.}620$ 2.5 in different locations.

 $00:07:30.620 \rightarrow 00:07:33.476$ And that still remains a big uncertainty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00{:}07{:}33.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35.262$ But we do know that climate

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:35.262 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.153$ change is driving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588574716666666

00:07:36.160 --> 00:07:39.180 Quote UN quote natural sources of PM 2.5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8588574716666666

 $00{:}07{:}39{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40{.}460$ which are no longer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

00:07:40.460 --> 00:07:43.036 I think can no longer be considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:43.036 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.650$ fully natural anymore because

NOTE Confidence: 0.858857471666666

 $00:07:44.650 \rightarrow 00:07:46.855$ climate change is impacting them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:07:46.860 \dashrightarrow 00:07:49.898$ So dust exposure in the southwest US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:07:49.900 --> 00:07:52.596 Wildfire PM 2.5, which we just talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}07{:}52.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}54.752$ So I partnered with the EPA and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}07{:}54.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57.034$ number of other scientists and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}07{:}57{.}034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59{.}129$ quantified the potential damages of

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}07{:}59{.}129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}01{.}624$ climate change on dust exposure and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}08{:}01{.}624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04{.}102$ therefore premature mortality in the US

 $00:08:04.102 \rightarrow 00:08:06.934$ and same with wildfire smoke exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:06.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.200$ And we valued that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:08:08.200 --> 00:08:11.446 And we came up with about \$47 billion a

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:08:11.446 --> 00:08:13.581 year from climate induced contributions

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}08{:}13.581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.648$ to dust exposure and its effects on

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}08{:}16.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18.783$ premature mortality and about \$25

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:18.790 \dashrightarrow 00:08:21.226$ billion a year for wild fire smoke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:21.230 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.838$ And if you add those together

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}08{:}22.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}25.034$ with the ozone impact that we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:25.034 \rightarrow 00:08:25.748$ previously quantified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}08{:}25.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}28.344$ we see that air pollution is one

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:28.344 \rightarrow 00:08:30.786$ of the largest damages of climate

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:30.786 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.148$ change in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}08{:}33{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35{.}194$ And this is an estimate that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:35.194 \rightarrow 00:08:37.170$ think is likely to grow, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}08{:}37{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}38{.}990$ We underestimated this impact due to the

 $00:08:38.990 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.757$ methods that were available at the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.923$ so I think this number is likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:42.923 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.850$ to get larger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:43.850 \rightarrow 00:08:45.902$ Another reason it's underestimating

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}08{:}45{.}902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48{.}467$ the damages of climate change

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:08:48.467 --> 00:08:50.950 on air pollution is because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}08{:}50{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53{.}148$ We can't just add together the impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:53.148 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.754$ of heat on mortality and the impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:08:55.754 --> 00:08:57.749 of air pollution on mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:08:57.750 \rightarrow 00:09:00.330$ These actually have synergistic effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}09{:}00{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}02.466$ So the total impact of increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}09{:}02{.}466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04{.}275$ heat and increased air pollution

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}09{:}04.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06.803$ is more than the sum of its parts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:09:06.810 --> 00:09:08.610 In the previous slide I just showed you

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}09{:}08.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10.422$ we were only capturing the impact of

 $00:09:10.422 \rightarrow 00:09:12.410$ each of these risk factors individually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}09{:}12{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13{.}666$ not considering the others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:09:13.666 --> 00:09:15.550 But because we know that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:15.612 \rightarrow 00:09:17.240$ are these synergistic effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:17.240 \rightarrow 00:09:19.496$ we're likely missing some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:19.496 \dashrightarrow 00:09:21.690$ damages of both heat exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:21.690 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.605$ And air pollution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:22.605 \dashrightarrow 00:09:24.740$ And as more research comes out looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:24.794 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.396$ at the pollen impacts as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:09:26.400 - 00:09:28.350 I think that could be potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:28.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.120$ a factor to consider here too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.442$ So I talked about how there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}09{:}33{.}442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34{.}990$ different links between climate

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:35.054 \rightarrow 00:09:36.638$ change and air pollution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}09{:}36{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37{.}790$ We talked about this one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:37.790 \rightarrow 00:09:40.198$ how climate change can impact air pollution.

00:09:40.200 --> 00:09:42.454 Air pollution can also impact climate change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}09{:}42.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}43.918$ We have short lived climate pollutants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}09{:}43{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}45{.}990$ for example black carbon and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:45.990 \dashrightarrow 00:09:48.060$ methane that contributes to poor

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:48.136 \dashrightarrow 00:09:50.380$ air quality and warm the climate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}09{:}50{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51{.}712$ This arrow here is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:51.712 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.710$ Sorry should go from climate change

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:53.771 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.800$ to public health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}09{:}54.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}56.912$ Not that the other association between

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:09:56.912 \dashrightarrow 00:09:58.651$ climate change and air pollution

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}09{:}58.651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.819$ that I want to talk about is how

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:00.819 \rightarrow 00:10:02.997$ they share the same emission sources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.720$ Anytime we burn anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}10{:}04.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07.300$ primarily fossil fuels but also biofuels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}10{:}07{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08{.}920$ we're releasing both airplanes

 $00:10:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.135$ and greenhouse gases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}10{:}10{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11{.}953$ So if we want to address climate

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:10:11.953 --> 00:10:13.340 change and air pollution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:13.340 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.028$ we should be reducing the amount of

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}10{:}16{.}028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19{.}144$ fuel that is burned and therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:19.144 \rightarrow 00:10:21.656$ addressing those emission sources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:21.660 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.753$ What we've done so far in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:23.753 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.920$ United States by to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:10:24.920 --> 00:10:27.128 Bring down our PM 2.5 levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}10{:}27{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}28{.}774$ We've tried to break this arrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}10{:}28.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.569$ between emission sources to air pollution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:30.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.670$ So we put catalytic

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:31.670 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.770$ converters on our vehicles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00{:}10{:}32.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33.818$ We put diesel particulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

 $00:10:33.818 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.866$ filters on our trucks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571

00:10:34.870 - 00:10:36.430 scrubbers on our power plants,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571
- $00{:}10{:}36{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}38{.}212$ and these have been very effective
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571
- $00{:}10{:}38{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40{.}165$ at reducing the amount of pollution
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571
- $00{:}10{:}40.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41.589$ from these emission sources.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.791719071428571
- $00:10:41.590 \rightarrow 00:10:43.718$ But they've done nothing to this era here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00:10:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.780$ We're still continuing to make
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00:10:45.780 \rightarrow 00:10:47.428$ greenhouse gases largely unabated,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00:10:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.242$ and that climate change is contributing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00:10:49.242 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.910$ to the air pollution problem.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00{:}10{:}50{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53{.}534$ So if we want to again mitigate both
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00:10:53.534 \rightarrow 00:10:55.509$ air pollution and climate change.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- 00:10:55.510 --> 00:10:57.064 We need to be burning less stuff,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00:10:57.070 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.699$ primarily fossil fuels,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00:10:58.699 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.328$ but also biofuels.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- 00:11:00.330 --> 00:11:01.890 I have focused a lot of my work,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00:11:01.890 \rightarrow 00:11:03.230$ especially the most recent years,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:03.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.102$ on the urban context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}11{:}04{.}102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05{.}718$ and the reason for that is because

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}11{:}05{.}718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07{.}531$ a lot of cities around the world

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:07.531 \rightarrow 00:11:08.868$ are experiencing poor air quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:08.870 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.110$ This is just a map of nitrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}11{:}11{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12.530$ dioxide concentrations in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}11{:}12{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}15{.}743$ but a lot of cities around the world are

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:15.743 \rightarrow 00:11:18.135$ experiencing much greater levels of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:11:18.135 --> 00:11:21.050 pollution than we do in cities in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}11{:}21.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}23.927$ especially in cities in Africa and Asia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:23.930 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.942$ which are rapidly growing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:25.942 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.451$ These are experiencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:27.451 \rightarrow 00:11:29.540$ rising air pollution levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:11:29.540 --> 00:11:31.365 They're also, cities are also

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:11:31.365 \rightarrow 00:11:32.825$ experiencing CO2 emissions growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:11:32.830 --> 00:11:33.444 Right now,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00:11:33.444 \rightarrow 00:11:35.286$ cities are responsible for about 3/4
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00:11:35.286 \rightarrow 00:11:37.298$ of global greenhouse gas emissions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00:11:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.544$ and that's projected to rise as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00{:}11{:}39{.}544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41{.}740$ the world continues to urbanize.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00:11:41.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.050$ We also have very strong
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00:11:44.050 \rightarrow 00:11:45.436$ health inequality effects.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- 00:11:45.440 --> 00:11:47.155 So this is a map of Washington,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- 00:11:47.160 --> 00:11:48.292 DC, where I live.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00{:}11{:}48.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}50.469$ And the green colors here show the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00:11:50.469 \rightarrow 00:11:52.141$ pediatric asthma emergency department
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00:11:52.141 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.231$ visit rate for 10,000 people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00{:}11{:}54{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}56{.}550$ And the red dots show life expectancy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00{:}11{:}56{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}59{.}262$ We have about a 20 year life expectancy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- 00:11:59.262 --> 00:12:00.350 differential between neighborhoods
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463
- $00{:}12{:}00{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}02{.}800$ in the southeast quadrant of the city
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:02.800 \rightarrow 00:12:04.673$ right here versus neighborhoods in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}12{:}04.673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.893$ the northwest quadrant of the city.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:12:06.900 --> 00:12:08.705 20 year life expectancy differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:08.705 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.510$ between people that live about

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:10.573 \rightarrow 00:12:12.139$ 2 miles away from each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:12.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.850$ We also have very dramatic differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}12{:}15.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}19.177$ pediatric as thma Ed visit rate as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:12:19.180 --> 00:12:20.800 So this is just, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.840$ DC is not unique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.140$ We have problems for sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:23.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.192$ but we're not unique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:24.192 \rightarrow 00:12:26.131$ Most of the cities across the country

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}12{:}26{.}131 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}27{.}985$ are experiencing problems like this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:27.985 \rightarrow 00:12:30.360$ then we have growth growing populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}12{:}30{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32{.}236$ So right now about half the world's

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:32.236 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.680$ population lives in urban areas.

 $00:12:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.343$ That's expected to grow to about 2/3 by 2050.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:37.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.129$ And nearly all of that increase is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:40.129 \rightarrow 00:12:42.196$ anticipated to happen in African

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:12:42.196 --> 00:12:44.136 and Asian cities, where, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:44.136 \rightarrow 00:12:46.747$ pollution levels are also continuing to rise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:46.750 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.606$ So there's a lot of problems happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:49.606 \rightarrow 00:12:51.850$ simultaneously in the urban context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:51.850 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.454$ and if we were to address the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:54.454 \rightarrow 00:12:57.169$ way that our cities burn fuel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:57.170 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.452$ we likely would be able to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:12:59.452 \rightarrow 00:13:01.589$ at multiple of these problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:01.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.008$ What we what we can't see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:04.010 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.739$ we can't fix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}13{:}04{.}739 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06{.}803$ We have to be able to see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}13{:}06{.}803 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08{.}567$ pollution in order to fix it.

 $00{:}13{:}08{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}10{.}824$ Right now this is where the monitoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}13{:}10.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12.450$ happens for air pollution around

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:12.450 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.834$ the world you can see most of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:13:14.900 --> 00:13:16.930 monitors are in the US and Europe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}13{:}16{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}20{.}269$ and increasingly in China and in India.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}13{:}20{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23{.}366$ But much of the world is left uncovered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:23.370 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.316$ And even in places that look like

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:25.316 \rightarrow 00:13:26.790$ they're densely covered by monitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:13:26.790 --> 00:13:28.630 like take Washington DC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.930$ we only have 5 monitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00{:}13{:}30{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32{.}790$ looks like 4, but two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:32.790 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.792$ We only have 5 monitors for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

00:13:34.792 --> 00:13:36.590 entire city of Washington DC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:36.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.882$ so how are we supposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89560463

 $00:13:38.882 \rightarrow 00:13:40.028$ capture the inequality

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:13:40.030 \rightarrow 00:13:43.502$ and pollution levels if we if these are

 $00:13:43.502 \rightarrow 00:13:47.337$ this is our only source of information?

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:13:47.340 \rightarrow 00:13:50.020$ Luckily, we have a new source of information

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:13:50.020 \rightarrow 00:13:52.460$ which is Earth observing satellites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

00:13:52.460 --> 00:13:54.356 So NASA, the European Space Agency

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:13:54.356 \rightarrow 00:13:56.316$ and other space agencies around the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:13:56.316 \rightarrow 00:13:57.921$ world have been launching satellites

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

00:13:57.921 - > 00:13:59.887 and they are constantly taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00{:}13{:}59{.}887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01{.}779$ pictures about miseric composition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00{:}14{:}01{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04{.}517$ And we can tease out that information

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00{:}14{:}04{.}517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06{.}881$ and understand what are people exposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:06.881 \rightarrow 00:14:09.478$ to in places that have no monitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

00:14:09.480 --> 00:14:11.688 This is a map of what nitrogen dioxide

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

00:14:11.688 --> 00:14:13.660 look looks like from the Tropo ME

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00{:}14{:}13.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15.460$ sensor on the Sentinel 5P satellite

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

00:14:15.460 --> 00:14:17.390 from the European Space Agency.

 $00:14:17.390 \rightarrow 00:14:19.280$ Um, this map was created by Dan

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

00:14:19.280 --> 00:14:21.501 Goldberg and you can see where N 2 is

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

00:14:21.501 --> 00:14:23.760 the highest and the fact that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:23.760 \rightarrow 00:14:25.385$ the full geospatial coverage here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:25.390 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.126$ So we can get beyond the monitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:27.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.792$ we can get beyond the monitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00{:}14{:}28.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}30.524$ and see what people are exposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:30.524 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.944$ to all around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00{:}14{:}31{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33{.}686$ So what does satellite data look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

00:14:33.690 --> 00:14:36.364 Well, this is a daily snapshot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00{:}14{:}36{.}364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39{.}492$ satellite and O2 Tropo mean No2 nitrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:39.492 \rightarrow 00:14:41.442$ dioxide that Dan Goldberg created.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00{:}14{:}41{.}442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}44{.}568$ It's, this is now available on our website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:44.570 \rightarrow 00:14:45.848$ You can download for every day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00:14:45.850 \rightarrow 00:14:48.382$ It's automatically putting up this image

NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

 $00{:}14{:}48{.}382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51{.}030$ of No2 concentrations over the US and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00{:}14{:}51{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53{.}459$ over different regions of the US and you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00:14:53.459 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.755$ can see there's a lot of white areas,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00:14:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.021$ right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00:14:56.021 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.326$ These are where clouds are.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00:14:57.330 \rightarrow 00:14:59.248$ So the satellites can't see through clouds.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00:14:59.250 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.062$ We're still limited in that way
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00:15:01.062 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.120$ and there's also a lot of noise.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00:15:03.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.339$ This is just one snapshot per day.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00:15:05.340 \rightarrow 00:15:08.220$ The TROPONE sensor is polar orbiting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00:15:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.948$ That means it goes around the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00:15:09.948 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.504$ earth and it takes an image of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00:15:12.504 \rightarrow 00:15:14.184$ atmospheric composition at about 1:30
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00{:}15{:}14.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16.440$ PM everywhere on Earth local time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- 00:15:16.440 --> 00:15:18.519 So just the one snapshot per day,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00:15:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.158$ and this is what it produces.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333

- 00:15:20.160 --> 00:15:20.838 Pretty noisy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00:15:20.838 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.872$ But when we start to average
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00:15:22.872 \rightarrow 00:15:24.430$ over longer time periods,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00:15:24.430 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.047$ we have a lot more data and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00{:}15{:}26.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27.779$ it starts to look more smooth.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- 00:15:27.780 --> 00:15:31.947 So this is a season of data of N2
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00{:}15{:}31{.}947 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34{.}857$ concentrations over the US and then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00:15:34.857 \rightarrow 00:15:37.365$ the comparison for 2021 to 2019.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855460437333333
- $00:15:37.365 \rightarrow 00:15:41.030$ And again you can get this on our website.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:15:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.164$ So what we can do with the full
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:15:46.164 \rightarrow 00:15:47.537$ geographical coverage of satellite
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:15:47.537 \rightarrow 00:15:49.362$ data and increasingly high spatial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00{:}15{:}49{.}362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51{.}416$ resolution as well is that we can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:15:51.416 \rightarrow 00:15:53.246$ start to tease out what is happening
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:15:53.246 \rightarrow 00:15:55.576$ in all urban areas globally.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:15:55.580 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.440$ And there's about 13,000

- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:15:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.835$ urban areas globally.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00{:}15{:}58{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01{.}654$ So we can use that continuous spatial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:16:01.654 \rightarrow 00:16:04.881$ map that we get from satellite data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:16:04.881 \rightarrow 00:16:07.301$ and integrate and aggregate that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00{:}16{:}07{.}301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10{.}183$ up to the urban areas from Veronica
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:16:10.183 \dashrightarrow 00:16:12.298$ Sutherlands and Ross Mohegan and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- 00:16:12.300 --> 00:16:12.966 Danny Balashov,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:16:12.966 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.964$ who have all worked with me,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- 00:16:14.970 --> 00:16:16.710 have done this for PM 2.5,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00{:}16{:}16{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18{.}650$ for N2 and for ozone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:16:18.650 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.060$ So we now have available on a Nice
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00{:}16{:}22.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.210$ website as well interactive website
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00{:}16{:}24{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25{.}836$ the the levels of these three
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00{:}16{:}25.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}27.633$ pollutants as well as their trends
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00{:}16{:}27.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29.278$ over time and their contributions to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:29.278 \rightarrow 00:16:31.625$ the burden of disease in those cities

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}16{:}31.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33.250$ for all 13,000 cities globally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}16{:}33{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}35{.}224$ We've given the data to the health

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:35.224 \rightarrow 00:16:36.630$ Effects Institute who runs the

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}16{:}36{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38{.}142$ state of Global Air project and

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:38.142 \rightarrow 00:16:39.810$ they have published this report,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}16{:}39{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}41{.}700$ air quality and health in cities

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:41.700 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.960$ for the first time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}16{:}42{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}44{.}958$ Making the data more available for

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}16{:}44.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46.759$ cities around the world to use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:16:46.760 --> 00:16:47.441 And, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:16:47.441 --> 00:16:48.803 I think it's important to note

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:48.803 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.857$ that in most of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:49.860 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.448$ probably the vast majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:51.448 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.036$ of these 13,000 cities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:16:53.040 \rightarrow 00:16:55.038$ there is no air quality monitoring.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:16:55.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.308$ So this is the first time that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:16:57.308 \rightarrow 00:16:59.364$ there's really any estimate of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:16:59.364 \rightarrow 00:17:01.119$ pollution levels in those cities.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:17:01.120 \rightarrow 00:17:02.896$ They're likely to be very uncertain,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:17:02.900 \rightarrow 00:17:05.020$ probably wrong in a lot of different ways,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00{:}17{:}05{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}06{.}448$ but at least it's a first,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- 00:17:06.450 --> 00:17:08.580 you know, first guess at what,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:17:08.580 \rightarrow 00:17:11.037$ an educated guess at what pollution levels
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00{:}17{:}11{.}037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}13{.}910$ are driven by the observations from these.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:17:13.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.560$ The lights.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:17:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.772$ The other thing we can do
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00{:}17{:}16.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}17.580$ with the satellite data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00{:}17{:}17{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19{.}048$ with the continuous coverage,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- 00:17:19.048 --> 00:17:20.149 the continuous geospatial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00{:}17{:}20.149 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}22.059$ coverage from the satellite data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:22.060 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.196$ is that we can get at what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:24.196 \rightarrow 00:17:25.839$ happening within individual cities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:17:25.840 --> 00:17:26.538 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:26.538 \rightarrow 00:17:28.632$ we know that cities are experiencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:28.632 \rightarrow 00:17:29.760$ health inequality issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}17{:}29.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31.884$ There's a long history of science

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:31.884 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.873$ telling us that air pollution

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:33.873 \rightarrow 00:17:35.865$ levels are inequitably distributed

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}17{:}35{.}865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37{.}857$ within cities as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:17:37.860 --> 00:17:38.284 But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:38.284 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.556$ we can't get that just from

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:39.556 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.007$ the four or five monitors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:41.007 \rightarrow 00:17:42.232$ we have in individual city.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.220$ So we need to use the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:17:44.220 --> 00:17:44.886 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:17:44.886 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.884$ we need to use approaches for

- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:17:46.884 \rightarrow 00:17:48.412$ estimating pollution levels between
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00{:}17{:}48{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50{.}012$ those monitors to understand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:17:50.012 \rightarrow 00:17:52.060$ inequality and air pollution levels.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:17:52.060 \dashrightarrow 00:17:54.778$ So this is a study led by Maria Castillo,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- 00:17:54.780 --> 00:17:58.036 who's now an urban planning student at MIT.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00{:}17{:}58.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00.165$ And we partnered with the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- 00:18:00.165 --> 00:18:01.440 DC local government,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- 00:18:01.440 --> 00:18:03.477 the DC Department of Energy and Environment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00{:}18{:}03.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.210$ and the Office of Health Equity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:18:05.210 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.126$ who had they had.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:18:07.126 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.042$ Settlement funds from the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00{:}18{:}09{.}042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}11{.}109$ Volkswagen Diesel gate scandal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00{:}18{:}11{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}13{.}820$ Anyone remember in 2015 there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00{:}18{:}13.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}16.530$ was a big revolution that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333
- $00:18:16.530 \rightarrow 00:18:18.098$ Volkswagen vehicles were equipped
- NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:18:18.098 \rightarrow 00:18:19.666$ with these defeat devices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:18:19.670 --> 00:18:21.902 pieces of software that would turn

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:18:21.902 --> 00:18:23.827 the emission control equipment on

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:18:23.827 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.712$ when the vehicle was undergoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:18:25.712 \rightarrow 00:18:27.573$ regulatory testing of emissions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:18:27.573 --> 00:18:29.575 then off when they were being driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:18:29.575 \rightarrow 00:18:31.686$ around in real world driving conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:18:31.686 \rightarrow 00:18:33.990$ And that was leading to substantially

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:18:34.054 \rightarrow 00:18:35.779$ higher orders of magnitude higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00{:}18{:}35{.}779 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37{.}504$ NOx emissions in real world

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

 $00:18:37.561 \rightarrow 00:18:39.557$ driving conditions than during

NOTE Confidence: 0.881464565333333

00:18:39.557 --> 00:18:40.555 certification testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:18:40.560 \rightarrow 00:18:41.610$ So there's a big lawsuit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:18:41.610 \rightarrow 00:18:44.552$ people went to jail and now cities

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:18:44.552 \rightarrow 00:18:46.707$ have access to settlement funds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

00:18:46.710 - 00:18:48.336 They can use to direct resources

 $00:18:48.336 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.420$ to improve air quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00{:}18{:}49{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51{.}004$ So the DC government had settlement

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:18:51.004 \dashrightarrow 00:18:53.077$ funds and they came to us and they said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:18:53.080 \rightarrow 00:18:55.145$ can you help us understand how air

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00{:}18{:}55{.}145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56{.}854$ pollution is contributing to the health

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00{:}18{:}56{.}854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}58{.}390$ inequality problem in the city so

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00{:}18{:}58{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}00{.}490$ that we might be able to direct these

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:00.490 \rightarrow 00:19:02.829$ resources to places that are overburdened?

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00{:}19{:}02{.}829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}05{.}519$ So we estimated PM 2.5 attributable

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00{:}19{:}05{.}519$ --> $00{:}19{:}07{.}757$ mortality using one of those continuous

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00{:}19{:}07{.}757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10{.}143$ data sets of PM 2.5 in this case from

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

00:19:10.143 --> 00:19:13.160 the Washu group led by Randall Martin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:13.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.728$ And we estimated PM 2.5 mortality rates and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00{:}19{:}16.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}18.662$ We saw that the highest PM 2.5

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00{:}19{:}18.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}20.001$ mortality rates occurred in the

 $00:19:20.001 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.146$ eastern half of the city,

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00{:}19{:}21{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}22{.}836$ and lower PM 2.5 mortality rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:22.836 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.668$ in the western half of the city.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:24.670 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.116$ And this lined up almost exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:26.116 \rightarrow 00:19:28.090$ with the map of racial segregation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:28.090 \rightarrow 00:19:29.286$ segregation in the city,

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00{:}19{:}29{.}286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}31{.}474$ so the eastern half of the cities

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:31.474 \rightarrow 00:19:33.144$ primarily black and the western

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00{:}19{:}33{.}144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}35{.}480$ half of the cities primarily white.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695259452

 $00:19:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.296$ I know what that noise is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00{:}19{:}38{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40{.}805$ This research was received some

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:19:40.805 \rightarrow 00:19:43.389$ interest from NASA and they created

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:19:43.389 \rightarrow 00:19:45.681$ this really nice looking map and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:19:45.681 \rightarrow 00:19:48.737$ they made it the image of the day

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

00:19:48.737 --> 00:19:50.572 on the NASA Earth Observatory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:19:50.580 \rightarrow 00:19:51.896$ which was really cool.

00:19:51.896 --> 00:19:53.870 And because so many people follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00{:}19{:}53{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}56{.}004$ the NASA Earth Observatory, if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

00:19:56.004 --> 00:19:57.940 don't you should on Instagram or you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:19:57.940 \rightarrow 00:20:00.340$ whatever your social media choices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00{:}20{:}00{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02{.}860$ They posted it there and it got picked up

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00{:}20{:}02{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05{.}699$ by another influential Instagram accounts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

00:20:05.700 --> 00:20:06.654 Washingtonian probs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00{:}20{:}06{.}654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}09{.}993$ Which has hundreds of thousands of followers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:20:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.275$ So this was a way that our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:20:12.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.928$ you know study which was published in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

00:20:15.928 --> 00:20:18.368 esoteric journal Geo Health was picked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414324256666666

 $00:20:18.368 \rightarrow 00:20:20.636$ up and brought to people who wouldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

00:20:20.636 --> 00:20:22.500 normally read papers of Geo Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

00:20:22.500 --> 00:20:23.580 And I know they tell you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00{:}20{:}23.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}25.410$ you never read the social media

00:20:25.410 --> 00:20:27.239 comments about you know your work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

00:20:27.240 --> 00:20:28.920 But you know in a lapse of judgment

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:20:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.656$ one day I decided to read those

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:20:30.656 \rightarrow 00:20:32.480$ comments and anyone want to take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00{:}20{:}32{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}34{.}199$ guess at the most frequent comment

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

00:20:34.199 --> 00:20:35.909 of the Washingtonian probs account

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

 $00:20:35.909 \rightarrow 00:20:39.100$ got when they when they posted?

NOTE Confidence: 0.841432425666666

00:20:39.100 --> 00:20:40.920 Yes. Thank you for your work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7745962025

 $00{:}20{:}42{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}43{.}818$ That would be nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}20{:}46{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}49{.}500$ The most frequent comment was done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}20{:}49{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51{.}620$ So you know, I think people know this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}20{:}51.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}53.305$ people know that air pollution

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:20:53.305 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.316$ is inequitably distributed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:20:54.320 --> 00:20:55.545 But again, if you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}20{:}55{.}545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}57{.}100$ show it with data and maps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:20:57.100 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.680$ then it's difficult to address.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.823416
- $00:20:59.680 \rightarrow 00:21:01.325$ In this case, again, we work directly

00:21:01.325 - 00:21:02.899 with the DC local government.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:02.900 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.898$ So it was a way that they were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}21{:}04.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}07{.}311$ to help us design the study to answer NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:21:07.311 --> 00:21:09.363 the question that they had and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}21{:}09{.}363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11{.}410$ they can use the results to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:11.410 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.700$ to determine how they're using those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:12.700 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.089$ those settlement funds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:21:14.089 --> 00:21:16.867 Fun Facts on Friday I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:21:16.867 --> 00:21:19.073 recorded a video at NASA studio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:21:19.073 --> 00:21:21.488 NASA Goddard Space Flight Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}21{:}21{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}23{.}533$ They are going to now have it now and

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}21{:}23{.}533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25{.}770$ then that the lobby of NASA head quarters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}21{:}25{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28{.}170$ a giant screen with me talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:28.170 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.370$ about this study.

 $00{:}21{:}29{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}31{.}590$ And they did not tell me my face was going

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}21{:}31{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33{.}770$ to be up there at the size of my course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}21{:}33{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35{.}090$ So I'm not excited about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:21:35.090 --> 00:21:37.508 But I'm excited that they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:37.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.135$ that they're highlighting this important

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:21:39.135 --> 00:21:41.278 work because I really think it does

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}21{:}41{.}278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42{.}916$ show the value of satellite data and

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:42.916 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.319$ what it can tell us in terms of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:21:45.319 --> 00:21:47.251 Real world's problems that

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:47.251 \rightarrow 00:21:49.340$ we're experiencing in cities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}21{:}49{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52{.}190$ So that was PM 2.5 and CO2 is a pollutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}21{:}52{.}266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55{.}396$ that a lot of us don't think that much about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}21{:}55{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}57{.}476$ We often think about PM 2.5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}21{:}57{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}59{.}304$ That's the largest contributor to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:21:59.304 \rightarrow 00:22:01.279$ burden of disease from air pollution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:01.280 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.774$ followed by ozone.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.823416
- $00{:}22{:}02{.}774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06{.}260$ And O2 is a precursor to both

 $00{:}22{:}06{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06{.}916$ PM 2.5 and ozone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:06.916 \rightarrow 00:22:08.610$ So if we want to address those pollutants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:08.610 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.680$ we have to know where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}22{:}10.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13.100$ No2 is and and control it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:22:13.100 --> 00:22:16.356 It's also a high resolution tracer for urban

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}22{:}16.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19.770$ traffic in particular it's associated itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:19.770 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.745$ With asthma development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}22{:}20.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}22.370$ that's just not that's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:22.370 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.750$ just asthma exacerbation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}22{:}23.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27.145$ but new development of as thma among children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:27.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.146$ And very conveniently it is highly correlated

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}22{:}30{.}146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32{.}789$ satellite and O2 is highly correlated

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}22{:}32{.}789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}35{.}363$ with ground level O2 from monitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:22:35.370 \rightarrow 00:22:36.010$ So this,

- $00:22:36.010 \rightarrow 00:22:36.650$ for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823416
- $00{:}22{:}36.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}38.990$ is a scatter plot created by my
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823416
- $00{:}22{:}38{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41{.}102$ colleague Dan Goldberg and Gage Kerr
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823416
- $00{:}22{:}41.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.698$ who showed that trouble me No2 columns.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823416
- $00{:}22{:}43.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45.352$ That's the amount of N2 in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823416
- $00{:}22{:}45{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47{.}026$ column of air between the satellite
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823416
- $00:22:47.026 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.850$ and the surface of the Earth.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823416
- $00:22:48.850 \rightarrow 00:22:51.188$ Is highly correlated to N2 at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823416
- $00{:}22{:}51{.}188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53{.}377$ ground level monitor monitored by our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823416
- $00{:}22{:}53{.}377 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55{.}609$ AQS monitor monitors our air quality NOTE Confidence: 0.823416
- $00:22:55.609 \rightarrow 00:22:58.163$ system monitors and so this makes it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823416
- $00:22:58.163 \rightarrow 00:23:00.222$ a very convenient pollutant to study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823416
- 00:23:00.222 --> 00:23:01.506 Whereas for PM 2.5,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823416
- $00:23:01.510 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.846$ the satellites are monitoring
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823416
- $00{:}23{:}02{.}846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}03{.}848$ at different quantity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823416
- $00:23:03.850 \rightarrow 00:23:05.404$ aerosol optical depth and then we need

- NOTE Confidence: 0.823416
- 00:23:05.404 --> 00:23:07.416 to do a bunch of science to convert

 $00:23:07.416 \rightarrow 00:23:09.224$ that to ground level PM 2.5 here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:09.224 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.030$ even if we just took the Tropo

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

00:23:11.090 --> 00:23:12.110 Vienna 2 columns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:12.110 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.476$ we have a pretty good sense for

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:14.476 \rightarrow 00:23:16.857$ where where the ground level 2 is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:16.860 \rightarrow 00:23:20.060$ So around the time that the pandemic hit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.440$ we had just hired Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.618$ Gage Kerr as a postdoc and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}23{:}25{.}618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}28{.}376$ were wondering whether or not we

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00{:}23{:}28{.}376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30{.}556$ could use these tropon in data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:30.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.930$ So troponin data started that

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:32.930 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.300$ the records started in 2018,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823416

 $00:23:35.300 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.660$ so it was very new.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:23:36.660 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.750$ And you know, when the

 $00:23:38.750 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.840$ pandemic hit in spring 2020,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:23:40.840 --> 00:23:42.628 Dan Goldberg had been going through

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}23{:}42.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}44.436$ these energy readings and looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}23{:}44{.}436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}46{.}417$ different urban areas and seeing how the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}23{:}46{.}417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}48{.}169$ trends differed in different cities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:23:48.170 --> 00:23:49.230 And we wondered, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}23{:}49{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52{.}078$ could we use this data set to explore

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:23:52.078 \rightarrow 00:23:55.089$ how No2 changed during the pandemic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}23{:}55{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}56{.}962$ There are a lot of people working on air NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:23:56.962 \rightarrow 00:23:58.427$ quality changes during the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:23:58.430 --> 00:23:59.122 Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:23:59.122 \rightarrow 00:24:01.198$ there's a whole community of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.610$ We actually got on the phone

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:24:02.610 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.221$ once a month talking about air

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}24{:}04{.}221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}05{.}465$ quality changes during COVID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}24{:}05{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}07{.}350$ But we wanted to take this a step

- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00:24:07.350 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.771$ further and really leverage the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00{:}24{:}08{.}771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10{.}559$ value of the satellite data with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00:24:10.559 \rightarrow 00:24:12.430$ that complete geospatial coverage.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00:24:12.430 \rightarrow 00:24:13.888$ And one of the, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00{:}24{:}13.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15.528$ values of that satellite data is the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00:24:15.528 \rightarrow 00:24:17.387$ fact that we can look within cities,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00:24:17.390 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.382$ different subpopulations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00:24:18.382 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.870$ Living within cities.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00{:}24{:}19.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22.003$ So we had no idea whether we could use
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00:24:22.003 \rightarrow 00:24:24.067$ this data set to explore disparities.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- 00:24:24.070 --> 00:24:26.010 And I know two concentrations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00{:}24{:}26.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26.938$ but we we thought,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00{:}24{:}26{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}29{.}524$ let's just give it a shot, see what happens.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00:24:29.524 \rightarrow 00:24:31.514$ Probably we won't see anything.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- 00:24:31.520 --> 00:24:31.765 Well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

- $00:24:31.765 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.235$ it turned out we did see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00{:}24{:}33{.}235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}34{.}600$ something and it was really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00:24:34.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.256$ really striking to me.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00:24:36.256 \rightarrow 00:24:39.240$ So prior to the pandemic in 2019,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00{:}24{:}39{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40{.}980$ the least white census tracts
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- 00:24:40.980 --> 00:24:43.182 across the United States had no
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00{:}24{:}43.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}44.790$ two concentrations that were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00:24:44.790 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.398$ about double the concentrations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00{:}24{:}46{.}398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48{.}540$ and the most white census tract.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00{:}24{:}48.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52.476$ Again, that's prior to the pandemic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00:24:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.270$ During the lockdowns in 2020,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00{:}24{:}54{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}56{.}016$ both the orange dots and the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- 00:24:56.016 --> 00:24:57.180 blue dots shifted left,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00{:}24{:}57{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59{.}022$ and that indicates that No2 dropped
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00{:}24{:}59{.}022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}01{.}309$ for both the least white census tracts
- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00:25:01.309 \dashrightarrow 00:25:03.313$ and the most white census tracts.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- 00:25:03.320 --> 00:25:03.896 Just good thing,

00:25:03.896 --> 00:25:04.280 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:04.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.332$ we had about 50% fewer passenger

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}06{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}07{.}700$ vehicles on the road.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}07{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}09{.}583$ It's a good thing that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:25:09.583 --> 00:25:11.370 observe and O2 just by itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}11{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}13{.}750$ That was useful to know that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}13.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}15.852$ could use this tromi data set

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:15.852 \rightarrow 00:25:18.183$ to observe that drop in and O2

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:18.262 \rightarrow 00:25:20.558$ during this natural experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.925$ But.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}20{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23{.}115$ One thing that we found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}23.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25.057$ was really concerning was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}25{.}057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26{.}605$ during the 2020 lockdowns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}26.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}28.350$ then O2 concentrations in the

00:25:28.350 - 00:25:30.090 least white Census tracts were

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}30{.}158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32{.}260$ still about 50% higher than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:25:32.260 --> 00:25:34.310 concentrations and the most white

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:34.310 \rightarrow 00:25:36.629$ census tracts prior to the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}36{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}38{.}655$ And this indicates that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}38.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}40.275$ disparities in antipollution were

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}40{.}275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}42{.}607$ so large prior to the pandemic that

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:25:42.607 --> 00:25:44.924 even about a 50% drop in passenger

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}44{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}46{.}714$ vehicle traffic was not enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}46.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48.550$ to eliminate those disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}48.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}49.603$ And that held,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:49.603 \rightarrow 00:25:51.709$ that pattern held for nearly all

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}51.709 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54.552$ major cities across the US and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}54{.}552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56{.}168$ held for educational attainment

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}25{:}56{.}236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57{.}229$ and for income.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:25:57.230 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.064$ But really,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851
- $00:25:58.064 \rightarrow 00:26:00.566$ that only tells us about exposure.

 $00{:}26{:}00{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02{.}158$ We're really just concentrations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:26:02.158 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.349$ not even exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:26:03.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.420$ It doesn't tell us about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:26:05.420 \rightarrow 00:26:06.800$ susceptibility of the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}26{:}06{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08{.}870$ That is breathing those concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:26:08.870 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.782$ So Gage took this a step further

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:26:11.782 --> 00:26:14.802 and looked at both PNC .5 and N2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}26{:}14.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.442$ and not just the concentrations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}26{:}16{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}18{.}718$ but the health outcomes that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00:26:18.718 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.230$ associated with those concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:26:20.230 --> 00:26:23.074 So he's comparing PM 2.5 attributable

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

00:26:23.074 --> 00:26:25.586 mortality per 100,000 people and

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}26{:}25{.}586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27{.}758$ that NATO attributable pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.856515851

 $00{:}26{:}27.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29.966$ as thma incidence rate as well.

 $00:26:29.966 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.826$ And let's just look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}26{:}31.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}34.174$ PM 2.5 first. We see that PM 2.5

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}26{:}34{.}174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}35{.}926$ concentrations are dropping over time for

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}26{:}35{.}926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38{.}248$ both the most white and the least white

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}26{:}38.248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}40.150$ census tracks across the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}26{:}40{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}41{.}518$ This is very similar to the graph I

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:26:41.518 \rightarrow 00:26:42.855$ showed you at the beginning, showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:26:42.855 \rightarrow 00:26:44.805$ that PM concentrations are going down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}26{:}44.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.916$ starting to stagnate a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:26:46.916 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.969$ due to those Western US fires.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:26:48.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.398$ But the disparities persist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:26:50.398 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.183$ as many others have found

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557440116666667

 $00:26:52.183 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.048$ in the literature as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}26{:}54.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.340$ that PM 2.5 concentrations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:26:56.340 \rightarrow 00:26:58.630$ associated disease burdens are higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:26:58.702 \rightarrow 00:27:00.688$ for the least weight census tracts,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00:27:00.688 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.602$ and then they are for the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00{:}27{:}02.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}04.190$ most white census tracts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00:27:04.190 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.586$ And the relative disparity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557440116666667
- $00{:}27{:}05{.}586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}07{.}331$ the relative ratio between blue
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557440116666667
- $00{:}27{:}07{.}331 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09{.}108$ dots and the orange dots here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00:27:09.110 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.650$ is actually rising over time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00:27:10.650 \rightarrow 00:27:12.744$ So the relative disparity is getting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00:27:12.744 \rightarrow 00:27:15.418$ worse even though the levels are coming
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00{:}27{:}15{.}418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}17{.}448$ down for both populations subgroups.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- 00:27:17.450 --> 00:27:17.986 For No2,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00:27:17.986 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.130$ on the right hand side here we see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00{:}27{:}20.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.677$ that no two and its associated impact
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00{:}27{:}22.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}24.590$ on asthma incidents among children
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00:27:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.545$ is also decreasing over time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- 00:27:26.550 --> 00:27:28.130 again to very successful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:27:28.130 - > 00:27:30.105 regulations under Clean Air Act.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}27{:}30{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31{.}570$ But the disparity is much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:31.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.998$ much larger than it is for PM 2.5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:33.998 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.566$ In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:34.566 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.690$ the relative disparity is about 7 1/2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}27{:}36.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}38.790$ meaning that the most the least

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}27{:}38{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40{.}637$ white census tracts have values

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:40.637 \rightarrow 00:27:43.136$ that are about 7 1/2 times larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:43.212 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.546$ than the most white census tracts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:45.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.685$ whereas that value is only 1.3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:27:47.685 --> 00:27:51.336 For PM 2.5 not to diminish 1.3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557440116666667

00:27:51.336 --> 00:27:52.916 that's still 30% larger PM

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:52.916 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.567$ mortality impacts for the least

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}27{:}54{.}567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}56{.}202$ white Census tracts compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:27:56.202 \rightarrow 00:27:57.960$ the most white census tracts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:27:57.960 --> 00:28:00.780 but no two exhibits far greater

- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00:28:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.048$ disparity than PM 2.5 does.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- 00:28:04.050 --> 00:28:04.385 Now,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00:28:04.385 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.730$ all all of this that I've just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00:28:06.730 \rightarrow 00:28:09.711$ showed you is based on one expose 1
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557440116666667
- $00:28:09.711 \rightarrow 00:28:11.930$ concentration data set per analysis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00{:}28{:}11{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13{.}532$ And there's a lot of people working on a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00:28:13.532 \rightarrow 00:28:15.247$ lot of different concentration data sets,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00{:}28{:}15{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17{.}410$ both PMC .5 and No2,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00{:}28{:}17{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19{.}327$ and we don't know which one is the best.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00:28:19.330 \rightarrow 00:28:20.590$ People are using different methods,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00:28:20.590 \rightarrow 00:28:22.206$ they're using different approaches,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00{:}28{:}22{.}206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}23{.}418$ different data inputs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00{:}28{:}23{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25{.}148$ And so we wanted to know how much
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00{:}28{:}25{.}148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27{.}545$ is of the result that we that I just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00:28:27.545 \rightarrow 00:28:29.181$ showed is actually driven by features
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:29.181 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.682$ of the one data set that we used as

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}28{:}31.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33.818$ opposed to other datasets where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}28{:}33{.}818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36{.}318$ find this across multiple datasets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:36.320 \rightarrow 00:28:40.540$ So gauge is now comparing No2 disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}28{:}40{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43{.}434$ for four population subgroups using

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:43.434 \rightarrow 00:28:45.678$ the EPA air quality system regulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00{:}28{:}45.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48.138$ monitors on the left hand side here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:48.140 \rightarrow 00:28:50.975$ For the 10 most populous cities in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:50.980 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.122$ the numbers on the right show the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:53.122 \rightarrow 00:28:55.038$ number of monitors in those cities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:55.040 \rightarrow 00:28:56.360$ And we see a pattern that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.800$ kind of all over the place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:57.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.212$ in fact no pattern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:28:59.212 \rightarrow 00:29:01.405$ So this these air quality system

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

00:29:01.405 - 00:29:03.530 monitors are not able basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667

 $00:29:03.530 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.584$ to capture the disparities that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00{:}29{:}05{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}07{.}832$ we think exist and that a lot of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00{:}29{:}07{.}832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}10.016$ other studies have found to exist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00{:}29{:}10.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12.211$ When we use a land use regression
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- 00:29:12.211 --> 00:29:13.700 model for nitrogen dioxide,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557440116666667
- $00:29:13.700 \rightarrow 00:29:16.016$ which uses statistical approaches
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- 00:29:16.016 --> 00:29:18.332 to approximate No2 concentrations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00:29:18.332 \rightarrow 00:29:21.066$ at pretty high resolution across
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- 00:29:21.066 00:29:23.300 the entire continental US,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00{:}29{:}23{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28{.}260$ we see a stronger pattern pop out here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- 00:29:28.260 --> 00:29:30.048 So for every major city we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855744011666667
- $00:29:30.048 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.240$ have the lowest
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00{:}29{:}31{.}309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33{.}449$ No2 concentrations in the non
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00:29:33.449 \rightarrow 00:29:35.589$ Hispanic white population and higher
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00{:}29{:}35.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}37.859$ concentrations among the Hispanic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- 00:29:37.860 --> 00:29:39.540 Asian and black populations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:29:39.540 --> 00:29:41.900 The ordering. Differs by by city,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}29{:}41{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}45{.}110$ but it's very similar to what we find

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:29:45.110 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.666$ using just the troponin No2 columns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:29:47.670 \rightarrow 00:29:50.238$ So this is the land use regression model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:29:50.240 --> 00:29:51.878 Approximates surface level

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}29{:}51{.}878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}53{.}516$ and O2 concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}29{:}53{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58{.}177$ The Tropo me data is No2 columns that

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:29:58.177 \rightarrow 00:30:00.559$ are more directly from the satellite

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}30{:}00{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}02{.}496$ and we see a very similar pattern here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}30{:}02{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}05{.}641$ We see that for both the non Hispanic white

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}30{:}05{.}641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}07{.}830$ population has the lowest No2 concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}30{:}07{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}10{.}440$ For some cities we see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.944$ Ordering of the population

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:30:11.944 --> 00:30:13.448 subgroups is very similar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}30{:}13.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}14.658$ so in Philadelphia the

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:30:14.658 --> 00:30:15.866 ordering is very similar.

 $00:30:15.870 \rightarrow 00:30:17.928$ In other cities we see differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}30{:}17{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20{.}770$ but nevertheless there's much closer

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:20.770 \rightarrow 00:30:22.230$ consistency between the land use

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}30{:}22{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}24{.}319$ regression data set and the troponin data

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}30{:}24{.}319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}26{.}328$ set compared with the monitor data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:30:26.330 --> 00:30:27.606 It's really not surprising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}30{:}27.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}30{.}139$ I mean the monitor data set was not

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:30.139 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.085$ intended to be used for this purpose

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:32.085 \dashrightarrow 00:30:34.374$ and we're really was intended to

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:34.374 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.930$ monitor regional average pollution

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:35.930 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.320$ and not neighborhood scale pollution

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}30{:}38{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}40{.}320$ that differs within cities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.600$ So that was for No2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}30{:}41.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}43.420$ That would really LED us to wonder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:43.420 \rightarrow 00:30:43.743$ OK,

 $00:30:43.743 \rightarrow 00:30:47.191$ well the data set that you use for N2 has

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}30{:}47{.}191$ --> $00{:}30{:}50{.}369$ a big impact on the estimated disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.430$ What about for PM 2.5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:52.430 \rightarrow 00:30:54.530$ which is a prudent that doesn't vary

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:54.530 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.267$ as much spatially as an O2 does,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}30{:}56{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}57{.}722$ and the two has a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:30:57.722 --> 00:30:58.448 short atmospheric lifetime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:30:58.450 \rightarrow 00:31:01.306$ it stays pretty close to the mission source.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}31{:}01{.}310$ --> $00{:}31{:}04{.}790$ PM 2.5 has a lot more emission sources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:31:04.790 --> 00:31:06.778 A lot of it is secondarily formed

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}31{:}06.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07.630$ in the atmosphere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

00:31:07.630 --> 00:31:09.166 It lives longer in the atmosphere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:09.170 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.898$ so it spreads out and sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:10.898 \rightarrow 00:31:11.762$ of smooth spatially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}31{:}11{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}13{.}726$ So we but there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}31{:}13.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}15.334$ of attention on PM 2.5,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- 00:31:15.334 --> 00:31:15.646 right,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00{:}31{:}15.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}17.206$ the Justice 40 initiative of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00:31:17.206 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.730$ this current administration.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00:31:18.730 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.326$ This is a new initiative that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00:31:20.326 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.779$ is aimed at 40% of the benefits.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00:31:22.779 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.631$ Of federal investments going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- 00:31:24.631 --> 00:31:26.020 to disadvantaged communities,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00:31:26.020 \rightarrow 00:31:28.436$ the data set they're using to do that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00:31:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.408$ to identify communities as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00:31:30.408 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.868$ disadvantaged as a 12 kilometer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00:31:32.868 \rightarrow 00:31:35.384$ spatial resolution for PM 2.5.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00{:}31{:}35{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}37{.}644$ That's this CMAC model monitor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- 00:31:37.644 --> 00:31:39.000 fusion data set.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00{:}31{:}39{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41{.}376$ That's the one that's used in EJ screen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00{:}31{:}41{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}43{.}436$ It's used in a lot of EPA regulatory
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:43.436 \rightarrow 00:31:45.006$ support documents and now it's used

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:45.006 \rightarrow 00:31:46.518$ in the climate and economic justice

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:46.569 \rightarrow 00:31:47.854$ screening tool suggest that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:47.854 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.459$ used for the Justice 40 initiative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:49.459 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.416$ So we wondered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:50.416 \rightarrow 00:31:52.806$ if we used a different high resolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}31{:}52.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}54.866$ data set that's now available

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:31:54.866 \rightarrow 00:31:56.514$ from the scientific community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}31{:}56{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}58{.}650$ would that lead to differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}31{:}58.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}00.497$ which communities are flagged as

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:32:00.497 \rightarrow 00:32:02.717$ disadvantaged in the Justice 40 initiative?

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:32:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.700$ So we're now comparing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}32{:}05{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}07{.}980$ The CMAC Model monitor fusion data

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:32:07.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.348$ set at 12 kilometer spatial resolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00{:}32{:}10{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}13{.}057$ with the the data set I talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667

 $00:32:13.057 \rightarrow 00:32:15.488$ about earlier from the Washu team,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00{:}32{:}15{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}19{.}041$ the bins unclear at all data set that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00:32:19.041 \rightarrow 00:32:21.903$ fuses satellites with a geophysical model.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00{:}32{:}21{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}24{.}214$ And then there's a new data set led
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00:32:24.214 \dashrightarrow 00:32:26.842$ by Haresh mini that's available at 50
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00{:}32{:}26.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}28.827$ meter resolution within cities and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00{:}32{:}28.893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}31.515$ 1 kilometer resolution outside of cities.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00:32:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.224$ And you can see just looking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868242810416667
- $00:32:33.224 \rightarrow 00:32:34.360$ at the spatial resolution,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00:32:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.179$ the spatial distribution
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00:32:35.179 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.817$ in Los Angeles at the top,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- 00:32:36.820 --> 00:32:38.668 Chicago in the middle and Phoenix
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00:32:38.668 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.280$ on the bottom. These datasets,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- 00:32:40.280 --> 00:32:42.320 they look somewhat similar in terms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00:32:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.596$ of their being a BLOB over the city.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00{:}32{:}44.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47.048$ But once you start to look a little bit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:32:47.048 \rightarrow 00:32:49.540$ closer, they really differ in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:32:49.540 \rightarrow 00:32:51.384$ which neighborhoods are popping out

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:32:51.384 \rightarrow 00:32:52.971$ at having the highest concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:32:52.971 \rightarrow 00:32:56.099$ So this is still a work in progress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:32:56.100 \rightarrow 00:32:58.156$ but this is led by Doctor Tess Carter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:32:58.160 \rightarrow 00:33:01.544$ who just recently finished her PhD at MIT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:33:01.550 --> 00:33:03.335 And I just want to point your

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:33:03.335 \rightarrow 00:33:05.160$ attention to the top few rows here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:33:05.160 - 00:33:07.310 which show all census tracts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:33:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.910$ urban tracts and rural tracks across the US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}33{:}10{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}13{.}459$ On the left hand side here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}33{:}13.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.604$ comparing the most non Hispanic

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}33{:}15.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}17.800$ white populations to the least

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}33{:}17.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.840$ non Hispanic white populations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:33:19.840 \rightarrow 00:33:23.263$ then on the right hand side is most

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:33:23.263 --> 00:33:24.983 Hispanic versus least Hispanic.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00:33:24.990 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.125$ And we see for each of these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00:33:27.125 \dashrightarrow 00:33:28.890$ three datasets the CMAC Fusion,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00:33:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.070$ the vans angular .01 is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00:33:32.070 \rightarrow 00:33:34.949$ spatial resolution and then a mini,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00{:}33{:}34{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37{.}428$ all three of these data sets are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00:33:37.428 \rightarrow 00:33:39.758$ very consistent in what they show
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- 00:33:39.758 > 00:33:41.346 for at those geographies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00:33:41.350 \rightarrow 00:33:43.934$ And it's very similar to for each region.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00:33:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.388$ The absolute magnitude of the values
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- 00:33:46.388 --> 00:33:49.900 of the PM 2.5 concentrations differ,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00:33:49.900 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.226$ but the disparities,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00:33:51.226 \rightarrow 00:33:53.878$ the patterns and disparity are similar.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00:33:53.880 \rightarrow 00:33:56.400$ This is on a regional average basis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00:33:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.740$ So what this tells us,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- 00:33:57.740 --> 00:34:00.080 I think I'm still processing this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:00.080 \rightarrow 00:34:02.740$ is that on a regional average basis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}34{:}02{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05{.}324$ this EMAC data set not so bad for

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:05.324 \rightarrow 00:34:06.820$ estimating those disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:06.820 \rightarrow 00:34:08.716$ And you can imagine why that might be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:34:08.720 --> 00:34:09.875 For PM 2.5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:09.875 \rightarrow 00:34:12.185$ we have two things happening simultaneously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}34{:}12{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}14{.}130$ We have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:14.130 \rightarrow 00:34:17.568$ We have regional PM 2.5 concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:34:17.570 --> 00:34:19.796 PM is sort of higher in California

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}34{:}19.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}21.984$ and the southwest US than it is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:21.984 \rightarrow 00:34:24.056$ other parts of the US and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:24.056 \rightarrow 00:34:26.030$ that happening at the same time as

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:26.030 \rightarrow 00:34:27.670$ regional sorting of populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:34:27.670 --> 00:34:30.130 There's a very large Hispanic population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:30.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.854$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:30.854 \rightarrow 00:34:32.664$ in California and the southwest

00:34:32.664 --> 00:34:34.537 breathing those high PPM concentrations

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:34.537 \rightarrow 00:34:35.985$ in that same region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:35.990 \rightarrow 00:34:38.643$ So that's sort of regional nature of

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:38.643 \rightarrow 00:34:41.167$ both population sorting as well as pollution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}34{:}41{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}42{.}328$ That's one effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}34{:}42{.}328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}44{.}258$ The second effect is what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:44.258 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.879$ happening in urban areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:34:45.880 --> 00:34:48.805 PM 2.5 has some intra

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:34:48.805 --> 00:34:50.560 urban spatial variability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:34:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.176$ or so the literature tells us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:34:53.180 --> 00:34:54.122 And that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:34:54.122 --> 00:34:55.064 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}34{:}55{.}064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}56{.}948$ driven by anthropogenic sources

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}34{:}56{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}59{.}488$ within cities could be contributing

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}34{:}59{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}02{.}490$ to differences in neighborhood scale

 $00:35:02.490 \rightarrow 00:35:04.890$ pollution levels within cities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}35{:}04.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}07.123$ So this maybe is actually not that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:07.123 \rightarrow 00:35:08.777$ surprising that this lines up

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:08.777 \rightarrow 00:35:10.691$ pretty well regardless of the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:10.691 \rightarrow 00:35:12.413$ set because the spatial resolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:35:12.413 --> 00:35:14.465 of data set doesn't matter that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:35:14.465 - 00:35:16.066 much for that regional effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:16.066 \dashrightarrow 00:35:17.950$ that first effect I was describing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}35{:}17.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}21.010$ But for the intra urban effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:35:21.010 --> 00:35:23.354 the 12 core meter data set is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00{:}35{:}23{.}354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26{.}111$ going to be able to capture those

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

00:35:26.111 -> 00:35:27.787 that intra urban variability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:27.790 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.806$ So what do we see within cities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:29.810 \dashrightarrow 00:35:32.350$ We see something different so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.122$ In the top 10 most populated

NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333

 $00:35:35.122 \rightarrow 00:35:36.970$ cities across the US.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00:35:36.970 \rightarrow 00:35:38.920$ One thing is consistent and that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00:35:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.651$ the non Hispanic white population
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00{:}35{:}40{.}651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}43{.}059$ has the lowest PM 2.5 concentration
- NOTE Confidence: 0.878951873333333
- $00:35:43.059 \rightarrow 00:35:45.657$ in all three of these datasets.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00{:}35{:}45.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}47.676$ So we see a lot of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:35:47.676 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.380$ dark blue color left of 1.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00{:}35{:}49{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}55{.}188$ One is the average the the the mean PM 2.5
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:35:55.188 \rightarrow 00:35:57.673$ concentration for the entire population.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00{:}35{:}57{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00{.}482$ The non Hispanic white population has
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:36:00.482 \rightarrow 00:36:02.714$ lower than average concentrations for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:36:02.714 \rightarrow 00:36:04.786$ every one of these major cities in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:36:04.786 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.416$ all of the datasets but the ordering.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:36:07.420 \rightarrow 00:36:09.260$ Of the other population subgroups
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00{:}36{:}09{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}11.784$ really varies quite a bit depending on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00{:}36{:}11.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}14.272$ the data set and that again is driven
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:14.343 \rightarrow 00:36:16.659$ by the spatial distribution of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:16.659 \rightarrow 00:36:18.490$ concentrations in the input datasets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:18.490 \rightarrow 00:36:21.010$ I want to point out a couple other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:36:21.010 --> 00:36:22.470 The CMAC Fusion data set,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00{:}36{:}22{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24{.}576$ that 12 kilometer data set that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:24.576 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.194$ being used by the Justice 40 initiative

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:27.194 \dashrightarrow 00:36:29.847$ team right now that has the least

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:36:29.850 --> 00:36:31.754 variability between population subgroups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:36:31.754 --> 00:36:33.658 And again not surprising,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00{:}36{:}33{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36{.}198$ this is 12 kilometer datasets not

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:36.198 \rightarrow 00:36:37.467$ capturing that heterogeneity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:37.470 \rightarrow 00:36:39.486$ But we definitely see that play out

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00{:}36{:}39{.}486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}41{.}997$ or we have the the narrowest range

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00{:}36{:}41.997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}45.546$ here for I just picked up Philadelphia

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:36:45.546 --> 00:36:48.199 for Chicago and for New York.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:36:48.200 \rightarrow 00:36:49.510$ But then there's really interesting

- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:36:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.296$ things that happen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- 00:36:50.300 --> 00:36:51.604 So New York, Chicago,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:36:51.604 \rightarrow 00:36:53.234$ and Phoenix all show pretty
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:36:53.234 \rightarrow 00:36:54.339$ different effects here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00{:}36{:}54{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}58{.}676$ where in New York we have the same
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:36:58.680 \rightarrow 00:37:00.680$ ranking of population subgroups in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00{:}37{:}00{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03{.}356$ terms of their PM 2.5 concentration for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:37:03.356 \rightarrow 00:37:06.230$ both of the two high resolution datasets,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00{:}37{:}06{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}09{.}174$ but not in the CMAC Fusion data set.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- 00:37:09.180 --> 00:37:09.838 In Chicago,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:37:09.838 \dashrightarrow 00:37:11.812$ we hardly get much variation at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00{:}37{:}11.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}14.260$ all in any of the three datasets.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:37:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.188$ And then in Phoenix,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00{:}37{:}15.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}16.880$ all three of the data data sets,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- 00:37:16.880 --> 00:37:20.296 including CMAC, the CMAC Fusion data set,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:20.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.528$ do have similar disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:22.528 \rightarrow 00:37:24.756$ across these population subgroups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:37:24.760 --> 00:37:26.328 So we're still trying to dig into

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:26.328 \rightarrow 00:37:28.160$ each of these cities and understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.000$ why they're showing these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:37:30.000 --> 00:37:30.736 different patterns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:30.740 \dashrightarrow 00:37:33.624$ I'm really excited about the future because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00{:}37{:}33.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}35.148$ The satellite data we have available

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:37:35.148 --> 00:37:35.654 right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:35.660 \rightarrow 00:37:37.370$ these this polar orbiting satellite data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:37.370 \dashrightarrow 00:37:39.714$ that's a major improvement over what we had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.280$ what we had before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.230$ which is no satellite data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:43.230 \rightarrow 00:37:45.510$ but we are now launching geostationary

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:45.510 \rightarrow 00:37:47.610$ satellites which are going to hover

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:37:47.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.430$ over the US as the earth spins.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:37:49.430 \rightarrow 00:37:51.155$ It'll always be taking measurements
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00{:}37{:}51{.}155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}53{.}522$ over the US so tempo is launching
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00{:}37{:}53{.}522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}56{.}275$ in April and that will be a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:37:56.275 \rightarrow 00:37:58.312$ geostationary satellite that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:37:58.312 \rightarrow 00:38:00.349$ measuring atmospheric composition.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:38:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.886$ Really excited about that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:38:01.886 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.806$ And then Noah is working.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- 00:38:03.810 --> 00:38:05.526 On Geo EXO,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00{:}38{:}05{.}526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}08{.}810$ which is an operational satellite that is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:38:08.810 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.420$ intended to launch in the early twenty 30s.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00{:}38{:}11{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}14{.}276$ And there's so many stages of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00{:}38{:}14.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}16.420$ explaining why this is important.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00{:}38{:}16{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}18{.}084$ So they asked us to help them explain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00:38:18.084 \rightarrow 00:38:19.955$ why this is important for air quality
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461
- $00{:}38{:}19{.}955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}21{.}360$ management and for public health.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:21.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.384$ So we've been really happy to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:24.384 \rightarrow 00:38:27.153$ working with them and showing them

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:27.153 \dashrightarrow 00:38:30.290$ the value of satellite data for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:30.290 \rightarrow 00:38:32.880$ managing air quality and for public health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:38:32.880 --> 00:38:35.499 And this is work led by Doctor Kate Odell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:38:35.500 --> 00:38:37.468 who is quantifying the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:38:37.468 --> 00:38:39.365 four air quality alert days across

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:39.365 \rightarrow 00:38:41.461$ the US that you would get if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00{:}38{:}41{.}527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}42{.}718$ had a geostationary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:42.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.460$ Satellite which is taking measurements

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:44.460 \rightarrow 00:38:46.996$ across all hours of the daylight versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00:38:46.996 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.998$ if you only had that one snapshot

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

 $00{:}38{:}48{.}998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}50{.}701$ from a polar orbiting satellite

NOTE Confidence: 0.894682181538461

00:38:50.701 -> 00:38:53.179 at 1:30 PM and she's showing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:38:53.240 \rightarrow 00:38:55.333$ the number of air quality alert days

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:38:55.333 - 00:38:57.570 is much much higher for the Geo case,

 $00:38:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.026$ that's the Geo stationary

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}38{:}59{.}026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}00{.}846$ case versus the Leo case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:39:00.850 --> 00:39:02.380 Leo stands for low Earth orbit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:02.380 \rightarrow 00:39:05.428$ which is the polar orbiting satellites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:05.430 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.174$ And we wanted to look at the disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}39{:}08{.}174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}10{.}929$ in the populations that are receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:10.929 \rightarrow 00:39:13.414$ receiving these air quality alerts

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:13.414 \rightarrow 00:39:16.218$ if we had the geostationary data

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}39{:}16{.}218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}18{.}448$ versus the polar orbiting data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}39{:}18{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}21{.}124$ And she finds that actually you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:21.124 \rightarrow 00:39:23.430$ while the magnitude differs overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:39:23.430 - > 00:39:26.670 the pattern of who, what you know the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}39{:}26.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}28.614$ the population sub categories

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}39{:}28.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}31.044$ experiencing these poor air quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:31.044 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.474$ alert days is actually pretty

 $00:39:33.474 \rightarrow 00:39:36.039$ similar depending regardless of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:39:36.039 --> 00:39:38.211 Geostationary or the polar

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:38.211 \rightarrow 00:39:39.297$ orbiting satellite?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:39:39.300 --> 00:39:39.794 Really quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}39{:}39{.}794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}41{.}770$ I want to go back to the framing

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}39{:}41{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}43{.}534$ of climate change, because again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:43.534 \rightarrow 00:39:45.469$ air pollution and climate change

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:45.469 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.519$ come from the same sources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:39:47.520 --> 00:39:49.320 Anytime we burn fossil fuels

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:49.320 \rightarrow 00:39:50.760$ and we burn biofuels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.268$ or releasing both air

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:52.268 \dashrightarrow 00:39:53.776$ pollutants and greenhouse gases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}39{:}53{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}55{.}260$ we want to solve a lot of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}39{:}55{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}56{.}538$ problems that I just talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:56.540 \dashrightarrow 00:39:59.148$ We could be burning less fuel and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:39:59.148 \rightarrow 00:40:01.597$ be gaining by reducing CO2 emissions.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00:40:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.490$ So I have been able to partner
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00:40:03.490 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.545$ for the last few years with C-40
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00:40:05.545 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.706$ cities as well as a variety of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00:40:07.706 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.326$ other partners who had been.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- 00:40:09.330 --> 00:40:09.773 Planning,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00{:}40{:}09{.}773 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}12.874$ the largest worldwide effort for cities to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- 00:40:12.874 --> 00:40:15.400 undertake urban climate action planning.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00:40:15.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.518$ And these are cities that have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00{:}40{:}17.518$ --> $00{:}40{:}19.555$ committed to very deep decarbonization
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- 00:40:19.555 --> 00:40:21.775 and creating ambitious plans
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00:40:21.775 \rightarrow 00:40:23.995$ for reducing greenhouse gases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00{:}40{:}24.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}26.148$ And we help them understand not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- 00:40:26.148 --> 00:40:28.280 just their greenhouse gas reduction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00{:}40{:}28.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.056$ which they're already very good at,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00{:}40{:}30.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}33.210$ but now understand also the reduction
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.218$ of PM 2.5 that they would get from

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}40{:}36{.}218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}37{.}804$ taking those ambitious actions

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:37.804 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.636$ to reduce greenhouse gases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.248$ This is the framework that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:41.248 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.052$ did this within,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}40{:}42.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}43.770$ and we implemented this in six

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:40:43.770 --> 00:40:45.280 pilot cities around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.476$ And I just want to show two of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:47.476 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.916$ examples of these are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}40{:}48.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}51.029$ graphs that are now in these cities

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}40{:}51.029 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53.141$ climate action plans for the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:40:53.141 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.180$ time integrating air quality into

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}40{:}55{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}57{.}140$ their climate action planning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}40{:}57.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}00.556$ So Buenos Aires saw their PM 2.5

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}41{:}00{.}556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}02{.}946$ concentrations go down from about

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:41:02.946 --> 00:41:05.261 12 micrograms per meter cubed

- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- 00:41:05.261 --> 00:41:07.559 in 2050 to around 8,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00:41:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.310$ which was under the World
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- 00:41:09.310 --> 00:41:10.010 Health Organization.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00:41:10.010 \rightarrow 00:41:11.634$ Headline at the time we did this analysis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- 00:41:11.640 --> 00:41:14.056 but it's now over the W 1 because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00{:}41{:}14.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}15.630$ that would has been reduced.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00{:}41{:}15.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}17.730$ And then Johannesburg took a bit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00:41:17.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.857$ of a different approach here where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00:41:19.857 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.216$ they looked at each type of action
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00:41:22.286 \rightarrow 00:41:24.463$ they could implement and they they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00:41:24.463 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.641$ looked at the percent of total
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00{:}41{:}26.641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28.125$ PPM concentration reduction from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00{:}41{:}28.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}29.770$ that action versus the percent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- 00:41:29.770 --> 00:41:31.780 of total CO2 emission reductions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333
- $00{:}41{:}31{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}34{.}636$ And the one that achieved the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}41{:}34.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}37.501$ greatest dual benefit was a mode

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:41:37.501 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.666$ shift from on road vehicles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:41:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.260$ We're now helping them understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}41{:}41{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}43{.}170$ CO2 emissions a little bit more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:41:43.170 --> 00:41:44.607 So right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}41{:}44{.}607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}47{.}481$ each city is developing its own

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

00:41:47.481 --> 00:41:50.590 urban inventory of CO2 emissions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:41:50.590 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.634$ and that has advantages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}41{:}53.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}55.917$ strengths and weaknesses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}41{:}55{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}58{.}075$ The scientific community is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}41{:}58.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}00{.}230$ hard at work developing gridded

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:42:00.304 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.812$ CO2 emission data sets as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00{:}42{:}02.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}04.484$ based on satellite observations

NOTE Confidence: 0.867879225333333

 $00:42:04.554 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.969$ of light at night and

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}42{:}05{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}06{.}852$ other data sources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}42{:}06{.}852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}08{.}910$ And so we're looking at whether or

- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00:42:08.969 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.604$ not the self reported inventories
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00{:}42{:}10.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}12.958$ from the cities match what we think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00:42:12.958 \rightarrow 00:42:14.950$ might be happening in the scientific
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00:42:14.950 \rightarrow 00:42:16.892$ community using these gridded datasets.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- 00:42:16.892 --> 00:42:20.255 And this is work led by Doctor Doyon
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00:42:20.255 \rightarrow 00:42:22.760$ on where we he's comparing the GPC
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00:42:22.760 \rightarrow 00:42:24.698$ inventory that's the self reported
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00:42:24.698 \rightarrow 00:42:27.164$ inventory versus a very widely used.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00{:}42{:}27.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}29.690$ Um, globally gridded emissions inventory
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00{:}42{:}29.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}33.340$ called Edgar and he sees that the there,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00:42:33.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.396$ sorry, in this other one is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00:42:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.228$ is ODC, as well as the different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00{:}42{:}37{.}228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}39{.}116$ gridded CO2 emissions data set.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00{:}42{:}39{.}116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}40{.}806$ They want it pretty well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00:42:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.714$ This is actually better than I might
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:42:42.714 \rightarrow 00:42:44.359$ have expected prior to this project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}42{:}44{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}47{.}112$ but he sees a lot more scatter outside

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:42:47.112 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.345$ of the US and Europe and a lot more NOTE Confidence: 0.8794276646666667

 $00:42:50.345 \rightarrow 00:42:53.009$ consistency in US and European cities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:42:53.010 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.458$ So just to conclude that climate

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}42{:}55{.}458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}57{.}900$ change is worsening air pollution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}42{:}57{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}00{.}558$ which is already a leading factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}43{:}00{.}558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}03{.}179$ for global health around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}43{:}03{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}05{.}788$ We have now access to data that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:43:05.788 \rightarrow 00:43:07.390$ that's completely unprecedented,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}43{:}07{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}10{.}595$ these novel geospatial datasets they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}43{:}10.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}13.159$ increasingly capable of providing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794276646666667

 $00:43:13.160 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.237$ Information about pollution

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:43:14.237 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.032$ levels everywhere in the world

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:43:16.032 \rightarrow 00:43:17.860$ with full geospatial coverage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:43:17.860 \rightarrow 00:43:21.003$ high temporal frequency and in some cases

 $00:43:21.003 \rightarrow 00:43:23.959$ now building long temporal trends too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:43:23.960 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.746$ Some of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}43{:}24.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}26.318$ some of these satellites have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:43:26.318 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.631$ flying for years and that's enabled

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794276646666667

 $00{:}43{:}27.631 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}29.620$ us to do a lot of different things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:43:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.790$ I just talked today about air pollution

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

00:43:31.790 --> 00:43:33.670 levels globally and at 13,000 cities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}43{:}33{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}35{.}596$ as well as intra urban disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}43{:}35{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37{.}532$ But people are using these satellite

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}43{:}37{.}532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}40{.}017$ data sets and all kinds of unique and

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:43:40.020 \rightarrow 00:43:42.336$ very useful ways like spotting wildfire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}43{:}42{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}44{.}308$ smoke and dust storms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}43{:}44{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}44{.}800$ Thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:43:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.661$ And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794276646666667

00:43:45.661 --> 00:43:47.096 I really think that this

00:43:47.096 --> 00:43:47.670 improved information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:43:47.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.514$ if we integrate this into our

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:43:50.514 \rightarrow 00:43:51.936$ environmental management techniques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:43:51.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.451$ including policy development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}43{:}54{.}451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}58{.}636$ we can achieve multiple societal

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:43:58.636 \rightarrow 00:44:00.310$ improvements simultaneously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:44:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.405$ I've been really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:44:01.405 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.960$ really fortunate to be in a position

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}44{:}04{.}034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}06{.}310$ now where I can be training the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794276646666667

 $00:44:06.310 \rightarrow 00:44:08.859$ generation to be using data sets like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}44{:}08{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}11{.}954$ and there's new ways of doing environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:44:11.954 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.340$ health that are now possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:44:14.340 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.120$ So bringing that in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

00:44:16.120 --> 00:44:17.900 bringing in systems approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}44{:}17{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}21{.}595$ and an equity and justice lens in

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

00:44:21.595 --> 00:44:23.775 addition to engaging multidisciplinary

- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- 00:44:23.775 --> 00:44:26.009 teams and diverse partners,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00{:}44{:}26.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}27.949$ I talked about some of the partners
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00:44:27.949 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.503$ I've worked with including C-40
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00:44:29.503 \rightarrow 00:44:31.439$ cities and the DC government.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00{:}44{:}31{.}439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}33{.}977$ That's just sort of scratching the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- 00:44:33.977 --> 00:44:36.590 surface that if you work directly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00{:}44{:}36{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}38{.}705$ with these action oriented partners
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00:44:38.710 \rightarrow 00:44:40.666$ from the beginning of a project,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00{:}44{:}40.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}42.650$ you can actually design a project
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- 00:44:42.650 -> 00:44:44.959 to achieve the needs that they have.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- 00:44:44.960 --> 00:44:46.880 To improve life for people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- 00:44:46.880 --> 00:44:47.960 And, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00:44:47.960 \rightarrow 00:44:49.400$ leveraging novel geospatial datasets
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667
- $00{:}44{:}49{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}51{.}119$ is not something that I was,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8794276646666667
- $00:44:51.120 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.670$ you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:44:51.670 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.945$ well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}44{:}51{.}945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}53{.}860$ actually I was trained to use novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}44{:}53.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}55.160$ geospatial datasets that were novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:44:55.160 \rightarrow 00:44:57.059$ at the time that I did my training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:44:57.060 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.808$ which is before satellites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

00:44:58.808 --> 00:45:00.119 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:45:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.954$ a lot of people in the field

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:45:01.954 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.740$ didn't have that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}45{:}02.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}04.426$ don't yet have that training and

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}45{:}04{.}426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}06{.}234$ something that we can bring into

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:45:06.234 \rightarrow 00:45:07.576$ public health more frequently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00{:}45{:}07{.}576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09{.}724$ There's a lot of communities of

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:45:09.724 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.577$ practice to plug into as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

 $00:45:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.605$ We've developed the climate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.879427664666667

00:45:13.605 --> 00:45:15.225 Health Institute at GW.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

00:45:15.230 --> 00:45:17.267 We just are now completing a NASA

 $00:45:17.267 \rightarrow 00:45:18.924$ supported team called satellite data

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00{:}45{:}18{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}20{.}729$ for environmental justice that brought

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00:45:20.729 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.073$ together a lot of people that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

00:45:23.073 --> 00:45:24.885 using satellite data for this purpose

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00{:}45{:}24.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}28.482$ and a plug to shameless plug to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00:45:28.482 \rightarrow 00:45:31.868$ involved in the AGU health community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00{:}45{:}31{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}33{.}928$ which you know includes a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00:45:33.928 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.299$ people who are using these big

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00:45:36.299 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.199$ geospatial datasets to answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00{:}45{:}38{.}199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}39{.}624$ environmental health problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00:45:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.556$ Very excited that Doctor Chen is

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00{:}45{:}41{.}556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}43{.}370$ part of that community as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00{:}45{:}43{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}44{.}990$ So that's it for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00{:}45{:}44{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}47{.}102$ Just wanted to acknowledge a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00{:}45{:}47.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}49.032$ of support and again reiterate

 $00{:}45{:}49{.}032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}51{.}211$ that without open data sets none

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

00:45:51.211 -> 00:45:52.873 of this would have been possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00{:}45{:}52.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}55.216$ So thank you to the data set developers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816738985

 $00:45:55.220 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.630$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.57156815

00:46:01.440 --> 00:46:02.970 I think it takes around

NOTE Confidence: 0.57156815

00:46:02.970 --> 00:46:05.190 5 to 10 minutes for Q&A,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89760932

 $00:46:05.190 \dashrightarrow 00:46:06.878$ so if you do have a question please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}46{:}08.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}11.506$ Sure. Thank you so much for stopping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}46{:}11.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.428$ It's really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:12.428 \rightarrow 00:46:15.115$ I, I know that one of the major

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:46:15.115 --> 00:46:17.027 concerns amongst environmental justice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}46{:}17.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}19.825$ communities with datasets such as EJ

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:19.825 \rightarrow 00:46:21.889$ screen is that they're not specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:21.889 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.470$ enough that they don't get down

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:24.470 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.040$ to that really granular level of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:46:27.040 --> 00:46:29.444 Look, fenceline impacts umm.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598
- 00:46:29.444 --> 00:46:31.848 And I'm curious how,

 $00:46:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.310$ when working with large datasets

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:35.310 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.570$ from satellites such as troponin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.395$ which only takes about once

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:39.395 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.490$ a day measurement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}46{:}40{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}43{.}339$ you can also bring in those qualitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:43.339 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.718$ data points from environmental justice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}46{:}45.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}48.964$ communities on the ground to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}46{:}48{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}50{.}608$ experiencing air pollution impacts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}46{:}50{.}608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}53{.}170$ I love that question because it really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:46:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.774$ shows the value not just in this kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:46:55.774 --> 00:46:57.670 of quantitative data work that I do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}46{:}57.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}59.644$ but in the lived experience as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}46{:}59{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}01{.}738$ And we've we've run into this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}47{:}01.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}04.010$ multiple projects and I just couldn't

 $00:47:04.010 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.030$ agree more with that because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}47{:}06{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}08{.}390$ As I showed the we still have disagreement

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:08.390 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.252$ between several of the high resolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:10.252 \rightarrow 00:47:11.777$ datasets that we're looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}47{:}11.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}13.876$ I mean they are better I think than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}47{:}13.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}15.600$ the course resolution data set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:47:15.600 --> 00:47:16.410 But if you're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:47:16.410 --> 00:47:18.669 let's say you're looking at a map of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:47:18.669 --> 00:47:20.797 Houston and you've got our land use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:47:20.797 --> 00:47:22.655 regression data set of N2 and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}47{:}22.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24.584$ the tricomi data set of two and you NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:24.584 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.629$ live in an area which is high in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:26.629 \rightarrow 00:47:28.613$ one data set and not in the other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.170$ what then?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}47{:}29{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}31{.}095$ And you know where that is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:31.095 \rightarrow 00:47:32.547$ reality we are in right now,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598
- 00:47:32.550 00:47:36.030 we're in this messy space of data sets.

 $00{:}47{:}36{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}38{.}442$ Not matching at that granular scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:38.442 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.195$ and I just think it shows the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:41.195 \rightarrow 00:47:43.920$ limitation of what we can do with a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.650$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:44.650 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.380$ one-size-fits-all approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:45.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.205$ you consistent across the US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}47{:}47{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}50{.}493$ We need to bring in people's lived

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}47{:}50{.}493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}52{.}937$ experience and understanding of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:47:52.937 \rightarrow 00:47:55.322$ local sources affecting their community

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}47{:}55{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}57{.}950$ for this datasets to be improved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}47{:}57{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}00{.}838$ How we do that, I think let's like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:48:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.620$ Get creative, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:48:01.620 --> 00:48:04.005 I mean, we could bring in story

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:48:04.005 --> 00:48:05.870 maps of people's life experiences,

 $00:48:05.870 \rightarrow 00:48:08.014$ you know, there's a lot of ways it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}48{:}08{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}09{.}470$ it's not even just about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:48:09.470 --> 00:48:10.966 you know, community monitoring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:48:10.966 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.836$ which can be quite helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:48:12.840 --> 00:48:13.662 And, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}48{:}13.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}14.758$ we're rapidly expanding that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:48:14.758 --> 00:48:16.159 in the US right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:48:16.160 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.324$ but, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}48{:}17{.}324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19{.}652$ You have people going out and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:48:19.660 \rightarrow 00:48:20.992$ writing about their experiences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00{:}48{:}20{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}22{.}657$ taking videos of their experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

00:48:22.660 --> 00:48:25.159 So I think that's sort of community

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:48:25.159 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.516$ contributed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8310598

 $00:48:25.520 \rightarrow 00:48:27.284$ qualitative approach has a lot of value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:48:32.100 - 00:48:34.310 Yes, just make sure there's any

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:48:34.310 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.720$ students have a question for us.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00:48:35.720 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.988$ Is there a hand over there?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00{:}48{:}37{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}40{.}661$ Umm, so my question or this, first of all,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00{:}48{:}40.661 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}42.203$ thank you for the fabulous presentation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- 00:48:42.210 --> 00:48:43.890 I greatly enjoyed it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00:48:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.768$ My question, slash comment is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- 00:48:45.768 --> 00:48:46.806 about environmental disparity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- 00:48:46.810 --> 00:48:48.900 So I you know, a lot of times we see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- 00:48:48.967 --> 00:48:50.887 more and more and more beautiful,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00:48:50.890 \dashrightarrow 00:48:53.266$ beautiful, more and more detailed maps.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00:48:53.270 \rightarrow 00:48:55.926$ However, if we could press a button today
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00{:}48{:}55{.}926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}58{.}747$ that made exposure equal across the world,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00{:}48{:}58{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}59{.}866$ first of all, we press it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00:48:59.870 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.142$ Second of all,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00:49:01.142 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.414$ environmental disparities would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00:49:02.414 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.110$ still exist because people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:04.168 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.908$ respond differently to health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}49{:}05{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}08{.}248$ So my comment to you my question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:08.250 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.769$ Is. What are your thoughts on this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}49{:}09{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}11.682$ Because I have had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}49{:}11.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}14.550$ Like when I talk with communities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}49{:}14{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17{.}434$ 99 to 100% of them talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:17.434 \rightarrow 00:49:19.360$ exposure without talking about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.905$ fact that and it is a fact that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}49{:}21.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24.069$ know that people respond differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.122$ And to what degree do you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}49{:}27.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}29.189$ environmental health disparities should be? NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}49{:}29{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}32{.}205$ Are there may be some environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:49:32.205 --> 00:49:34.360 disparities not incorporated into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:34.425 \rightarrow 00:49:36.550$ world's most perfect exposure map?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}49{:}36{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}38{.}326$ The way they agreed to you that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:38.326 \rightarrow 00:49:40.237$ have very focused on pollution levels,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00:49:40.240 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.925$ and the same pollution level

00:49:41.925 --> 00:49:43.273 can cause dramatic different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.810$ dramatically different impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:44.810 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.340$ for different populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:46.340 \rightarrow 00:49:47.720$ I showed that map of Washington,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}49{:}47{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}49{.}936$ DC and the high PM 2.5 mortality rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}49{:}49{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}52{.}709$ on the eastern half and the low PM 2.5

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:52.709 \dashrightarrow 00:49:54.768$ mortality rate on the western half.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:49:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.390$ That actually comes from a pretty consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:49:59.390 --> 00:50:02.288 PM 2.5 concentration for the entire city,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.670$ but vastly different mortality rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:50:05.670 --> 00:50:06.882 The, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:06.882 \rightarrow 00:50:09.306$ Southeast Quadrant has had no hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}50{:}09{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}10{.}170$ GW Building went out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:10.170 \rightarrow 00:50:11.910$ I'm very happy that that that's happening.

- 00:50:11.910 --> 00:50:12.846 But no hospital,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00{:}50{:}12.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}14.406$ so no access to healthcare,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00:50:14.410 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.570$ no easy access to healthcare.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00:50:16.570 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.402$ This is the same,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00{:}50{:}17.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}17.818$ you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00{:}50{:}17.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}19.626$ in cities all around the country
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00:50:19.626 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.629$ and around the world that there's,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- 00:50:21.630 --> 00:50:22.252 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00{:}50{:}22.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}24.429$ social determinants of health are a major,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- 00:50:24.430 --> 00:50:24.858 major.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00{:}50{:}24.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}26.998$ Doctor Diamond exposure and I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00:50:26.998 \rightarrow 00:50:29.910$ think in terms of addressing it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- 00:50:29.910 --> 00:50:31.849 I mean we have like I said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00{:}50{:}31.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}33.686$ the there's this time and economic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00:50:33.686 \rightarrow 00:50:35.229$ justice screening tools being used
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00:50:35.229 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.825$ now for the Justice 40 initiative.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986
- $00:50:36.830 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.064$ We have EJ screen to show where

 $00{:}50{:}40.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}41.450$ these disadvantaged communities

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:41.523 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.428$ are in a nation wide basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:43.430 \rightarrow 00:50:46.652$ Some are not accounting for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:46.652 \rightarrow 00:50:48.263$ that increase susceptibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:48.270 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.530$ increase mortality rates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:50:49.530 --> 00:50:50.790 higher mortality rates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:50.790 \dashrightarrow 00:50:52.494$ higher health outcome rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:52.494 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.050$ The Cbest tool right now is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}50{:}55{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}56{.}842$ Includes poverty and one

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:50:56.842 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.738$ additional indicator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}50{:}57{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01{.}620$ So that could be PM 2.5 and EJ.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:51:01.620 --> 00:51:02.728 Screen has an index.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}51{:}02.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}06.139$ I think if we were to use more of like that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}51{:}06{.}139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}08{.}420$ index approach that brings in poverty,

 $00:51:08.420 \rightarrow 00:51:10.821$ brings in health and some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00{:}51{:}10.821 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}12.941$ other social determinants of health in

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:51:12.941 \rightarrow 00:51:14.656$ addition to the pollution exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:51:14.660 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.935$ we can start to identify not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:51:16.935 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.839$ who is experiencing bad pollution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

 $00:51:18.840 \rightarrow 00:51:22.496$ but who is most impacted by that bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6888986

00:51:22.500 --> 00:51:22.920 Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7078442

 $00:51:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.960$ Any idea what's causing the differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.7078442

 $00{:}51{:}27.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}29.752$ in disparities between cities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.694952775

00:51:29.760 --> 00:51:31.016 I'm originally from Chicago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.694952775

 $00{:}51{:}31{.}016$ --> $00{:}51{:}32{.}272$ The express ways run through

NOTE Confidence: 0.694952775

00:51:32.272 --> 00:51:33.910 black and brown neighborhoods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.694952775

 $00:51:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.050$ which is true everywhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.694952775

00:51:36.050 --> 00:51:37.655 But disparities there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.694952775

00:51:37.660 --> 00:51:39.826 both knocks and five were fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.694952775

 $00:51:39.826 \rightarrow 00:51:42.189$ modest compared to the other cities.

00:51:43.860 --> 00:51:45.516 That's it's such a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00{:}51{:}45{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}47{.}592$ And we now have a big project with

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00{:}51{:}47{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49{.}139$ an environmental Defense fund to

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:51:49.139 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.764$ dig into Chicago specifically to

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00{:}51{:}50.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}52.021$ understand that because Chicago

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00{:}51{:}52{.}021 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}53{.}953$ does have a whole lot of trucking

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:51:53.960 \dashrightarrow 00:51:55.556$ that is coming through the city.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:51:55.560 \rightarrow 00:51:59.536$ And as you say it is associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00{:}51{:}59{.}536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}01{.}566$ geographically with with with

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

00:52:01.566 --> 00:52:03.338 black and Hispanic populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00{:}52{:}03{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}05{.}489$ There is no some other major roads

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00{:}52{:}05{.}489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}07{.}882$ that are more in wealthier whiter

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

00:52:07.882 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:52:09.283 neighborhoods like Lakeshore

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

00:52:09.283 --> 00:52:11.151 Drive going going north.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00{:}52{:}11{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}13{.}680$ So when you take like an urban average.

 $00:52:13.680 \rightarrow 00:52:15.626$ It also very much depends on learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00{:}52{:}15.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}17.208$ It very much depends on how

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:52:17.208 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.769$ you define what the city is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

00:52:18.770 --> 00:52:20.318 Are you looking just at Chicago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:52:20.320 \rightarrow 00:52:23.140$ the entire county, entire MSA?

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:52:23.140 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.899$ And actually we've seen that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

00:52:25.899 --> 00:52:27.306 disparities flipped depending

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:52:27.306 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.120$ on how you define their opinion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00{:}52{:}30{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}31{.}956$ More details coming soon at Chicago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795511673333333

 $00:52:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.940$ so that's an interesting one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84271500875

 $00{:}52{:}34{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}37{.}340$ Thank you so much for the fascinating part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84271500875

 $00{:}52{:}37{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}40{.}094$ I just have a question about the time trends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84271500875

 $00{:}52{:}40{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}42{.}800$ You showed that by racial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84271500875

 $00:52:42.800 \rightarrow 00:52:47.861$ ethnic of their exposure and O 2:00 PM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84271500875

 $00:52:47.861 \rightarrow 00:52:51.709$ but how, how does that change over time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84271500875

 $00:52:51.710 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.325$ Is there any like convergence

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84271500875
- $00:52:53.325 \rightarrow 00:52:54.294$ across those groups?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00{:}52{:}55{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}58{.}079$ They have to share share the slides
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00:52:58.079 \rightarrow 00:52:59.745$ but the the project that I showed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00{:}52{:}59{.}745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}01{.}621$ that had the PM on the left hand side
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00{:}53{:}01{.}621 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}03{.}369$ and the No2 on the right hand side
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00{:}53{:}03{.}369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}04{.}851$ that showed PM mortality rates and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00{:}53{:}04{.}851 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06{.}646$ then No2 attributable as thma rates.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00:53:06.646 \dashrightarrow 00:53:08.806$ Those do show trends overtime
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00{:}53{:}08{.}806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}10{.}791$ and the concentrations for both
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- 00:53:10.791 --> 00:53:13.828 PM and NS are going down for all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00{:}53{:}13.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}15.880$ population subgroups really great.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00{:}53{:}15{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}17{.}664$ But the relative disparities
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00:53:17.664 \rightarrow 00:53:19.894$ are increasing for both parents
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00{:}53{:}19{.}894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}22{.}298$ because of the like the changes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00{:}53{:}22{.}298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}24{.}280$ in that that overall magnitude.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194

- $00{:}53{:}24{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}26{.}380$ So the. That's this one.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- 00:53:26.380 --> 00:53:27.256 Thank you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00:53:27.256 \rightarrow 00:53:28.570$ So the overtime,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00{:}53{:}28{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}30{.}900$ the PM concentrations have come
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00{:}53{:}30{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33{.}230$ down approximately the same amount
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00{:}53{:}33{.}307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}35{.}003$ for all population subgroups
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00{:}53{:}35{.}003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}37{.}547$ and that leads to an increased.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00:53:37.550 \rightarrow 00:53:39.368$ Ratio between the population subgroups and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00{:}53{:}39{.}368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42.079$ then for N2 this doesn't really look like it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00:53:42.080 \rightarrow 00:53:45.509$ but these orange dots are going down as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00{:}53{:}45{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}47{.}402$ Much greater energy reductions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00:53:47.402 \rightarrow 00:53:49.767$ for the least white communities,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00:53:49.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.410$ but still we see rising ratios of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- $00{:}53{:}54{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}57{.}200$ disparity relative disparities.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.639273194
- 00:53:57.200 --> 00:53:57.450 Thank
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830236174166667
- 00:53:57.460 00:53:59.716 you. The the reason I ask this is

- NOTE Confidence: 0.830236174166667
- $00:53:59.716 \rightarrow 00:54:02.500$ from the population migration and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830236174166667
- 00:54:02.500 --> 00:54:05.258 point of view is very mixed picture.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830236174166667
- $00:54:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.206$ The data shows that it's more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830236174166667
- $00:54:08.206 \rightarrow 00:54:10.668$ segregation across cities unless so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830236174166667
- $00:54:10.668 \dashrightarrow 00:54:13.580$ within cities in many parts of America.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830236174166667
- $00:54:13.580 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.670$ So that's interesting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.761099540833333
- $00{:}54{:}16.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}18.105$ We only looked at the the temporal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.761099540833333
- 00:54:18.105 00:54:19.479 trends and the pollution levels,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.761099540833333
- $00:54:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.436$ not where where people are living,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.761099540833333
- $00:54:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.742$ so that would be an interesting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.761099540833333
- $00:54:22.742 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.610$ question to look into.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83376692375
- $00:54:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.304$ Uh, we we do have a comment online,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83376692375
- 00:54:27.310 --> 00:54:29.068 but I think it's more like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83376692375
- $00{:}54{:}29{.}068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}30{.}635$ suggestion we can look at and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83376692375
- $00{:}54{:}30{.}635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}31{.}925$ thank you all for coming because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83376692375

00:54:31.925 --> 00:54:33.508 we have a class right office. NOTE Confidence: 0.83376692375 00:54:33.510 --> 00:54:34.818 So we have to end today. NOTE Confidence: 0.83376692375 00:54:34.820 --> 00:54:36.210 Thank you all and thanks.