WEBVTT

1 00:00:00.360 --> 00:00:04.410 <v Host>Welcome to the first seminar of our seminar series</v>

 $2\ 00:00:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.480$ in Climate, Air, and Health.

 $3\ 00:00:06.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.013$ We have some online audiences joining us today,

4 00:00:09.013 --> 00:00:12.310 and before we get started, just wanted to let you know that

 $5\ 00:00:12.310 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.393$ this seminar is recorded, and later on,

 $6~00{:}00{:}15.393$ --> $00{:}00{:}19.470$ the recording will be posted on our center's website.

7 00:00:19.470 --> 00:00:20.490 On the monitor today,

8 00:00:20.490 \rightarrow 00:00:22.050 I have chosen assistant professor

9 00:00:22.050 --> 00:00:24.085 at Yale School of Public Health,

1000:00:24.085 --> 00:00:25.177 and also the director of research

11 00:00:25.177 --> 00:00:27.060 at Yale School of Public Health.

 $12\ 00:00:27.060 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.550$ So, it's my great pleasure today

13 00:00:29.550 --> 00:00:34.143 to introduce our very first speaker, Dr. Drew Gentner.

14 00:00:35.100 --> 00:00:39.480 Dr. Gentner is the associate professor in the department

 $15\ 00:00:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.670$ of chemical and environmental engineering.

 $16\ 00{:}00{:}41.670$ --> $00{:}00{:}44.427$ Also, the department of the School of the Environment.

 $17\ 00:00:44.427\ -->\ 00:00:48.442$ He got his master and the PhD from UC Berkeley,

18 00:00:48.442 --> 00:00:52.110 and also he has been at the Department of Chemical

19 00:00:52.110 --> 00:00:56.100 and Environmental Engineering since 2014,

 $20\ 00:00:56.100 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.050$ where his research group focuses on

21 00:00:58.050 --> 00:01:02.910 air quality, pollution, emissions, and chemistry.

 $22\ 00:01:02.910 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.740$ His application in books and [Indistinct].

23 00:01:06.848 --> 00:01:10.300 And today we are very fortunate to have both Dr Gentner,

 $24\ 00:01:11.511 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.150$ and also Professor Gillingham joining us online.

 $25\ 00{:}01{:}15{.}150$ --> $00{:}01{:}20{.}150$ Today the main topic will be focusing on their recent paper,

 $26\ 00:01:21.150 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.128$ the Climate and Health Benefits

27 00:01:23.128 --> 00:01:26.013 from Intensive Building Energy Efficiency.

 $28\ 00:01:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.280$ So without further ado, please.

29 00:01:29.280 --> 00:01:31.110 <v Dr. Gentner>Thank you so much.</v>

 $30\ 00:01:31.110 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.260$ And my one request of the virtual audience

 $31\ 00:01:34.260 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.050$ is let me know if you can't hear me clearly.

32 00:01:37.050 --> 00:01:40.320 I will try to speak loudly and through a mask,

33 00:01:40.320 --> 00:01:43.230 but just chime in if you're having trouble

 $34\ 00:01:43.230 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.733$ and I'll stay closer to my computer.

35 00:01:45.733 --> 00:01:50.733 Al
right so, you have both and Ken and I here today,

36 00:01:51.720 --> 00:01:54.270 and I wish he could have been here in person,

37 00:01:54.270 --> 00:01:57.820 but I get to present this paper that we worked on along with

38 00:01:58.950 --> 00:02:02.340 Professor Jordan Peccia in Environmental Engineering,

39 00:02:02.340 --> 00:02:04.380 a PhD student of mine, Colby Buehler,

 $40\ 00:02:04.380 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.720$ and former postdoc of Ken's

41 00:02:06.720 --> 00:02:08.460 from the School of the Environment.

 $42\ 00:02:08.460 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.560$ So, this was a cool project

43 00:02:10.560 $\rightarrow 00:02:12.240$ that we were really excited about because

44 00:02:12.240 $\rightarrow 00:02:14.970$ it was a true interdisciplinary science

 $45\ 00:02:14.970 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.090$ where I was excited to work with Ken

46 00:02:18.090 --> 00:02:20.340 to do some energy modeling, and then bring that

 $47\ 00:02:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.820$ into looking at outdoor and indoor air quality

 $48\ 00:02:23.820 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.830$ across the building envelope.

 $49\ 00:02:25.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.662$ And so, this brought in some expertise

50 00:02:27.662 --> 00:02:31.890 from Professor Peccia and I to look at air pollution,

51 $00:02:31.890 \rightarrow 00:02:34.290$ and then extend it to the health effects.

52 00:02:34.290 --> 00:02:38.193 This fell under the purview of, our,

53 00:02:39.510 --> 00:02:41.730 hopefully I can click here.

54 00:02:41.730 --> 00:02:45.450 Alright, of our search center,

55 00:02:45.450 --> 00:02:48.570 which I, Michelle Bell has been the director

56 00:02:48.570 --> 00:02:51.240 of up at the School of the Environment,

 $57\ 00:02:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.687$ and we're in our last year at the center now.

58 00:02:54.687 --> 00:02:57.090 But the overall objectives of this were to look at energy

 $59\ 00:02:57.090 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.460$ transitions and look at the the wide range

 $60\ 00:02:59.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.520$ of sources related to energy production use,

 $61\ 00:03:02.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.170$ in the context of other sources

 $62\ 00:03:04.170 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.530$ that attract urban air quality and health.

 $63\ 00:03:07.530 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.570$ And then, we paid key attention to both

 $64\ 00:03:09.570 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.730$ transitions and key modifiable factors.

 $65\ 00:03:11.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.110$ So which things can we change,

 $66\ 00:03:13.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.152$ either through policy or personal choices,

 $67\ 00:03:15.152 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.130$ so that we can make smarter decisions

 $68\ 00:03:17.130 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.500$ related to transportation, land use,

 $69\ 00{:}03{:}19{.}500$ --> $00{:}03{:}23{.}040$ our power generation, and distribution networks.

70 00:03:23.040 --> 00:03:24.510 So, this had a number of different

 $71\ 00:03:24.510 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.690$ projects involved with it.

 $72\ 00{:}03{:}27.690$ --> $00{:}03{:}31.860$ Ken's project was number one and mine was number two.

 $73\ 00:03:31.860$ --> 00:03:34.950 We were doing this in collaboration with Johns Hopkins,

 $74\ 00:03:34.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.660$ and we had a couple other projects.

 $75\ 00:03:36.660 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.280$ And so these things,

76 00:03:38.280 --> 00:03:43.280 we're focused on distinctly different areas of air quality

77 00:03:44.520 --> 00:03:47.520 where I was focused more on source characterization

 $78\ 00{:}03{:}47{.}520$ --> $00{:}03{:}50{.}250$ and measurements in project two and Ken was doing

 $79\ 00:03:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.440$ a lot of modeling on energy and emissions.

 $80\ 00:03:52.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.620$ So this project represented, and this paper,

81 00:03:55.620 --> 00:03:59.130 one of a couple things that we were doing has inter-center

 $82\ 00:03:59.130$ --> 00:04:03.630 collaboration within a much larger center structure.

83 00:04:03.630 --> 00:04:05.100 <v ->And you can check it out online</v>

84 $00:04:05.100 \dashrightarrow 00:04:07.170$ and see a lot of the other great work

85 $00:04:07.170 \dashrightarrow 00:04:08.880$ coming out of Michelle Bell's group

86 00:04:08.880 --> 00:04:11.250 and others at Yale, Johns Hopkins,

 $87\ 00{:}04{:}11.250$ --> $00{:}04{:}13.353$ and our partner institutions.

 $88\ 00:04:14.460 \dashrightarrow 00:04:19.127$ Which span some co-PIs at Johns Hopkins, and other PI's,

89 00:04:20.268 --> 00:04:24.813 and Dan Esty, at the School of the Environment.

90 00:04:25.920 --> 00:04:30.150 So, onto this paper. So, now it's like dive in and focus.

91 00:04:30.150 --> 00:04:33.180 This started, I can actually remember the workshop

92 00:04:33.180 --> 00:04:35.760 that Ken and I were at when we were talking

93 00:04:35.760 --> 00:04:38.220 about this research question.

 $94\ 00:04:38.220 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.930$ Thinking about how the climate and health

 $95\ 00:04:40.930 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.040$ benefits intersect when we look at

96 $00:04:44.040 \rightarrow 00:04:46.320$ building energy efficiency measures.

97 00:04:46.320 --> 00:04:48.900 'Cause Ken's group was thinking about

98 00:04:48.900 --> 00:04:51.270 building energy efficiency scenarios,

99 00:04:51.270 --> 00:04:55.590 how we reduce energy use in the building sector to reduce

100 00:04:55.590 --> 00:05:00.590 C02 emissions and affect change for climate mitigation.

101 00:05:01.260 --> 00:05:03.570 And I started asking, well Ken,

 $102 \ 00:05:03.570 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.090$ what about the indoor air quality on that?

103 00:05:06.090 --> 00:05:08.520 You know, we're gonna drop emissions of pollution

 $104\ 00:05:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.890$ outdoors from reduced energy production,

 $105\ 00:05:10.890 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.630$ but what happens with the

 $106\ 00:05:12.630 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.037$ building energy efficiency measures?

107 00:05:14.037 --> 00:05:18.933 And so, thus this project and this paper was born.

108 00:05:20.970 --> 00:05:24.030 As a brief overview of where we're going with this today,

 $109\ 00{:}05{:}24.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.920$ we start with the fact that buildings

 $110\ 00:05:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.023$ account for 40% of energy usage,

111 $00:05:29.130 \rightarrow 00:05:32.910$ a lot of our energy command nationally.

112 $00{:}05{:}32{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36{.}450$ So, it makes it a really prime target for

113 00:05:36.450 $\rightarrow 00:05:39.754$ climate change mitigation and producing

 $114\ 00:05:39.754 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.650$ both energy use and associated emissions.

115 00:05:43.650 --> 00:05:46.380 These are emissions of not only climate pollutants,

116 $00{:}05{:}46{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49{.}136$ but also air pollutants, slight particulate

117 00:05:49.136 --> 00:05:53.640 matter sulfur dioxide, carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxide.

118 00:05:53.640 --> 00:05:58.080 So, with these scenarios that I'll show you in a moment,

119 $00{:}05{:}58{.}080$ --> $00{:}06{:}00{.}930$ we looked at reductions in energy related emissions

120 00:06:00.930 --> 00:06:03.723 that would be occurring outdoors from power generation,

121 $00{:}06{:}04.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07.110$ and then translated that to its

122 00:06:07.110 --> 00:06:08.790 effects on indoor air quality.

123 00:06:08.790 --> 00:06:12.393 And, I'll talk about that feedback loop in a moment.

12400:06:13.320 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:06:18.320 But, the approach here is to use the Yale-NEMS model,

125 00:06:19.260 --> 00:06:23.040 which Ken runs up at school of the environment,

126 00:06:23.040 --> 00:06:25.050 to look at energy efficiency scenarios

 $127\ 00:06:25.050 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.060$ across the entire US housing stock.

128 00:06:27.060 --> 00:06:29.550 So we're not just studying one building,

129 00:06:29.550 --> 00:06:32.511 we model all the homes of the US and their changes

130 00:06:32.511 --> 00:06:36.207 over time with a lot of simulations

131 $00:06:36.207 \rightarrow 00:06:39.873$ and a couple models that were interconnected.

132 00:06:39.873 --> 00:06:41.400 Then we evaluated the outdoor

 $133\ 00:06:41.400 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.930$ indoor air quality implications.

134 00:06:42.930 --> 00:06:46.080 So how do the changes in emissions

 $135\ 00:06:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.160$ affect exposure and human health,

136 $00{:}06{:}50{.}160$ --> $00{:}06{:}52{.}320$ both for outdoor and indoor exposure pathways,

137 00:06:52.320 --> 00:06:53.370 and look at the bad effects

 $138\ 00:06:53.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.013$ on human health, all of these together.

139 00:06:57.000 --> 00:07:00.930 So, I'll walk through this in a bit more detail,

140 00:07:00.930 --> 00:07:02.880 but we start from something where

141 $00:07:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.610$ we take a reference scenario,

142 00:07:05.610 --> 00:07:07.230 an intermediate energy efficiency

143 $00:07:07.230 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.940$ scenario just for buildings.

144 00:07:08.940 --> 00:07:12.151 An optimistic energy efficiency scenario for buildings

 $145\ 00:07:12.151 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.480$ and look at the changes in energy consumption

146 00:07:15.480 \rightarrow 00:07:17.910 and then test the carbon pricing scenario

147 $00{:}07{:}17.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}21.060$ for those to see how that affects it.

148 00:07:21.060 - 00:07:22.890 And we'll walk through this before,

149 00:07:22.890 --> 00:07:24.450 but if you fast forward all the way,

 $150\ 00:07:24.450 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.670$ you can see how we will then be able

151 00:07:26.670 --> 00:07:29.610 to look at projections in particular manner,

 $152\ 00:07:29.610 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.213$ emissions from that reduced energy use.

153 00:07:34.380 --> 00:07:38.700 So, the scenarios, without going into them in great detail,

154 00:07:38.700 --> 00:07:40.710 although there's a lot of information in the paper

 $155\ 00:07:40.710$ --> 00:07:43.500 and tech would be happy to answer questions, $156\ 00:07:43.500$ --> 00:07:46.440 looks at changes in both appliances and equipment

 $157\ 00:07:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.030$ and in the building shell.

 $158\ 00:07:48.030 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.250$ So we have all of this stuff indoors

159 00:07:50.250 --> 00:07:53.460 for heating, cooling, cooking, lighting,

160 00:07:53.460 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:07:57.660 and other things like refrigeration

161 00:07:57.660 --> 00:07:59.550 and those have a certain amount of energy use with them,

 $162\ 00:07:59.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.750$ and that's been a target of a lot

163 00:08:00.750 --> 00:08:03.120 of governmental programs through efficiency.

164 00:08:03.120 --> 00:08:05.820 You know, you can go and buy energy star things,

 $165\ 00:08:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.550$ you see them when you go to the store,

 $166\ 00{:}08{:}08{:}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12.090$ and so, there are targets related to the energy efficiency.

167 00:08:12.090 --> 00:08:15.750 And then in the building shell is where we start to look

 $168\ 00:08:15.750 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.110$ at the interconnections at indoor air quality.

169 00:08:19.110 --> 00:08:21.570 'Cause the indoor environment is really complex.

 $170\ 00:08:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.070$ The air that gets to us here,

171 00:08:23.070 --> 00:08:26.580 or the air in your home navigates a lot of places.

172 00:08:26.580 --> 00:08:29.520 Either through a forced air system or just naturally,

173 00:08:29.520 --> 00:08:33.270 you have some penetration coming through the walls,

 $174\ 00:08:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.763$ and some infiltration of air,

175 00:08:34.763 --> 00:08:36.540 and the pollutants coming in,

 $176\ 00:08:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.400$ and some ventilation of the air going out.

177 00:08:38.400 --> 00:08:42.150 You may do that on purpose, opening you know, a door,

 $178\ 00{:}08{:}42.150$ --> $00{:}08{:}46.260$ turning on a fan, or that might just be happening naturally,

 $179\ 00:08:46.260 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.000$ and depending on the age of your home

 $180\ 00:08:48.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.320$ and how well it's sealed,

181 $00:08:49.320 \rightarrow 00:08:51.930$ that could be happening at quite a high rate.

182 00:08:51.930 --> 00:08:55.740 So, we look at the changes in the building shell

183 00:08:55.740 --> 00:08:57.210 across a range of environments,

184 00:08:57.210 --> 00:08:59.610 and we're gonna talk more about residences today,

 $185\ 00:08:59.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.070$ 'cause that's where we do spend most

 $186\ 00:09:02.070 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.657$ of our time and a lot of our time,

187 00:09:04.657 --> 00:09:09.210 a lot of our PM2.5 emissions indoors

 $188\ 00:09:09.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.680$ occur in our residences.

189 00:09:10.680 --> 00:09:12.660 So we'll look at that, and we'll look these scenarios

190 $00{:}09{:}12.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.390$ where we have existing homes and we look at changes

191 $00{:}09{:}15{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}18{.}450$ in efficiency that happen at slower incremental rates.

 $192\ 00:09:18.450 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.580$ And then new homes that are built

 $193\ 00:09:20.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.080$ to the newest specifications which follow these

 $194\ 00:09:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.383$ ambitious but demonstrated improvements.

195 00:09:29.490 --> 00:09:34.320 So Ken's model, which is the national energy modeling

196 $00{:}09{:}34.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38.367$ system model that is the scale installation of this,

197 00:09:38.367 --> 00:09:42.093 and the launch model developed by the US EIA,

 $198\ 00:09:44.070 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.260$ covers a whole lot of things in the supply side,

199 00:09:46.260 --> 00:09:47.850 convergence side, and demand side,

 $200\ 00:09:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.160$ electricity, and integrates it together.

201 $00{:}09{:}50{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}53{.}640$ So, where we're gonna focus on today for this paper

202
 00:09:53.640 --> 00:09:55.710 is looking at the changes in the residential demand

203 00:09:55.710 --> 00:09:58.560 and commercial demand that are derived

 $204\ 00:09:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.203$ from these changes in energy efficiency.

 $205\ 00:10:02.220 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.410$ So if we change the design of a building,

 $206\ 00:10:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.290$ we are changing the energy in the air there,

207 00:10:07.290 --> 00:10:10.830 and that has feedbacks to reduce demand,

 $208\ 00{:}10{:}10{.}830$ --> $00{:}10{:}13{.}473$ to increase production and thus we have changes.

209 00:10:15.120 --> 00:10:18.480 So, there are a lot of things that are in this model,

 $210\ 00:10:18.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.610$ and if you are a big fan of supplemental

211 00:10:20.610 --> 00:10:22.740 information sections and papers,

212 00:10:22.740 --> 00:10:26.130 I encourage you to check out the,

213 00:10:26.130 --> 00:10:29.890 somewhere around 55 pages that exist in the paper

214 00:10:31.050 --> 00:10:34.180 with hopefully, every question that you might have

215 00:10:35.880 --> 00:10:39.090 about the energy modeling system and then hence,

216 00:10:39.090 --> 00:10:40.833 other work using this model.

 $217\ 00:10:41.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.490$ And so, if we look at the scenarios,

218 00:10:44.490 --> 00:10:48.840 you have the reference case at the top here in red

219 00:10:48.840 --> 00:10:53.840 that we play around the carbon pricing initiative on there.

220 00:10:53.970 --> 00:10:56.220 Now we look at the intermediate energy efficiency,

 $221\ 00:10:56.220 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.422$ just for buildings here and see that that drops

222 00:10:58.422 --> 00:11:01.365 consumption down somewhat than a more optimistic

 $223\ 00:11:01.365 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.290$ one with without carbon pricing.

224 00:11:04.290 --> 00:11:07.020 The direct effects on carbon dioxide emissions are shown

225 00:11:07.020 --> 00:11:10.530 over here where you can actually see a pretty sizable effect

226 00:11:10.530 --> 00:11:12.690 on overall carbon dioxide emissions

227 00:11:12.690 --> 00:11:15.900 just from building energy efficiency improvements.

 $228\ 00:11:15.900 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.110$ So, this really points back to that fact that

 $229\ 00:11:19.110 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.110\ 40\%$ of our energy use occurs in maintaining

230 00:11:24.990 --> 00:11:26.460 our buildings and in our buildings.

 $231\ 00:11:26.460 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.253$ So, any change that we make here,

 $232\ 00:11:30.270 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.210$ a policy level has a pretty sizable effect

233 00:11:33.210 --> 00:11:37.203 on energy demand and related climate pollute emissions.

234 00:11:39.210 --> 00:11:44.210 This also has a sizable effect on air pollutant emissions

235 00:11:44.250 --> 00:11:48.400 like criteria pollutants for particular matter, NOx.

236 00:11:48.400 --> 00:11:53.400 SO2, VOCs, a sub effect on ammonia and carbon dioxide,

237 00:11:54.257 --> 00:11:56.725 excuse me, carbon monoxide,

238 00:11:56.725 --> 00:12:01.375 though today we're gonna focus mostly on PM2.5,

239 00:12:01.375 --> 00:12:06.375 since that is driving factor of premature mortality,

240 00:12:06.390 --> 00:12:11.390 and what's the key pollutant of interest for this paper.

 $241\ 00:12:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.760$ So here we've defined what the changes are

 $242\ 00:12:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.570$ for each of these scenarios over this time rise

 $243\ 00:12:18.570 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.530$ and extending to 2050 for the energy related

 $244\ 00:12:22.530 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.210$ emissions that are occurring outdoors.

245 00:12:24.210 --> 00:12:25.980 So if you wanna visualize it,

246 00:12:25.980 --> 00:12:30.980 what's coming out of the smokestack for PM2.5 emissions.

247 00:12:31.680 --> 00:12:33.930 So that's gonna vary a little bit across the country

248 00:12:33.930 --> 00:12:36.150 where we generate that power, how we generate it.

249 00:12:36.150 --> 00:12:39.060 And so we'll talk about that at the end

 $250\ 00:12:39.060 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.163$ of the presentation today.

251 00:12:43.290 --> 00:12:48.290 So, we spend close to 90% of our time indoors, so,

252 00:12:50.670 --> 00:12:53.520 so we're thinking about exposure to pollutants.

 $253\ 00:12:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.050$ We really need to be considering that

254 00:12:55.050 --> 00:12:58.590 indoor environment and how it modulates our exposure

255 00:12:58.590 --> 00:13:02.010 to pollution coming in from outdoors,

 $256\ 00:13:02.010 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.607$ but also how it affects,

 $257\ 00:13:05.607 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.996$ how the design of that indoor environment

258 00:13:06.996 --> 00:13:10.953 affects our exposure to pollutants that are generated.

259 00:13:12.630 --> 00:13:15.270 Now, I wish I had Jordan Peccia here with me today,

260 00:13:15.270 --> 00:13:18.930 so he could answer all of your COVID-related questions,

261 00:13:18.930 --> 00:13:21.660 relating to ventilation and filtration,

262 00:13:21.660 $\rightarrow 00:13:23.910$ because that is not my area of expertise.

263 00:13:23.910 $\rightarrow 00:13:27.810$ But you can take this admissions term here,

264 00:13:27.810 --> 00:13:32.160 and think really about whatever pollutant or microbe

 $265\ 00{:}13{:}32.160$ --> $00{:}13{:}35.880$ or anything that you want, for your own work, $266\ 00{:}13{:}35.880$ --> $00{:}13{:}38.820$ and think about how that's affected by the design

 $267\ 00{:}13{:}38.820$ --> $00{:}13{:}42.783$ of your home or the space that you're currently in.

268 00:13:44.190 --> 00:13:45.510 This is a box model.

269 00:13:45.510 --> 00:13:48.870 It is actually simplified considerably,

270 00:13:48.870 --> 00:13:53.040 to just a singular box representing a space indoors.

271 00:13:53.040 --> 00:13:57.390 But yes, there's still one equation. For that, I apologize.

272 00:13:57.390 --> 00:13:58.950 You can ignore the equation if you like,

273 00:13:58.950 --> 00:14:01.030 I can try to cover it up and we can

 $274\ 00:14:01.030 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.470$ focus on the terms that are used here.

275 00:14:04.470 --> 00:14:05.720 So, I'm going to point out a few things

276 00:14:05.720 --> 00:14:07.380 on how the model connects,

 $277\ 00:14:07.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.470$ just to try to show how this all comes together.

278 00:14:10.470 --> 00:14:15.470 So first thing, we have recirculation with a filter.

279 00:14:15.660 --> 00:14:18.780 Now you're predominantly talking about HVAC system.

280 00:14:18.780 --> 00:14:22.110 So, forced mechanical air filtration system

 $281\ 00:14:22.110 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.970$ that you would have in an indoor building.

282 00:14:23.970 --> 00:14:26.460 You have them here, your apartment,

 $283\ 00:14:26.460 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.320$ perhaps up the east rock,

284 00:14:28.320 --> 00:14:33.240 that was built 80, 90 years ago may not have that,

285 00:14:33.240 --> 00:14:37.950 or some newer builds don't have of course, HVAC system,

 $286\ 00:14:37.950 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.750$ but that is where you would have some

 $287\ 00:14:39.750 \rightarrow 00:14:42.213$ active particle filtration that's occurring.

288 00:14:43.200 --> 00:14:47.410 Now in the the era of thinking about filtering for

289 00:14:48.930 --> 00:14:51.990 you know, viruses and other microbes doors, 290 00:14:51.990 --> 00:14:53.940 whether it be COVID or otherwise.

291 00:14:53.940 --> 00:14:56.370 We've started to put in some affordable air filters,

 $292\ 00:14:56.370 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.900$ so you could also think about that,

 $293\ 00:14:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.550$ but we're predominantly looking at this

 $294\ 00:14:59.550 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.203$ in terms of the HVAC system.

295 00:15:02.310 --> 00:15:07.310 So, on the other side here you have air coming in.

296 00:15:07.560 --> 00:15:09.750 So, infiltration is that, what I was talking

 $297\ 00:15:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.030$ about was coming through the cracks.

298 00:15:12.030 --> 00:15:15.120 You have bad windows, ceiling, it's an old building.

299 00:15:15.120 --> 00:15:18.990 You know, there's some areas where air just gets in.

300 00:15:18.990 --> 00:15:21.390 If it's a newer, newer, newer building,

 $301\ 00:15:21.390 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.340$ those seals tend to be better and better,

 $302\ 00:15:23.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.990$ and you have fewer spots for

303 00:15:24.990 --> 00:15:26.733 air to infiltrate from outdoors.

 $304\ 00:15:27.810 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.807$ But then you have this,

 $305\ 00:15:28.807 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.300$ and you have a penetration factor in there

 $306\ 00:15:30.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.440$ for how much particles

 $307\ 00:15:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.880$ get through those little cracks.

 $308\ 00:15:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.750$ So they can get stuck on the way.

 $309\ 00:15:34.750 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.413$ It's kinda like a filter like our mask.

310 00:15:37.827 --> 00:15:41.010 And you have natural ventilation,

 $311\ 00:15:41.010 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.170$ so you open the window because

312 00:15:43.170 --> 00:15:45.930 it's hot out or if you burnt toast,

313 00:15:45.930 --> 00:15:48.480 and that's gonna provide some natural (indistinct).

 $314\ 00:15:50.910 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.340$ Indoors, you know, the main thing is

315 00:15:53.340 --> 00:15:55.603 you have emissions for cooking,

316 00:15:55.603 --> 00:15:59.100 you burn a toast or just you know, regular,

 $317\ 00:15:59.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.350$ you were frying up some eggplant for dinner,

 $318\ 00:16:01.350 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.773$ and that generated some PM2.5.

319 00:16:05.732 --> 00:16:08.040 Number of appliances while you're cooking,

320 00:16:08.040 --> 00:16:10.650 actually have a pretty sizable PM sources,

 $321\ 00:16:10.650 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.510$ but that depends a lot on cooking style,

322 00:16:12.510 --> 00:16:16.563 and I forget you're affected by some

 $323\ 00:16:16.563 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.053$ of the filtration over your stove.

324 00:16:20.149 --> 00:16:21.120 We also worked into the model,

 $325\ 00:16:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.880$ the two loss terms of the deposition of the six.

326 00:16:23.880 --> 00:16:25.890 So, particles go to surfaces and also

 $327\ 00:16:25.890 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.723$ they could be meddling outside.

 $328\ 00:16:29.550 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.370$ But we're thinking today about,

329 00:16:32.370 --> 00:16:37.200 what is the changes that happen to these terms,

 $330\ 00:16:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.260$ and how it affects the concentrations indoors.

331 00:16:40.260 --> 00:16:44.550 But built within this is thinking about the housing stock.

332 00:16:44.550 --> 00:16:45.750 So Colby Buehler,

333 00:16:45.750 --> 00:16:48.510 a PhD student in environmental engineering,

334 00:16:48.510 --> 00:16:51.179 did a literature view of the US housing stock 335 00:16:51.179 --> 00:16:54.160 working with Peg Long from School of the Environment

336 00:16:55.020 $\rightarrow 00:16:58.620$ to determine the filtration flow rates for

337 00:16:58.620 --> 00:17:01.950 homes' HVAC, and the fraction of homes with HVAC systems

 $338\ 00:17:01.950 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.203$ and also the quality of filters in there.

339 00:17:05.250 --> 00:17:07.260 If I was, if we were all talking

 $340\ 00:17:07.260 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.340$ about this a couple years ago,

341 00:17:08.340 --> 00:17:11.310 you would probably not be very familiar with the quality of

 $342\ 00:17:11.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.040$ filters that exists up in these systems.

343 00:17:14.040 --> 00:17:16.560 But there's this whole rating system

 $344\ 00:17:16.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.888$ for 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14

345 00:17:19.888 --> 00:17:23.100 and it goes up to 16, then we get the half a grades,

346 00:17:23.100 \rightarrow 00:17:26.190 and that has a major effect on the efficiency

 $347\ 00:17:26.190 \rightarrow 00:17:30.109$ of those filters and the filtration of particles,

348 00:17:30.109 --> 00:17:34.083 doors or air barns, microbes or dusts or anything else.

349 00:17:35.730 --> 00:17:40.620 And then the infiltration and natural ventilation rates

 $350\ 00:17:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.550$ are also affected by house, home aid.

 $351\ 00:17:44.550 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.230$ So you think about infiltration,

 $352\ 00:17:46.230 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.110$ a home with more cracks, more gaps,

353 00:17:49.110 --> 00:17:54.110 has more infiltration through those penetration points.

 $354~00{:}17{:}57{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00{.}450$ Then, the residential energy demand consumption survey

 $355\ 00{:}18{:}00{.}450 \dashrightarrow> 00{:}18{:}04{.}020$ was used to determine appliance usage across all homes.

 $356\ 00:18:04.020 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.860$ So, we could look at the distribution in homes.

357 00:18:07.860 --> 00:18:10.650 Obviously, it comes down to how many people live in a home,

 $358\ 00:18:10.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.050$ but some of us are cooking all the time.

 $359\ 00:18:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.550$ We cook at home every single night,

 $360\ 00:18:14.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.460$ we use the toaster while we're using the stove,

 $361\ 00:18:17.460 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.927$ while we're using the oven.

362 00:18:18.927 --> 00:18:20.940 And some people, you know,

 $363\ 00:18:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.110$ will stop by and, you know,

 $364\ 00:18:25.110 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.210$ pick up something from the local

 $365\ 00:18:27.210 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.103$ falafel shop for dinner most nights.

366 00:18:32.520 --> 00:18:35.670 So, that's gonna have a huge effect on this admissions term,

367 00:18:35.670 --> 00:18:37.890 and it's going to propagate through this whole system,

368 00:18:37.890 --> 00:18:39.483 as you'll see later.

 $369\ 00:18:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.600$ So we then model over time,

 $370\ 00:18:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.870$ the changes in the US housing stock

 $371\ 00:18:48.870 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.990$ up through 2050 for this analysis.

 $372\ 00:18:51.990 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.800$ And changes in the building type,

 $373\ 00:18:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.290$ which includes the volume of home,

374 00:18:58.290 --> 00:18:59.790 sizes are going up,

 $375\ 00:18:59.790 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.330$ the amount of new homes that are built $376\ 00:19:03.330 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.760$ and the characteristics of those homes $377\ 00:19:05.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.620$ with respect to the installation of HVAC systems

 $378\ 00:19:10.620 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.590$ and filter types and all of that.

379 00:19:13.590 --> 00:19:17.910 So, and ultimately, the big effect that this

 $380\ 00:19:17.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.970$ has with the changes in the housing stock

 $381\ 00:19:20.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.580$ and energy creation or energy efficiency

382 00:19:23.580 --> 00:19:26.583 scenario is on this infiltration.

383 00:19:28.350 --> 00:19:30.180 So, how much ventilation occurs

 $384\ 00:19:30.180 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.560$ in your home without you actively doing that.

385 00:19:34.560 --> 00:19:37.710 You didn't turn on the HVAC system,

386 00:19:37.710 --> 00:19:39.720 you didn't necessarily open the window,

 $387\ 00:19:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.453$ but you have some pressure changes in home,

 $388\ 00:19:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.880$ and air is also very good

389 00:19:44.880 --> 00:19:47.460 at moving through cracks and things,

 $390\ 00:19:47.460 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.983$ and it will bring (indistinct) with it.

391 00:19:51.510 --> 00:19:53.280 If any of you just moved to New Haven,

 $392\ 00:19:53.280 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.923$ wait until a nice cold day,

393 $00{:}19{:}56{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}59{.}430$ go stand near a window at an older building,

 $394\ 00:19:59.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.810$ and you'll certainly feel that

 $395\ 00:20:00.810 \rightarrow 00:20:03.183$ cold air moving through some of those gaps.

 $396\ 00:20:04.170 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.600$ I know I had that experience when

397 00:20:06.600 --> 00:20:07.950 I first moved to New Haven.

 $398\ 00:20:12.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.980$ So, we also look at the changes,

399 00:20:13.980 --> 00:20:18.393 changes in the appliance type throughout the study.

400 00:20:21.660 --> 00:20:23.490 I'm gonna talk about something not,

401 00:20:23.490 --> 00:20:25.650 I won't refer to it as a Monte Carlo analysis

402 00:20:25.650 --> 00:20:28.300 over and over again but I want to make the point that

 $403\ 00:20:29.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.833$ to constrain the uncertainty in the study,

404 00:20:33.210 --> 00:20:38.210 Colby Buehler ran this a lot, a lot, a lot of times.

 $405\ 00:20:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.620$ Thousands upon thousands, across the entire $406\ 00:20:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.940$ US housing stock.

407 00:20:41.940 --> 00:20:43.260 So if you go through and you simulate

 $408\ 00:20:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.630$ a whole bunch of homes with this model,

409 00:20:45.630 --> 00:20:48.780 and you look at all the different conditions you can have,

 $410\ 00:20:48.780 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.790$ what is the net outcome of those?

411 00:20:50.790 --> 00:20:52.050 So again, we're not just talking about

 $412\ 00:20:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.490$ one home with one set of conditions,

 $413\ 00:20:53.490 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.030$ or a small perturbations that

 $414\ 00:20:56.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.210$ we will look at one or two things.

 $415\ 00:20:57.210 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.667$ But trying to put those all together so

416 00:20:58.667 --> 00:21:02.576 we can show sensitivity to these different features.

417 00:21:02.576 --> 00:21:05.490 So, the HVAC system and what it means for emissions,

 $418\ 00:21:05.490 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.188$ and how does infiltration change

419 00:21:07.188 --> 00:21:12.188 with energy efficiency measures, and the age of a home.

420 00:21:16.650 --> 00:21:21.650 So questions before we start moving out to results.

421 00:21:24.930 --> 00:21:28.830 If not, good work, you just got through like lecture five,

422 00:21:28.830 --> 00:21:33.423 or six of my class on box models so that's great.

423 00:21:36.180 --> 00:21:37.710 <v Speaker>We do have a student.</v>

424 00:21:37.710 --> 00:21:39.180 <v Dr. Gentner>Yes.</v>

425 00:21:39.180 --> 00:21:42.647 <
v Student>Yeah, I'm just, how confident are</br/>/v>

 $426\ 00:21:42.647 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.120$ you in modeling how the housing stock group $427\ 00:21:45.120 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.940$ change also changes in appliance?

428 00:21:47.940 --> 00:21:51.873 Like out to 2050, or did you use different scenarios?

 $429\ 00:21:53.229 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.420 < v Dr.$ Gentner>So, actually Ken,</v>

 $430\ 00:21:57.420 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.770$ I'll let you answer that one.

431 00:21:58.770 --> 00:22:00.494 It's phone a friend time already.

432 00:22:00.494 --> 00:22:03.270 The question was how confident are we in the changes

433 00:22:03.270 --> 00:22:06.297 of the housing stock and appliance shifting over time,

434 00:22:06.297 $\rightarrow 00:22:09.660$ and how are those scenarios, model there,

435 00:22:09.660 --> 00:22:12.840 are there multiple scenarios in the NEMS model?

436 00:22:12.840 --> 00:22:14.340 <v Dr. Gillingham>That's a a great question.</v>

 $437\ 00:22:14.340 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.320$ What we do is we use,

438 00:22:16.320 --> 00:22:20.670 so it's built into NEMS and NEMS explicitly

439 00:22:20.670 --> 00:22:23.280 is modeling housing stock changes

440 00:22:23.280 --> 00:22:25.173 based on trends in the past.

441 00:22:26.490 --> 00:22:30.723 We easily could do uncertainty analyses over those numbers.

442 00:22:31.560 --> 00:22:35.280 I think that kind of, main takeaway on that

443 00:22:35.280 --> 00:22:38.520 from my understanding is that those aren't gonna be

444 00:22:38.520 $\rightarrow 00:22:42.390$ the driving forces of our final results

 $445\ 00:22:42.390 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.438$ unless you are really dramatically

446 00:22:44.438 $\rightarrow 00:22:45.990$ changing the housing stock.

447 00:22:45.990 --> 00:22:48.990 And I know this from another paper, not this paper.

 $448\ 00:22:48.990 - 00:22:50.610$ You'd have to really dramatically change

449 00:22:50.610 --> 00:22:54.270 the kind of core housing stock itself.

 $450\ 00:22:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.710$ And the reason for this is that there's

 $451\ 00:22:55.710 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.020$ a lot of inertia in the housing stock.

452 00:22:58.020 --> 00:23:01.710 So, there may be changes in how well it's insulated

 $453\ 00{:}23{:}01.710$ --> 00:23:06.480 and you know, broader retrofits in how they're done.

454~00:23:06.480 --> 00:23:11.130 But the basic stock itself is quite slow moving. 455~00:23:11.130 --> 00:23:14.010 That said, I think you should take anything out to 2050

456 00:23:14.010 --> 00:23:16.263 with a grain of salt, maybe a very large one, 457 00:23:17.520 --> 00:23:21.960 and so I'm not gonna hang my hat on the

 $458\ 00:23:21.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.790$ the nature of the housing stock,

459 00:23:23.790 --> 00:23:24.660 'cause it's the full nature

exact numbers on

 $460\ 00:23:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.460$ of the housing stock that's being modeled.

461 00:23:26.460 --> 00:23:27.570 And I'm not gonna hang my hat on the

 $462\ 00:23:27.570 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.300$ full nature of the housing stock in 2050.

463 00:23:30.300 --> 00:23:31.980 But I'm pretty confident that the numbers are gonna be

 $464\ 00:23:31.980 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.890$ pretty close to right in 2030, 2035,

465 00:23:34.890 --> 00:23:37.680 in that range and maybe even now out to 2040,

 $466\ 00:23:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.850$ just because of how much inertia

 $467\ 00:23:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.230$ there is in housing stock.

468 00:23:40.230 --> 00:23:43.653 But only a small amount of turnover actually occurs.

469 00:23:45.994 --> 00:23:47.527 <
v Student>Just on the second part though,
/<v>

470 00:23:47.527 --> 00:23:51.183 I'd have to be more concerned about appliance type.

471 00:23:52.350 --> 00:23:53.730 It seems like, you know,

 $472\ 00:23:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.950$ we have possible scenarios of

 $473\ 00:23:55.950 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.250$ complete electrification, right, by 2050.

474 00:23:59.250 --> 00:24:02.670 Versus not doing that and still having a substantial

475 00:24:02.670 --> 00:24:05.628 number of gas stoves for example,

 $476\ 00:24:05.628 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.628$ would have a large effect on your conclusions.

 $477\ 00:24:12.300 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.977 < v Dr.$ Gentner>So, the question's on</v>

 $478\ 00:24:13.977 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.327$ the changes in appliance tech Ken,

479 00:24:15.327 --> 00:24:19.320 and I'll take a quick shot at it and let you add to it.

480 00:24:19.320 --> 00:24:23.130 But, so that does get discussed in the paper. 481 00:24:23.130 --> 00:24:26.610 We don't include specific perturbations but we talk about

 $482\ 00:24:26.610 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.480$ how stoves changing up,

483 00:24:30.480 --> 00:24:34.230 changing to full electrification could affect that.

484 00:24:34.230 --> 00:24:36.213 We get into some really interesting questions

485 00:24:36.213 --> 00:24:38.400 then about where the emissions coming from.

486 $00{:}24{:}38{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}41{.}730$ Are they derived from the use of natural gas,

487 00:24:41.730 \rightarrow 00:24:44.610 or are they derived from the process itself?

488 00:24:44.610 --> 00:24:48.180 If I, like your toaster is generating PM,

 $489\ 00:24:48.180 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.680$ based on what you're doing with it,

 $490\ 00:24:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.180$ not so much based on how much power,

491 00:24:51.180 --> 00:24:53.880 obviously, if it's not a natural gas toaster.

492 00:24:53.880 --> 00:24:56.910 But if we're thinking about a stove,

 $493\ 00:24:56.910 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.010$ some fraction of that PM comes

 $494\ 00:24:59.010 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.440$ from the actual burn itself.

 $495\ 00:25:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.160$ But if it's a reasonable stove,

496 00:25:05.160 --> 00:25:08.313 the PM is probably coming more from the cooking itself.

497 00:25:09.210 $\rightarrow 00:25:10.950$ And that's a really interesting question,

 $498\ 00:25:10.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.370$ and one that there was a cool paper

499 00:25:16.440 --> 00:25:19.590 that came out of Stanford looking at the emission rates,

 $500\ 00:25:19.590 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.430$ although they were thinking more

 $501\ 00:25:20.430 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.320$ about methane in particular,

502 00:25:22.320 --> 00:25:25.020 which is where you have a huge impact on (indistinct).

 $503\ 00:25:28.330 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.620$ So, on the climate side is where I think

 $504\ 00:25:31.620 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.880$ we can see a large effect of

 $505\ 00:25:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.730$ short lived climate pollutants there.

 $506\ 00:25:36.750 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.158$ And we do build in a few scenarios

 $507\ 00:25:41.158 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.373$ in there to look at some of these changes

 $508\ 00:25:43.373 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.883$ and try to bound them.

509 00:25:45.960 --> 00:25:48.180 Ken, can you grade my response

 $510\ 00:25:48.180 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.100$ and add anything to help there?

511 00:25:50.100 --> 00:25:51.420 <
v Dr. Gillingham>I liked your response.
 $<\!\!/{\rm v}\!>$

 $512\ 00:25:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.410$ I wanna add a few things.

 $513\ 00:25:52.410 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.390$ One thing is this paper is explicitly

 $514\ 00:25:54.390 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.940$ about improving the efficiency,

515 00:25:56.940 --> 00:26:01.930 given the existing forecasted technologies in NEMS.

516 00:26:03.480 --> 00:26:06.810 In our scenarios, it's not about fuel switching,

517 00:26:06.810 --> 00:26:08.430 and I think fuel switching is a really,

518 00:26:08.430 --> 00:26:12.030 really important question and we actually have work underway

519 00:26:12.030 --> 00:26:15.780 to explore that question, where we're looking at scenarios

 $520\ 00:26:15.780 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.580$ that actually would allow fuel switching.

521 00:26:17.580 --> 00:26:22.290 So, say switching from burning natural gas in your range,

 $522\ 00{:}26{:}22.290$ --> $00{:}26{:}26{.}430$ to an induction range, right? Electric induction range.

 $523\ 00{:}26{:}26{.}430$ --> $00{:}26{:}31.020$ So, that type of fuel switching, we hold constant in this.

 $524\ 00:26:31.020 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.320$ So we don't, any trends that are in

 $525\ 00:26:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.273$ the baseline in NEMS, we continue,

526 00:26:37.131 --> 00:26:38.040 and we don't focus on those,

527 00:26:38.040 --> 00:26:42.390 our scenarios are very much about improving the efficiency.

528 00:26:42.390 --> 00:26:43.650 I think in reality,

 $529\ 00:26:43.650 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.780$ you may end up seeing both

 $530\ 00:26:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.387$ happening somewhat at the same time.

 $531\ 00:26:48.387 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.940$ But it depends on the policy direction.

532 00:26:50.940 --> 00:26:53.100 You could see a world in which you do see a lot of fuel

533 00:26:53.100 --> 00:26:55.980 switching and not much efficiency or vice versa.

 $534\ 00:26:55.980 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.680$ And I think from a intellectual perspective

 $535\ 00:26:58.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.020$ it's really helpful to parse those out,

 $536\ 00:27:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.150$ and understand them separately.

 $537\ 00:27:03.150 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.650$ So that was sort of the,

 $538\ 00:27:04.650 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.273$ some of the thinking behind it,

539 00:27:07.410 --> 00:27:12.210 how it plays out in what we do here in this analysis.

540 00:27:12.210 --> 00:27:13.450 But it's a really great question

 $541\ 00:27:13.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.880$ and a really important point.

542 00:27:14.880 --> 00:27:17.580 I think it's becoming increasingly important as we move

543 00:27:17.580 --> 00:27:22.500 forward because of the IRA, you know, the recent act,

544 00:27:22.500 --> 00:27:26.940 and other efforts to lead to electrifying the home.

545 00:27:26.940 --> 00:27:31.080 There's been a real push in that direction, so I think,

546 00:27:31.080 --> 00:27:33.810 but this framework that we've set up is reasonably

547 00:27:33.810 --> 00:27:37.099 well suited with some modifications to understanding

548 00:27:37.099 --> 00:27:39.549 the implications of some of those questions, too.

549 00:27:41.820 --> 00:27:44.160 <v Dr. Gentner>Right, thank you for the questions.</v>

 $550\ 00:27:44.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.010$ Just so I don't have to skip slides at the end,

551 00:27:47.010 --> 00:27:48.900 I'm gonna move forward.

552 00:27:48.900 --> 00:27:51.330 Johan, to answer your question,

 $553\ 00:27:51.330 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.790$ the exact materials that are used to change

 $554\ 00:27:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.010$ the building efficiency in terms of insulation

 $555\ 00:27:56.010 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.960$ are not explicitly worked in here,

556 00:27:57.960 --> 00:28:02.220 but they are part of changes in building shell efficiency.

 $557\ 00:28:02.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.410$ So we look at, in the paper we discussed,

 $558\ 00:28:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.090$ how changes in installation versus

559 00:28:06.090 --> 00:28:07.920 changes in building ceiling

 $560\ 00:28:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.310$ could affect the ultimate outcome.

561 00:28:11.310 --> 00:28:15.030 Alright, so, participation time.

 $562\ 00:28:15.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.610$ How many people in the room have an

 $563\ 00:28:17.610 -> 00:28:20.373$ HVAC system in their home or apartment?

564 00:28:22.704 --> 00:28:24.054 Alrighty, so we're talking,

 $565\ 00:28:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.990$ alright so that number came in at about 10%.

566 00:28:27.990 --> 00:28:30.030 I don't know, hands were really kind of low on there.

 $567\ 00:28:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.370$ So, now is where we have like,

568 00:28:32.370 --> 00:28:35.400 a choose your own adventure moment in the presentation.

569 00:28:35.400 --> 00:28:40.350 So for those who are in homes that do not have,

570 00:28:43.050 --> 00:28:45.843 it's gonna come back I promise. Alright.

571 00:28:48.180 --> 00:28:50.220 Recirculation with filtration,

 $572\ 00:28:50.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.720$ here are the overall results for

573 00:28:51.720 --> 00:28:53.793 the entire US housing stock,

 $574\ 00:28:54.660 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.120$ comparing the reference scenario

 $575\ 00:28:57.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.610$ here in the reddish orange color

 $576\ 00:28:59.610 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.100$ to the intermediate case in blue.

577 00:29:02.100 \rightarrow 00:29:04.230 And then green is the optimistic energy

 $578\ 00:29:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.030$ efficiency case for buildings.

 $579\ 00:29:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.097$ On the bottom here, you're looking at

 $580\ 00:29:08.097 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.010$ the indoor emissions percentile.

 $581\ 00:29:11.010 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.023$ So the far left,

582 00:29:14.310 --> 00:29:15.690 this is the person who picked up

 $583\ 00:29:15.690 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.514$ falafel for dinner every night then.

 $584\ 00:29:18.514 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.750$ Hopefully, they got different toppings but they

585 00:29:21.750 --> 00:29:24.270 did not do much cooking in their home,

 $586\ 00{:}29{:}24{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}27{.}180$ and breakfast they got on the way to campus.

 $587\ 00:29:27.180 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.290$ And on the far right here,

588 00:29:28.290 --> 00:29:31.170 this is the person who wanted deep fried cauliflower

589 00:29:31.170 --> 00:29:36.030 three times times that week, and is cooking a lot.

590 00:29:36.030 --> 00:29:37.560 Maybe it wasn't deep fried cauliflower,

 $591\ 00:29:37.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.730$ but you get the point.

592 00:29:38.730 --> 00:29:40.950 Here is where there's a lot more indoor emissions.

593 00:29:40.950 --> 00:29:44.190 So it's what you could imagine a home that is,

594 00:29:44.190 --> 00:29:48.690 has more PM generated from various appliances,

595 00:29:48.690 --> 00:29:51.167 but ends up being an an important one,

 $596\ 00:29:51.167 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.380$ And on the far left,

597 00:29:52.380 --> 00:29:54.540 this one you can think as a cleaner home

 $598\ 00:29:54.540 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.280$ just in terms of the indoor emissions.

 $599~00{:}29{:}56{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}59{.}163$ So, if you're all the way here on the left side,

 $600\ 00:30:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.750$ you're seeing actually a benefit

601 00:30:03.750 --> 00:30:08.670 compared to the reference case of building tighten.

 $602~00{:}30{:}08.670$ --> $00{:}30{:}11.910$ So reducing that infiltration actually yields you a benefit.

60300:30:11.910 --> 00:30:16.350 And the reason is, any of the PM that is outside

60400:30:16.350 --> 00:30:20.940 is not making it indoors because your home is sealed off.

 $605\ 00{:}30{:}20{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}23{.}670$ You have a very, you have a tighter box that you live in.

 $606\ 00:30:23.670 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.557$ So you are just living with your own emissions,

 $607\ 00:30:25.557 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.700$ and you don't have as much

608 00:30:26.700 --> 00:30:29.280 infiltration of particles from outside.

 $609\ 00:30:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.310$ If you move to this other side here,

 $610\ 00:30:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.403$ and you can see where it is worse than the,

 $611\ 00:30:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.880$ oh excuse me, this is with recirculation.

 $612\ 00:30:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.050$ I said before this is without,

613 00:30:40.050 --> 00:30:42.513 this for the 10% of you that have an HVAC system.

 $614\ 00:30:45.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.180$ Here on this side is showing

615 00:30:48.180 --> 00:30:49.950 if you're doing a lot of cooking indoors,

616 00:30:49.950 --> 00:30:52.110 you actually see a penalty from

 $617\ 00:30:52.110 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.030$ those energy efficiency measures.

618 00:30:54.030 --> 00:30:56.760 'Cause now you have bottled up your home,

 $619\ 00:30:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.350$ you have filled all the cracks,

620 00:30:58.350 --> 00:31:02.500 maybe not every last one of them but you haven't improved

621 00:31:03.660 --> 00:31:06.480 the ceiling through your home to the point that you

 $622\ 00{:}31{:}06{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}10{.}113$ spend a longer time with any of your emissions indoors.

 $623\ 00:31:12.000 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.910$ So, the bummer is that that to ast

 $624\ 00:31:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.210$ that you burnt lingers longer,

 $625\ 00{:}31{:}18{.}210$ --> $00{:}31{:}21{.}027$ or any other combustion source that you have indoors.

62600:31:21.027 --> 00:31:24.757 And so, thus you would have more exposure to that.

627 00:31:24.757 --> 00:31:27.150 Or it could be a continued source of something,

628 00:31:27.150 --> 00:31:28.950 if you had a bad pilot light or something

 $629\ 00{:}31{:}28{.}950$ --> $00{:}31{:}33{.}950$ else in your home then that continues, or persists along.

630 00:31:34.500 --> 00:31:38.610 So, when you're looking at this,

631 00:31:38.610 --> 00:31:42.300 the reference case models the building stock without

63200:31:42.300 --> 00:31:45.060 any changes from the energy efficiency scenario.

 $633\ 00:31:45.060 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.290$ So what is the current inertia,

 $634\ 00:31:46.290 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.520$ and everything that we talked about.

 $635\ 00:31:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.970$ And then this represents the change,

 $636\ 00:31:50.970 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.310$ where the left shows some benefit,

 $637\ 00:31:53.310 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.560$ and the right where you get about

63800:31:55.560 --> 00:31:58.833 the reference case line shows a detriment indoors.

 $639\ 00:32:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.840$ So, for those of you,

 $640~00{:}32{:}03{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}07{.}950$ the 90% in the room that don't have an HVAC system,

 $641\ 00:32:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.503$ or other recirculation with filtration,

642 00:32:11.517 --> 00:32:13.230 this is what it looks like.

 $643\ 00:32:13.230 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.060$ So, everything is the same here.

644 00:32:15.060 --> 00:32:18.990 The only difference is now we're looking at the 38 to 45%

645 $00{:}32{:}18.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}22.060$ of homes depending on the scenario that have

646 $00{:}32{:}25{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}27{.}750$ no filtration or HVAC system at the home.

 $647\ 00:32:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.480$ So, now you can see this effect is exacerbated.

648 00:32:30.480 --> 00:32:34.260 There's a smaller fraction of homes that see a benefit

649 00:32:34.260 --> 00:32:36.630 for their indoor pollution from

 $650\ 00:32:36.630 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.916$ these energy efficiency measures,

 $651\ 00:32:38.916 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.470$ and a larger fraction that get

 $652\ 00:32:40.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.560$ greater exposure to particulate matter,

 $653\ 00{:}32{:}43.560$ --> $00{:}32{:}46.287$ because they spend more time with those emissions.

 $654\ 00:32:47.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.130$ So this shows two things,

 $655\ 00{:}32{:}50{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52{.}810$ the importance of the indoor emissions

 $656\ 00:32:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.630$ in determining your indoor exposure $657\ 00:32:56.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.950$ and target ventilation there. $658\ 00:32:58.950 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.660$ And the importance of recirculation $659\ 00:33:00.660 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.110$ with filtration, just for PM2.5. Yes? $660\ 00:33:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.450 < v$ Student 2>This might be a silly question, but, </v> $661\ 00:33:06.450 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.780$ is there, is like the, $662\ 00:33:09.780 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.100$ it's hard for me to believe, $663\ 00:33:11.100 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.670$ to understand how building efficiency, $664\ 00:33:14.670 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.160$ have that much impact over HVAC. 665 00:33:17.160 --> 00:33:21.720 Like I would think that homes have the circulation system $666\ 00:33:21.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.770$ would be filtering air more than like, $667\ 00:33:25.770 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.940$ having cracks in the wall, 668 00:33:26.940 --> 00:33:29.960 and like, not as great of efficiency $669\ 00:33:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.714$ would like, have an impact on this. $670\ 00:33:32.714 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.547$ Does that make sense? $671\ 00:33:33.547 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.700$ Like, just looking at the reference line there. $672\ 00:33:35.700 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.690$ So like, if there were no 673 00:33:36.690 --> 00:33:38.940 improved efficiency in the building, 674 00:33:38.940 --> 00:33:41.420 you would still be having this kind of like, $675\ 00:33:41.420 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.093$ being close to the one to one line $676\ 00:33:43.093 \rightarrow 00:33:45.750$ if you had a lot of indoor air emissions. $677\ 00:33:45.750 -> 00:33:50.750$ But then, you improved, like how is the HVAC not filtering? 678 00:33:53.850 --> 00:33:54.840 <v Dr. Gentner>Improving?</v> 679 00:33:54.840 --> 00:33:56.130 <v Student 2>Yeah, I guess, or I guess, yeah.</v> $680\ 00:33:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.370$ I just think of it as like constantly pulling air out, $681\ 00:33:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.500$ and like, pushing fresher air back in.

 $682\ 00:34:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.800$ So that was the, how is the increased

68300:34:04.800 --> 00:34:08.257 efficiency of a building making that almost worse.

 $684\ 00:34:08.257 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.960$ Does that make sense?

685 00:34:09.960 --> 00:34:10.950 <
v Dr. Gentner>It does, and it's actually</br/>/v>

68600:34:10.950 --> 00:34:14.793 a great opportunity to make a clarifying point here,

 $687\ 00{:}34{:}17{.}321$ --> $00{:}34{:}21{.}223$ that in the current paradigm of building temperature,

68800:34:24.030 --> 00:34:27.093 climate control, infiltration, this is a closed one.

689 00:34:27.960 --> 00:34:31.200 Your HVAC system takes air, conditions it,

 $690\ 00:34:31.200 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.140$ and puts it back into your home.

691 00:34:34.140 --> 00:34:36.570 So, it comes down to the efficiency of that filter,

 $692\ 00:34:36.570 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.180$ rather than if saying, we're gonna give you

 $693\ 00:34:39.180 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.070$ completely fresh air from outside,

694 00:34:41.070 --> 00:34:42.619 to get rid of all our air

 $695 \ 00{:}34{:}42.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}44.777$ from the inside and put it outdoors.

 $696\ 00:34:44.777 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.430$ This is where we're starting.

69700:34:47.430 --> 00:34:50.030 We'd be thinking about like, next generation things.

698 00:34:50.910 --> 00:34:55.110 Is there any opportunities to get fresh air while

699 00:34:55.110 --> 00:34:57.430 not paying the penalty for having to completely

700 00:34:57.430 --> 00:34:59.760 recondition, well I say recondition,

701 00:34:59.760 --> 00:35:03.150 I mean, change the temperature of all the air coming in.

702 00:35:03.150 --> 00:35:04.050 <v Student>Perfect, yeah.</v>

703 00:35:04.050 --> 00:35:06.330 <v Dr. Gentner>No problem, that's a good point to clarify,</v>

 $704\ 00:35:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.163$ so thank you for that.

705 00:35:07.163 --> 00:35:12.163 The only major everyday example for a lot of us,

706 00:35:12.720 --> 00:35:15.990 or exemption to that would be in some of our labs,

 $707\ 00:35:15.990 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.340$ we have a fume hood obviously,

 $708\ 00:35:17.340 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.090$ we'd dump all of that out the building,

709 00:35:20.090 --> 00:35:21.867 we don't recirculate that.

 $710\;00{:}35{:}21.867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26.820$ And there were some changes in various buildings,

711 00:35:26.820 --> 00:35:29.610 like on campus I know where the percentage of fresh air

712 00:35:29.610 --> 00:35:33.093 versus recycled air has changed over the past couple years.

713 00:35:34.800 --> 00:35:39.637 So, alright, so,

 $714\ 00:35:43.002 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.681$ If we think about this effect,

 $715\ 00:35:44.681 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.430$ this is looking at the overall effect,

716 $00:35:47.430 \rightarrow 00:35:51.900$ the entire housing stock for these two cases,

 $717\ 00:35:51.900 \rightarrow 00:35:56.580$ or two types of homes across old and existing.

 $718\ 00:35:56.580 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.520$ Then we have this result where we end up

719 00:35:59.520 --> 00:36:02.760 at steady state having higher overall concentrations.

 $720\ 00:36:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.010$ If you wanna visualize this more,

721 $00:36:05.010 \rightarrow 00:36:07.590$ as what's happening for any singular event,

 $722\ 00{:}36{:}07{.}590$ --> $00{:}36{:}11{.}100$ you can think about the response time to something.

723 00:36:11.100 --> 00:36:14.100 So if you just look at this as a singular case,

 $724\ 00:36:14.100 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.270$ let's say here,

 $725\ 00:36:15.270 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.593$ you, oh, stick with the burning toast scenario,

 $726\ 00:36:20.430 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.620$ you burnt toast or you were frying something,

727 00:36:22.620 $\rightarrow 00:36:23.820$ you generated really high concentrations

 $728\ 00:36:23.820 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.263$ and then you stopped.

 $729\ 00:36:26.280 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.215$ How long does that take to decay down?

730 00:36:29.215 --> 00:36:32.400 And specifically, we think about that as the folding time,

731 00:36:32.400 --> 00:36:37.400 so down to one over just 37%, to keep it going on.

732 00:36:39.743 --> 00:36:42.750 And, so we look at that in the different scenarios

733 00:36:42.750 --> 00:36:45.450 with and without filtration.

734 00:36:45.450 --> 00:36:46.920 One other point, actually I wanted to make

735 00:36:46.920 --> 00:36:48.540 about your quick filtration question

 $736\ 00:36:48.540 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.170$ is in a lot of homes,

737 00:36:52.170 --> 00:36:54.870 we're not recirculating air

 $738\ 00:36:54.870 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.477$ at a range of like, the entire house

 $739\ 00:36:56.477 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.523$ over 6 points or something.

740 00:37:01.170 --> 00:37:03.510 During COVID we increased some of

741 00:37:03.510 --> 00:37:05.730 those ventilation rates for public spaces.

742 00:37:05.730 --> 00:37:09.270 Marketing air exchange rate of 4 or 5,

 $743\ 00:37:09.270 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.740$ those are probably the goal ones.

744 00:37:10.740 --> 00:37:12.213 So air exchange per hour,

 $745\ 00:37:13.290 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.093$ but we're not changing everything.

746 00:37:17.190 --> 00:37:19.350 <v ->So, that's why there are differences</v>

747 00:37:19.350 $\rightarrow 00:37:21.900$ here with the filtration and recirculation

748 00:37:21.900 --> 00:37:24.210 for dropping it quicker,

 $749\ 00:37:24.210 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.430$ in the cases of having an HVAC system.

 $750\ 00:37:26.430 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.650$ And then you can see, you know,

 $751\ 00:37:28.650 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.287$ as we tighten up the building more and more

 $752\ 00:37:30.287 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.691$ in the optimistic energy efficiency case,

753 00:37:33.691 --> 00:37:37.710 you know, that time that you're spent with the burning

 $755\ 00:37:41.456 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.430$ that happen indoors increases,

 $756\ 00:37:44.430 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.074$ and you can see the theory we're approaching.

 $757\ 00:37:48.074 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.574$ (indistinct)

 $758\ 00:37:52.748 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.440$ So, that helps to visualize what's happening,

 $759\ 00:37:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.240$ just in terms of the time.

760 00:37:57.240 --> 00:37:59.364 Hopefully, that's a useful comparison.

761 00:37:59.364 --> 00:38:03.480 < v ->So, but we know that the system</v>

762 $00:38:03.480 \rightarrow 00:38:06.720$ is sensitive to outdoor PM concentration.

 $763\ 00:38:06.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.170$ So, we did all this modeling,

 $764\ 00:38:09.030 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.790$ and then we did a couple case studies

765 00:38:11.790 --> 00:38:16.080 within it across all different outdoor PM concentrations,

766 00:38:16.080 --> 00:38:21.080 and looked at how the system responded to outdoor PM.

767 00:38:22.830 --> 00:38:24.750 Because if we go back to that box funnel,

768 00:38:24.750 \rightarrow 00:38:27.600 and I won't put it back on the screen again,

769 00:38:27.600 --> 00:38:28.433 but you know, remember we have

770 00:38:28.433 --> 00:38:30.810 the concentrations of PM outside,

771 00:38:30.810 --> 00:38:31.860 and that's trying to come in

 $772\ 00{:}38{:}31.860$ --> $00{:}38{:}34.170$ and then we have our indoor PM and that's going out.

 $773\ 00:38:34.170 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.540$ So we have this really complex game

 $774\ 00:38:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.290$ that's happening over the building.

775 $00{:}38{:}39{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}43{.}470$ And so, if we keep our indoor emissions on the bottom.

776 00:38:43.470 --> 00:38:45.780 So, again, this is the home of the most indoor emissions

777 00:38:45.780 --> 00:38:47.760 and this is the home of the least,

778 00:38:47.760 --> 00:38:49.320 and we look at the outdoor

779 00:38:49.320 --> 00:38:54.320 concentrations on the Y axis here.

780 00:38:55.170 --> 00:39:00.170 So this is the ambient outdoor PM2.5 concentration.

 $781\ 00:39:00.180 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.740$ The national average is here,

782 00:39:01.740 --> 00:39:03.330 the annual standard is here,

 $783\ 00:39:03.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.703$ and then the 24 hour standard's up there.

 $784\ 00:39:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.100$ So, depending on where you live,

785 00:39:08.100 --> 00:39:11.397 and even time of year or if it's a pollution event,

 $786\ 00:39:11.397 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.163$ you're going to fall on different spots.

787 00:39:15.810 --> 00:39:19.830 This graph vertically and that ratio of what it is

788 00:39:19.830 $\rightarrow 00:39:22.410$ in the optimistic energy efficiency case,

789 00:39:22.410 \rightarrow 00:39:25.740 versus the reference case is shown here.

 $790\ 00:39:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.760$ Where red has just energy efficiency measure

791 $00:39:29.760 \rightarrow 00:39:34.140$ increasing the indoor concentrations,

 $792\ 00{:}39{:}34.140$ --> $00{:}39{:}39.140$ and blue shows it decreasing the indoor concentrations.

793 00:39:40.950 --> 00:39:43.170 And that's just because again,

 $794~00{:}39{:}43.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}48.170$ here you are preventing the PM from outdoors coming in.

795 00:39:48.930 --> 00:39:51.960 Imagine it's a wildfire scenario,

 $796\ 00:39:51.960 \rightarrow 00:39:55.015$ and you know, you're living in the northwest

797 00:39:55.015 $\rightarrow 00:39:58.650$ and your home is really tightly sealed,

798 00:39:58.650 --> 00:40:01.113 so your concentrations are really high outdoors,

799 00:40:02.220 --> 00:40:03.484 and you're up in this space where your home

 $800\ 00{:}40{:}03{.}484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05{.}883$ is more well sealed so less stuff gets in.

80100:40:06.780 --> 00:40:08.738 If you go all the way to the right of this

802 00:40:08.738 --> 00:40:10.800 and you're in cleaner conditions outdoors,

 $803\ 00:40:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.040$ but you have a lot of indoor sources,

80400:40:14.040 --> 00:40:17.940 now that tighter building with with less infiltration

 $805\ 00:40:17.940 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.013$ actually increases your indoor content.

806 00:40:21.120 --> 00:40:24.960 So point says, interesting interplay between outdoor

 $807\ 00{:}40{:}24.960$ --> $00{:}40{:}29.100$ and indoor PM and how that interacts.

808 00:40:29.100 --> 00:40:31.550 So, if there's anything you take away from today,

 $809\ 00:40:32.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.170$ whether it be for particulate matter

81000:40:34.170 --> 00:40:38.340 or other atmospheric public health considerations,

 $811\ 00:40:38.340 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.920$ I hope it's thinking a little bit about that

 $812\ 00:40:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.282$ interaction between outdoor and indoors.

813 00:40:43.282 --> 00:40:48.282 So, in summary for this slide,

 $814\ 00:40:48.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.960$ which it literally has a lot of different

 $815\ 00:40:51.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.970$ information on it and colors.

816 $00{:}40{:}53{.}970$ --> $00{:}40{:}55{.}980$ The impacts of these energy efficiency measures

817 00:40:55.980 --> 00:40:59.100 on indoor air quality are partially dependent 818 00:40:59.100 --> 00:41:00.990 on outdoor air quality,

 $819\ 00:41:00.990 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.910$ in addition to the in-home emissions.

820 00:41:02.910 --> 00:41:06.810 So if you were to translate this to Delhi,

 $821\ 00{:}41{:}06{.}810$ --> $00{:}41{:}09{.}963$ or another city that has higher outdoor concentrations,

 $822\ 00:41:10.890 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.783$ have to help how you approach this.

 $823\ 00:41:15.130 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.653$ There are some studies that were done,

824 00:41:16.653 --> 00:41:19.690 just looking at a few homes back in Beijing.

825 00:41:22.268 --> 00:41:24.460 And, probably like a decade ago,

 $826\ 00:41:24.460$ --> 00:41:26.780 (indistinct) at Berkeley looked at the changes $827\ 00:41:26.780$ --> 00:41:31.050 in home infiltration and ceiling and how that actually

82800:41:31.050 --> 00:41:34.893 affected imperfect air concentrations to outdoor ratios.

 $829\ 00:41:36.156 -> 00:41:39.480$ So, it does have an impact in other locations,

 $830\ 00{:}41{:}39{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}42{.}130$ and it can be different than what we're showing here.

831 00:41:43.740 --> 00:41:48.740 Okay, so to wrap this up and look at it together.

 $832\ 00:41:50.070 --> 00:41:52.140$ I said we wanted to look at the outdoor effects $833\ 00:41:52.140 --> 00:41:53.070$ and the indoor effects.

834 00:41:53.070 --> 00:41:56.883 We spent a little bit more time on the indoor stuff today,

 $835\ 00:41:57.930 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.940$ but we get this huge gain

 $836\ 00:42:02.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.640$ from the reduction in outdoor PM2.5.

 $837\ 00:42:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.240$ This is really like the energy related PM2.5.

 $838\;00{:}42{:}09{.}390 {\:-\!\!\!-\!\!>} 00{:}42{:}13.170$ So we've dropped the energy demand for buildings

 $839\ 00:42:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.710$ considerably with the cases here.

840 00:42:16.710 --> 00:42:19.773 So intermediate, optimistic, optimistic with carbon pricing.

841 00:42:21.060 --> 00:42:24.180 And so we have a few benefits

842 00:42:24.180 --> 00:42:27.903 in reduced premature mortality that's avoided in 2050.

843 00:42:29.940 --> 00:42:33.003 We just talked about the complexity of indoors.

 $844\ 00:42:34.170 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.170$ And so overall, we see a detriment indoors

 $845\ 00:42:41.790 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.137$ but this is not for every home,

 $846\ 00:42:45.137 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.090$ 'cause there's many homes that see a

847 00:42:46.090 --> 00:42:50.400 health benefit from the energy efficiency improvements

 $848\ 00:42:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.723$ based on this modeling.

 $849\ 00:42:52.590 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.900$ And so it's those high emissions homes,

 $850\ 00:42:54.900 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.940$ high indoor emissions homes that

851 00:42:56.940 --> 00:43:00.633 drive the overall effect negative.

 $852\ 00:43:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.240$ So, those graphs that I showed you before

 $853\ 00{:}43{:}03{.}240$ --> $00{:}43{:}08{.}240$ that had the lines across them for HVAC and non-HVAC

854 00:43:08.340 --> 00:43:09.450 were showing that, you know,

 $855\ 00:43:09.450 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.175$ there's a fraction of homes that see a detriment

 $856\ 00:43:12.175 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.410$ and need to see a benefit from this as well.

 $857\ 00:43:16.410 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.517$ But overall, the indoor effect offsets

858 00:43:21.840 --> 00:43:23.966 this positive outdoor effect,

 $859\ 00:43:23.966 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.230$ but it's weighted towards a

860 00:43:25.230 --> 00:43:29.160 subset of homes and a subset of the population.

861 00:43:29.160 --> 00:43:32.574 We look at this on net for those three scenarios.

862 00:43:32.574 --> 00:43:36.030 Intermediate, optimistic, optimistic with carbon pricing.

863 00:43:36.030 --> 00:43:39.270 We see that we get a net benefit from energy efficiency

 $864\ 00:43:39.270 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.503$ for avoiding premature mortality for PM2.5.

 $865\ 00:43:43.500 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.020$ This is stacked on top of all of the benefits

 $866\ 00{:}43{:}46.020$ --> $00{:}43{:}49.653$ that we get from the reduced climate pollutants.

 $867\ 00:43:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.250$ So, we get a climate benefit in terms

868 00:43:56.250 --> 00:43:59.070 of reduced CO2 emissions,

869 00:43:59.070 --> 00:44:04.070 and we get a benefit in terms of improved public health.

 $870\ 00:44:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.830$ And that's driven by a large decrease

 $871\ 00:44:07.830 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.140$ in energy-related pollutant emissions,

 $872\ 00:44:10.140 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.600$ and to some degree,

873 00:44:12.600 --> 00:44:16.560 some of the homes that have poor indoor air quality.

 $874\ 00:44:16.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.787$ But we do see some of the negative effects

875 00:44:18.787 --> 00:44:21.693 on indoor air quality overall.

876 00:44:22.869 --> 00:44:27.217 That's what I said in summary.

 $877\ 00:44:27.217 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.615$ And then, we wanted to test how the effect

87800:44:30.615 --> 00:44:35.615 of HVAC usage or or filtration system's effectiveness.

879 00:44:37.170 --> 00:44:40.710 So, if we look at the case where we actually upgraded

880 00:44:40.710 --> 00:44:44.100 all homes to have 100% good HVAC systems.

 $881\ 00:44:44.100 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.160$ So boost that investment up,

 $882\ 00{:}44{:}47.160$ --> $00{:}44{:}52.160$ actually increases the health benefits that occur.

883 00:44:52.470 --> 00:44:54.646 So, basically if we improve indoor air quality

 $884\ 00:44:54.646$ --> 00:44:59.560 through improved filtration indoors at PM2.5,

 $885\ 00:45:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.417$ we can achieve a larger benefit there.

 $886\ 00:45:07.410 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.970$ This can be put up as a summary.

 $887\ 00:45:11.970$ --> 00:45:15.150 Here, where reductions in outdoor emissions, $888\ 00:45:15.150$ --> 00:45:20.150 yielding that benefit across the entire building stock.

889 00:45:21.480 --> 00:45:24.273 And, the observed changes indoor air quality,

 $890\ 00:45:25.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.750$ due to these energy efficiency improvements,

 $891\ 00:45:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.820$ really require us to think about

892 00:45:29.820 --> 00:45:34.820 improvements to our indoor PM2.5 emissions,

 $893\ 00:45:35.670 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.340$ the targeted ventilation of those emissions.

 $894\ 00:45:38.340 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.010$ So, better ventilation of cooking emissions,

 $895\ 00:45:41.010 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.950$ improving the PM2.5 filtration efficiency.

896 00:45:43.950 --> 00:45:46.890 So, upgrade your filters, get better efficiency 897 00:45:46.890 --> 00:45:49.440 for those of you who can.

 $898\ 00:45:49.440 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.650$ And then, careful consideration of these energy efficiency

 $899\ 00{:}45{:}52.650$ --> $00{:}45{:}57.650$ policies and how we look at ventilation in buildings.

 $900\ 00:46:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.190$ And this is yet another time

901 00:46:02.190 --> 00:46:04.627 where I wish I had Jordan Peccia

 $902\ 00{:}46{:}05{.}539$ --> $00{:}46{:}09{.}240$ on the line as well, to make a few comments on that.

903 00:46:09.240 --> 00:46:12.990 Because it is a really interesting, important topic

 $904\;00{:}46{:}12.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}17.610$ for how design, building ventilation for quality of life,

 $905\ 00{:}46{:}17.610$ --> $00{:}46{:}21.060$ well being and thinking about a range of pollutants.

 $906\ 00:46:21.060 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.850$ So we present this today in the paper,

907 00:46:23.850 --> 00:46:25.223 through the lens of PM2.5.

 $908\ 00:46:26.725 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.140$ And we include some discussions in the paper

 $909\ 00:46:28.140 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.553$ about different pollutants,

910 00:46:30.643 --> 00:46:34.560 I think for indoors, and we did it in various amounts,

911 00:46:34.560 --> 00:46:37.920 so that goes through the range of criteria pollutants.

912 00:46:37.920 --> 00:46:39.313 We can even start to think about radon

 $913\ 00:46:39.313 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.887$ in some areas of the country.

914 00:46:41.887 --> 00:46:46.560 We can start thinking about disease transmission.

915 00:46:46.560 --> 00:46:48.660 No worries, it has nothing to do with this paper,

916 00:46:48.660 --> 00:46:53.100 but it does come up against the space

917 00:46:53.100 --> 00:46:54.030 where we think a lot about

 $918\ 00:46:54.030 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.663$ building design, and filtration and ventilation.

919 00:46:58.740 --> 00:47:03.740 So, looking at these benefits across the country pay.

920 00:47:04.710 --> 00:47:06.450 The graduate student who was working,

921 00:47:06.450 --> 00:47:09.180 sorry, the postdoc who was working on this,

 $922\ 00{:}47{:}09{.}180$ --> $00{:}47{:}14{.}180$ modeled it spatially and across various geographic regions.

923 00:47:14.460 --> 00:47:16.020 And you can see for the intermediate

 $924\ 00:47:16.020 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.210$ energy efficiency pace, the optimistic one.

 $925\ 00:47:18.210 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.628$ And then when we employ carbon pricing

 $926\ 00:47:20.628 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.225$ and carbon pricing with the optimistic

927 00:47:23.225 --> 00:47:27.030 case where the benefits occur.

928 00:47:27.030 --> 00:47:31.020 And these differences come down in many ways

 $929\ 00:47:31.020 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.910$ to how power is, generator,

 $930\ 00:47:32.910 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.530$ how electricity is generated in

 $931\ 00:47:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.050$ various areas of the country.

932 00:47:37.050 --> 00:47:39.690 So where we see some of the largest

 $933\ 00:47:39.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.630$ benefits depending on the case.

934 00:47:42.630 --> 00:47:46.343 So, carbon pricing is gonna have a sudden different effect

 $935\ 00:47:46.343 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.680$ than on the optimistic case on it's own.

936 00:47:49.680 --> 00:47:51.780 It's going to change the

937 00:47:51.780 --> 00:47:56.243 underlying fuel that we're using for generator outlets.

938 00:47:56.243 --> 00:47:58.277 So, you know, we think about

 $939\ 00:47:58.277 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.570$ the midwest and the northeast here,

940 00:48:00.570 --> 00:48:04.674 the types of fuels that we're using for power plants.

941 00:48:04.674 --> 00:48:07.893 So, using that demand is going have a larger effect,

 $942\ 00:48:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.780$ where there's a higher amount of renewables.

943 00:48:14.700 --> 00:48:17.466 So, in summary, and then we'll open it up to questions

944 00:48:17.466 --> 00:48:19.413 with whatever time we have.

 $945\ 00:48:20.370 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.800$ The study used the NEMS model coupled

946 00:48:22.800 --> 00:48:25.027 with The Monte Carlo analysis.

947 00:48:25.027 --> 00:48:26.970 Indoor air quality box model across

 $948\ 00:48:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.593$ the entire US housing stock.

949 00:48:29.430 --> 00:48:33.333 We see a 6 to 11% reduction in carbon dioxide emissions.

950 00:48:34.320 --> 00:48:37.338 and a 18 to 25% reduction in

951 00:48:37.338 --> 00:48:41.670 outdoor energy-related emissions of PM2.5.

952 00:48:41.670 --> 00:48:46.670 So, this is not including other PM2.5 sources.

953 00:48:46.740 --> 00:48:49.140 These reductions are complimentary with carbon pricing.

 $954\ 00:48:49.140 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.660$ It takes the pressure off as we're

 $955\ 00{:}48{:}51.660$ --> $00{:}48{:}55.320$ trying to decarbonize electricity going forward.

95600:48:55.320 --> 00:48:58.980 So these building event, energy efficiency measures

 $957\ 00:48:58.980 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.870$ provide a huge opportunity,

958 00:49:00.870 --> 00:49:05.550 but they require attention to indoor PM2.5 emissions,

959 00:49:05.550 --> 00:49:09.390 and improving PM2.5 filtration,

 $960\ 00:49:09.390 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.293$ and thinking about how we implement

961 00:49:11.293 --> 00:49:13.568 these ventilation-grouping policies

 $962\ 00:49:13.568 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.739$ that get at some of the nuances that

963 00:49:15.739 --> 00:49:18.000 you're talking about with

964 00:49:18.000 --> 00:49:21.063 fresh air exchange and energy recovery.

965 00:49:23.040 --> 00:49:27.960 And so, in all the majority of homes see improvement

 $966\ 00:49:27.960 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.240$ or little change to indoor air quality,

 $967\ 00:49:30.240 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.689$ with these energy efficiency improvements.

968 00:49:32.689 --> 00:49:34.352 A subset of homes have increased

969 00:49:34.352 --> 00:49:38.160 PM2.5 concentrations indoors,

 $970\ 00:49:38.160 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.230$ which there, overall are driving

 $971\ 00:49:40.230 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.010$ health effects going forward there.

972 00:49:44.010 --> 00:49:46.650 And we're seeing that benefit in total, outdoors.

 $973\ 00:49:46.650 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.446$ So with that, we are at 12:50,

 $974~00{:}49{:}51{.}446{\:}-{\:}>00{:}49{:}54{.}360$ so I'm happy to take any questions that people have.

975 00:49:54.360 --> 00:49:56.430 I have Ken here to answer all the tough ones

976 00:49:56.430 --> 00:49:58.353 that I can't or don't wanna answer,

977 00:49:59.742 --> 00:50:01.290 and thank you so much for you time

 $978\ 00:50:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.740$ today and for the invitation.

979 00:50:07.232 --> 00:50:09.390 (indistinct)

980 00:50:09.390 --> 00:50:11.517 <v Host>So, I think we have two questions.</v>

981 00:50:11.517 --> 00:50:12.510 <v Dr. Gentner>Okay.</v>

982 00:50:12.510 --> 00:50:13.770 <v Host>I guess each student</v>

983 00:50:13.770 --> 00:50:15.158 already prepared some questions.

984 00:50:15.158 --> 00:50:18.451 So, and what would you want to ask?

985 00:50:18.451 --> 00:50:19.284 <v Student 3>Hey could you go back to</v>

 $986\ 00:50:19.284 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.284$ the health impact slide?

987 00:50:23.235 --> 00:50:25.235 Sorry, yeah, thank you.

 $988\ 00:50:27.103 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.120$ First, if there was a bar on there

 $989\ 00:50:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.860$ for no, like without the energy efficiency,

 $990\ 00:50:34.860 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.273$ like, whereabouts would it be?

991 00:50:41.450 --> 00:50:43.200 <
v Dr. Gentner>So this is all comparisons</br/>/v>

 $992\ 00:50:43.200 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.910$ to the reference case.

 $993\ 00:50:44.910 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.085$ So to the current trajector.

 $994\ 00:50:48.085 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.930$ So, this is the changes that occur on top of

 $995\ 00:50:51.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.930$ whatever we expect to happen

 $996\ 00:50:54.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.883$ in the absence of these standards.

997 00:51:03.464 --> 00:51:08.464 <-v Student 3>I guess I didn't consider, (indistinct)</v>

998 00:51:10.432 --> 00:51:12.432 <v Dr. Gentner>It does.</v>

999 00:51:18.010 --> 00:51:22.350 Though, it doesn't include a distribution

1000 00:51:22.350 --> 00:51:25.440 of clients saying you know, across different subsets

1001 00:51:25.440 --> 00:51:30.000 of the population who is spending more or less

 $1002 \ 00:51:30.000 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.200$ time at their residence.

 $1003 \ 00:51:33.029 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.823$ But it does scale for them.

1004 00:51:37.193 --> 00:51:40.538 <
v Student 4>I was wondering if there are plans</br/>/v>

1005 00:51:40.538 --> 00:51:43.007 to put your study off to different groups,

 $1006 \ 00:51:43.007 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.007$ so looking at how (indistinct)

 $1007 \ 00:51:53.941 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.464$ You know, what are the,

1008 00:51:55.464 --> 00:52:00.464 are there plans to study the specific (indistinct)?

 $1009 \ 00:52:02.481 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.898$ (indistinct)

1010 00:52:21.152 --> 00:52:22.638 <v Dr. Gentner>Yeah, so-</v>

1011 00:52:22.638 --> 00:52:26.316 <v Host>The online audience is gonna hear the students-</v>

1012 00:52:26.316 --> 00:52:27.963 <v Dr. Gentner>Oh, okay.</v>

1013 00:52:27.963 --> 00:52:30.428 Yeah the first question, prior to that

 $1014 \ 00:52:30.428 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.560$ was about the half of the slide that's up.

1015 00:52:34.560 --> 00:52:35.910 What the zero line is,

1016 00:52:35.910 --> 00:52:37.920 and that's the comparison to the reference case.

1017 00:52:37.920 --> 00:52:42.920 The question was just posed is is how much does

 $1018 \ 00:52:43.140 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.870$ or do we have plans for another study

1019 00:52:45.870 --> 00:52:48.570 or set of studies looking at gas phase pollutants?

1020 00:52:48.570 --> 00:52:52.290 And so we include some commentary in the paper about some of

 $1021 \ 00:52:52.290 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.360$ the factors that need to be considered.

1022 00:52:54.360 --> 00:52:57.270 And it does, it comes down to how much

 $1023 \ 00:52:57.270 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.320$ the emissions current indoors

1024 00:52:58.320 --> 00:53:00.030 versus outdoors.

 $1025 \ 00:53:00.030 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.580$ The other for Nox,

 $1026\ 00:53:02.580 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.410$ you already really got out one of

 $1027\ 00:53:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.933$ the huge factors there, is there is no,

1028 00:53:08.520 --> 00:53:10.920 there's not a readily available filter that we already have

 $1029 \ 00:53:10.920 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.890$ in all the homes that filter NOx with

1030 00:53:13.890 --> 00:53:16.830 the kind
a efficacy that we have with particle filters.

1031 00:53:16.830 --> 00:53:21.330 So, that adds a really interesting thing that makes it

 $1032\ 00:53:21.330 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.010$ so that HVAC system doesn't have as

 $1033\ 00:53:23.010 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.803$ large effect on that gas phase pollutant.

1034 00:53:27.000 --> 00:53:29.370 So, Ken and I have have some things

1035 00:53:29.370 --> 00:53:31.320 that we're thinking about and working on,

1036 00:53:31.320 --> 00:53:35.400 although NOx is not one of 'em at the moment.

1037 00:53:35.400 --> 00:53:38.280 Unless Ken's gonna send me an email later today,

 $1038\ 00:53:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.200$ telling me to start writing.

1039 00:53:40.200 --> 00:53:43.563 But yes, there's a lot of interesting things here.

1040 00:53:44.596 --> 00:53:48.210 Yeah, we're just kinda scratching the surface

 $1041 \ 00:53:48.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.457$ to thinking about how other pollutants

 $1042 \ 00:53:49.457 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.200$ behave in these changes.

1043 00:53:52.200 --> 00:53:55.500 And Jordan Peccia spends a lot time thinking about moisture,

 $1044 \ 00:53:55.500 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.490$ and how that's going to affect

1045 00:53:56.490 --> 00:53:58.650 microbial activity at home.

1046 00:53:58.650 --> 00:54:01.440 So we think about holes, and other standpoints.

1047 00:54:01.440 --> 00:54:02.550 That's an area of interest.

1048 00:54:02.550 --> 00:54:05.586 I encourage you to try to catch up with

1049 00:54:05.586 --> 00:54:08.003 Jordan, because he'd love it.

1050 00:54:09.277 --> 00:54:14.027 That is a real important factor on developmental health.

1051 00:54:16.150 --> 00:54:17.607 Great. <
v Host>Thank you everybody.</v>

 $1052\ 00{:}54{:}17.607$ --> $00{:}54{:}20.700$ And because we have across right of us, so we're happy,

 $1053 \ 00:54:20.700 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.458$ and thank you everyone for coming.

1054 00:54:22.458 --> 00:54:24.227 Thank you again Ken and Drew.

1055 00:54:24.227 --> 00:54:26.376 <v Dr. Gentner>Thank you Ken.</v>