WEBVTT

 $1\ 00:00:07.216 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.966 < v \longrightarrow Welcome to this special seminar </v>$

 $2\ 00:00:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.340$ being sponsored by the Yale Center

 $3\ 00:00:13.340 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.290$ on Climate Change in Health.

4 00:00:15.290 --> 00:00:20.290 And it's a pleasure to welcome Daniel Carrión

 $5\ 00:00:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.910$ who is currently a postdoctoral Fellow

6 00:00:25.910 --> 00:00:28.290 in Environmental Medicine and Public Health

7 00:00:28.290 --> 00:00:31.793 at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai.

8 00:00:33.040 --> 00:00:35.210 He received his PhD from

9 00:00:35.210 --> 00:00:38.790 Columbia Mailman School of Public Health

 $10\ 00{:}00{:}38.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42.530$ from the Department of Environmental Health Sciences

11 00:00:42.530 --> 00:00:45.110 and it was in their Climate and Health Program,

12 00:00:45.110 --> 00:00:50.110 which is a really great program that our own Chi Chen

 $13\ 00:00:51.910 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.533$ has been closely associated with in the past.

14 $00{:}00{:}56.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}00.110$ And so we're really looking forward to Daniel's presentation

15 00:01:00.110 --> 00:01:02.890 on Climate, Energy and Inequity:

16 00:01:02.890 --> 00:01:05.340 from Exposures to Epidemiology.

17 00:01:05.340 --> 00:01:06.563 So, Daniel, welcome.

18 00:01:07.950 --> 00:01:09.283 <v ->Thank you so much.</v>

19 00:01:10.300 --> 00:01:13.030 So I'm excited to speak to you all today

 $20\ 00:01:13.030 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.223$ and just by way of a little bit more introduction,

21 00:01:17.130 --> 00:01:22.130 I completed my BA at Ithaca College in 2008,

 $22\ 00:01:22.250 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.540$ and if you remember 2008,

23 00:01:24.540 --> 00:01:27.590 that was right when the global recession happened,

24 00:01:27.590 $\rightarrow 00:01:30.710$ so a great time to graduate from college.

25 00:01:30.710 --> 00:01:33.600 So I had two part-time jobs, one where I was working

26 00:01:33.600 --> 00:01:36.290 actually for the Health Department in Tompkins County

27 00:01:36.290 --> 00:01:39.890 in New York state, and the other one where I was working

28 00:01:39.890 --> 00:01:42.240 for the Solid Waste Division where I was doing composting

29 00:01:42.240 \rightarrow 00:01:45.260 and recycling education and outreach.

 $30\ 00:01:45.260 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.530$ I then ended up leaving

31 00:01:46.530 --> 00:01:48.360 and going to Hudson River Healthcare,

 $32\ 00{:}01{:}48.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52.940$ which is a network of federally qualified health centers

33 00:01:52.940 --> 00:01:57.320 across New York state, about 25 at the time,

34 $00{:}01{:}57{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}01{.}530$ where I was helping manage outreach

 $35\ 00:02:01.530$ --> 00:02:05.640 and programming for folks with HIV, folks who were homeless,

 $36\ 00:02:05.640 \dashrightarrow 00:02:09.020$ folks in public housing, and migrant farm workers.

37 00:02:09.020 --> 00:02:11.507 I was concurrently doing my masters in public health

38 00:02:11.507 \rightarrow 00:02:13.200 and environmental health sciences

 $39\ 00:02:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.360$ at New York Medical College.

40 00:02:15.360 --> 00:02:17.590 And then after completing my MPH

41 $00:02:17.590 \rightarrow 00:02:20.940$ ended up leaving to go to Columbia university

42 00:02:20.940 \rightarrow 00:02:24.170 where I started a pipeline program called

43 00:02:24.170 --> 00:02:26.370 the Summer Public Health Scholars program,

44 00:02:26.370 --> 00:02:29.760 a CDC funded program to increase the diversity

45 00:02:29.760 --> 00:02:31.910 of the public health workforce,

46 $00:02:31.910 \rightarrow 00:02:33.653$ specifically around health equity.

47 00:02:34.680 --> 00:02:38.380 I then started my PhD as Rob mentioned

48 00:02:38.380 --> 00:02:41.980 in the department of environmental health sciences

 $49\ 00:02:41.980 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.108$ and the climate and health program

 $50\ 00:02:44.108 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.649$ and completed that in 2019.

 $51\ 00:02:46.649$ --> 00:02:51.350 And then now at the Icahn School of Medicine as a post-doc.

 $52\ 00{:}02{:}51.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55.600$ And so I'm excited to tell you about the work that I've done

 $53\ 00:02:55.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.140$ in the most recent part of this journey,

54 00:02:59.140 --> 00:03:03.010 which I characterize being at this nexus of climate energy

 $55\ 00:03:03.010 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.020$ and health inequity.

56 00:03:05.020 --> 00:03:09.240 So we all know that energy lies at the source of our climate

57 00:03:09.240 --> 00:03:13.310 crisis, societal decisions on where we derive energy,

 $58\ 00:03:13.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.310$ how much we need and what we use it for

 $59\ 00:03:19.260 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.040$ are all leading to increasing global temperatures

 $60\ 00{:}03{:}23.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.593$ that we have been observing and we'll continue to see.

 $61 \ 00:03:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.810$ But we've run a dynamic tension here, right?

 $62\ 00:03:30.810 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.780$ Because energy is fundamental to public health.

63 00:03:34.780 --> 00:03:38.260 It's fundamental for folks to stay healthy,

 $64\ 00:03:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.730$ from the energy that we use to cook with,

 $65\ 00{:}03{:}40.730$ --> $00{:}03{:}44.400$ to the energy that we use in the winter to stay warm,

 $66\ 00{:}03{:}44.400$ --> $00{:}03{:}48.700$ to the energy that we use in the summer to stay cool,

 $67\ 00:03:48.700 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.080$ we need energy.

 $68\ 00:03:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.300$ And so I've been fortunate to work in all three

 $69\ 00:03:53.300 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.763$ of these spaces, thinking about this,

 $70\ 00:03:55.763 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.010$ these energy tensions in public health,

 $71\ 00:03:59.010 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.430$ but for the scope of this talk,

72 00:04:00.430 --> 00:04:03.230 I'm going to only tell you about two of them,

73 00:04:03.230 --> 00:04:05.410 which is about my work in household energy and air

 $74\ 00:04:05.410 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.310$ pollution related to cooking,

75 00:04:07.310 --> 00:04:10.040 and then more recently temperature epidemiology

 $76\ 00:04:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.813$ from summertime temperatures.

77 00:04:14.350 --> 00:04:18.370 So quickly about my dissertation work and household energy

 $78\ 00{:}04{:}18.370$ --> $00{:}04{:}21.473$ and air pollution in low and middle income countries.

79 00:04:22.450 --> 00:04:27.450 As background, 3 billion people around the world

80 00:04:27.690 --> 00:04:29.900 experience energy poverty,

 $81\ 00:04:29.900$ --> 00:04:34.070 which is characterized by cooking and or heating with wood,

 $82\ 00:04:34.070 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.053$ dung, charcoal, or other biomass fuels.

83 00:04:39.630 --> 00:04:44.500 And although the proportion is decreasing overall

 $84\ 00:04:44.500 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.940$ of the population that relies on these fuels

 $85\ 00:04:48.940 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.620$ because of population growth,

 $86\ 00{:}04{:}50{.}620$ --> $00{:}04{:}55{.}000$ the absolute counts are actually increasing and the highest

87 00:04:55.000 --> 00:04:57.853 increases are actually in Sub-Saharan Africa.

88 00:04:59.010 --> 00:05:03.080 And so this is the stove that you would see in many parts

89 00:05:03.080 --> 00:05:07.800 of Sub-Saharan Africa, it's called the three stone fire,

 $90\ 00{:}05{:}07.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}11.140$ which you might guess because there are three stones

91 00:05:11.140 --> 00:05:15.133 that prop up a pot and underneath biomass is combusted.

92 00:05:18.080 --> 00:05:20.680 We're concerned about this because the combustion of that

93 00:05:20.680 --> 00:05:25.680 biomass leads to a mixture of compounds collectively

94 00:05:25.820 $\rightarrow 00:05:29.050$ referred to as household air pollution.

 $95\ 00:05:29.050 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.560$ And so that comprises CO2 particulate matter,

96 00:05:33.560 --> 00:05:35.030 carbon monoxide,

97 00:05:35.030 --> 00:05:39.580 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons amongst others,

98 00:05:39.580 --> 00:05:43.300 and both the defore
station associated with biomass

99
 $00{:}05{:}43.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}47.000$ harvesting depending on country and the combustion

 $100\;00{:}05{:}47.000 \dashrightarrow> 00{:}05{:}50.343$ are projected to actually contribute to climate change.

101 $00{:}05{:}52{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55{.}930$ And we also know that exposure to household air pollution

102 00:05:55.930 --> 00:05:59.530 is associated premature deaths each year,

 $103\ 00:05:59.530$ --> 00:06:02.530 millions of premature deaths each year,

104 00:06:02.530 --> 00:06:07.300 the largest proportion from lower respiratory infections.

105 00:06:07.300 --> 00:06:10.390 And you might know that lower respiratory infections

 $106\ 00:06:10.390 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.840$ are actually the leading killer of children

107 00:06:12.840 --> 00:06:16.330 under five in lower and middle income countries.

108 00:06:16.330 --> 00:06:19.370 And so it's widely agreed that the solution here

 $109\ 00:06:19.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.920$ is to scale up cleaner cooking alternatives

110 $00{:}06{:}22.920$ --> $00{:}06{:}27.233$ like liquified petroleum, gas, electric, and induction.

111 00:06:29.650 --> 00:06:32.700 And in Ghana, as in many other countries,

112 00:06:32.700 --> 00:06:37.170 LPG represents the cheapest and most accessible options

113 00:06:37.170 --> 00:06:41.560 of the three that I just mentioned because the other two

114 00:06:41.560 --> 00:06:45.570 electric and induction requires stable and extensive

115 00:06:45.570 --> 00:06:47.980 electricity grids that don't exist

116 00:06:47.980 --> 00:06:49.573 in many parts of the world.

117 00:06:50.420 --> 00:06:52.260 But if you're unfamiliar with this literature,

118 00:06:52.260 --> 00:06:55.125 I would understand if some folks in the audience

119 $00{:}06{:}55{.}125$ --> $00{:}06{:}59{.}480$ are confused at how using a fossil fuel can actually help us

 $120\ 00:06:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.883$ fight climate change.

121 00:07:03.600 --> 00:07:06.330 The atmospheric science behind this is complicated

 $122\ 00:07:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.160$ and outside the scope of my talk today,

123 00:07:09.160 --> 00:07:12.270 but rest assured that the international panel on climate

 $124\ 00:07:12.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.110$ change indicates that activities consistent

125 00:07:16.110 --> 00:07:19.380 with the greenhouse gas emission reductions needed

126 00:07:19.380 --> 00:07:23.020 for a warming of 1.5 degrees Celsius

127 00:07:24.180 --> 00:07:28.780 world includes transitions to clean cookstoves

 $128\ 00:07:28.780 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.363$ that are gas based or electric based.

129 00:07:33.353 --> 00:07:37.330 And unfortunately, atmosphere projections

130 00:07:37.330 --> 00:07:40.490 that are Ghana-specific are actually unavailable

131 00:07:40.490 --> 00:07:45.450 at the moment, but one done in Cameroon

132 00:07:45.450 --> 00:07:48.960 undergoing a similar LPG transition

133 00:07:48.960 --> 00:07:53.710 shows that there are projected net cooling benefits

134 00:07:53.710 --> 00:07:58.140 of switching to LPG rather than continued use

135 00:07:58.140 --> 00:08:00.370 of biomass fuels.

136 $00{:}08{:}00{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04{.}321$ And so this then represents in many parts of the world

137 00:08:04.321 --> 00:08:06.730 climate mitigation opportunity

138 00:08:06.730 $\rightarrow 00:08:09.513$ with potential health co-benefits.

139 00:08:11.660 --> 00:08:14.910 And so my thesis works set out to try and provide evidence

 $140\ 00:08:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.030$ to support clean cooking efforts.

141 00:08:18.030 --> 00:08:19.540 The relationship between energy,

142 00:08:19.540 --> 00:08:22.900 poverty and disease can be described as a pathway

 $143\ 00:08:22.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.600$ from poverty to energy poverty,

 $144\ 00:08:26.600 \rightarrow 00:08:29.670$ which then causes household air pollution,

145 00:08:29.670 --> 00:08:32.580 and then the exposure to that household air pollution

 $146\ 00:08:32.580 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.203$ leads to a whole host of diseases.

147 00:08:36.260 --> 00:08:39.460 And there are particularly three parts of this pathway

148 $00{:}08{:}39{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43{.}610$ that we can try to interrupt in this relationship

149 $00{:}08{:}43.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46.363$ between poverty and disease in this context.

 $150\ 00{:}08{:}47{.}210$ --> $00{:}08{:}50{.}990$ So we can focus on making the clean available,

151 $00:08:50.990 \dashrightarrow 00:08:54.860$ which is a moniker from the late Kirk Smith,

 $152\ 00:08:54.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.570$ essentially saying identifying interventions

153 00:08:57.570 $\rightarrow 00:09:00.140$ to increase the uptake of clean cookstoves

 $154\ 00:09:00.140 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.493$ like induction or LPG.

 $155\ 00:09:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.210$ We could interrupt this part of the pathway,

156 00:09:06.210 --> 00:09:10.717 which is to make the available clean by identifying ways

 $157\ 00:09:10.717\ -->\ 00:09:15.010$ to reduce exposures from biomass combustion, $158\ 00:09:15.010\ -->\ 00:09:18.700$ such as improved cookstoves that have interventions

159 00:09:18.700 --> 00:09:21.200 like increasing ventilation,

160 00:09:21.200 --> 00:09:24.083 thereby increasing the efficiency of combustion.

161 $00{:}09{:}26.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.600$ And then finally, we can do health research

162 00:09:29.600 --> 00:09:31.670 to understand biological pathways

163 00:09:31.670 --> 00:09:35.313 for improved treatments or interventions.

164 00:09:37.770 --> 00:09:41.040 My work was particularly focused on these two parts

165 00:09:41.040 --> 00:09:45.840 of the pathway, and I'll quickly sum up my dissertation

 $166\ 00:09:45.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.790$ in one slide, which is the first paper

 $167\ 00:09:50.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.740$ in my dissertation was where I

168 00:09:53.740 --> 00:09:58.310 created a new framework to try and understand why recipients

 $169\ 00:09:58.310 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.430$ of new cookstoves often end up

170 00:10:01.430 --> 00:10:04.160 stopping using those cookstoves

171 00:10:04.160 --> 00:10:08.680 and we refer to this as stove use discontinuance.

 $172\ 00:10:08.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.480$ Acknowledging that a lot of people who receive

173 00:10:11.480 --> 00:10:16.480 new cook
stoves end up stopping their use in the longer term, $% \left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right) =0$

174 00:10:17.400 --> 00:10:21.290 we ended up then trying to design an intervention

 $175\ 00:10:21.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.610$ to support a government effort.

176 00:10:23.610 --> 00:10:28.540 So the government actually freely distributes LPG stoves

177 00:10:28.540 --> 00:10:30.550 in rural areas in Ghana.

178 00:10:30.550 --> 00:10:35.550 And so we designed and implemented an intervention

179 00:10:36.170 --> 00:10:39.623 to try and increase the long-term use of those stoves.

180 00:10:40.660 --> 00:10:43.890 The findings suggest that more fundamental policy changes

181 00:10:43.890 --> 00:10:48.890 are actually needed just rather than a simple intervention.

182 00:10:49.080 --> 00:10:53.520 And finally understanding biological pathways

183 00:10:53.520 --> 00:10:55.870 from data from a cohort study,

184 $00{:}10{:}55{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}00{.}330$ we used banked nasal swabs from infants

 $185\ 00:11:00.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.750$ of the age of one or less

186 00:11:02.750 --> 00:11:05.740 and found that household air pollution is associated

187 00:11:05.740 --> 00:11:10.660 with increased presence of bacterial and not viral microbes.

188 00:11:10.660 --> 00:11:13.800 And this is important because there's other literature

189 00:11:13.800 --> 00:11:17.380 that otherwise indicates that household air pollution may be

190 00:11:17.380 $\rightarrow 00:11:21.310$ contributing to bacterial forms of pneumonia

191 00:11:21.310 --> 00:11:23.290 and not viral forms of pneumonia

192 00:11:23.290 --> 00:11:26.620 and so this is trying to understand that ideological pathway

193 00:11:26.620 --> 00:11:27.620 a little bit better.

194 00:11:30.760 --> 00:11:35.120 So with that very brief overview of my thesis work,

195 00:11:35.120 --> 00:11:38.690 I wanted to spend more time on my current portfolio,

196 00:11:38.690 \rightarrow 00:11:40.900 which is focused on ambient temperature,

197 00:11:40.900 --> 00:11:44.203 temperature epidemiology, and energy insecurity.

 $198\ 00:11:47.250 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.760$ And the motivation here is simple.

199 00:11:50.760 --> 00:11:52.370 We're living it right now.

200 00:11:52.370 --> 00:11:55.800 Climate change means that there's an increased frequency

201 $00{:}11{:}55{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58{.}540$ and intensity of extreme heat events

 $202\ 00:11:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.890$ and hotter average summers.

203 00:12:00.890 --> 00:12:04.620 And we know that those higher temperatures are associated

204 00:12:04.620 --> 00:12:08.310 with a whole host of health outcomes from cardiovascular

 $205\ 00:12:08.310 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.780$ to respiratory, to renal,

206 00:12:10.780 --> 00:12:15.090 to even violence and other non-health outcomes,

207 00:12:15.090 --> 00:12:19.053 but still very health relevant like educational performance.

208 00:12:20.340 --> 00:12:24.330 And there's also work that shows that increased ambient

209 00:12:24.330 --> 00:12:27.640 temperatures are associated with perinatal outcomes

 $210\ 00:12:27.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.760$ like pre-term birth.

211 00:12:29.760 --> 00:12:31.730 And there's an important opportunity here

212 00:12:31.730 --> 00:12:34.980 because temperature epi has been largely focused

 $213\ 00:12:34.980 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.440$ on older adult populations

214 00:12:37.440 --> 00:12:41.120 and so there's an opportunity to grow the literature

215 00:12:41.120 --> 00:12:43.883 thinking about pediatric populations.

 $216\ 00:12:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.940$ So I first want to tell you about a study

217 00:12:48.940 --> 00:12:50.890 that we're wrapping up right now,

218 00:12:50.890 --> 00:12:54.820 thinking about the case process over design as a way

219 00:12:54.820 --> 00:12:58.200 of studying the relationship between ambient temperature

 $220\ 00:12:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.623$ and preterm birth.

221 00:13:04.450 --> 00:13:06.350 And the motivation here

 $222\ 00:13:06.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.100$ I think is also simple for a public health crowd.

 $223\ 00:13:10.100 \rightarrow 00:13:15.100$ That preterm birth is a major health outcome

 $224\ 00:13:15.850 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.460$ that's associated with high infant mortality.

225 00:13:19.460 --> 00:13:22.710 It's also one of the most pronounced and persistent

 $226\ 00:13:22.710 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.680$ racial disparities that we know of,

227 00:13:25.680 --> 00:13:29.220 and it not only represents poor health

228 00:13:29.220 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:13:32.830 potentially in the immediacy of birth,

 $229\ 00:13:32.830 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.890$ but also potentially a trajectory of poor health

230 00:13:36.890 --> 00:13:38.500 in the long term.

231 00:13:38.500 --> 00:13:42.650 Many of the health outcomes are also health disparities

 $232\ 00:13:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.933$ for communities of color.

233 00:13:45.030 --> 00:13:47.510 And there's a growing literature on the relationship

234 00:13:47.510 --> 00:13:50.700 between ambient temperature and preterm birth.

235 00:13:50.700 --> 00:13:53.960 One of the initial studies identifying this association

 $236\ 00:13:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.670$ was actually from Bosu at all in 2010,

 $237\ 00:13:57.670 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.010$ a study based in California using

 $238\ 00:14:00.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.083$ the case crossover study design.

239 00:14:04.380 --> 00:14:07.020 So if you're unfamiliar with the case crossover study

240 00:14:07.020 --> 00:14:09.460 design, a quick introduction.

241 00:14:09.460 --> 00:14:14.460 It's a case-only study design that compares the case time

242 00:14:15.110 --> 00:14:19.600 to control times when the event did not happen.

243 00:14:19.600 --> 00:14:23.310 And it's been widely used in air pollution epidemiology

244 00:14:23.310 --> 00:14:26.363 and is increasingly used in temperature epidemiology.

245 00:14:28.210 --> 00:14:31.393 It's a temporal comparison,

246 00:14:32.380 --> 00:14:35.470 meaning that it's comparing the same person to themselves

 $247\ 00:14:35.470 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.290$ at different time points.

248 00:14:37.290 --> 00:14:41.010 And so a real perk there is that then it's not vulnerable

 $249\ 00:14:41.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.663$ to person level forms of confounding.

250 00:14:47.210 --> 00:14:52.210 However, proper control selection is then pivotal for proper

251 00:14:52.810 --> 00:14:55.400 inference because you want to make sure that you

 $252\ 00{:}14{:}55{.}400$ --> $00{:}14{:}58{.}700$ are controlling for potential temporal confounders

 $253\ 00:14:58.700 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.760$ and other temporal forms of bias.

254 00:15:01.760 --> 00:15:06.760 And a key assumption of this design is that there are no

 $255\ 00:15:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.890$ trends in the risk of the outcome over time.

256 00:15:10.890 --> 00:15:14.032 And it was actually pointed out in a commentary

257 00:15:14.032 --> 00:15:17.890 from that original Bosu paper that I mentioned

258 00:15:17.890 --> 00:15:21.720 that preterm birth actually violates this assumption.

259 00:15:21.720 --> 00:15:25.150 And this should be pretty intuitive to folks in the audience

 $260\ 00:15:25.150 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.680$ because the risk of birth changes

261 00:15:29.680 --> 00:15:32.910 pretty secularly over gestation.

 $262\ 00{:}15{:}32{.}910$ --> $00{:}15{:}35{.}070$ And so this is something that we need to think about

 $263\ 00:15:35.070 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.764$ if we're using this study design

 $264\ 00:15:37.764 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.333$ for ambient environmental exposures.

265 00:15:44.910 --> 00:15:48.730 However six other studies have employed this study design

 $266\ 00:15:48.730 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.400$ for preterm birth since 2010,

 $267\ 00{:}15{:}52.400$ --> $00{:}15{:}55.780$ specifically for ambient temperature that we're aware of.

268 00:15:55.780 --> 00:15:58.030 And I'm sure that number is much higher

 $269\ 00:15:58.030 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.573$ if we also consider air pollution.

270 00:16:05.550 --> 00:16:09.890 So that this was a great opportunity for a simulation study.

 $271\ 00:16:09.890 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.510$ So for those who are unfamiliar,

272 00:16:11.510 --> 00:16:15.080 a simulation study are essentially computational experiments

273 00:16:15.080 --> 00:16:18.750 where we can test the behavior of our epidemiological

 $274\ 00:16:18.750 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.653$ studies under controlled circumstances.

275 00:16:23.240 --> 00:16:28.200 So first what we do is we create a dataset and then we embed

276 00:16:28.200 --> 00:16:31.940 a known association in that dataset.

 $277 \ 00:16:31.940 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.990$ We then test our epidemiological analysis'

 $278\ 00:16:34.990 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.040$ ability to recover that association.

279 00:16:38.040 --> 00:16:39.520 Then we try to repeat,

 $280\ 00:16:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.110$ or we repeat this a thousand times to represent

281 00:16:43.110 --> 00:16:47.160 some of the stochasticity of the underlying distribution.

282 00:16:47.160 --> 00:16:49.170 And then we could see if different strategies

 $283\ 00:16:49.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.950$ or specifications of models

 $284\ 00:16:50.950 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.583$ can actually improve our inference.

285 00:16:55.750 --> 00:16:59.390 More specific, what data did I use to do this?

286 00:16:59.390 --> 00:17:02.865 Well, LaGuardia Airport has temperature data

287 00:17:02.865 --> 00:17:06.040 readily available for download online.

288 00:17:06.040 --> 00:17:08.650 So we downloaded LaGuardia temperature data

289 00:17:08.650 --> 00:17:10.943 as our exposure data.

290 00:17:12.210 --> 00:17:15.980 And then for our health data, we actually downloaded CDC

291 00:17:15.980 --> 00:17:20.980 wonder data to create estimates of daily preterm births

 $292\ 00:17:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.510$ by gestational age from 20 to 36 weeks.

293 00:17:27.860 --> 00:17:30.350 And just as a quick definitional thing,

294 00:17:30.350 --> 00:17:33.810 preterm birth is generally a birth that take place

 $295\ 00:17:33.810 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.733$ before 37 weeks.

296 00:17:36.720 --> 00:17:41.720 We got these data for 2007 and 2018 from,

 $297\ 00:17:42.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.010$ and then we created data sets with a range

298 00:17:46.010 --> 00:17:51.010 of simulated effects ranging from 0.9 to 1.25.

299 $00{:}17{:}51{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53{.}490$ I don't think anyone thinks that temperature

 $300\ 00:17:53.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.080$ is protective of preterm birth,

301 00:17:56.080 --> 00:18:00.500 but we wanted to see how malleable these models

 $302\ 00:18:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.163$ were to different underlying assumptions.

303 00:18:05.550 --> 00:18:10.220 And then we do these case crossovers to see how our model

 $304\ 00:18:10.220 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.980$ does at recovering the simulated effects.

 $305\ 00{:}18{:}13.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}17.640$ We ended up doing this using a time stratified control

 $306\ 00:18:17.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.290$ selection for three different time periods.

307 00:18:21.290 --> 00:18:24.380 So we did it for a two week time stratified,

308 00:18:24.380 --> 00:18:28.850 a 28 day time stratified, and a month time stratified.

 $309\ 00{:}18{:}28{.}850$ --> $00{:}18{:}33{.}140$ And we limit our case crossover to warm month analyses,

310 00:18:33.140 --> 00:18:36.040 which is consistent with other studies in this literature.

311 00:18:37.870 --> 00:18:40.680 And again, we do this a thousand times to kind of represent

312 00:18:40.680 --> 00:18:43.580 some of that stochasticity of the underlying distrobution.

 $313\ 00:18:45.940 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.110$ So these are the input data that we use.

314 00:18:50.110 --> 00:18:54.860 So up here are, is the temperature data

315 00:18:54.860 --> 00:18:56.680 from LaGuardia Airport

316 00:18:57.530 --> 00:19:00.940 and down here are the estimated number of births

317 00:19:00.940 --> 00:19:05.660 on a given day that we used from the CDC wonder database.

 $318\ 00:19:05.660 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.040$ And then this orange region

 $319\ 00:19:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.193$ is the warm month time period that we used.

 $320\ 00:19:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.920$ So the main result that I'm showing you here

 $321\ 00:19:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.520$ is for absolute bias.

322 00:19:19.520 --> 00:19:22.690 And so absolute bias is simply the difference between

323 00:19:22.690 --> 00:19:26.810 the simulated relative risk with the coefficient that we get

 $324\ 00:19:26.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.913$ from the case crossover in the log scale.

325 00:19:31.020 --> 00:19:34.160 And I'm showing you first a relative risk of one,

326 00:19:34.160 --> 00:19:38.320 meaning that there's no association between temperature

327 00:19:38.320 --> 00:19:39.920 and preterm birth.

328 00:19:39.920 --> 00:19:43.320 And you could see that using all three of these study

329 00:19:43.320 --> 00:19:48.260 designs, we actually get relatively unbiased results

330 $00{:}19{:}48.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}51.463$ with the medians hovering around zero.

331 00:19:53.610 --> 00:19:57.540 If we look across the entire range of our embedded effects,

332 00:19:57.540 --> 00:20:01.880 we see relatively consistent results where all three

333 00:20:01.880 --> 00:20:06.880 of these case control selection designs actually yield

334 00:20:07.140 --> 00:20:11.650 relatively unbiased results, with our two-week stratified,

 $335\ 00:20:11.650 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.300$ yielding the noisiest results characterized here

 $336\ 00:20:16.300 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.703$ by a wider intercore tile range.

 $337\ 00:20:20.750 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.950$ And then when we looked at coverage,

338 00:20:22.950 --> 00:20:26.070 so coverage would be the coverage

 $339\ 00:20:26.070 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.297$ of the 95% confidence intervals.

340 00:20:29.297 --> 00:20:32.950 What percentage of the time does the confidence interval

341 00:20:32.950 \rightarrow 00:20:36.240 actually include the true embedded effect?

342 00:20:36.240 --> 00:20:38.690 And you would hope for a model that that would be

 $343\ 00:20:39.542 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.220$ consistently 95% of the time.

344 00:20:41.220 --> 00:20:45.120 And indeed we see that these models are relatively stable

345 00:20:45.120 --> 00:20:50.120 with approximately 95% at all of these risks embedded.

346 00:20:54.760 --> 00:20:59.760 So this is really important work because this shores up

 $347\ 00:21:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.930$ the evidence that we have

 $348\ 00:21:01.930 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.520$ for the case crossover study design

349 00:21:04.520 --> 00:21:09.520 and ambient exposures and preterm birth,

 $350\ 00:21:09.810 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.860$ which I think is really important.

351 00:21:11.860 --> 00:21:15.320 We ended up doing 24,000 simulations and corresponding

352 00:21:15.320 --> 00:21:18.100 case crossovers, finding that the models

 $353\ 00:21:18.100 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.270$ are relatively unbiased.

354 00:21:20.270 --> 00:21:23.560 And we're excited about wrapping up this project

 $355\ 00:21:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.240$ because we've tried to enhance reproducibility

356 00:21:27.240 --> 00:21:31.450 of our findings and results by using the targets package

 $357\ 00:21:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.450$ in R, which then means that other folks

358 00:21:36.650 --> 00:21:41.650 can go and rer
un these analyses and can actually swap out

 $359\ 00:21:41.710 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.980$ different years or regions and their analysis,

 $360\ 00:21:44.980 \rightarrow 00:21:48.863$ which aids an extensibility of this analysis.

361 00:21:50.060 --> 00:21:54.960 And now we're actually using the case crossover analysis

 $362\ 00:21:56.050 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.900$ to think about a national level analysis

 $363\ 00:21:58.900 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.420$ that we're doing actually in Mexico

364 00:22:02.420 --> 00:22:04.973 and hopefully future studies in the U.S. as well.

365 00:22:08.270 --> 00:22:10.880 But much the same way that we're thinking about

366 00:22:10.880 --> 00:22:14.550 epidemiological methods, we're also thinking about improving

 $367\ 00:22:14.550 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.710$ our exposure methods.

368 00:22:16.710 --> 00:22:18.980 And so here, I want to tell you about a project

 $369\ 00:22:18.980 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.790$ that we just published on,

370 00:22:20.790 --> 00:22:24.460 thinking about a one kilometer hourly air temperature model

371 00:22:24.460 --> 00:22:26.950 across the Northeastern United States

 $372\ 00:22:26.950 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.300$ from Maine to Virginia

 $373\ 00:22:29.300 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.820$ and this is fusing ground data

 $374\ 00:22:32.820 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.163$ with satellite remote sensing data.

375 00:22:37.200 --> 00:22:42.200 And the inspiration for me here is that there is a small,

376 00:22:43.200 --> 00:22:46.800 but growing literature on temperature disparities,

377 00:22:46.800 --> 00:22:51.627 that temperature is perhaps unevenly experienced

378 00:22:51.627 --> 00:22:55.960 based on race, ethnicity, income,

 $379\ 00:22:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.640$ and other forms of potential vulnerability.

380 00:22:59.640 --> 00:23:03.810 And so one limitation, however,

381 00:23:03.810 --> 00:23:07.520 with some of these past studies is that they either use land

 $382\ 00{:}23{:}07{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}10{.}260$ surface temperature, which is remotely sensed

 $383\ 00:23:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.780$ with satellites and related to air temperature,

 $384\ 00:23:13.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.470$ but not exactly air temperature,

385 00:23:16.470 --> 00:23:20.590 or they use forms of land cover,

386 00:23:20.590 --> 00:23:24.470 and land use that are associated with temperature,

387 00:23:24.470 --> 00:23:27.960 but again, not empirical measures of temperature

388 00:23:27.960 --> 00:23:32.350 and so an opportunity then to try and grow this literature,

389 00:23:32.350 --> 00:23:35.943 thinking about these potential temperature disparities.

 $390\ 00{:}23{:}38.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42.360$ So the goal here is to create this one kilometer

391 00:23:42.360 --> 00:23:44.410 hourly air temperature model

 $392\ 00:23:44.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.350$ to be able to produce predictions

 $393\ 00:23:46.350 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.350$ between the time period of 2003 to 2019.

394 00:23:51.440 --> 00:23:54.730 So we ended up using national oceanic,

395 00:23:54.730 --> 00:23:59.600 atmospheric and atmospheric administration data

 $396\ 00:23:59.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.510$ for ground stations throughout this region

397 $00{:}24{:}02{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}05{.}350$ as our ground truths for air temperature.

 $398\ 00:24:05.350 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.890$ And so that's what's depicted in red

399 00:24:07.890 --> 00:24:09.500 across our study region.

400 00:24:09.500 --> 00:24:13.470 These are the locations of all of the ground sensors

 $401\ 00:24:13.470 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.260$ that we used in our model.

402 00:24:16.260 --> 00:24:20.528 We then collected 34 predictors that we thought

403 00:24:20.528 --> 00:24:24.490 would help us characterize the spatial and temporal patterns

 $404\ 00:24:24.490 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.853$ of cooling and heating throughout the day.

405 00:24:28.768 --> 00:24:32.230 And the goal here is to be able to create consistent

406 00:24:32.230 --> 00:24:36.090 and reliable predictions of air temperature across

 $407\ 00:24:36.090 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.020$ this region, even in places

 $408\ 00{:}24{:}38{.}020$ --> $00{:}24{:}41{.}163$ that we don't have ground observations.

409 00:24:46.150 --> 00:24:49.990 So we tested five different statistical approaches

 $410\ 00:24:49.990 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.557$ to actually create these predictions

411 00:24:54.557 --> 00:24:59.320 and show their differences in performance in our paper.

 $412\ 00:24:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.870$ For the sake of time,

413 00:25:00.870 --> 00:25:03.060 I'm just going to tell you the punchline,

414 00:25:03.060 --> 00:25:06.820 which is that we ended up using the XG boost model

 $415\ 00:25:06.820 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.100$ for our final predictions.

416 00:25:09.100 --> 00:25:14.070 So the XG boost model is a powerful machine learning model

417 00:25:14.070 --> 00:25:18.610 that we used and had to adapt to create

 $418\ 00:25:18.610 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.563$ a spatial temporal predictions.

419 00:25:23.390 --> 00:25:26.970 And what we ended up doing was actually comparing

 $420\ 00:25:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.970$ our XG boost model to the NLDAS-2 model.

421 00:25:32.410 --> 00:25:36.600 So NLDAS-2, if you're unfamiliar is a NASA product

 $422\ 00:25:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.490$ that also gives hourly predictions

 $423\ 00{:}25{:}39{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}43{.}310$ and it's what the CDC uses for their heat and health

 $424\ 00{:}25{:}43.310$ --> $00{:}25{:}47.960$ tracking system, as well as some of their research.

 $425\ 00{:}25{:}47.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50.550$ And so we thought that this was an important model

 $426\ 00:25:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.003$ to benchmark again.

427 00:25:56.130 --> 00:25:59.210 So these are the predictions from our XG boost model,

428 00:25:59.210 --> 00:26:03.620 from the hottest midnight of our data set, July 22nd, 2011.

429 00:26:04.970 --> 00:26:08.980 And so you can see across this Northeast region

430 00:26:08.980 --> 00:26:11.090 from Virginia to Maine,

431 00:26:11.090 --> 00:26:15.163 that we reconstruct a great deal of spatial heterogeneity.

432 00:26:16.530 --> 00:26:18.750 Again, this is for one hour,

433 00:26:18.750 \rightarrow 00:26:23.120 the highest midnight of our time period.

 $434\ 00:26:23.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.120$ And when we zoom in to a sub region,

435 00:26:28.700 --> 00:26:31.163 this, in this case being New York City,

436 $00{:}26{:}33{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37{.}120$ we see that we reconstruct a great deal of spatial

437 00:26:37.120 --> 00:26:41.063 heterogeneity from the urban heat island effect.

438 00:26:42.410 --> 00:26:45.060 And I should have mentioned earlier,

 $439\ 00:26:45.060 - 00:26:48.790$ I mentioned that NLDAS-2 is hourly,

440 00:26:48.790 --> 00:26:52.520 but it's actually at a much coarser spatial resolution.

441 00:26:52.520 --> 00:26:57.520 So these larger grid cells overlaid our predictions

 $442\ 00:26:57.650 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.680$ are actually the NLDAS-2 grid cells.

443 00:27:01.680 --> 00:27:06.190 And it's important to note here that in this one,

444 00:27:06.190 --> 00:27:10.200 NLDAS-2 grid cell, you have most of Manhattan,

445 00:27:10.200 --> 00:27:13.610 a big chunk of the Bronx and a little bit of Queens

446 00:27:13.610 --> 00:27:18.240 that would get one prediction for all of that region,

447 00:27:18.240 --> 00:27:20.970 with the NLDAS-2 predictions,

448 00:27:20.970 --> 00:27:24.790 but we can reconstruct a great deal of heterogeneity

449 00:27:24.790 --> 00:27:26.543 within that region.

 $450\ 00:27:29.210 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.360$ And we think that then is related

 $451\ 00:27:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.890$ to the performance of these models.

452 00:27:34.890 --> 00:27:39.890 So these are the root mean squared errors from just 2019

 $453\ 00:27:39.960$ --> 00:27:44.960 from our XG boost model versus the NLDAS-2 model.

454 00:27:45.520 --> 00:27:50.520 So RMSE is a measure of predictive accuracy and the goal

 $455\ 00:27:51.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.920$ is to have lower RMSEs.

456 00:27:53.920 --> 00:27:56.450 And so we show that our model

457 00:27:56.450 --> 00:28:01.160 has a low RMSE of 1.4 Celsius,

45800:28:01.160 --> 00:28:06.160 whereas the NLDAS-2 model has a RMSE of 2.4 Celsius.

459 00:28:08.870 --> 00:28:13.720 When we look across the entire region across all years,

460 00:28:13.720 --> 00:28:17.420 we see that the XG boost predictions have one third

461 00:28:17.420 --> 00:28:22.273 of the mean squared error of the NLDAS-2 predictions.

 $462\ 00{:}28{:}25{.}960$ --> $00{:}28{:}28{.}950$ But given the small literature on temperature disparities,

463 00:28:28.950 --> 00:28:32.880 we were curious to see if our model was also associated

 $464\ 00:28:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.540$ with a measure of social vulnerability.

465 00:28:36.540 --> 00:28:41.540 And so what we decided to do was actually conduct a limited

 $466\ 00{:}28{:}41.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}46.030$ application to look at the relationship between our model

467 00:28:46.030 --> 00:28:51.030 and the NLDAS-2 model with social vulnerability.

468 00:28:51.950 --> 00:28:56.150 So what we did was we used the CDCs social vulnerability

469 00:28:56.150 --> 00:29:01.150 index, which are a composite of 15 census variables,

 $470\,00{:}29{:}01.680\,{-}{-}{>}\,00{:}29{:}06.680$ including socioe conomic status, housing, transportation,

471 00:29:06.760 --> 00:29:11.760 language isolation, amongst other characteristics.

472 00:29:12.063 --> 00:29:16.010 And these are variables that the CDC uses to identify

473 00:29:16.010 \rightarrow 00:29:19.210 communities that may need support before,

474 00:29:19.210 --> 00:29:22.540 during or after a disaster.

475 00:29:22.540 --> 00:29:25.620 The results from the social vulnerability index

476 $00:29:25.620 \rightarrow 00:29:27.530$ are proportional.

 $477\ 00:29:27.530 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.490$ It produces measures from zero to one.

478 00:29:31.490 --> 00:29:36.490 And so we decided to use mixed models

479 00:29:36.620 --> 00:29:41.620 to associate our XG boost model and the NLDAS model

 $480\ 00{:}29{:}42.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}47.400$ with social vulnerability to see how they were associated

481 00:29:47.400 --> 00:29:51.410 with social vulnerability at the census tract level.

 $482\ 00:29:51.410 -> 00:29:53.730$ We wanted this to be a limited application

483 00:29:53.730 --> 00:29:58.230 so we only did it for one hour of one day from that hottest

 $484\ 00:29:58.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.653$ midnight that I showed you earlier.

 $485\ 00:30:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.893$ And here are the results.

486 00:30:06.893 --> 00:30:08.500 So, as I mentioned earlier,

487 00:30:08.500 --> 00:30:12.010 the CDC social vulnerability index is a proportional measure

488 00:30:12.010 --> 00:30:13.780 from zero to one.

489 00:30:13.780 --> 00:30:18.500 And so for a unit increase of the CDC SVI,

490 00:30:19.600 --> 00:30:23.500 we see that the NLDAS-2 model shows an increase

 $491\ 00:30:23.500 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.520$ of temperature of 0.18 Celsius.

492 00:30:27.520 --> 00:30:30.250 However, when we look at the XG boost model,

493 00:30:30.250 --> 00:30:35.030 we see that our model has a stronger relationship

 $494\ 00:30:35.030 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.840$ with an increase in temperature,

495 00:30:36.840 --> 00:30:41.833 average temperature of 0.71 Celsius.

49600:30:44.380 --> 00:30:48.610 And just to ground that in some places that you might know,

 $497\ 00:30:48.610 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.410$ so if we look at New York City,

498 00:30:52.410 --> 00:30:57.100 two boroughs of New York City, Manhattan and the Bronx,

499 00:30:57.100 --> 00:31:01.590 and then we look at two counties in upstate New York,

50000:31:01.590 --> 00:31:05.623 you would see that the NLDAS-2 model has a very,

501 00:31:07.140 --> 00:31:11.300 very shallow gradient of temperature and social

 $502~00{:}31{:}11.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}15.960$ vulnerability across these temperature predictions.

503 00:31:15.960 --> 00:31:18.060 However, with our XG boost model,

504 00:31:18.060 --> 00:31:22.530 because we reconstruct much more spatial heterogeneity,

 $505\ 00:31:22.530 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.950$ we see much more of a strong relationship

 $506\ 00:31:28.094 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.323$ with the social vulnerability index.

507 00:31:32.280 --> 00:31:36.280 So with the caveat that this is one hour of one day,

 $508\ 00{:}31{:}36{.}280$ --> $00{:}31{:}39{.}810$ what this implies to us is that there's potentially exposure

509 00:31:39.810 --> 00:31:43.800 misclassification in coarser models.

510 00:31:43.800 --> 00:31:48.380 And that that exposure misclassification may be differential

 $511\ 00:31:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.453$ by neighborhood vulnerability.

 $512\ 00:31:53.430 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.870$ So as a takeaway here,

513 00:31:55.870 --> 00:32:00.020 we've created highly accurate air temperature predictions

 $514\ 00:32:00.020 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.120$ that we think are right for application

515 00:32:03.120 --> 00:32:06.780 to social science, exposure science,

 $516\ 00:32:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.203$ and epidemiological studies.

 $517\ 00:32:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.203$ But wait, there's more,

 $518\ 00:32:13.203 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.710$ I think that this is a great segue

519 00:32:15.710 --> 00:32:19.240 because I'm currently expanding on these questions

 $520\ 00:32:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.810$ with work that I'm doing at the moment.

521 00:32:22.810 --> 00:32:26.670 And so right now, I want to quickly tell you about work

 $522~00{:}32{:}26.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}30.900$ that I have underway to try and explore these exposure

523 00:32:30.900 --> 00:32:35.900 disparities further and point to its potential importance

 $524\ 00:32:36.220 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.030$ for epidemiological methods.

 $525\ 00:32:39.030$ --> 00:32:42.550 And so this is about thinking about residential segregation,

 $526\ 00:32:42.550 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.193$ air temperature, and circulatory mortality.

 $527\ 00:32:49.250 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.360$ So for the first part of the analysis,

 $528\ 00:32:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.410$ I'll be looking at exposure disparities,

 $529\ 00:32:54.410 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.970$ similar to the methods that I just showed you,

 $530\ 00:32:56.970 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.730$ but with some key differences.

 $531\ 00:32:59.730 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.163$ So unlike the last analysis,

532 00:33:03.163 --> 00:33:06.830 this time I actually want to look at the differences

 $533\ 00:33:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.070$ and the predictions by race.

534 00:33:09.070 --> 00:33:12.770 We know that we have suggestions from past literature

535 00:33:12.770 --> 00:33:16.120 that there are differences in exposure by race

536 $00{:}33{:}16.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.540$ and ethnicity and so we want to look at this

 $537\ 00:33:19.540 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.540$ by race and ethnicity as well

 $538\ 00:33:24.550 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.998$ now that we have air temperature predictions.

539 00:33:27.998 --> 00:33:31.850 And so what we decided it had to do was we decided to

 $540\ 00:33:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.090$ aggregate our models to the census tract level

541 00:33:35.090 --> 00:33:39.770 like we did before and then we wanted to see what

 $542\ 00{:}33{:}39.770$ --> $00{:}33{:}44.770$ the differences were potentially in an experienced summer.

543 00:33:45.580 --> 00:33:49.840 And so what I did was I wanted to compare are the summertime

544 00:33:49.840 --> 00:33:54.050 aggregates so I borrowed from the energy literature

 $545\ 00:33:54.050 --> 00:33:57.560$ and computed cooling degree days.

546 00:33:57.560 --> 00:34:00.540 So if you're unfamiliar with cooling degree days,

 $547\ 00:34:00.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.160$ generally speaking, what it is is measures

548 00:34:04.160 --> 00:34:08.480 of how much hotter a day is than a threshold value.

549 00:34:08.480 --> 00:34:11.500 Generally in the U.S., the threshold value that's used

 $550~00{:}34{:}11.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16.460$ is 65 degrees Fahrenheit, or 18.3 degrees Celsius.

551 00:34:17.800 --> 00:34:21.810 So, as an example, if today is 67,

552 00:34:21.810 --> 00:34:25.750 which I wish that it were, but if it were 67 outside today,

 $553\ 00:34:25.750 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.830$ that would give us two cooling degree days.

 $554\ 00:34:28.830 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.490$ And then you repeat that for every other day,

555 00:34:31.490 --> 00:34:34.950 and then add up all of those cooling degree days

 $556\ 00:34:34.950 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.293$ for the summertime values.

557 00:34:38.610 --> 00:34:42.200 For now I'm only conducting a comparison

558 00:34:42.200 --> 00:34:46.470 of exposure experiences by black and white people,

559 00:34:46.470 --> 00:34:50.720 but in the future, I want to consider more racial groups

 $560\ 00{:}34{:}50.720$ --> $00{:}34{:}55.307$ to try and characterize these exposure disparities better.

561 00:34:56.880 --> 00:35:01.800 And you can imagine that if we see differences by race,

562 00:35:01.800 --> 00:35:05.680 someone could make an argument that it might be

 $563\ 00:35:05.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.540$ because different people live

 $564\ 00:35:08.540 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.830$ in different parts of the region.

565 00:35:10.830 --> 00:35:15.430 So for example, saying that more white folks live

566 00:35:15.430 --> 00:35:19.680 in the Northern most parts of the region like Maine

567 00:35:19.680 --> 00:35:22.100 and more black folks live in the Southern most part

 $568\ 00:35:22.100 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.700$ of the region like Virginia.

569 00:35:24.700 --> 00:35:29.700 And so we wanted to then make this within county comparison

 $570\ 00:35:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.320$ within geographic compact geographies,

571 $00:35:35.320 \rightarrow 00:35:39.670$ to look at exposure disparities within these

 $572\ 00:35:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.730$ more relevant administrative units.

573 00:35:42.730 --> 00:35:45.370 And so to address that,

574 00:35:45.370 --> 00:35:49.380 we then took a similar approach of comparing tracks

 $575\ 00:35:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.790$ within counties with our predictor variable,

576 00:35:52.790 $\rightarrow 00:35:57.570$ being the proportion of the census tract

577 00:35:57.570 --> 00:36:00.460 that was comprised of black folks,

578 00:36:00.460 --> 00:36:05.410 and then using random intercepts and slopes by county

 $579\ 00:36:05.410 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.143$ to then get county level comparisons.

580 00:36:11.240 --> 00:36:13.390 On the epidemiological side of things,

 $581\ 00{:}36{:}13{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}16{.}100$ you can imagine that getting health data that covers

 $582\ 00:36:16.100 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.520$ the entirety of this region is pretty difficult

 $583\ 00:36:19.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.260$ so we use it as an opportunity to get creative.

584 00:36:23.260 --> 00:36:26.990 We, again, access to CDC wonder data

585 00:36:26.990 --> 00:36:30.040 and although I'm interested in child health,

586 00:36:30.040 --> 00:36:33.890 CDC wonder data has some major limitations

587 00:36:33.890 --> 00:36:37.100 if we're thinking about a rarer health outcome 588 00:36:37.100 --> 00:36:38.523 like preterm birth.

589 00:36:40.390 --> 00:36:43.840 Data are provided are at very coarse geographies.

590 00:36:43.840 --> 00:36:48.210 In this case, data are only provided at the county level,

591 00:36:48.210 --> 00:36:51.900 and they're also only provided for course time spans.

592 00:36:51.900 --> 00:36:56.900 And then data that are counts that are below 10

 $593\ 00:36:57.700 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.243$ are suppressed for privacy concerns.

594 00:37:02.170 --> 00:37:06.923 So, because CVD mortality is a much more common event,

 $595\ 00:37:06.923$ --> 00:37:11.923 we decided to conduct this analysis with CVD mortality.

 $596\ 00:37:12.202 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.170$ There are still however,

597 00:37:14.170 --> 00:37:17.880 a fair amount of suppressions of data

598 00:37:17.880 --> 00:37:19.410 and so to deal with that,

599 00:37:19.410 --> 00:37:23.590 we ended up using a left censored Poisson regression

 $600\ 00{:}37{:}23.590$ --> $00{:}37{:}28.590$ since there would be left censoring for lower counts.

601 00:37:28.900 --> 00:37:32.220 And really one of the things that I'm getting at here is

 $602\ 00:37:32.220$ --> 00:37:37.000 around this question of exposure misclassification.

603 00:37:37.000 --> 00:37:40.010 So for example, in many environmental epidemiology studies,

604 00:37:40.010 --> 00:37:43.170 there's often
times an analysis that looks at effect

 $605\ 00{:}37{:}43.170$ --> $00{:}37{:}47.150$ modification by race, often finding higher effect estimates

 $606\ 00:37:47.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.320$ based on race and ethnicity.

 $607\ 00{:}37{:}49{.}320$ --> $00{:}37{:}51{.}980$ And while there are sometimes reasons to think that this

 $608\ 00:37{:}51.980$ --> $00{:}37{:}55.545$ might be the case, depending on exposure and context,

609 00:37:55.545 --> 00:38:00.530 I am often left wondering if it's potentially a consequence

610 00:38:00.530 --> 00:38:04.910 of underlying exposure disparities that our exposure models

 $611 \ 00:38:04.910 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.463$ are not picking up.

612 00:38:07.670 --> 00:38:10.040 And so with that inspiration,

613 00:38:10.040 --> 00:38:13.503 I ended up doing four different regressions,

614 00:38:14.810 --> 00:38:19.640 two regressions for white folks using both exposure models

 $615\ 00{:}38{:}19{.}640$ --> $00{:}38{:}23{.}350$ and two regressions for black folks using both regression

616 00:38:23.350 --> 00:38:26.660 models or prediction models, I should say.

61700:38:26.660 --> 00:38:29.170 And since this ended up being at the county level,

618 00:38:29.170 --> 00:38:32.870 I tried to preserve some of the exposure differences

619 00:38:32.870 --> 00:38:37.870 by computing weighted by track level racial composition,

 $620\ 00:38:38.820 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.123$ aggregated up to the county level.

 $621\ 00{:}38{:}46.670$ --> $00{:}38{:}51.350$ So these are preliminary results just for the year 2019.

 $622~00{:}38{:}52.970$ --> $00{:}38{:}57.180$ So this plot is simply looking at the distributions by race

 $623\ 00:38:57.180 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.920$ across the 13 states including DC.

624 00:39:01.920 --> 00:39:05.150 And what we see here is that actually both models

 $625\ 00{:}39{:}05{.}150$ --> $00{:}39{:}09{.}470$ appear to reconstruct a temperature disparity

 $626\ 00:39:09.470 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.970$ between whites and blacks.

627 00:39:11.970 --> 00:39:16.970 However, our XG boost model has a much more smoothed out

 $628\ 00:39:17.914 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.493$ distribution for black folks.

62900:39:23.870 --> 00:39:28.190 And when we actually look at the median values experienced,

63000:39:28.190 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:39:31.830 we see that they're about the same for white folks,

 $631\ 00:39:31.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.200$ but between these two prediction models.

 $632\ 00{:}39{:}34.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}38.470$ But in fact, we have higher exposures for black folks

 $633\ 00:39:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.283$ with our XG boost model.

 $634\ 00:39:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.080$ But this is just looking across the entire region,

635 00:39:44.080 --> 00:39:47.370 this isn't actually of the results from our analysis

 $636\ 00:39:47.370 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.580$ and so from that linear mixed effect model

 $637\ 00:39:49.580 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.580$ that I mentioned earlier,

638 00:39:51.580 --> 00:39:56.410 we look to see at how these were related to the proportion

639 00:39:56.410 --> 00:40:00.420 of black people living inside of a census tract 640 00:40:00.420 --> 00:40:05.420 and we found that a zero to one increase for the proportion

641 00:40:06.170 --> 00:40:11.130 of folks was associated with 25 higher cooling degree days

 $642\ 00:40:11.130 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.700$ for the NLDS to model.

 $643\ 00:40:13.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.820$ But for the XG boost model,

64400:40:15.820 --> 00:40:20.043 we reconstruct approximately 68 cooling degree days.

645 00:40:22.830 --> 00:40:26.990 And so we think that this is potentially important

 $646\ 00:40:26.990 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.870$ for reconstructing some of these potential

647 00:40:29.870 --> 00:40:33.540 exposure disparities and on the epidemiological

 $648\ 00:40:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.890$ side of things, when we do a stratified model

649 00:40:37.890 --> 00:40:41.900 for white folks, we see a modest but significant effect

 $650\ 00:40:41.900 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.360$ of approximately 1.04.

651 00:40:45.360 --> 00:40:47.880 But when we look at those as effect estimates 652 00:40:47.880 --> 00:40:52.760 for black folks, we see much higher effect estimates

 $653\ 00:40:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.320$ for both models.

 $654~00{:}40{:}54{.}320$ --> $00{:}40{:}59{.}317$ However, this is for the NLDAS-2 model with about 1.24

 $655\ 00:41:00.270 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.783$ as the effect estimate.

656 00:41:03.510 --> 00:41:04.670 It was mentioned in the slide

657 00:41:04.670 --> 00:41:06.580 but I should've said it before,

 $658\ 00:41:06.580 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.170$ these are scaled per 92 cooling degree days

 $659\ 00:41:11.170 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.520$ or one cooling degree day average increase

660 00:41:14.520 --> 00:41:15.883 across our time span.

661 00:41:18.198 --> 00:41:21.490 And so for the XG boost model,

 $662\ 00:41:21.490 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.830$ we see that we get a much lower,

 $663\ 00{:}41{:}24.830$ --> $00{:}41{:}29.700$ but still higher than for whites effect estimate of 1.14.

 $664\ 00:41:31.570 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.220$ So what this means to me,

665 00:41:34.220 --> 00:41:39.220 or implies to me that there is potentially exposure

 $666\ 00:41:39.270 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.620$ misclassification that can appear

667 00:41:43.840 --> 00:41:48.690 in epi models as greater susceptibility.

668 00:41:48.690 --> 00:41:51.610 And so I think that there is an opportunity here to think

 $669~00{:}41{:}51.610$ --> $00{:}41{:}56.010$ further about these models and what they can lend us

 $670\ 00:41:56.010 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.103$ for health disparities types of research.

671 00:42:01.690 --> 00:42:06.690 So some next steps here is that I have data for more years

672 00:42:06.780 --> 00:42:09.900 than just 2019, so I'm going to include more years

 $673\ 00:42:09.900 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.680$ in this analysis.

67400:42:11.680 --> 00:42:15.810 We also know that there are exposure disparities

 $675\ 00:42:15.810 --> 00:42:19.650$ for other forms of environmental contaminants

676 00:42:19.650 --> 00:42:22.610 like ozone or PM2.5.

 $677\ 00:42:22.610 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.460$ And so I want to potentially control for these $678\ 00:42:25.460 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.571$ as spatial temporal confounders,

679 00:42:28.571 --> 00:42:32.510 potentially contributing to these relationships.

68000:42:32.510 --> 00:42:37.403 And then I want to include explicit measures of segregation.

681 00:42:38.420 --> 00:42:42.500 So, as I mentioned, I showed the proportion of black folks,

 $682\ 00{:}42{:}42{.}500$ --> $00{:}42{:}46{.}270$ but there's a whole host of literature that actually shows

68300:42:46.270 --> 00:42:50.010 different measures of segregation like the dissimilarities

68400:42:50.010 --> 00:42:53.750 index or the index of concentration at the extremes.

685 00:42:53.750 --> 00:42:55.540 And I would like to use these

 $686\ 00{:}42{:}55{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}00{.}163$ as potential predictors in these models.

 $687\ 00{:}43{:}01{.}850$ --> $00{:}43{:}06{.}420$ And then finally, I want to analyze these disparities

 $688\ 00:43:06.420 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.200$ in relation to energy data

689 00:43:09.200 --> 00:43:14.200 because I'm interested in studying some quantitative

690 00:43:14.750 --> 00:43:18.543 research between energy burden and energy insecurity,

 $691\ 00:43:19.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.150$ which leads me to some of my

 $692\ 00:43:21.150 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.703$ future directions and opportunities.

 $693\ 00:43:25.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.700$ So if you're unfamiliar with energy insecurity,

694 00:43:28.700 --> 00:43:33.230 this is a relatively new framework that my colleague

695 00:43:33.230 --> 00:43:38.230 Diana Hernandez at Columbia has used and described

 $696\ 00:43:39.650 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.080$ as a framework that outlines the interplay

 $697\ 00:43:42.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.600$ between energy needs, financial constraints,

 $698\ 00:43:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.130$ and behavioral adaptations.

699 00:43:48.130 --> 00:43:53.130 So I think a lot of us are familiar with this concept

700 00:43:53.524 --> 00:43:57.710 in what's referred to as the heat or eat dilemma.

701 00:43:57.710 --> 00:44:01.210 So the heat or eat dilemma describes the kind of precarious

702 00:44:01.210 --> 00:44:05.820 situation that historically poor families have been put in

 $703\ 00:44:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.570$ of during the winter time,

704 00:44:08.570 --> 00:44:13.570 do they keep themselves warm or do they forgo some staples,

 $705\ 00{:}44{:}14{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}19{.}327$ like a healthy meal, or perhaps they get their heating

 $706\ 00:44:20.670 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.950$ from some sort of precarious thing

707 00:44:22.950 --> 00:44:27.160 like opening their oven and putting a fan next to their oven

 $708\ 00:44:27.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.373$ to keep their home warm, right?

709 00:44:30.260 --> 00:44:33.233 We've heard the stories if not done it yourselves,

 $710\ 00:44:35.100 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.930$ but I think in a warming climate,

711 00:44:36.930 --> 00:44:40.783 we need to start having a conversation on analogous,

712 00:44:41.810 --> 00:44:45.770 what I'm coining the heat stroke or go broke dilemma.

713 00:44:45.770 --> 00:44:48.610 What does it mean to think about that

714 00:44:48.610 --> 00:44:53.400 there are folks who potentially have ACs in their homes,

715 00:44:53.400 --> 00:44:56.143 but can't afford to run those ACs.

716 00:44:57.930 --> 00:45:00.440 How do we think about that

 $717\ 00{:}45{:}00{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05{.}210$ they may be foregoing other important staples of their lives

 $718\ 00:45:05.210 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.400$ on the other side of things to cool their homes.

 $719\ 00:45:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.310$ And so I think that there's a real opportunity

 $720\ 00{:}45{:}12{.}310$ --> $00{:}45{:}16{.}430$ for climate epidemiology and climate and health research

721 00:45:16.430 --> 00:45:18.883 to engage with some of this.

722 00:45:21.280 --> 00:45:25.160 And finally, I'm also interested in continuing to integrate

723 00:45:25.160 --> 00:45:28.590 the social and environmental determinants of health.

 $724~00{:}45{:}28.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}32.920$ So I didn't attend the society for epidemiologic research

 $725\ 00:45:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.460$ conference this year, but I saw on Twitter

726 00:45:35.460 --> 00:45:39.320 that one of the big take
aways was a quote from Jay Kaufman,

 $727\ 00{:}45{:}39{.}320$ --> $00{:}45{:}43{.}830$ who said that all epidemiology is social epidemiology.

 $728\ 00{:}45{:}43.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}48.240$ And I think that that lends a real opportunity for us

729 00:45:48.240 --> 00:45:53.240 to think about borrowing from the social epidemiology

 $730\ 00:45:53.610 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.780$ literature and also lending our tools

731 00:45:56.780 --> 00:45:59.670 to the social epidemiology literature.

 $732\ 00:45:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.100$ So we recently just published a paper

733 00:46:04.100 --> 00:46:05.950 in Nature Communications

734 00:46:05.950 --> 00:46:09.540 where we actually used environmental exposure

 $735\ 00:46:09.540 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.270$ mixtures methods that were designed

736 00:46:12.270 $\rightarrow 00:46:15.300$ for the environmental health sciences,

737 00:46:15.300 --> 00:46:18.010 and actually implied it to thinking about neighborhood

738 00:46:18.010 --> 00:46:21.980 disadvantage to try and understand some of the infection

739 00:46:21.980 --> 00:46:26.973 disparities that we're seeing in New York city for COVID-19.

740 00:46:27.970 --> 00:46:32.120 And so I think that there's an opportunity here to continue

741 00:46:32.120 --> 00:46:35.380 to, you know, trade and learn lessons

742 00:46:35.380 $\rightarrow 00:46:39.273$ across the different areas of public health.

743 00:46:40.800 --> 00:46:45.020 I'm also conducting a large natality analysis that I

744 00:46:45.020 --> 00:46:50.020 mentioned earlier in Mexico and soon hopefully accessing

745 00:46:51.040 --> 00:46:54.573 data for also New York state.

746 00:46:55.570 --> 00:47:00.180 And we're trying to apply mixtures methods in this context

747 00:47:00.180 --> 00:47:05.180 as well thinking about perinatal and climate epidemiology.

748 00:47:05.220 --> 00:47:07.270 I also want to continue to expand

 $749\ 00:47:07.270 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.650$ my own environmental justice lens.

750 00:47:09.650 --> 00:47:12.330 I think a lot of focus in environmental health

751 00:47:12.330 --> 00:47:15.560 has been on distributive justice,

752 00:47:15.560 --> 00:47:18.620 but what does it mean to also think about different forms

753 00:47:18.620 --> 00:47:20.130 of environmental justice,

 $754\ 00:47:20.130 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.750$ like procedural justice or restorative justice

 $755\ 00:47:23.750 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.780$ in these contexts?

756 00:47:25.780 --> 00:47:29.040 And then finally, I'm hoping to get more engaged

757 00:47:29.040 --> 00:47:32.520 in community and policy engaged research to try and find

 $758\ 00{:}47{:}32.520$ --> $00{:}47{:}37.130$ climate energy and health leverage points that we can use

 $759\ 00:47:37.130 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.030$ to create a more health equitable

 $760\ 00:47:40.030 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.013$ and climate equitable future.

761 00:47:43.800 --> 00:47:48.770 So of course this research relies on a ton of folks to help

 $762\ 00{:}47{:}48.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}52.210$ make this possible, so thank you to all of those folks,

763 00:47:52.210 --> 00:47:55.693 as well as the funding that has made this all possible.

764 $00{:}47{:}57{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}59{.}933$ And with that, I will open up for questions.

765 00:48:04.750 --> 00:48:08.950 <v ->So, yeah, thank you, Daniel, for a very well-presented </v> 766 00:48:08.950 --> 00:48:10.093 and interesting talk.

767 00:48:12.770 --> 00:48:15.020 I could start with a question.

768 00:48:15.020 --> 00:48:18.260 Well, maybe other people are thinking about theirs,

769 00:48:18.260 --> 00:48:23.260 so you spoke a lot about temperature exposure disparities

 $770\ 00:48:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.140$ and then introduced how energy,

771 00:48:32.140 --> 00:48:35.530 so you have the temperature exposure disparities,

 $772\ 00:48:35.530 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.280$ and then on top of that,

773 00:48:37.280 --> 00:48:41.970 you have the people with the highest temperature exposure

774 00:48:41.970 --> 00:48:45.950 having less of an ability to deal with that high temperature

775 00:48:45.950 --> 00:48:50.700 exposure and that part you didn't address as much,

776 00:48:50.700 --> 00:48:53.700 you know, understand that you can only do so much,

777 00:48:53.700 --> 00:48:55.680 but I'm wondering, you know,

 $778\ 00:48:55.680 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.680$ have you thought about ways to measure that,

779 00:49:01.338 --> 00:49:05.980 let's call it energy insecurity in epidemiologic studies

780 00:49:05.980 --> 00:49:08.133 in order to make that next step?

781 00:49:09.860 --> 00:49:10.860 <v ->Yeah, absolutely.</v>

 $782\ 00:49:10.860 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.380$ So I'm interested in this in two different ways.

783 00:49:15.380 --> 00:49:20.380 So I think that we could do work to actually collect data

 $784\ 00:49:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.440$ from folks to try and get a better sense,

785 00:49:24.440 --> 00:49:29.440 a better quantitative sense of people's energy insecurity.

786 00:49:30.670 --> 00:49:35.097 So Diana has developed actually an energy insecurity

787 $00{:}49{:}36{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}41{.}570$ screening tool and so it would be great to try

 $788\ 00:49:41.950 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.240$ and get that screening tool out there

789 00:49:44.240 --> 00:49:48.210 as part of larger studies so that we can understand

790 00:49:48.210 --> 00:49:50.960 the kind of geographic distribution

791 00:49:50.960 --> 00:49:55.170 of this energy insecurity and trying to overlay that

792 00:49:55.170 --> 00:49:58.660 potentially with what we know about temperature.

793 00:49:58.660 $\rightarrow 00:50:00.130$ So that's on one end.

794 00:50:00.130 --> 00:50:05.130 On the other end, I think the lower hanging fruit

 $795\ 00:50:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.320$ is actually to access energy data.

796 00:50:10.320 --> 00:50:13.760 And so this is something that we're working on right now

797 00:50:13.760 --> 00:50:18.760 actually is to use energy data and pair that with

798 00:50:19.470 --> 00:50:23.220 our temperature predictions to see if we could see

799 00:50:23.220 --> 00:50:27.680 differences in the dose response relationship

800 00:50:27.680 --> 00:50:30.540 between neighborhood temperature

 $801 \ 00:50:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.250$ and energy utilization by neighborhood.

 $802\ 00:50:34.250 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.050$ And if we see differences in the slopes

 $803 \ 00:50:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.460$ between those neighborhoods,

 $804\ 00:50:39.460 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.860$ then that would imply to me that potentially

 $805\ 00:50:42.860 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.590$ those are differences in your response

 $806~00{:}50{:}45{.}590$ --> $00{:}50{:}50{.}590$ to the temperature and your ability to keep yourself cool.

 $807\ 00:50:50.810 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.320$ Of course, that needs to be adjusted

 $808\ 00:50:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.918$ for many, many different things,

 $809~00{:}50{:}54{.}918$ --> $00{:}50{:}59{.}918$ but that is where I'm thinking as a lower hanging fruit

 $810\ 00:51:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.733$ using administrative data at the moment.

811 00:51:04.200 --> 00:51:08.073 <v ->Great, other questions, comments?</v>

812 00:51:10.180 --> 00:51:13.090 <v ->I have a question or a comment and observation,</v>

 $813\ 00{:}51{:}13.090$ --> $00{:}51{:}15.690$ first of all, this is an amazing presentation.

814 00:51:15.690 --> 00:51:18.720 It's brilliant work, and it could not be more timely.

815 00:51:18.720 --> 00:51:22.510 And I'm going to go to your last point, talking about,

816 00:51:22.510 --> 00:51:25.650 you know, the application of your work and of this research

 $817\ 00:51:25.650 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.080$ within the current policy development work

818 00:51:29.080 --> 00:51:30.990 at the federal level right now.

819 00:51:30.990 --> 00:51:33.800 And I think that you're diving in and focusing in

 $820\ 00:51:33.800 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.020$ on that exposure data and how

821 00:51:36.020 --> 00:51:39.280 we're not getting an accurate indication of what

 $822\ 00:51:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.330$ the risk are is vitally important

 $823\ 00{:}51{:}42{.}330$ --> $00{:}51{:}44{.}490$ and there are a couple of proceedings right now, you know,

 $824\ 00:51:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.820$ with the executive order 13895,

 $825\ 00:51:47.820 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.380$ with executive order 14009.

826 00:51:50.380 --> 00:51:54.230 There's an OMB, a docket open until July six.

827 00:51:54.230 --> 00:51:58.180 There's another FEMA docket open until July 21st,

82800:51:58.180 --> 00:52:02.490 is how are you, whether you are planning

829 00:52:02.490 --> 00:52:04.520 or whether you could consider

 $830\ 00:52:04.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.350$ taking your research and getting it into these

 $831\ 00:52:07.350 \rightarrow 00:52:10.090$ and other dockets because that is setting

832 00:52:10.090 --> 00:52:14.050 the administrative record where we can start changing how

 $833\ 00:52:14.050 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.990$ the federal government is thinking about this.

834 00:52:16.990 --> 00:52:21.380 So I don't know what your thoughts are in trying to move

 $835\ 00:52:21.380 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.420$ in those spaces.

836 00:52:23.420 --> 00:52:25.376 <v ->Yeah, no, absolutely.</v>

837 00:52:25.376 --> 00:52:29.690 And I would definitely look to others who are closer

838 00:52:29.690 --> 00:52:34.150 to the policy landscape to help me figure out 839 00:52:34.150 --> 00:52:36.430 what the leverage points are.

840 00:52:36.430 --> 00:52:41.040 The most proximal leverage point that I'm aware of

 $841 \ 00:52:41.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.710$ is actually what environmental justice folks

842 00:52:43.710 --> 00:52:45.750 are talking about right now.

843 00:52:45.750 --> 00:52:50.560 Folks that We Act are talking about that the low income home

844 00:52:50.560 --> 00:52:55.560 energy assistance program has been historically used for

845 00:52:55.958 --> 00:53:00.958 helping to keep folks warm during the winter,

846 00:53:00.960 --> 00:53:05.630 but has been lesser so used to help keep folks cool

847 00:53:05.630 --> 00:53:07.410 during the summer.

848 00:53:07.410 --> 00:53:11.850 And so we already have a policy instrument in place

 $849\ 00:53:11.850 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.080$ to identify the people who need the help,

 $850\ 00{:}53{:}15{.}080$ --> $00{:}53{:}20{.}080$ but we don't have the dollars allocated to the right part,

85100:53:20.341 --> 00:53:24.980 potentially the right part of the exposure distribution.

 $852\ 00{:}53{:}24{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}29{.}813$ And so I think that that is the most proximal policy

 $853\ 00{:}53{:}29{.}813$ --> $00{:}53{:}34.010$ instrument that I'm aware of that could help move the needle

85400:53:34.010 --> 00:53:36.403 towards improving public health.

855 00:53:38.380 --> 00:53:39.660 <v ->That's fantastic.</v>

 $856\ 00:53:39.660$ --> 00:53:41.670 You know I would also throw out taking that

 $857\ 00{:}53{:}41.670$ --> $00{:}53{:}44.320$ as that illustration applying the national environmental

 $858\ 00:53:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.640$ policy act and the resurgence and undoing

859 00:53:46.640 --> 00:53:48.630 what the Trump administration did to that law

 $860\ 00{:}53{:}48.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}51.380$ because I think there's some opportunities for programmatic

861 00:53:51.380 --> 00:53:54.476 environmental impact statement reviews

 $862\ 00{:}53{:}54.476$ --> $00{:}53{:}57.110$ and it would be great to get your data, you know,

863 00:53:57.110 --> 00:53:59.500 forming the basis of some of those types of actions.

 $864\ 00:53:59.500 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.760$ So thank you.

865 00:54:00.760 --> 00:54:01.660 < v ->Yeah, thank you.</v>

867 00:54:12.960 --> 00:54:15.830 <v ->Maybe just a small technical question.</v>

 $868\ 00:54:15.830 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.350$ We know that using CDC wonder data

 $869\ 00:54:18.350 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.790$ for especially the birth outcome,

870 00:54:21.790 --> 00:54:26.200 this issue is you mentioned briefly that the temporary

 $871\ 00:54:26.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.410$ resolution is not good enough.

 $872\ 00:54:28.410 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.520$ They don't accurate give you the exact date.

873 00:54:31.520 --> 00:54:33.820 So I'm wondering how do you deal with

 $874\ 00:54:33.820 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.183$ in your time cross data with that?

875 00:54:38.510 --> 00:54:39.470 <v ->Oh yeah, for sure.</v>

876 00:54:39.470 --> 00:54:44.470 So we ended up doing a lot of interpolation estimates.

877 00:54:47.430 --> 00:54:52.430 So for example CDC wonder can give you how many births

 $878\ 00:54:52.810 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.230$ there on it are in a day of the week,

 $879\ 00:54:55.230 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.420$ in a typical day of the week.

 $880\ 00{:}54{:}57{.}420$ --> $00{:}55{:}00{.}270$ And it'll give you how many births there were in a month.

 $881\ 00{:}55{:}00{.}270$ --> $00{:}55{:}05{.}120$ And so we ended up then doing a lot of averaging.

882 00:55:05.120 --> 00:55:09.090 Knowing Tuesdays, let's say are where, you know,

883 00:55:09.090 --> 00:55:11.350 30% of the births are happening,

884 00:55:11.350 --> 00:55:14.970 20% are happening on Wednesdays, let's say.

885 00:55:14.970 --> 00:55:19.970 Using that relationship, again with the longer month

886 00:55:20.890 --> 00:55:25.430 time span to then do a lot of smoothing and averaging

887 00:55:25.430 --> 00:55:28.320 to get an estimate of how many births there were.

888 00:55:28.320 --> 00:55:30.040 I don't think for this study

889 00:55:30.040 --> 00:55:32.770 we need an actual accurate number

 $890\ 00:55:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.512$ of births because at the end of the day,

 $891\ 00{:}55{:}37{.}512$ --> $00{:}55{:}42{.}512$ you're creating your truth with the simulation methods.

892 00:55:44.230 --> 00:55:47.570 But it's just a way of making sure that we have good

893 00:55:47.570 --> 00:55:52.060 representation of the different age groupings

 $894\ 00:55:52.060 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.750$ of different preterm births.

895 00:55:54.750 --> 00:55:59.750 Are there more 20 week olds perhaps being born in February

 $896\ 00:56:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.740$ rather than in June, right?

897 00:56:03.740 --> 00:56:07.048 Trying to preserve some of those distributions

 $898\ 00:56:07.048 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.730$ of the different weeks of gestation

 $899\ 00:56:11.730 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.830$ was where we spent a lot of our attention.

900 00:56:15.840 --> 00:56:17.990 <v ->Thanks yeah, that's makes a lot of sense.</v>

901 00:56:18.825 --> 00:56:21.290 And I'm more thinking of like a new addition 902 00:56:22.237 --> 00:56:24.560 to your similar study in the future, your future work,

 $903\ 00:56:24.560 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.090$ if you want to extend to the whole U.S.

904 00:56:27.090 --> 00:56:31.010 that might be something to be carefully dealt with.

905 00:56:33.000 --> 00:56:33.950 <v ->Yeah, absolutely.</v>

 $906\ 00:56:36.129 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.083 < v \longrightarrow So I$, there's a question in the chat. </v>

 $907\ 00:56:38.083 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.760$ I think this'll be the last question.

908 00:56:39.760 --> 00:56:42.380 It's from Taiwo Bello,

909 00:56:42.380 --> 00:56:46.580 Please, how convinced are you about these studies

910 00:56:46.580 --> 00:56:50.460 considering that Africa has the hottest temperature

911 00:56:50.460 --> 00:56:54.190 and majority had no cooling systems in place 912 00:56:54.190 --> 00:56:57.370 and what are the limitations of your research findings?

913 00:56:57.370 --> 00:56:58.203 Thank you.

914 00:56:59.610 --> 00:57:00.570 < v ->Yeah, absolutely.</v>

915 00:57:00.570 --> 00:57:05.186 So I think the temperature epidemiology

916 $00:57:05.186 \rightarrow 00:57:07.980$ generally shows that there is such a thing

 $917 \ 00:57:07.980 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.370$ as acclimatization, that there are differences

918 00:57:11.370 --> 00:57:13.960 in people's response to different temperatures

 $919\ 00:57:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.500$ in different parts of the world based on what

 $920\ 00:57:16.500 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.983$ they're historically exposed to.

921 00:57:20.190 $\rightarrow 00:57:23.570$ And so to some degree,

922 00:57:23.570 --> 00:57:28.139 people are climatized to the places that they live in.

923 00:57:28.139 --> 00:57:33.139 Another factor that needs to be considered as well is that

924 00:57:33.516 --> 00:57:37.370 humidity is also very different in different parts

925 00:57:37.370 --> 00:57:38.310 of the world.

926 00:57:38.310 --> 00:57:41.927 So in Western Africa, for example,

 $927 \ 00:57:41.927 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.370$ at least the places that I've done research,

 $928\ 00:57:44.370 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.030$ humidity is not as high

929 00:57:47.030 --> 00:57:51.720 as it is in the Caribbean, let's say,

 $930\ 00:57:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.620$ or in other parts of the world, right?

931 00:57:53.620 --> 00:57:57.460 And so humidity plays a big part in our ability

932 00:57:57.460 --> 00:58:01.100 to thermo regulate in our ability to dissipate heat.

933 00:58:01.100 --> 00:58:04.800 And so I think that that's an important part of this

93400:58:04.800 --> 00:58:07.950 relationship that a lot of temperature epidemiology

 $935\ 00:58:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.410$ kind of grapples with to do this.

936 00:58:12.410 --> 00:58:16.747 And I think the last thing I should mention is I think

937 00:58:17.800 --> 00:58:20.980 that we don't have sufficient evidence in many parts

938 00:58:20.980 --> 00:58:25.620 of the world to necessarily say that that heat 939 00:58:25.620 --> 00:58:28.830 is not an issue in Africa.

940 00:58:28.830 --> 00:58:33.100 There are studies that show that heat is an issue in Africa,

941 00:58:33.100 $\rightarrow 00:58:35.530$ even though the dose response relationships

 $942\ 00:58:35.530 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.460$ may be different, but nonetheless people

943 00:58:39.460 --> 00:58:44.460 are impacted by heat in Sub-Saharan Africa as well

 $944\ 00:58:44.590\ -->00:58:49.360$ and I think it's actually a call for more research

945 00:58:49.360 --> 00:58:51.800 in the region to understand

946 00:58:51.800 $-\!\!>$ 00:58:54.533 what those relationships look like.

947 00:58:56.710 --> 00:59:00.230 <v ->Okay, so thank you very much, Daniel.</v>

948 00:59:00.230 --> 00:59:04.120 You gave a very interesting talk and congratulations

949 00:59:04.120 --> 00:59:06.100 on doing such great work.

950 00:59:06.100 --> 00:59:07.530 <v ->Thank you so much.</v>

951 00:59:07.530 --> 00:59:09.053 <v ->Okay, take care, everyone.</v>