WEBVTT - https://subtitletools.com 00:00:03.247 --> 00:00:04.330 - Alrighty. $00:00:04.330 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.663$ So, welcome to the launch 00:00:08.570 --> 00:00:12.250 of the 2019 Report of The Lancet Countdown 00:00:12.250 --> 00:00:14.324 on Climate Change and Health. 00:00:14.324 --> 00:00:16.580 I'm Robert Dubrow, 00:00:16.580 --> 00:00:19.088 I'm a professor of epidemiology in the department $00:00:19.088 \rightarrow 00:00:20.875$ of environmental health sciences $00{:}00{:}20.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.163$ and I'm also the Faculty Director of the 00:00:23.163 --> 00:00:25.923 Yale Climate Change and Health Initiative. $00:00:25.923 \rightarrow 00:00:30.356$ And so, let me introduce the two other participants. 00:00:30.356 --> 00:00:33.433 First I'll introduce Jodi Sherman, 00:00:34.470 --> 00:00:39.270 who is an associate professor $00:00:39.270 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.140$ of anesthesiology $00:00:41.140 \rightarrow 00:00:43.800$ and she has a joint appointment with our department $00:00:43.800 \rightarrow 00:00:45.630$ of environmental health sciences. $00:00:45.630 \rightarrow 00:00:47.699$ She's an affiliated faculty member of the 00:00:47.699 --> 00:00:49.790 Climate Change and Health Initiative. $00:00:49.790 \rightarrow 00:00:51.574$ And she's actually one of our most active 00:00:51.574 --> 00:00:53.493 affiliated faculty. $00:00:55.460 \rightarrow 00:00:57.705$ Yale is really fortunate to have Jodi, $00:00:57.705 \rightarrow 00:01:00.421$ because she's the world leader in the field of $00:01:00.421 \rightarrow 00:01:04.285$ environmental sustainability in the health care sector $00:01:04.285 \rightarrow 00:01:06.770$ which as you'll hear a little bit later $00:01:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.860$ is very important. 00:01:08.860 --> 00:01:11.010 So, that's Jodi. $00:01:11.010 \rightarrow 00:01:14.575$ And then, we're also fortunate, very fortunate 00:01:14.575 --> 00:01:17.220 to have Dr. Nick Watts, $00:01:17.220 \rightarrow 00:01:19.543$ who I'm gonna, make this screen larger now,

 $00:01:22.147 \rightarrow 00:01:23.483$ (laughter)

 $00:01:23.483 \rightarrow 00:01:24.820$ This is Dr. Watts.

00:01:24.820 --> 00:01:26.807 So, he's the Executive Director,

00:01:26.807 --> 00:01:28.300 oh, I should mention of course,

 $00:01:28.300 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.588$ Jodi is a co-author on the report.

 $00{:}01{:}30{.}588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34{.}820$ And Nick is the Executive Director and lead author

 $00:01:34.820 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.220$ of The Lancet Countdown.

 $00:01:37.220 \rightarrow 00:01:40.210$ And, one of the pleasures of being part

 $00:01:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.320$ of the Lancet Countdown network for me has been

 $00{:}01{:}44.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.620$ working with like minded people from all over the world

 $00{:}01{:}47.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}49.111$ who are dedicated to this issue

 $00:01:49.111 \rightarrow 00:01:51.273$ of climate change and public health.

00:01:51.273 - 00:01:53.797 So, Nick really epitomizes that

 $00{:}01{:}53.797 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57.061$ and it's been such a pleasure to get to know Nick

 $00{:}01{:}57{.}061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}01{.}061$ and work with Nick over the last year and a half or so.

 $00{:}02{:}01{.}061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}04{.}772$ He's really the heart and soul of the Lancet Countdown.

 $00:02:04.772 \dashrightarrow 00:02:08.417$ And it's amazing to me, how he's able to work

 $00:02:08.417 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.810$ with sixty-eight other co-authors on this project

 $00{:}02{:}11.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.250$ who also have strong opinions

 $00:02:14.250 \dashrightarrow 00:02:18.956$ and he's able to pull together a coherent document

 $00:02:18.956 \rightarrow 00:02:21.645$ that's really written in a single voice.

 $00:02:21.645 \rightarrow 00:02:24.520$ And if you've ever tried to do something like that, $00:02:24.520 \rightarrow 00:02:26.026$ it's extremely difficult.

 $00:02:26.026 \rightarrow 00:02:28.890$ And he does it with calmness and with grace.

00:02:28.890 --> 00:02:33.890 And so, I'd like to thank Nick for working tirelessly

 $00{:}02{:}33{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}36{.}880$ for the Countdown and for the cause

 $00:02:36.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.650$ of climate change and health.

 $00:02:39.650 \rightarrow 00:02:42.473$ And so, Nick is gonna give a few words.

00:02:45.716 --> 00:02:47.799 Okay, so, we're actually,

00:02:48.849 --> 00:02:52.430 there are plenty of seats if people want to come in,

 $00:02:52.430 \rightarrow 00:02:56.063$ you know, seats over there, over here,

 $00:02:57.250 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.450$ you don't want to stand.

 $00:03:03.174 \rightarrow 00:03:05.330$ (shuffling of seats)

 $00{:}03{:}05{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09{.}172$ Okay, so, yeah, we're really honored to be one of three

00:03:09.172 --> 00:03:11.344 U.S. regional launch sites

00:03:11.344 --> 00:03:14.440 for the Lancet Countdown.

00:03:14.440 --> 00:03:18.560 And so, let me first give you a little more background

00:03:18.560 --> 00:03:19.918 about The Countdown.

00:03:19.918 --> 00:03:23.120 The Lancet has had a long history of involvement

 $00:03:23.120 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.560$ with climate change.

 $00:03:24.560 \rightarrow 00:03:26.868$ Dating back to its first report,

 $00:03:26.868 \rightarrow 00:03:30.250$ it was commissioned in 2009,

 $00{:}03{:}30{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}32{.}270$ that was a published report

 $00{:}03{:}32{.}270$ --> $00{:}03{:}36{.}210$ which identified climate change as the leading threat

 $00{:}03{:}36{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}39{.}456$ to public health in the 21st century.

 $00{:}03{:}39{.}456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}41{.}970$ Then they formed a second commission

 $00:03:41.970 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.005$ that produced a report in 2015

 $00{:}03{:}44.005$ --> $00{:}03{:}48.010$ that kind of shifted gears and identified climate change

 $00:03:48.010 \rightarrow 00:03:51.757$ as being the greatest public health opportunity

 $00:03:51.757 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.270$ in the 21st century

 $00:03:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.833$ if we address it properly.

 $00{:}03{:}56.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59.254$ There are enormous health benefits that can occur

 $00:03:59.254 \rightarrow 00:04:01.813$ by addressing climate change over and above

 $00:04:01.813 \rightarrow 00:04:05.200$ the health benefits of stopping climate change

 $00:04:05.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.889$ which we'll get into a little bit later.

00:04:07.889 --> 00:04:10.397 And so, since that report in 2015,

00:04:10.397 --> 00:04:13.240 which Nick was actually the lead author on that report,

 $00:04:13.240 \rightarrow 00:04:16.233$ and as he remains being the executive director,

 $00:04:16.233 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.480$ there's been an annual report

 $00:04:18.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.870$ and it was named in 2016

00:04:21.870 - 00:04:22.860 The Lancet Countdown.

 $00{:}04{:}22.860$ --> $00{:}04{:}25.240$ The reason it was called the Lancet Countdown is that

 $00{:}04{:}25{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28{.}160$ the intent is to do a countdown every year

 $00:04:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.060$ until 2030.

 $00:04:30.060 \rightarrow 00:04:33.230$ And maybe by that time, things will be great

 $00{:}04{:}33.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36.493$ or we may need the countdown to go past 2030.

 $00:04:38.036 \rightarrow 00:04:41.976$ So, just briefly, about the organization,

00:04:41.976 --> 00:04:44.135 I'll gloss over some of this,

 $00:04:44.135 \rightarrow 00:04:45.479$ cause Nick covered some of it, but

 $00:04:45.479 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.485$ there are thirty-five academic institutions

 $00:04:48.485 \rightarrow 00:04:51.740$ and UN agencies that are part of the collaboration.

 $00:04:51.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.992$ It's a fluid collaboration.

 $00{:}04{:}52{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57{.}350$ Central office is based in University College, London.

 $00:04:57.350 \rightarrow 00:04:59.333$ That's where Nick was speaking from.

00:04:59.333 --> 00:05:01.880 The Lancet, of course, was convened

 $00:05:01.880 \dashrightarrow 00:05:05.520$ funded by the Welcome Trust

 $00{:}05{:}05{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07{.}380$ and that was actually a big...

 $00:05:10.090 \rightarrow 00:05:12.780$ This was being operated out of a basement

 $00{:}05{:}13.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.590$ in Nick's apartment

 $00:05:16.590 \rightarrow 00:05:20.960$ until last year when major funding was obtained

 $00:05:20.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.840$ from the Weldon Trust.

 $00:05:22.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.153$ So that was really big.

 $00{:}05{:}26{.}070$ --> $00{:}05{:}29{.}869$ The Countdown is organized into five working groups

 $00:05:29.869 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.870$ which I'll name in a minute.

 $00{:}05{:}32.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37.690$ And the report is organized according to indicators.

 $00{:}05{:}37.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41.550$ And in the 2019 report there were for ty-one indicators

 $00:05:41.550 \dashrightarrow 00:05:43.010$ and in a few minutes, I'll give you a flavor

 $00:05:43.010 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.223$ about the indicators.

 $00:05:45.800 \rightarrow 00:05:48.000$ And it's important to know that the indicators

 $00:05:48.000 \rightarrow 00:05:50.260$ are based on observational data,

 $00:05:50.260 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.880$ not on projections.

 $00:05:51.880 \dashrightarrow 00:05:53.370$ And both of those things are important.

 $00:05:53.370 \rightarrow 00:05:55.970$ With climate change we do a lot of projections.

 $00{:}05{:}55{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58{.}260$ But everything that I'm gonna show you today

 $00{:}05{:}58{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01{.}080$ isn't guess work or what's gonna happen in the future,

 $00:06:01.080 \rightarrow 00:06:02.880$ it's what has happened so far

 $00:06:02.880 \rightarrow 00:06:04.453$ with regards to climate change.

 $00{:}06{:}05{.}640$ --> $00{:}06{:}08{.}360$ And each year, the indicators are updated and approved

00:06:08.360 --> 00:06:10.380 and some new indicators are added

 $00{:}06{:}10.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13.373$ and some that we ren't so good are taken away.

00:06:17.670 --> 00:06:19.839 Okay, next I'll show this,

 $00:06:19.839 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.180$ these are the partners.

 $00:06:21.180 \rightarrow 00:06:23.800$ There are a good number of partners in the UK

 $00:06:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.980$ as you can see

 $00{:}06{:}24{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}29{.}100$ but, there's at least one partner from every continent

00:06:29.100 - 00:06:30.333 except Antarctica.

 $00:06:31.779 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.843$ That's reasonable.

 $00:06:34.027 \rightarrow 00:06:34.860$ (laughter)

00:06:34.860 --> 00:06:38.090 So, I'm not gonna go through these slides in detail

00:06:38.090 - 00:06:39.030 but I just wanted to show you

 $00:06:39.030 \rightarrow 00:06:41.620$ what the five working groups are.

 $00:06:41.620 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.470$ So, the first is

 $00{:}06{:}42{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}46{.}151$ Climate Change Impacts, Exposures and Vulnerability.

 $00{:}06{:}46.151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.910$ Second is Adaptation Planning and Resilience for Health.

 $00{:}06{:}50{.}910$ --> $00{:}06{:}55{.}903$ Third is Mitigation Actions and Health Co-Benefits.

 $00:06:57.930 \dashrightarrow 00:07:00.593$ Fourth is Economics and Finance.

 $00{:}07{:}01.590$ --> $00{:}07{:}04.920$ And the final one is Public and Political Engagement.

 $00:07:04.920 \rightarrow 00:07:07.163$ So it tries to cover the whole gamut.

 $00{:}07{:}10.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.420$ So, Yale's role.

00:07:11.420 --> 00:07:14.066 We tried in the summer of 2018,

00:07:14.066 --> 00:07:17.230 we were not involved in the 2018 report

 $00:07:17.230 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.840$ but we are involved in the 2019 report.

00:07:20.840 --> 00:07:23.833 And we participated in two of the working groups.

 $00{:}07{:}24{.}940$ --> $00{:}07{:}28{.}157$ And as Nick alluded to, we've been responsible for

 $00:07:28.157 \dashrightarrow 00:07:31.120$ two of the forty-one indicators.

00:07:31.120 --> 00:07:34.370 So one is Air Conditioning - Benefits and Harms

 $00{:}07{:}34.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.080$ and that's me, also Dr. Dung Phung

 $00:07:38.080 \rightarrow 00:07:40.930$ who is at Griffith University in Australia

 $00:07:40.930 \rightarrow 00:07:44.560$ but he was a visiting scientist here last year.

 $00{:}07{:}44.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.990$ And then the Mitigation in the Healthcare Sector

 $00{:}07{:}46{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}49{.}570$ is Jodi, along with Matt Eckelman

 $00:07:49.570 \dashrightarrow 00:07:51.773$ who's at Northeastern University in Boston.

 $00:07:54.566 \rightarrow 00:07:58.670$ So, these are the key messages of the 2019 report.

 $00:07:58.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.320$ And I'll be coming back to these.

 $00:08:01.270 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.810$ I'll just read through this

 $00:08:02.810 \dashrightarrow 00:08:05.186$ because these are the key messages.

 $00{:}08{:}05{.}186$ --> $00{:}08{:}08{.}162$ The life of every child born today will be profoundly

 $00:08:08.162 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.640$ affected by climate change.

 $00{:}08{:}10.640$ --> $00{:}08{:}14.390$ Without accelerated intervention, this new era will come to

 $00{:}08{:}14.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}17.413$ define the health of people at every stage of their lives.

 $00:08:17.413 \rightarrow 00:08:19.810$ That's the first message.

00:08:19.810 --> 00:08:21.000 Second one,

 $00:08:21.000 \rightarrow 00:08:24.490$ and that's with the path that we're on now,

 $00{:}08{:}24{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27{.}030$ which we could call a business as usual path

 $00:08:27.030 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.310$ this is what we expect to happen.

 $00{:}08{:}29{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31{.}160$ However, there's an alternative.

 $00:08:31.160 \dashrightarrow 00:08:33.690$ A second path- which limits the global

 $00{:}08{:}33{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}36{.}837$ average temperature rise to 'well below 2 degrees Celsius'-

 $00:08:36.837 \rightarrow 00:08:39.076$ which is the Paris agreement goal,

 $00:08:39.076 \rightarrow 00:08:43.146$ is possible, still possible, and would transform

 $00:08:43.146 \rightarrow 00:08:45.860$ the health of a child born today for the better,

 $00:08:45.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.510$ right the way through their life.

 $00:08:48.860 \rightarrow 00:08:51.900$ And then finally, it's possible, but it's not easy

00:08:51.900 --> 00:08:53.430 an unprecedented challenge

 $00:08:53.430 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.340$ demands an unprecedented response.

 $00:08:56.340 \rightarrow 00:08:59.350$ It will take the work of the 7.5 billion people

 $00:08:59.350 \rightarrow 00:09:02.250$ currently alive to ensure that the health of a child

 $00:09:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.150$ born today

 $00:09:03.150 \rightarrow 00:09:05.243$ is not defined by a changing climate.

 $00:09:06.450 \dashrightarrow 00:09:08.380$ So those are the three essential messages

 $00:09:08.380 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.180$ that the report is trying to convey.

 $00:09:13.052 \rightarrow 00:09:16.834$ So, now the central office produced this

 $00:09:16.834 \rightarrow 00:09:21.483$ three-minute video, that hopefully is gonna work.

00:09:23.330 --> 00:09:25.481 That I thought was worth showing.

 $00:09:25.481 \rightarrow 00:09:28.730$ That summarizes things in a non-technical way

 $00:09:28.730 \rightarrow 00:09:31.453$ in a much better way than I could possibly do.

 $00:09:34.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.573$ So let's see.

00:09:37.609 --> 00:09:39.280 - [Female Narrator] Our response to climate change today $00:09:39.280 \rightarrow 00:09:42.420$ will determine the world we live in tomorrow. $00:09:42.420 \rightarrow 00:09:45.720$ And will shape the health of children across the globe $00:09:45.720 \rightarrow 00:09:47.823$ at every stage of their lives. 00:09:48.900 --> 00:09:51.940 The Lancet Countdown: Tracking Progress on 00:09:51.940 --> 00:09:53.460 Health and Climate Change 00:09:53.460 --> 00:09:56.421 monitors our choices, demonstrating what action $00:09:56.421 \rightarrow 00:10:00.373$ or the failure to act, means for human health. $00:10:01.360 \rightarrow 00:10:03.850$ There are many paths we can take $00:10:03.850 \rightarrow 00:10:06.505$ from a world of extremes and uncertainty $00:10:06.505 \rightarrow 00:10:10.341$ where a child has to fight simply to survive. $00:10:10.341 \rightarrow 00:10:13.320$ To an environment that creates the conditions $00:10:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.547$ that allows them to thrive. $00:10:15.547 \rightarrow 00:10:18.615$ If we continue down our current path $00:10:18.615 \rightarrow 00:10:22.360$ a child born today will live through a world $00:10:22.360 \rightarrow 00:10:25.060$ that is over four degrees warmer $00:10:25.060 \rightarrow 00:10:27.040$ with a changing environment threatening $00:10:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.655$ the food they eat, the air they breathe $00:10:29.655 \rightarrow 00:10:32.433$ and the communities they grow up in. $00:10:34.410 \rightarrow 00:10:38.330$ Air pollution, already dangerously high in more than $00:10:38.330 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.070 \ 90\%$ of cities, will worsen $00:10:42.070 \rightarrow 00:10:44.782$ and further damage their hearts and lungs $00:10:44.782 \rightarrow 00:10:47.903$ from the moment they take their first breath. 00:10:49.429 --> 00:10:52.508 As they grow, food insecurity will rise $00:10:52.508 \rightarrow 00:10:55.830$ with children among the worst affected $00:10:55.830 \rightarrow 00:10:58.150$ by the malnutrition and stunting $00:10:58.150 \rightarrow 00:11:01.543$ that comes from crop failure in a more volatile climate.

00:11:02.498 --> 00:11:06.100 Throughout their adult lives, they will experience 00:11:06.100 --> 00:11:08.746 more heat waves, stronger storms, $00:11:08.746 \rightarrow 00:11:11.774$ the spread of infectious disease 00:11:11.774 --> 00:11:16.200 and see climate change intensify mass migration $00:11:16.200 \rightarrow 00:11:19.373$ extreme poverty, and mental illness. $00:11:20.260 \rightarrow 00:11:23.123$ But the future doesn't have to look this way. $00:11:24.270 \rightarrow 00:11:27.210$ A global response that limits temperature rise $00:11:27.210 \rightarrow 00:11:31.170$ to well below two degrees will transform the life $00:11:31.170 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.143$ of a child born today for the better. 00:11:35.260 --> 00:11:38.710 Children in the UK will see an end to coal $00:11:38.710 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.708$ by their sixth birthday $00:11:40.708 \rightarrow 00:11:43.930$ and the growth of solar and wind energy $00:11:43.930 \rightarrow 00:11:47.710$ resulting in cleaner air across the country. $00:11:47.710 \rightarrow 00:11:51.610$ In France, the last petrol car will be sold $00:11:51.610 \rightarrow 00:11:54.110$ by the time they turn twenty-one. 00:11:54.110 --> 00:11:57.849 With cycleways and green spaces supporting safer $00:11:57.849 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.658$ and more livable cities $00:12:00.658 \rightarrow 00:12:04.070$ and they will celebrate their thirty-first birthday $00:12:04.070 \rightarrow 00:12:07.641$ as the world finally reaches net-zero emissions 00:12:07.641 --> 00:12:11.911 securing a healthier future for coming generations. $00:12:11.911 \rightarrow 00:12:15.190$ Which of these two pathways the world travels down $00:12:15.190 \rightarrow 00:12:18.367$ will depend on the decisions of individuals, $00:12:18.367 \rightarrow 00:12:20.863$ businesses, and governments. $00:12:22.690 \rightarrow 00:12:27.200$ And only an ambitious response can ensure that the health $00:12:27.200 \rightarrow 00:12:32.200$ of a child born today isn't defined by a changing climate. 00:12:34.030 --> 00:12:36.680 Visit The Lancet Countdown online to find 00:12:36.680 --> 00:12:39.565 the latest assessment of health and climate change $00:12:39.565 \rightarrow 00:12:41.253$ in your country.

 $00:12:48.576 \rightarrow 00:12:51.009$ (keys clicking)

00:12:51.009 - 00:12:53.470 Okay, so, what I'd like to do next

 $00:12:53.470 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.173$ is focusing on the key messages.

 $00{:}12{:}57{.}272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59{.}950$ So select some indicators

 $00:12:59.950 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.750$ that support a key message.

 $00:13:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.425$ So first, the first mentions that

 $00:13:06.425 \rightarrow 00:13:08.550$ this a pessimistic message.

 $00:13:08.550 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.420$ The life of every child born today

 $00:13:10.420 \rightarrow 00:13:12.557$ will be profoundly affected by climate change

 $00:13:12.557 \rightarrow 00:13:14.858$ without accelerated intervention, this new era

 $00{:}13{:}14.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.237$ will come to define the health of people at every stage

 $00:13:18.237 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.470$ of their lives.

 $00:13:19.470 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.040$ So one of the trends in the indicators,

 $00:13:22.040 \rightarrow 00:13:23.020$ why are we saying this.

 $00:13:23.020 \rightarrow 00:13:25.090$ What are the trends of the indicators?

 $00{:}13{:}25{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27{.}240$ And so I'll give a few illustrations.

 $00:13:27.240 \rightarrow 00:13:30.410$ And so the first one, of course, the first thing

 $00{:}13{:}30{.}410$ --> $00{:}13{:}33{.}537$ a lot of people think about in regard to climate change

 $00{:}13{:}33{.}537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34{.}377$ is heat.

 $00{:}13{:}34{.}377 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35{.}859$ That makes a lot of sense,

 $00{:}13{:}35{.}859$ --> $00{:}13{:}37{.}380$ the world is warming, we're seeing more heat waves.

00:13:37.380 --> 00:13:40.580 Heat waves kill people, and make people sick.

 $00:13:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.494$ And so, this indicator,

00:13:42.494 --> 00:13:46.171 Exposure of Vulnerable Populations to Heatwaves,

00:13:46.171 --> 00:13:49.743 first it defines a heatwave as four or more days with

 $00:13:49.743 \rightarrow 00:13:52.450$ minimum daily temperature greater than

 $00{:}13{:}52{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}55{.}968$ the ninety-ninth percentile for summer months of 1986-2005.

 $00{:}13{:}55{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59{.}890$ That's what it, different ways to define a heatwave.

 $00:13:59.890 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.139$ This is one of them.

 $00:14:01.139 \rightarrow 00:14:04.757$ And then it defines a heatwave exposure event,

 $00{:}14{:}04.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}08.419$ which is one heatwave experienced by one person greater than

 $00:14:08.419 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.450$ age sixty-five years.

 $00:14:10.450 \rightarrow 00:14:12.620$ So, why greater than age sixty-five years?

 $00:14:12.620 \rightarrow 00:14:14.933$ Turns out that older people are more vulnerable

 $00:14:14.933 \rightarrow 00:14:17.860$ to the adverse health effects of heat.

 $00{:}14{:}17.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}21.250$ And that's for a number of reasons, both physiological

 $00:14:21.250 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.770$ and social.

 $00:14:22.770 \rightarrow 00:14:26.784$ And so, what this indicator takes into account

00:14:26.784 --> 00:14:30.183 is both the exposure, because if there were no heatwaves

 $00:14:30.183 \rightarrow 00:14:31.670$ there's no exposure,

 $00{:}14{:}31{.}670$ --> $00{:}14{:}35{.}867$ and so the number of heatwave exposure events would be zero

 $00:14:35.867 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.940$ as well as vulnerability.

 $00:14:38.940 \rightarrow 00:14:42.326$ So the more people over age sixty-five,

 $00:14:42.326 \rightarrow 00:14:45.680$ the more heatwave exposure events there are.

 $00{:}14{:}45{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49{.}120$ So it takes both exposure and vulnerability into account.

 $00:14:49.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.190$ And you can see the trend

 $00:14:51.190 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.045$ in comparison with the 1986 and 2005 average

 $00:14:56.045 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.700$ and the bottom line is that

00:14:59.700 --> 00:15:00.767 in 2018

 $00:15:00.767 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.540$ 220 million additional vulnerable people

 $00:15:04.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.050$ were exposed to heatwaves

 $00:15:06.050 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.720$ over that baseline

00:15:07.720 --> 00:15:10.100 which is eleven million more than the precious record

 $00:15:10.100 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.760$ set in 2005.

 $00:15:11.760 \rightarrow 00:15:15.040$ You can see that, as you know from experience

 $00:15:15.040 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.470$ with the weather, there's a lot of noise

 $00:15:17.470 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.600$ in all of these.

 $00:15:18.600 \rightarrow 00:15:21.024$ But you can also see the upward trends

 $00:15:21.024 \rightarrow 00:15:24.970$ and again, this is observational data

 $00:15:24.970 \rightarrow 00:15:28.207$ it's not like, making projections into the future.

00:15:28.207 --> 00:15:29.770 Okay, second thing,

 $00:15:29.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.603$ wild fires.

 $00:15:30.603 \rightarrow 00:15:32.490$ I need to have a good graphic for this

 $00:15:32.490 \rightarrow 00:15:36.003$ but, we all know that wildfire is increasing

 $00:15:36.003 \dashrightarrow 00:15:38.550$ in the western part of our country.

00:15:38.550 --> 00:15:41.370 I mean, you just know that from watching the news

00:15:41.370 --> 00:15:42.203 year after year.

 $00:15:42.203 \rightarrow 00:15:44.610$ But, this was a more formal analysis

 $00{:}15{:}44{.}610$ --> $00{:}15{:}48{.}570$ that looked at the number of people exposed to wild fires

 $00{:}15{:}48.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51.810$ expressing it in person-days.

 $00:15:51.810 \rightarrow 00:15:55.812$ So one person exposed to a wildfire for one day

 $00:15:55.812 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.052$ is one person-day.

 $00:15:58.052 \rightarrow 00:16:03.052$ And so, they found that 152 out of 196 countries

 $00:16:03.446 \rightarrow 00:16:07.510$ saw increases in populations exposed to wild fires

 $00:16:07.510 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.440$ between a baseline year, or period

00:16:11.440 --> 00:16:13.453 of 2001-2004

 $00:16:13.453 \rightarrow 00:16:17.093$ compared to 2015-2018.

00:16:19.000 --> 00:16:23.280 And so the mean increase per year of this exposure

00:16:23.280 --> 00:16:27.180 was almost a half a million person-days per year

 $00:16:27.180 \rightarrow 00:16:28.590$ of exposure to wildfires.

00:16:28.590 --> 00:16:31.030 So, why are wildfires a health issue?

 $00:16:31.030 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.490$ So, for a number of reasons.

 $00{:}16{:}32{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}35{.}820$ One is it produces a tremendous amount of air pollution

 $00{:}16{:}35{.}820$ --> $00{:}16{:}38{.}780$ that doesn't just stay where the fire is, but it kind of,

 $00{:}16{:}38{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}41{.}681$ like, in Australia right now, there are record wild fires

 $00:16:41.681 \rightarrow 00:16:45.011$ that were in Sydney, Australia, which is

 $00:16:45.011 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.563$ a city of five million people.

 $00:16:49.080 \rightarrow 00:16:51.643$ I just heard this on the news yesterday,

 $00:16:52.663 \rightarrow 00:16:54.770$ that the pollution levels for particulate matters,

 $00{:}16{:}54{.}770$ --> $00{:}16{:}58{.}319$ PM2.5, for those of you who know about this, is ten times

 $00:16:58.319 \rightarrow 00:17:01.550$ the limit right now, in Sydney, Australia.

 $00:17:01.550 \rightarrow 00:17:04.543$ So that's a huge public health issue.

 $00{:}17{:}06.226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.110$ Secondly, remember what happened

00:17:09.110 --> 00:17:10.720 in Paradise, last year

 $00:17:10.720 \rightarrow 00:17:14.058$ where all the the homes that were destroyed

 $00{:}17{:}14.058$ --> $00{:}17{:}18.867$ happened to be, mainly of homes of people that were retired.

 $00:17:18.867 \rightarrow 00:17:22.108$ And what does homelessness do to people?

 $00{:}17{:}22.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}23.800$ In terms of health.

 $00:17:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.381$ It's really bad for people's health.

00:17:26.381 --> 00:17:28.810 Remember, in that situation

 $00{:}17{:}28{.}810$ --> $00{:}17{:}31{.}650$ people had to flee their houses, like, within minutes.

 $00:17:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.670$ These are older people,

 $00:17:33.670 \rightarrow 00:17:35.526$ a lot of them are taking multiple medications,

 $00{:}17{:}35{.}526$ --> $00{:}17{:}38{.}440$ didn't have time to grab their medications when they left

 $00:17:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.273$ right?

 $00{:}17{:}39{.}273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}41{.}720$ And then couldn't necessarily get to a pharmacy

 $00{:}17{:}41.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}44.737$ within the next week or two to refill their medications

 $00{:}17{:}44.737$ --> $00{:}17{:}47.270$ if they even remembered what their medications were.

 $00:17:47.270 \rightarrow 00:17:49.378$ A lot of people don't remember those things.

 $00:17:49.378 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.600$ So those are health issues.

00:17:51.600 --> 00:17:56.600 And finally, mental health is being

00:17:56.731 --> 00:17:59.070 increasingly appreciated

 $00{:}17{:}59{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02{.}400$ as a climate change and health issue.

00:18:02.400 --> 00:18:05.839 So, if you think about the stress that would be involved

00:18:05.839 --> 00:18:07.568 if you're sixty-eight years old,

00:18:07.568 --> 00:18:09.320 your home has just burned down

 $00:18:09.320 \rightarrow 00:18:11.550$ you don't know where you're gonna go.

 $00:18:11.550 \rightarrow 00:18:16.550$ So that creates long-term mental health concerns.

 $00{:}18{:}17{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19{.}083$ So that's wild fires.

 $00{:}18{:}22.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.240$ Infectious diseases are also a concern

 $00{:}18{:}26{.}467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}29{.}480$ and so, we don't have time to really go through the details

 $00:18:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.740$ of some of these graphs

 $00:18:30.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.011$ but what this Vibrio is a type of bacteria

 $00{:}18{:}34{.}011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}38{.}807$ that causes gas tro-intestinal illness, wound infections

 $00:18:38.807 \rightarrow 00:18:41.428$ and sometimes lung infections.

00:18:41.428 --> 00:18:44.000 And actually, you've probably heard of cholera, of course

00:18:44.000 --> 00:18:47.596 Vibrio cholera is one species of Vibrio that's probably

 $00:18:47.596 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.220$ the most important one.

00:18:49.220 --> 00:18:51.714 But there are other pathogenic species as well

 $00:18:51.714 \rightarrow 00:18:53.210$ of Vibrio.

 $00:18:53.210 \rightarrow 00:18:57.210$ And, what this shows is for both the Baltic area

 $00:18:57.210 \dashrightarrow 00:18:59.813$ and the United States North East.

 $00:19:00.670 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.090$ We're looking at tracking climate suitability

 $00{:}19{:}04.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}06.910$ for Vibrio infections.

 $00{:}19{:}06{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}08{.}510$ Or for growth of Vibrio.

00:19:08.510 --> 00:19:13.155 And the simple thing is that Vibrio grow better in

 $00{:}19{:}13{.}155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17{.}940$ warmer, they live in the water, they live in the ocean.

 $00:19:17.940 \rightarrow 00:19:21.000$ And they especially like coastal waters,

 $00:19:21.000 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.730$ brackish water.

 $00:19:22.730 \rightarrow 00:19:26.430$ And they grow better when the water is warmer.

 $00{:}19{:}26{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30{.}230$ And the oceans have been warming, and so you can see

 $00:19:30.230 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.090$ that where we're tracking here,

 $00:19:32.090 \rightarrow 00:19:34.770$ are both the percentage of coastal area

00:19:34.770 --> 00:19:37.390 suitable for Vibrio infections,

 $00{:}19{:}37{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40{.}990$ you can see it has increased about 30% in both areas.

 $00{:}19{:}40{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}43{.}800$ And the number of suitable days per year

 $00:19:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.040$ in the Baltic

 $00{:}19{:}45{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}48{.}668$ for Vibrio infections and that approximately doubled

 $00:19:48.668 \rightarrow 00:19:53.454$ between the early 1980's and 2018.

00:19:53.454 --> 00:19:55.113 And in fact,

 $00:19:57.430 \rightarrow 00:20:00.250$ the number of Vibrio infections diagnosed

 $00{:}20{:}00{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02{.}360$ in both the North East United States

 $00:20:03.318 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.151$ and in the Baltic region

 $00:20:04.151 \rightarrow 00:20:06.170$ has been increasing over the years.

 $00:20:06.170 \rightarrow 00:20:08.210$ Now, there's some question, always,

 $00:20:08.210 \rightarrow 00:20:10.420$ whenever you see those kinds of increasing trends

 $00:20:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.730$ in a disease,

 $00{:}20{:}11.730$ --> $00{:}20{:}15.057$ whether it's due to increased reporting of the disease

 $00{:}20{:}15.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.310$ or due to a real increase in the disease.

 $00:20:17.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.420$ But nevertheless,

00:20:18.420 --> 00:20:19.253 you know,

 $00:20:19.253 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.086$ it has been increasing.

00:20:24.350 --> 00:20:26.690 Okay, I'm gonna skip some things

00:20:26.690 --> 00:20:30.379 just because, I wanna make sure we cover everything.

 $00:20:30.379 \rightarrow 00:20:35.140$ So, food insecurity, as was mentioned in the video

00:20:35.140 --> 00:20:38.310 is a really important concern about climate change

 $00:20:38.310 \rightarrow 00:20:42.730$ leading to under-nutrition, malnutrition, etc.

 $00:20:42.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.211$ And so, this shows trends

00:20:45.211 --> 00:20:47.630 in global yield potential

 $00:20:47.630 \rightarrow 00:20:51.367$ which is measured by crop growth season duration.

00:20:51.367 - > 00:20:54.953 And that for four major crops:

00:20:59.868 --> 00:21:02.779 maize, winter wheat, soybeans, and rice.

 $00{:}21{:}02{.}779$ --> $00{:}21{:}06{.}450$ There's been approximately 2-4% decrease from the 1960's

 $00:21:06.450 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.890$ to the present day.

 $00:21:07.890 \rightarrow 00:21:12.050$ Now, this doesn't, these graphs per se don't prove

 $00:21:12.050 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.760$ that's due to climate change

 $00:21:13.760 \rightarrow 00:21:17.263$ but there's other kind of, independent analysis

 $00:21:17.263 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.462$ that do link decreases in yield

 $00:21:19.462 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.183$ to climate change.

 $00:21:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.180$ So this is an important indicator.

 $00:21:30.180 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.230$ So, coal is bad for two reasons.

 $00:21:33.230 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.029$ Of the fossil fuels,

 $00:21:35.029 \rightarrow 00:21:39.129$ when you burn coal, it emits more CO2

 $00:21:39.129 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.750$ than the other fossil fuels,

 $00:21:40.750 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.400$ that being oil and natural gas.

 $00:21:43.400 \rightarrow 00:21:46.100$ So, coal's really bad for the climate.

 $00{:}21{:}46{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}49{.}696$ And when you burn coal, you produce particulate matter,

 $00{:}21{:}49.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}53.890$ sulfur dioxide, pollutants, more so than when you burn oil

 $00:21:53.890 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.573$ and natural gas

 $00:21:55.573 \rightarrow 00:21:57.560$ and those pollutants kill people.

 $00:21:57.560 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.810$ That's the air pollution. 00:22:00.000 --> 00:22:02.922 And so, we're trying to track $00:22:02.922 \rightarrow 00:22:05.386$ what we hope will be a coal phase out 00:22:05.386 --> 00:22:07.310 but if you just look at the bars $00:22:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.410$ just to keep it simple $00:22:09.910 \rightarrow 00:22:14.138$ this is global total primary energy supply $00:22:14.138 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.520$ from coal. $00:22:16.520 \rightarrow 00:22:20.920$ And you can see that there was an increase from 00:22:21.985 --> 00:22:26.985 around 2000-2010 or so, let's say 12. $00:22:28.068 \rightarrow 00:22:30.950$ But then there was some encouraging signs of a decrease 00:22:30.950 --> 00:22:32.570 but now it's kind of leveled off $00:22:32.570 \rightarrow 00:22:34.010$ and it's increasing again. $00:22:34.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.513$ So that's another bad sign. $00:22:39.540 \rightarrow 00:22:41.320$ So this is related to the coal. 00:22:41.320 --> 00:22:44.780 Premature mortality from ambient air pollution by sector, $00:22:44.780 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.190$ let's not worry about the sector, $00:22:46.190 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.117$ so the main point here is that in 2016 $00:22:49.117 \rightarrow 00:22:52.026$ there were about 3 million premature deaths $00{:}22{:}52{.}026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}54{.}810$ due to ambient particulate matter. $00:22:54.810 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.643$ Pollution. $00:22:56.874 \rightarrow 00:23:00.440$ And the comparison here isn't a long-term comparison. $00:23:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.560$ It's between 2015 and 2016, $00:23:03.560 \rightarrow 00:23:05.933$ but they're all only very slight improvements. $00:23:16.910 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.430$ Those are some of the pessimistic trends $00:23:19.430 \rightarrow 00:23:22.700$ and there are others that, just due to time, I had to skip. 00:23:22.700 --> 00:23:25.100 And there were others that I wasn't even planning on

 $00{:}23{:}25{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27{.}790$ covering to begin with that are pessimistic.

 $00:23:27.790 \rightarrow 00:23:31.365$ So, just a minute on some of the more optimistic.

 $00:23:31.365 \rightarrow 00:23:34.860$ So, now we're on to the second key message.

00:23:34.860 --> 00:23:36.280 A second path, which limits

 $00:23:36.280 \rightarrow 00:23:38.350$ the global average temperature rise

00:23:38.350 --> 00:23:41.067 to well below two degrees centigrade is possible

 $00{:}23{:}41.067 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}44.434$ and would transform the health of a child born today

 $00:23:44.434 \rightarrow 00:23:46.283$ for the better, right the way through their life.

00:23:47.551 - 00:23:50.740 So these give a glimmer of hope.

 $00:23:50.740 \rightarrow 00:23:53.370$ So first of all, what do we need to do?

 $00:23:53.370 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.740$ This is what we need to do.

 $00:23:54.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.394$ And this is from last year's

 $00{:}23{:}56{.}394{\:-}{>}00{:}23{:}59{.}922$ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Special Report.

 $00:23:59.922 \rightarrow 00:24:03.210$ To limit warming to 1.5 degrees centigrade

 $00{:}24{:}03{.}210$ --> $00{:}24{:}07{.}110$ which is the aspirational goal of the Paris agreement.

 $00:24:07.110 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.960$ We need a 45% decline of greenhouse gas emissions

 $00:24:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.250$ from 2010 levels by 2030

 $00:24:14.250 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.940$ so about half

 $00:24:16.830 \rightarrow 00:24:18.553$ and net zero by 2050.

00:24:19.900 --> 00:24:23.140 And obviously, this is not my words,

 $00:24:23.140 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.593$ it's the conclusion of the report,

 $00:24:24.593 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.150$ that will require rapid

 $00:24:26.150 \rightarrow 00:24:28.910$ and far reaching transitions in energy, land use,

00:24:28.910 --> 00:24:31.893 transportation, buildings, and industrial systems.

 $00:24:34.270 \rightarrow 00:24:38.680$ Okay, so a few examples of the hopeful indicators.

 $00{:}24{:}38.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42.770$ So this is zero-carbon emission electricity.

 $00{:}24{:}42{.}770$ --> $00{:}24{:}45{.}720$ So that would be renewables, and would also include

 $00:24:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.083$ nuclear and hydro.

00:24:48.083 --> 00:24:51.390 So, in 2018 renewable energy counted towards

 $00:24:51.390 \rightarrow 00:24:55.000 45\%$ of growth in electricity generation.

 $00{:}24{:}55{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}58{.}420$ That's still a very small share of electricity generation

 $00{:}24{:}58{.}420$ --> $00{:}25{:}01{.}403$ but at least it's counting for a big share of the growth.

00:25:03.006 --> 00:25:05.508 Okay, this is really,

 $00:25:05.508 \rightarrow 00:25:08.150$ we are really grasping at straws here

 $00{:}25{:}08{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12{.}993$ but, as you know, fossil fuels dominate transportation.

 $00{:}25{:}12{.}993 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17{.}434$ So this graph is showing from 1971 to the present

 $00{:}25{:}17{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21{.}219$ the fuels that are used for transportation

 $00:25:21.219 \rightarrow 00:25:24.619$ and you can see that the gray is fossil fuels

 $00:25:24.619 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.600$ and the green is bio fuels.

00:25:27.600 --> 00:25:30.187 And I don't know if you can see the top one,

 $00:25:30.187 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.980$ but there's a little bit of black

 $00:25:31.980 \rightarrow 00:25:33.830$ and that's electricity.

 $00:25:33.830 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.310$ But then the bottom graph

 $00:25:37.260 \rightarrow 00:25:40.250$ separates out the bio fuels and electricity

 $00{:}25{:}40{.}250$ --> $00{:}25{:}43{.}710$ and you can kind of see how the electricity is growing.

 $00:25:43.710 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.633$ And so, between 2015 and 16

 $00:25:46.633 \rightarrow 00:25:49.515$ the latest years where data were available

 $00:25:49.515 \rightarrow 00:25:52.200$ there was about a 21% rise

 $00:25:52.200 \rightarrow 00:25:54.300$ in fuel from electricity.

 $00:25:54.300 \rightarrow 00:25:57.550$ So obviously, we need to greatly accelerate this,

 $00{:}25{:}57{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00{.}979$ we really need to transform the transportation system

 $00:26:00.979 \rightarrow 00:26:03.730$ to electricity.

 $00{:}26{:}03.730$ --> $00{:}26{:}07.780$ And it's kind of just a glimmer of this starting to happen.

 $00{:}26{:}07.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}09.770$ This is another really important indicator

 $00:26:09.770 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.770$ that coal is critical.

 $00:26:11.770 \rightarrow 00:26:13.824$ And so this is looking at investment

 $00:26:13.824 \rightarrow 00:26:15.942$ in new coal capacity.

 $00:26:15.942 \rightarrow 00:26:20.000$ So you can see, just focus on the blue line.

 $00{:}26{:}20.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22.760$ You can see that there's been a decline in investment

00:26:22.760 --> 00:26:26.590 in new coal-fired power plants since about 2011.

00:26:26.590 --> 00:26:28.303 That's an optimistic sign.

 $00:26:32.246 \rightarrow 00:26:36.070$ Okay, this is maybe more controversial one,

 $00:26:36.070 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.890$ but it's one of the indicators.

 $00{:}26{:}37.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}41.530$ So the Lancet Countdown thinks that divestment's important

 $00:26:41.530 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.970$ for two reasons.

 $00:26:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.489$ One is that it removes the social license

 $00{:}26{:}46{.}489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}48{.}240$ of the fossil fuel industry.

00:26:48.240 --> 00:26:50.180 So, saying, you know, we don't think

 $00:26:50.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.092$ that the fossil fuel industry

 $00:26:52.092 \rightarrow 00:26:53.920$ is just like every other industry.

 $00{:}26{:}53{.}920$ --> $00{:}26{:}56{.}420$ There are special problems with the fossil fuel industry.

 $00:26:56.420 \rightarrow 00:26:57.730$ And the second reason,

00:26:57.730 --> 00:27:00.070 that's kind of a more practical reason...

 $00{:}27{:}00{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}03{.}802$ So at some point, hopefully sooner rather than later

 $00:27:03.802 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.937$ fossil fuel reserves that are in the ground

 $00:27:07.937 \rightarrow 00:27:09.810$ are gonna be worthless.

00:27:09.810 --> 00:27:12.770 Because we're not gonna use fossil fuels anymore.

 $00{:}27{:}12.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}15.906$ And so therefore, they're worth a lot of money.

 $00{:}27{:}15{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}18{.}122$ But there's the concern

00:27:18.122 --> 00:27:21.497 about investments of fossil fuels, at a certain point,

 $00:27:21.497 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.047$ really tanking.

 $00{:}27{:}23.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.745$ And so that's kind of a practical reason for divestment.

 $00{:}27{:}26.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.253$ And so, there were 2.1 trillion dollars in new funds

 $00:27:31.253 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.670$ around the world

 $00{:}27{:}32.670$ --> $00{:}27{:}35.730$ that were committed to fossil fuel divestment in 2018.

00:27:41.958 - 00:27:44.184 So, another optimistic one

 $00:27:44.184 \rightarrow 00:27:47.870$ is the revenues from carbon pricing.

 $00{:}27{:}47.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.740$ So these are revenues from what are called

 $00:27:50.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.890$ cap and trade schemes

 $00:27:52.890 \rightarrow 00:27:55.243$ which are basically a way of making

00:27:55.243 --> 00:27:57.070 fossil fuels more expensive.

 $00{:}27{:}57{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}59{.}670$ And also direct carbon taxes.

00:27:59.670 --> 00:28:03.066 And so revenues increases by 10 billion

00:28:03.066 --> 00:28:08.066 between 2017 and 2018, reaching 43 billion dollars.

 $00{:}28{:}08{.}570$ --> $00{:}28{:}11{.}720$ And more than half of that was allocated to climate change

00:28:11.720 --> 00:28:13.170 mitigation activities.

 $00:28:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.913$ So that's a positive sign.

 $00:28:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.140$ Okay, so now

00:28:19.140 --> 00:28:21.787 I'm gonna turn it over to Jodi

 $00:28:21.787 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.890$ to talk about the third indicator

 $00{:}28{:}24{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28{.}020$ and then I'll be coming back to kind of finish up

 $00{:}28{:}28{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}29{.}503$ with some final words.

00:28:52.593 --> 00:28:54.220 - Well, good afternoon

 $00{:}28{:}54{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58{.}040$ so, as Dr. Dubrow said, I'm an anesthesiologist

 $00:28:58.040 \rightarrow 00:29:00.370$ practicing down at Yale across the street.

 $00{:}29{:}00{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}03{.}995$ And the things that motivate me to work on this issue

00:29:03.995 --> 00:29:07.305 are every time I take care of a patient,

00:29:07.305 --> 00:29:10.250 I suffer immoral injury

00:29:10.250 --> 00:29:12.880 because I know I'm causing indirect harm

 $00{:}29{:}12.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}14.440$ at the same time

 $00:29:14.440 \rightarrow 00:29:15.590$ through the pollution I'm generating

 $00:29:16.452 \rightarrow 00:29:17.285$ through the care that I'm giving.

00:29:18.170 --> 00:29:19.340 And so, I feel motivated to try and help

 $00:29:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.200$ clean up the health care industry itself

 $00:29:21.200 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.850$ and also to engage health professionals

 $00{:}29{:}22.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}27.850$ because not only is this issue not taught at medical schools

00:29:28.028 --> 00:29:30.191 not taught in nursing schools,

 $00{:}29{:}30{.}191 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}34{.}890$ but health professionals are dedicated to their patients

 $00{:}29{:}34{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}35{.}900$ and busy with their patients

 $00{:}29{:}35{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}38{.}360$ and so most of them may not feel motivated

 $00{:}29{:}38{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}40{.}510$ to be engaged with trying to affect policy

 $00{:}29{:}40{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43{.}370$ or even administrative changes in their own facilities.

 $00:29:43.370 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.900$ But if you can enlight en them

 $00:29:44.900 \rightarrow 00:29:46.680$ about the pollution that they're generating

 $00:29:46.680 \rightarrow 00:29:48.370$ through the care that they're giving

 $00:29:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.006$ I think that this is how we

 $00:29:50.006 \rightarrow 00:29:52.689$ can help engage health professionals

 $00:29:52.689 \rightarrow 00:29:54.921$ which are trusted members of society

00:29:54.921 - > 00:29:57.490 like everyone in this room here

 $00:29:57.490 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.740$ to help make the transition in short order.

 $00:30:00.740 \rightarrow 00:30:03.000$ And so, the questions I ask have to be

 $00:30:03.000 \dashrightarrow 00:30:05.150$ what does climate change have to do with health care?

00:30:05.150 --> 00:30:06.620 Well, you just heard some

 $00:30:06.620 \rightarrow 00:30:08.800$ about what it has to do with health.

 $00:30:08.800 \rightarrow 00:30:10.850$ What it has to do with health care includes

 $00:30:10.850 \rightarrow 00:30:12.570$ increasing demands for services

00:30:12.570 --> 00:30:14.840 increasing costs, and then a positive feedback loop

 $00{:}30{:}14.840$ --> $00{:}30{:}16.847$ also increasing pollution.

 $00:30:16.847 \dashrightarrow 00:30:20.513$ And also, what does health care have to do

 $00:30:20.513 \rightarrow 00:30:22.040$ with climate change itself?

 $00:30:22.040 \rightarrow 00:30:24.300$ And how much pollution are we generating?

00:30:24.300 --> 00:30:27.150 And these were all unknowns when I was just starting

 $00:30:27.150 \rightarrow 00:30:28.840$ and so it motivated me to collaborate

 $00{:}30{:}28{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}32{.}000$ with environmental engineers such as Matt Huckleman

 $00:30:32.000 \rightarrow 00:30:34.160$ to try and put some numbers behind

 $00{:}30{:}34{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35{.}520$ and guide

 $00{:}30{:}35{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}36{.}770$ help us understand what we can do

 $00:30:36.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.800$ and that's really what started this whole path.

00:30:38.800 --> 00:30:40.640 So here in Connecticut,

 $00{:}30{:}40{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}43{.}290$ Yale New Haven health system is all along the coast

00:30:43.290 --> 00:30:46.530 along the coastline between here and Providence.

 $00:30:46.530 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.440$ We are very vulnerable to weather related events.

 $00:30:49.440 \rightarrow 00:30:53.056$ And if you talk to our disaster management

 $00:30:53.056 \rightarrow 00:30:56.200$ and emergency preparedness division

 $00:30:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.466$ which is very robust

 $00:30:57.466 \rightarrow 00:31:00.704$ and they're in agreement, it is not a matter of if

 $00:31:00.704 \rightarrow 00:31:05.704$ but when we are going to be suffering these events.

00:31:08.800 --> 00:31:12.057 And so, Hurricane Sandy

 $00:31:12.057 \rightarrow 00:31:16.830$ which mostly impacted New Jersey

 $00:31:16.830 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.216$ and New York

00:31:18.216 --> 00:31:22.004 kind of quickly disrupted health hospitals

 $00{:}31{:}22.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24.480$ and health systems that never thought

 $00:31:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.725$ they would have to deal with such a thing.

00:31:26.725 --> 00:31:29.500 On the right, you see hundreds

 $00{:}31{:}29{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}31{.}620$ of patients were evacuated down the stairs

 $00:31:31.620 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.190$ by flashlight

 $00:31:33.190 \rightarrow 00:31:35.652$ including nineteen patients

 $00{:}31{:}35{.}652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38{.}040$ out of the neonatal intensive care unit

 $00{:}31{:}38{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41{.}400$ requiring hand ventilation down several flights of stairs.

 $00{:}31{:}41{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}43{.}195$ That made international news.

 $00:31:43.195 \rightarrow 00:31:46.472$ On the left, you already heard from Dr. Dubrow

 $00:31:46.472 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.361$ about the campfire in Paradise,

00:31:49.361 --> 00:31:53.508 you see evacuation occurring out of Feather Meadow Hospital.

 $00:31:53.508 \rightarrow 00:31:55.830$ That was completed just before

 $00:31:55.830 \rightarrow 00:31:57.167$ the hospital burned to the ground.

 $00:31:57.167 \rightarrow 00:32:00.114$ And so, this is also already impacting,

 $00:32:00.114 \rightarrow 00:32:02.460$ disrupting our health care services.

 $00:32:02.460 \rightarrow 00:32:04.690$ Now, with health care Maria, I have to be honest

00:32:05.774 --> 00:32:07.133 I didn't know until then

 $00:32:07.133 \rightarrow 00:32:08.120$ that the majority of several of our drugs

 $00:32:09.534 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.367$ and bags of fluid saline

 $00{:}32{:}11{.}257$ --> $00{:}32{:}14.640$ were actually manufactured on the island of Puerto Rico.

 $00:32:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.950$ So when Hurricane Maria hit

 $00:32:16.950 \rightarrow 00:32:19.410$ it very much disrupted the supply chain

 $00{:}32{:}19{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}22{.}520$ affecting care even here in New Haven, Connecticut

 $00:32:22.520 \rightarrow 00:32:24.960$ where we feel probably immune to it.

 $00{:}32{:}24{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29{.}093$ So, the vast majority of hospitals across the country

00:32:29.093 --> 00:32:33.547 reported that they were suffering major supply shortages

 $00:32:33.547 \rightarrow 00:32:35.970$ changing the care that they deliver

 $00{:}32{:}35{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}37{.}970$ which actually increasing the risk in the care

 $00:32:37.970 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.463$ that we deliver.

00:32:39.463 --> 00:32:40.390 Things like medication substitution,

00:32:40.390 - 00:32:41.470 different concentration,

 $00:32:41.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.690$ different drugs can have different impacts

 $00:32:43.690 \rightarrow 00:32:45.540$ and result in errors.

 $00:32:45.540 \rightarrow 00:32:47.470$ Inappropriate substitutions of drugs,

00:32:47.470 --> 00:32:48.910 improper handling of drugs,

 $00:32:48.910 \rightarrow 00:32:50.800$ so splitting vials between patients

00:32:50.800 -> 00:32:52.699 in non-sterile conditions

 $00{:}32{:}52{.}699$ --> $00{:}32{:}56{.}260$ and then shortages when there's no substitution available.

 $00:32:56.260 \rightarrow 00:32:57.690$ And then this odd phenomena

 $00:32:57.690 \rightarrow 00:32:59.880$ where actually, we saw some increased drug waste.

 $00:32:59.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.920$ So for example,

 $00:33:00.920 \rightarrow 00:33:03.490$ here is a two ml vial of a local anesthetic

 $00{:}33{:}03{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06{.}220$ named Mepivacaine that we use for spinal anest hetics

 $00:33:06.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.450$ when that was absent,

 $00:33:07.450 \rightarrow 00:33:10.170$ we actually had to substitute a 30 ml vial

 $00:33:10.170 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.494$ and because of concerns for cross-contamination

 $00:33:13.494 \rightarrow 00:33:17.220$ of microbial risk, that had to be thrown away.

 $00:33:17.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.610$ And until we create an infrastructure

 $00:33:19.610 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.471$ for pharmacy to split these drugs.

 $00:33:21.471 \rightarrow 00:33:23.653$ So this odd phenomenon of increasing waste.

 $00:33:25.460 \rightarrow 00:33:27.690$ So health care is an enormous industry,

 $00{:}33{:}27.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30.500$ here in the U.S. 3.65 trillion dollars

 $00:33:30.500 \rightarrow 00:33:32.690$ near 20% of our gross domestic product

 $00:33:32.690 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.950$ goes toward health care.

 $00:33:33.950 \rightarrow 00:33:38.815$ It's a very energy intensive industry,

 $00:33:38.815 \rightarrow 00:33:41.360$ not only the manufacturing of all the things,

 $00:33:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.470$ but with the energy we use to run the hospitals

 $00{:}33{:}43{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}45{.}422$ which are two and half times

 $00{:}33{:}45{.}422$ --> $00{:}33{:}48{.}710$ as energy intensive as the average commercial building.

 $00:33:48.710 \rightarrow 00:33:50.865$ They operate 24/7, they have complex

 $00:33:50.865 \dashrightarrow 00:33:52.861$ medical devices and equipment.

 $00:33:52.861 \rightarrow 00:33:55.630$ We have unique requirements to prevent

 $00:33:55.630 \rightarrow 00:33:58.060$ the risk of cross-contamination,

00:33:58.060 - 00:34:00.120 so infection prevention.

 $00:34:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.960$ And so we use more chemicals

 $00:34:01.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.104$ and we use disposables.

 $00:34:04.104 \rightarrow 00:34:07.130$ And there's this really disturbing trend

 $00:34:07.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.800$ in some of these disposable devices

 $00:34:08.800 \rightarrow 00:34:10.800$ because a lot of it's not evidence-based

 $00:34:10.800 \rightarrow 00:34:11.730$ there just seems,

 $00:34:11.730 \rightarrow 00:34:13.590$ if we can get to zero-risk we should go there

 $00:34:13.590 \rightarrow 00:34:17.409$ but we're actually ignoring the secondary harm

 $00:34:17.409 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.908$ to public health.

 $00{:}34{:}18{.}908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}21{.}652$ And so, we're at a balance there.

 $00{:}34{:}21.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}24.364$ There is a risk stratification of

 $00:34:24.364 \rightarrow 00:34:26.550$ what things need to be sterile,

 $00:34:26.550 \rightarrow 00:34:28.300$ what things don't need to be sterile

 $00{:}34{:}28{.}300$ --> $00{:}34{:}31{.}140$ and yet we're going toward more and more disposables.

00:34:31.140 --> 00:34:34.300 And so conservative estimate by Health Care Without Harm

 $00{:}34{:}34{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38{.}000$ said that there was about six million tons of solid waste

 $00{:}34{:}38{.}000$ --> $00{:}34{:}40{.}836$ generated from hospitals in the United States annually.

 $00:34:40.836 \rightarrow 00:34:42.990$ This is a very conservative estimate.

 $00{:}34{:}42{.}990$ --> $00{:}34{:}46{.}090$ So many years ago now, we're doing more and more disposables

 $00:34:46.090 \rightarrow 00:34:49.542$ so surely that number is much bigger now.

 $00:34:49.542 \rightarrow 00:34:51.856$ And then we have so much regulatory complexity

 $00:34:51.856 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.763$ and complex business models.

 $00:34:53.763 \rightarrow 00:34:56.982$ All these things contribute to systematic waste.

00:34:56.982 --> 00:34:59.025 And finally, culture.

 $00:34:59.025 \rightarrow 00:35:00.940$ We're a rich society.

- $00:35:00.940 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.546$ So we are excessive
- $00:35:03.546 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.410$ in the resources
- $00{:}35{:}06{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}07{.}800$ that we use and have
- $00:35:07.800 \rightarrow 00:35:09.770$ and this disposability is normalized.
- $00:35:09.770 \rightarrow 00:35:12.810$ So there's a real need for a culture change
- $00:35:12.810 \rightarrow 00:35:15.030$ to help engage around cleaning up health care
- $00:35:15.030 \rightarrow 00:35:16.760$ and engaging health care professionals.
- $00:35:16.760 \rightarrow 00:35:18.906$ This is an image of a robotic surgery.
- $00:35:18.906 \rightarrow 00:35:20.890$ Some things to notice,
- $00:35:20.890 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.500$ there's a lot of disposables here
- $00:35:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.900$ including all the linens
- $00{:}35{:}23{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26{.}030$ and the complex medical devices.
- $00:35:26.030 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.130$ All of these instruments here that are attached
- $00{:}35{:}28{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}28{.}970$ to the robot arms
- $00:35:28.970 \rightarrow 00:35:31.123$ are single-use disposable.
- $00:35:31.123 \rightarrow 00:35:34.247$ There are options for reusing them
- $00{:}35{:}34{.}247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}38{.}103$ but that is not the norm currently.
- 00:35:38.980 --> 00:35:42.048 And so, Rob mentioned Dr. Eckelman
- $00:35:42.048 \rightarrow 00:35:43.630$ so he's an environmental engineer
- $00{:}35{:}43.630$ --> $00{:}35{:}45.613$ from Northeastern University and also has an appointment
- $00:35:45.613 \rightarrow 00:35:48.290$ here in environmental health sciences,
- $00:35:48.290 \rightarrow 00:35:50.057$ specializes in life cycle assessment.
- $00:35:50.057 \rightarrow 00:35:53.000$ We started collaborating several years now
- 00:35:53.000 --> 00:35:54.411 trying to understand
- $00:35:54.411 \rightarrow 00:35:58.020$ what the footprint is of drugs and devices
- $00:35:58.020 \rightarrow 00:35:59.680$ and entire health systems.
- $00:35:59.680 \rightarrow 00:36:01.857$ And life cycle assessment is

 $00:36:01.857 \dashrightarrow 00:36:06.000$ an internationally standardized scientific modeling system.

 $00:36:06.000 \rightarrow 00:36:08.350$ So it's not just all the stuff that you throw away,

 $00:36:08.350 \rightarrow 00:36:11.080$ it's not just that we generate six million tones

00:36:11.080 - 00:36:12.580 of solid waste annually.

 $00{:}36{:}12.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}14.020$ But there's emissions involved

 $00:36:14.020 \dashrightarrow 00:36:16.932$ in raw material extraction, production, transportation

 $00:36:16.932 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.010$ as well as disposal.

 $00:36:19.010 \rightarrow 00:36:20.540$ So unless you put all those pieces together

 $00{:}36{:}20{.}540$ --> $00{:}36{:}22{.}440$ we can't really understand where the hot spots are

 $00{:}36{:}22{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24{.}510$ and what the alternatives are.

 $00{:}36{:}24{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25{.}900$ So a couple of different approaches to

 $00:36:25.900 \rightarrow 00:36:27.220$ life cycle assessment,

 $00{:}36{:}27{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}29{.}560$ including a bottom up approach where you essentially

 $00:36:30.406 \rightarrow 00:36:31.473$ look at all the materials that you're using,

 $00:36:32.979 \rightarrow 00:36:34.610$ there are international data bases that tell you

 $00:36:34.610 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.040$ what the emissions are for those materials.

 $00:36:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.890$ And then you can add them up and understand

 $00:36:38.890 \rightarrow 00:36:41.430$ where in the life cycle you're having your impacts.

 $00:36:41.430 \rightarrow 00:36:43.620$ And another approach is sort of a top-down

 $00:36:43.620 \rightarrow 00:36:45.000$ where you're looking at something

00:36:45.000 --> 00:36:46.680 like an entire health system

 $00:36:46.680 \rightarrow 00:36:48.720$ you can't really measure any single material

 $00:36:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.310$ that's going into that system.

 $00{:}36{:}50{.}310$ --> $00{:}36{:}52{.}843$ So we use national statistics to help expenditure data

 $00:36:52.843 \rightarrow 00:36:57.395$ coupled with economic material flow analysis

 $00:36:57.395 \rightarrow 00:36:59.666$ both nationally and multi-regionally.

 $00{:}36{:}59{.}666$ --> $00{:}37{:}03{.}008$ And then, that gets linked to environmental initiatives.

 $00:37:03.008 \dashrightarrow 00:37:05.040$ So top-down and bottom-up approach

 $00:37:05.040 \rightarrow 00:37:06.799$ to come to some understanding

 $00:37:06.799 \rightarrow 00:37:08.543$ of what the footprint is.

00:37:08.543 --> 00:37:11.290 And I think it's worth highlighting

 $00{:}37{:}11{.}290$ --> $00{:}37{:}14{.}820$ one study that we did looking at different an esthetic drugs.

 $00{:}37{:}14.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}18.001$ There's a lot to absorb here, so if you allow me to tell you

 $00:37:18.001 \rightarrow 00:37:20.769$ these are four different anesthetic drugs.

 $00:37:20.769 \rightarrow 00:37:23.584$ The first three are gases, or actually four

 $00:37:23.584 \dashrightarrow 00:37:26.620$ because Microsoft site is not moving the bars.

00:37:26.620 --> 00:37:28.258 These are inhale drugs,

 $00:37:28.258 \rightarrow 00:37:30.531$ this is propofol, an intervenous drug.

 $00{:}37{:}30{.}531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}33{.}590$ So we look at the life cycle footprint of an hour's worth

 $00:37:33.590 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.527$ of this drug to keep a patient asleep.

 $00{:}37{:}35{.}527$ --> $00{:}37{:}40{.}170$ And, first thing to pay attention to is the fact that

 $00:37:40.170 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.945$ you can't see propofol here at all.

 $00:37:41.945 \rightarrow 00:37:44.369$ It's there, but its greenhouse gas emissions are

 $00{:}37{:}44{.}369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47{.}670$ four orders of magnitude less than inhalent an esthetics.

 $00:37:47.670 \rightarrow 00:37:49.330$ So these drugs have different properties,

 $00{:}37{:}49{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}51{.}700$ why we would chose them under different conditions.

 $00:37:51.700 \rightarrow 00:37:53.900$ But this is just to help guide environmentally

 $00:37:53.900 \rightarrow 00:37:56.170$ preferable choices as a clinician.

 $00:37:56.170 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.059$ So if you have a choice

 $00:37:58.059 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.500$ between these,

 $00:37:59.500 \rightarrow 00:38:01.780$ and often we do, chose the one that is least harmful

 $00:38:01.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.230$ for the environment.

 $00:38:03.230 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.410$ The other things to note are

 $00:38:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.150$ that if you just look at the pink

 $00{:}38{:}07{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}10{.}930$ that this drug desflurane has about twenty times the impact

 $00:38:10.930 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.860$ of isaflurane.

00:38:11.860 --> 00:38:14.016 And nitrous oxide, if you add it

 $00{:}38{:}14.016$ --> $00{:}38{:}17.453$ short story is if you add it, it makes everything worse.

00:38:17.453 --> 00:38:19.304 So take homes include:

 $00:38:19.304 \rightarrow 00:38:21.678$ avoid desflurane and nitrous oxide

 $00:38:21.678 \rightarrow 00:38:24.040$ when you have the ability to do so.

00:38:24.040 --> 00:38:25.527 And I should say,

 $00:38:25.527 \rightarrow 00:38:28.187$ inhaled anesthetics are very potent

 $00{:}38{:}28{.}187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}29{.}246$ greenhouse gases.

 $00{:}38{:}29{.}246$ --> $00{:}38{:}32{.}244$ Hundreds to thousands more potent than carbon dioxide

 $00:38:32.244 \rightarrow 00:38:35.468$ and they're simply vented off of hospital rooftops.

 $00:38:35.468 \rightarrow 00:38:38.787$ So, the blue here is the non-waste phase.

 $00{:}38{:}38{.}787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}43{.}787$ So, the manufacturing, transportation, utilization phases.

 $00:38:44.367 \rightarrow 00:38:46.940$ Everything else is the waste phase.

 $00:38:46.940 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.298$ So we can look at things like

00:38:48.298 --> 00:38:51.507 waste and anesthetic gas technologies which exists.

00:38:51.507 - 00:38:53.132 That's another solution.

 $00:38:53.132 \rightarrow 00:38:56.290$ And another study done by Sandra Thiel

00:38:56.290 --> 00:38:57.390 from New York University

00:38:57.390 --> 00:38:59.550 compared different surgical approaches.

 $00{:}38{:}59{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}01{.}810$ So again, there are different reasons why you'd choose

 $00{:}39{:}01{.}810$ --> $00{:}39{:}05{.}310$ an open technique versus a minimally invasive technique.

00:39:05.310 --> 00:39:08.460 The first techniques in a hysterectomy on the left are open.

 $00:39:08.460 \rightarrow 00:39:11.150$ The two on the right are minimally invasive,

 $00:39:11.150 \rightarrow 00:39:13.138$ one being laparoscopic and one robotic.

 $00:39:13.138 \rightarrow 00:39:15.750$ Some take homes here include the purple

 $00:39:15.750 \dashrightarrow 00:39:17.550$ which are inhaled an esthetics. 00:39:17.550 - 00:39:18.990 So depending on the approach

 $00{:}39{:}18{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}20{.}756$ one third to two thirds the footprint of

 $00:39:20.756 \rightarrow 00:39:22.240$ everything happening in the OR

 $00:39:22.240 \rightarrow 00:39:24.496$ the energy to run the building, the equipment,

 $00:39:24.496 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.934$ all the disposable and reusable devices.

 $00:39:26.934 \rightarrow 00:39:29.496$ Inhaled anesthetics are a pretty big piece of that.

 $00:39:29.496 \rightarrow 00:39:31.740$ Another take home is this light green here

 $00:39:31.740 \rightarrow 00:39:34.540$ which is all the single-use disposable instruments.

 $00{:}39{:}34{.}540$ --> $00{:}39{:}37{.}040$ And the error bar is because a couple of these cases

 $00:39:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.270$ had propofol.

 $00{:}39{:}38{.}270$ --> $00{:}39{:}41{.}160$ So if we took this out the error bars would be much smaller.

 $00{:}39{:}41{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}44{.}697$ So I showed you a picture of a robotic surgery for a reason

 $00:39:44.697 \rightarrow 00:39:45.990$ that's really interesting.

 $00:39:45.990 \rightarrow 00:39:47.720$ Because there's this increasing trend

 $00{:}39{:}47.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}49.770$ to push toward robotic surgery.

 $00:39:49.770 \rightarrow 00:39:51.700$ It is not has been shown to improve outcomes

 $00:39:51.700 \rightarrow 00:39:53.750$ over a laparoscopic approach

 $00:39:53.750 \rightarrow 00:39:57.808$ but it is becoming sexy to patients.

 $00{:}39{:}57{.}808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}00{.}780$ They want to go to an institution that is doing the most

 $00:40:00.780 \rightarrow 00:40:02.530$ technologically advanced procedures.

 $00{:}40{:}02{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05{.}363$ So now there's this competitiveness that we have to be

 $00:40:05.363 \rightarrow 00:40:08.644$ more and more technologically advanced.

 $00{:}40{:}08{.}644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11{.}740$ And so that is also contributing part of the problem.

 $00{:}40{:}11.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}13.650$ And so I mentioned that we do these

00:40:13.650 --> 00:40:15.540 national health sector studies

 $00:40:15.540 \rightarrow 00:40:17.554$ so the first one we did was on the U.S.

 $00:40:17.554 \rightarrow 00:40:20.040$ And so this was really not astonishing,

 $00:40:20.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.650$ we know we spend more per capita on health care

 $00:40:22.650 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.910$ than any other industrialized nation.

 $00:40:24.910 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.709$ And we found that the U.S. health sector in 2013

 $00:40:27.709 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.585$ was nearly 10% of our nation's greenhouse gases.

00:40:30.585 --> 00:40:32.825 Nearly 9% of our criteria air pollutants.

 $00:40:32.825 \rightarrow 00:40:35.595$ If the U.S. health sector were a nation itself

 $00{:}40{:}35{.}595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}38{.}930$ it would rank 13th in the world for greenhouse gas emissions

 $00:40:38.930 \rightarrow 00:40:41.510$ ahead of the entire United Kingdom.

 $00{:}40{:}41{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}43{.}760$ And we also looked at other emissions

 $00:40:43.760 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.757$ notably air pollutants as I mentioned.

 $00{:}40{:}45{.}757$ --> $00{:}40{:}48{.}481$ If we were to translate that into public health damages

 $00:40:48.481 \rightarrow 00:40:51.501$ 614 disability-adjusted life years lost annually

 $00{:}40{:}51{.}501$ --> $00{:}40{:}54{.}841$ especially due to air pollution and also climate change.

 $00:40:54.841 \rightarrow 00:40:58.730$ And this is similar magnitude to deaths

 $00{:}40{:}58.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}00.220$ due to medical errors.

00:41:00.220 --> 00:41:02.077 This was first reported by the Institute of Medicine

00:41:02.077 --> 00:41:04.034 "To Err is Human" in 1999.

 $00:41:04.034 \rightarrow 00:41:07.136$ Those deaths lost on average ten years of life.

 $00:41:07.136 \rightarrow 00:41:10.182$ By calculations we're seeing the same magnitude.

00:41:10.182 --> 00:41:13.540 This was important, this IOM report "To Err is Human"

 $00:41:13.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.660$ is a landmark report that sparked the

00:41:15.660 - 00:41:17.600 whole patient safety movement.

00:41:17.600 --> 00:41:18.970 The fact that people are dying

 $00:41:18.970 \rightarrow 00:41:21.050$ from preventable medical errors.

 $00:41:21.050 \rightarrow 00:41:23.844$ So there are institutions that pay to see

 $00{:}41{:}23.844$ --> $00{:}41{:}26.030$ health care research and quality was formed and funded.

 $00{:}41{:}26.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28.325$ So it totally transformed every aspect

 $00:41:28.325 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.348$ of how we deliver care.

 $00{:}41{:}30{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33{.}647$ Patient safety is formalized in how we deliver our care.

 $00:41:33.647 \rightarrow 00:41:36.050$ And so what we are trying to say is

 $00:41:36.050 \rightarrow 00:41:38.094$ pollution is just as big and just as important

 $00:41:38.094 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.301$ to how we deliver care.

 $00:41:41.301 \rightarrow 00:41:44.283$ And that this is the new patient safety movement.

00:41:45.188 --> 00:41:47.500 I'm gonna go ahead and skip ahead to

 $00{:}41{:}47{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}52{.}470$ we then worked with The Lancet team

 $00:41:52.470 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.230$ and there's a new indicator

 $00{:}41{:}54{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}57{.}277$ we wanted to essentially make this an international metric.

00:41:57.277 --> 00:42:01.168 And so, surprisingly globally take homes here include

 $00:42:01.168 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.043$ that global health care greenhouse gas emissions

 $00:42:05.043 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.822$ are 4.6% of global emissions.

00:42:07.822 --> 00:42:10.869 I mean, I just like to let that sink in.

 $00:42:10.869 \rightarrow 00:42:14.703$ The other takeaway here is that the United States

 $00:42:14.703 \rightarrow 00:42:16.034$ is a top player.

 $00:42:16.034 \rightarrow 00:42:17.717$ And not in a good way.

 $00:42:17.717 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.099$ So on the left we see,

 $00:42:20.099 \rightarrow 00:42:23.539$ this is per capita gross domestic product

 $00:42:23.539 \rightarrow 00:42:27.310$ by per capita health care emissions.

 $00{:}42{:}27{.}310$ --> $00{:}42{:}30{.}270$ And the bubble with those is the expenditure on health care.

 $00{:}42{:}30{.}270$ --> $00{:}42{:}32{.}610$ So again, we know we spend twice as much on health care

 $00:42:32.610 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.470$ in the U.S. as any other nation.

 $00:42:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.790$ And on the right is over time,

 $00:42:35.790 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.030$ so trending upward.

 $00:42:37.030 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.550$ So roughly a quarter of these emissions

 $00:42:39.550 \rightarrow 00:42:41.940$ are coming from the U.S. alone.

 $00:42:41.940 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.470$ We are not number one in health outcomes

 $00:42:44.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.649$ in terms of outcomes such as

 $00:42:48.649 \rightarrow 00:42:51.231$ maternal deaths,

 $00:42:51.231 \rightarrow 00:42:53.256$ life expectancy,

 $00:42:53.256 \rightarrow 00:42:56.010$ the money we're spending on health care is not

 $00:42:56.010 \rightarrow 00:42:57.540$ being spent wisely.

 $00:42:57.540 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.364$ And so that's part of why

 $00:43:01.364 \rightarrow 00:43:05.800$ there are a lot of opportunities here to save money

 $00:43:05.800 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.770$ as well as reduce pollution.

 $00{:}43{:}06{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}10{.}160$ We really need to look at globally how health care sectors

 $00:43:10.160 \rightarrow 00:43:11.100$ are performing,

 $00:43:11.100 \rightarrow 00:43:13.657$ and obviously particularly in the U.S.

00:43:13.657 --> 00:43:15.610 And we wanna get some positive news, right?

 $00{:}43{:}15.610$ --> $00{:}43{:}19.459$ So Kaiser Permanente is a large non-profit health system

 $00{:}43{:}19{.}459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20{.}470$ in the United States.

 $00:43:20.470 \rightarrow 00:43:21.929$ 12.3 million people.

 $00:43:21.929 \rightarrow 00:43:24.488$ They have a very robust sustainability division

 $00{:}43{:}24{.}488$ --> $00{:}43{:}27{.}525$ looking at improving their entire organizations' footprint

 $00:43:27.525 \rightarrow 00:43:29.689$ between 2008 and 2017,

00:43:29.689 --> 00:43:32.389 they reduced their greenhouse gas emissions by 20%

 $00:43:32.389 \rightarrow 00:43:35.012$ while increasing their membership by 36%.

 $00:43:35.012 \rightarrow 00:43:39.422$ In 2018, they started hosting on-site solar panels

 $00{:}43{:}39{.}422$ --> $00{:}43{:}44{.}422$ and have a commitment by 2020 to have 100% renewable energy.

 $00{:}43{:}46{.}520$ --> $00{:}43{:}49{.}680$ And 3% of their health sector emissions are coming from

 $00:43:49.680 \rightarrow 00:43:51.080$ inhaled anesthetics.

 $00{:}43{:}51{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}54{.}557$ That is consistent with national work out of the U.K.

 $00:43:54.557 \rightarrow 00:43:55.940$ and other health organization work.

 $00{:}43{:}55{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}58{.}630$ So inhaled an esthetics was a big part of its footprint.

 $00:43:58.630 \rightarrow 00:44:01.153$ And I should say the U.S. numbers I gave you

 $00:44:01.153 \rightarrow 00:44:03.524$ and also the global numbers I gave you

 $00{:}44{:}03{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}06{.}043$ do not include inhaled an esthetics.

00:44:06.043 --> 00:44:10.128 And so K.P. reduced this by 25% by progressive

 $00:44:10.128 \rightarrow 00:44:13.343$ elimination of that one drug desflurane.

 $00{:}44{:}13{.}343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}17{.}040$ And we're actually working on a campaign to reduce

 $00{:}44{:}17{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}18{.}280$ their nitrous oxide use.

 $00:44:18.280 \rightarrow 00:44:20.381$ So we're working together on that.

 $00{:}44{:}20{.}381 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}22{.}090$ And the other one worth mentioning

00:44:22.090 --> 00:44:24.220 is the U.N. National Health Service.

 $00:44:24.220 \rightarrow 00:44:26.580$ They're leading the world with the division

00:44:26.580 --> 00:44:27.710 of sustainable development

 $00{:}44{:}27{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}31{.}026$ and the division's dedicated to reducing the footprint

 $00:44:31.026 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.960$ of health care.

00:44:31.960 --> 00:44:33.529 And since their start,

 $00:44:33.529 \rightarrow 00:44:35.773$ they've actually reduced their emissions

 $00:44:35.773 \rightarrow 00:44:37.666$ measurably so

 $00:44:37.666 \rightarrow 00:44:41.769$ by 18.5% between 2007-2017 while increasing their

 $00:44:41.769 \rightarrow 00:44:43.460$ clinical activity.

 $00{:}44{:}43.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}45.350$ And they have a very robust action plan

 $00:44:45.350 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.810$ that is a global exemplar.

 $00{:}44{:}47{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}51{.}910$ And so, you talk about delivering health care

 $00:44:51.910 \rightarrow 00:44:53.700$ which is delivering the maximum health gain

 $00:44:53.700 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.030$ or experience of care

 $00{:}44{:}55{.}967$ --> $00{:}44{:}58{.}623$ at the least cost, while adding value for the most people.

 $00:44:58.623 \rightarrow 00:45:01.590$ And we're talking about that we need to add

 $00:45:01.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.130$ environmental and social benefits as well.

 $00:45:05.976 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.976$ (distant murmuring)

00:45:34.613 --> 00:45:36.063 - Okay, so just to finish up.

 $00:45:36.952 \rightarrow 00:45:39.381$ So the third key message,

 $00:45:39.381 \rightarrow 00:45:41.630$ an unprecedented challenge demands

 $00:45:41.630 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.422$ an unprecedented response.

 $00:45:43.422 \rightarrow 00:45:46.550$ I thought it would be worth taking about that

 $00:45:46.550 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.739$ a little bit.

 $00:45:47.739 \rightarrow 00:45:51.487$ So first, I think we really need optimism.

 $00:45:51.487 \rightarrow 00:45:56.487$ Pessimism, and this is me talking,

00:45:56.594 --> 00:45:59.898 not the Lancet Countdown, but I think they'd agree

 $00:45:59.898 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.551$ with most of it.

00:46:01.551 --> 00:46:03.310 Pessimism is self-fulfilling prophecy.

 $00{:}46{:}03{.}310$ --> $00{:}46{:}06{.}310$ So it's really morally imperative that we be optimistic

 $00:46:06.310 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.563$ about achieving this.

 $00:46:08.910 \rightarrow 00:46:11.450$ And there are some reasons for optimism.

 $00:46:11.450 \rightarrow 00:46:15.644$ We see that solar and wind are actually happening.

00:46:15.644 --> 00:46:18.993 They're becoming economically competitive.

 $00:46:18.993 \rightarrow 00:46:22.510$ They're technologically feasible.

 $00:46:22.510 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.960$ We can do solar and wind.

 $00:46:25.934 \rightarrow 00:46:28.313$ Transition to renewable energy is possible.

00:46:31.350 --> 00:46:32.990 We have the Pope's encyclical,

 $00:46:32.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.120$ that was a powerful document.

 $00:46:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.543$ That's still resonating.

 $00:46:37.860 \rightarrow 00:46:39.570$ With all of its flaws,

00:46:39.570 --> 00:46:41.800 we have the Paris Climate Agreement

 $00:46:41.800 \rightarrow 00:46:44.550$ that right now, every nation on earth is part of

 $00{:}46{:}44.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}46.030$ the Paris Climate Agreement.

 $00{:}46{:}46{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}48{.}502$ There's one nation that's in the process of with drawing $00:46:48.502 \rightarrow 00:46:49.550$ (laughter)

00:46:49.550 --> 00:46:51.090 Unfortunately.

00:46:51.090 --> 00:46:53.689 But even so, we have states, cities,

 $00:46:53.689 \rightarrow 00:46:57.046$ collages and universities, businesses, etc.,

 $00:46:57.046 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.738$ that have said, "We are still in".

 $00{:}46{:}59{.}738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}02{.}073$ So these are in the United States.

 $00:47:02.073 \rightarrow 00:47:05.529$ They've pledged to do their part for the climate

 $00:47:05.529 \rightarrow 00:47:08.713$ and essentially stay in the Paris agreement.

 $00{:}47{:}10.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.270$ There's ongoing divestment.

 $00{:}47{:}12{.}270$ --> $00{:}47{:}16{.}403$ New York City divested its entire pension fund last year.

 $00{:}47{:}21.703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24.280$ One of the things we have to do is stop building

 $00{:}47{:}24.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}26.370$ new fossil fueled infrastructure.

 $00:47:26.370 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.591$ That's essential in order to achieve this transition.

00:47:30.591 - 00:47:32.970 There have been a lot of defeats,

 $00{:}47{:}32{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}34{.}730$ but there have been some victories.

 $00:47:34.730 \rightarrow 00:47:38.661$ Like this one victory here in New York state.

00:47:38.661 --> 00:47:43.661 The Juliana vs U.S. climate lawsuit is still alive.

00:47:43.698 --> 00:47:47.610 This is where young people are suing the United States

 $00{:}47{:}47{.}610$ --> $00{:}47{:}50{.}113$ to do more about climate change.

00:47:52.660 --> 00:47:55.560 And young people around the world are rising up

 $00:47:55.560 \rightarrow 00:47:56.573$ around this issue.

 $00{:}48{:}02{.}431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}03{.}348$ In the U.K.

00:48:06.390 --> 00:48:08.573 Outside Speaker Pelosi's office.

 $00:48:11.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.790$ So to me, that's the most hopeful thing of all

 $00:48:14.790 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.658$ it's like, well the young people

 $00:48:16.658 \rightarrow 00:48:19.245$ they understand that their future's at stake.

 $00:48:19.245 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.086$ Or, a lot of you are young people

 $00:48:21.086 \rightarrow 00:48:22.839$ you understand that your future's at stake.

 $00:48:22.839 \rightarrow 00:48:23.672$ (laughter)

 $00{:}48{:}23.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}25.694$ You understand that your future's at stake.

 $00:48:25.694 \rightarrow 00:48:27.590$ And people are rising to the occasion.

 $00{:}48{:}27{.}590$ --> $00{:}48{:}31{.}460$ So I'd like to end with this quote from Martin Luther King.

 $00{:}48{:}31{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}34{.}193$ Which was not about climate change obviously

 $00:48:34.193 \rightarrow 00:48:37.157$ but is applicable to climate change in my view.

00:48:37.157 --> 00:48:40.623 We are now faced with the fact that tomorrow is today.

 $00{:}48{:}40{.}623 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}44{.}227$ We are confronted with the fierce urgency of now.

 $00:48:44.227 \rightarrow 00:48:47.349$ In this unfolding conundrum of life and history,

 $00{:}48{:}47{.}349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50{.}142$ there is such a thing as being too late.

 $00:48:50.142 \rightarrow 00:48:53.163$ So time is not on our side, time is our enemy

 $00{:}48{:}53.163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}54.327$ in this case.

 $00:48:54.327 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.510$ We have to do things faster.

 $00{:}48{:}56{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}58{.}520$ The transition's going to happen.

 $00:48:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.620$ Really, the question is

 $00:48:59.620 \rightarrow 00:49:01.220$ what is the pace of the transition?

 $00:49:01.220 \rightarrow 00:49:04.438$ There is no time for apathy or complacency.

 $00{:}49{:}04{.}438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}07{.}573$ This is a time for vigorous and positive action.

 $00:49:09.880 \rightarrow 00:49:11.260$ Okay so thank you.

 $00:49:11.260 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.697$ And if people are particularly interested

00:49:13.697 --> 00:49:16.629 and you do have some hard copies of the report here

 $00{:}49{:}16.629$ --> $00{:}49{:}20.361$ so if you're interested come up and get a hard copy.

 $00:49:20.361 \rightarrow 00:49:24.111$ (clapping from the audience)

00:49:27.975 - 00:49:31.808 (chatter throughout the room)