WEBVTT

- 1 00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.290 <v Dr. Chen>Hi everyone thanks for coming.</v>
- 2 00:00:01.290 --> 00:00:04.438 And this will be
- 3 00:00:04.438 --> 00:00:09.200 our last seminar of this Spring semester (indistinct)
- 4 00:00:09.200 --> 00:00:13.560 in the house and I'm very pleased to introduce you
- $5~00:00:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.873$ to our today's speaker, Dr. Jaime Madrigano.
- $6~00:00:18.053 --> 00:00:22.200~\mathrm{Dr.}$ Madrigano is currently associate professor
- 7 $00:00:22.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.925$ at Departments of house and engineering
- $8\ 00:00:24.925 --> 00:00:29.442$ at John Hopkins Bloomberg state.
- 9 00:00:29.442 --> 00:00:33.472 Jaime has made her research focus is environmental
- $10\ 00:00:33.472 --> 00:00:35.446$ and social determinants of health,
- $11\ 00:00:35.446 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.550$ improving climate change and all environmental
- $12\ 00{:}00{:}38.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}43.550$ and the drilling part is abcess on the environmental justice
- 13 00:00:44.460 --> 00:00:47.460 Jaime uses logical method to report policy
- $14\ 00:00:47.460 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.570$ her research was informing the development
- 15 00:00:51.570 --> 00:00:54.877 of the 2017 New York City meters
- $16\ 00:00:54.877 --> 00:00:57.410\ \106 million here adaptation,
- $17\ 00:00:58.770 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.740$ which I talk a lot about in my own class.
- $18\ 00:01:01.740 \dashrightarrow 00:01:06.574$ So you'll see to see behind the core neighborhoods
- 19 00:01:06.574 --> 00:01:08.407 New York City project,
- $20\ 00{:}01{:}09.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}13.255$ she also serves on the USEBA board of counselors
- 21 00:01:14.794 --> 00:01:17.294 and the International Society.
- 22 00:01:20.317 --> 00:01:22.485 <v ->It sounds like they're having a hard time hearing you.</v>
- $23\ 00:01:22.485 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.750 < v \longrightarrow Oh$, if I'm going to wait, </v>
- 24 00:01:24.750 --> 00:01:26.403 can you hear us now?

- 25~00:01:27.900 --> 00:01:29.400 < v Mauro>That's better, Kai thank you.</v>
- 26 00:01:29.400 --> 00:01:31.748 < v ->Okay then maybe you need to stay here.</v>
- $27\ 00:01:31.748 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.907 < v \longrightarrow Okay that's okay. </v>$
- $28\ 00:01:32.907 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.609 < v -> So without further ado, </v>$
- 29~00:01:35.609 --> 00:01:38.026 lets welcome Dr. J Madrigano.
- 30~00:01:42.310 --> 00:01:44.100 < v -> Thank you so much Dr. Chen < / v >
- $31\ 00:01:44.100 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.143$ and it's really great to be here.
- $32~00{:}01{:}47.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}49.500$ I really appreciate the invitation.
- $33~00{:}01{:}49.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52.310$ It's been a wonderful morning so far,
- $34\ 00{:}01{:}52.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}56.150$ meeting some faculty and trainees and I really appreciate
- 35~00:01:56.150 --> 00:01:59.490 having the chance to talk a little bit about my work.
- 36 00:01:59.490 --> 00:02:02.670 So as Dr. Chen said, I'm Jaime Madrigano,
- $37\ 00:02:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.740$ I'm currently at the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg
- $38\ 00:02:04.740 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.540$ School of Public Health.
- $39~00:02:06.540 \dashrightarrow 00:02:08.528$ And there I'm in the Department of Environmental Health
- 40 00:02:08.528 --> 00:02:09.600 and Engineering.
- $41\ 00:02:09.600$ --> 00:02:13.980 And I also lead the environmental challenges focused area of
- 42 00:02:13.980 --> 00:02:15.280 the Bloomberg American Health Initiative,
- $43\ 00:02:15.280 --> 00:02:20.280$ which is really working to integrate our research practice
- $44\ 00:02:20.400 \dashrightarrow 00:02:24.630$ policy and education at the school and in kind of five
- $45\ 00:02:24.630$ --> 00:02:29.630 leading health areas that are that, that America is facing.
- $46\ 00:02:30.330 --> 00:02:33.120$ So today I'm gonna talk about my work
- 47 00:02:33.120 --> 00:02:34.800 in climate change and health,
- $48\ 00{:}02{:}34.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38.550$ how some of this work has been used to inform policy

- $49\ 00:02:38.550 \dashrightarrow 00:02:42.630$ and just a couple of points broadly about how I think we can
- 50 00:02:42.630 --> 00:02:44.935 think about climate and health research
- 51 00:02:44.935 --> 00:02:47.043 being used to inform policy.
- 52 00:02:49.320 --> 00:02:53.580 Okay so please feel free online,
- $53\ 00:02:53.580 --> 00:02:56.130$ I'm trying to stand close to the computer
- $54\ 00:02:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.180$ so that you can hear me well.
- $55~00:02:57.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.213$ But just let us know if there's any issues that come up.
- 56 00:03:05.880 --> 00:03:06.713 Okay.
- $57\ 00:03:06.713 --> 00:03:10.761$ So I often like to start out my lectures
- $58\ 00:03:10.761 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.960$ with this motivating slide.
- $59\ 00:03:12.960 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.460$ And I realized when I was looking at it this morning,
- $60\ 00:03:15.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.760$ it's actually quite dated.
- $61\ 00:03:17.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.680$ So it makes me feel kind of old,
- 62 00:03:19.680 --> 00:03:23.760 but I actually think it is still very relevant
- 63~00:03:23.760 --> 00:03:28.050 and still very valid that climate change is the biggest
- $64\ 00:03:28.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.120$ global health threat of this century.
- 65 00:03:30.120 --> 00:03:32.280 And I strongly believe that and you know,
- $66\ 00{:}03{:}32.280 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}03{:}35.820$ we certainly have been facing many global health threats,
- $67~00{:}03{:}35.820 --> 00{:}03{:}38.160$ but I think the thing about climate change is it really
- $68~00:03:38.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.980$ spans across all kinds of disease outcomes.
- 69 00:03:40.980 --> 00:03:41.850 So, you know,
- 70~00:03:41.850 --> 00:03:46.282 we really have been dealing with the COVID pandemic
- 71 00:03:46.282 --> 00:03:47.760 and infectious disease,
- 72 00:03:47.760 --> 00:03:51.060 but we know that climate change is changing
- $73~00{:}03{:}51.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}53.508$ the spread of vectors and changing the prevalence

- $74\ 00:03:53.508 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.730$ of infectious diseases around the world.
- $75~00{:}03{:}56.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59.850$ But not just that we know that climate change is impacting
- $76\ 00:03:59.850 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.160$ chronic diseases in the elderly.
- $77\ 00:04:02.160$ --> 00:04:05.970 We're seeing pediatric populations dealing with the brunt of
- $78\ 00:04:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.850$ climate change in ways we've never seen before.
- 79~00:04:08.850 --> 00:04:11.860 So I'm sure you've had a lot of great discussions in this
- $80\ 00{:}04{:}11.860 \to 00{:}04{:}15.900$ seminar about all the broad range of health effects of
- 81 00:04:15.900 --> 00:04:17.040 climate change.
- 82 00:04:17.040 --> 00:04:19.350 I'm not gonna bill to cover that all today,
- 83 00:04:19.350 --> 00:04:22.740 but I'm gonna specifically talk about some of my work
- $84\ 00:04:22.740 --> 00:04:25.410$ related to heat and health impacts of heat
- $85\ 00:04:25.410$ --> 00:04:28.383 and then environmental health disparities related to heat.
- $86\ 00:04:30.390 --> 00:04:33.720$ So speaking of heat as an epidemiologist,
- 87 00:04:33.720 --> 00:04:37.110 I generally look at historical heat waves
- $88~00:04:37.110 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.290$ and occurrences of temperature fluctuations
- $89\ 00:04:40.290 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.720$ and compare those to health outcomes
- $90\ 00{:}04{:}42.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}46.830$ and see associations between those weather phenomena
- $91\ 00:04:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.950$ and adverse health consequences.
- $92\ 00:04:48.950 \dashrightarrow 00:04:51.720$ But what does that mean really for climate change
- 93 00:04:51.720 --> 00:04:54.000 and thinking ahead and into the future?
- $94\ 00:04:54.000 --> 00:04:57.990$ Well we know that these weather phenomena,
- $95~00:04:57.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.930$ they have been changing over the last several decades.
- 96 00:05:00.930 --> 00:05:04.890 So this is data from the US Global Change Research Program
- $97\ 00:05:04.890 --> 00:05:08.430$ and this shows that over the last six decades

- $98\ 00:05:08.430 --> 00:05:11.580$ we've seen a very consistent increase.
- 99 00:05:11.580 \rightarrow 00:05:14.318 This is data from 40 large cities within the US
- $100\ 00:05:14.318 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.150$ of kind of characteristics of the heat season.
- $101\ 00:05:18.150 --> 00:05:21.060$ So we've seen that the average number of heat waves
- $102\ 00:05:21.060 --> 00:05:24.870$ have gone from about two on average per year
- $103\ 00:05:24.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.500$ to about six or more per year.
- $104\ 00:05:28.500 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.540$ And the same kind of pattern with the length
- $105\ 00:05:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.470$ of the heat season.
- $106~00{:}05{:}31.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.960$ We're seeing just a longer duration
- $107\ 00:05:33.960$ --> 00:05:37.620 of when we might have these very extreme heat events.
- $108\ 00:05:37.620 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.940$ And so what we we know is that those patterns are continuing
- $109\ 00{:}05{:}41.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}45.150$ to get to worsen and when we can look at historical data
- $110\ 00:05:45.150 --> 00:05:47.100$ and see the adverse health consequences
- 111 00:05:47.100 --> 00:05:48.868 associated with extreme heat events,
- $112\ 00:05:48.868 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.390$ then we can kind of project into the future
- 113 00:05:51.390 --> 00:05:53.400 about what we might continue to expect
- $114\ 00{:}05{:}53.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}56.823$ as these weather phenomenon patterns continue to change.
- $115\ 00{:}05{:}59.280 {\: \hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}06{:}04.280$ We're really already seeing that the health impacts,
- 116 00:06:04.770 --> 00:06:06.310 particularly mortality,
- $117\ 00{:}06{:}06{:}06{:}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09{.}690$ which has been studied a lot that are associated with these
- 118 00:06:09.690 --> 00:06:11.890 extreme heat events, they've already been,
- 119 00:06:11.890 --> 00:06:13.310 IM impacted by climate change.
- $120\ 00:06:13.310 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.310$ And this is a referenced from the multicountry,
- $121\ 00:06:18.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.220$ multi-city collaborative research network.
- $122\ 00:06:20.220 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.900\ I$ think Dr. Chen is involved in that.

- $123\ 00:06:21.900 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.000$ Some other faculty at Yale.
- 124 00:06:24.000 --> 00:06:25.320 And I just thought this,
- 125~00:06:25.320 --> $00:06:27.330~\mathrm{I}$ don't know if you actually were involved in this paper,
- $126\ 00{:}06{:}27.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30.390$ but this is a really nice paper that came out a year or two
- 127 00:06:30.390 --> 00:06:33.870 ago and again, it's not one of my studies,
- $128\ 00:06:33.870 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.070$ but I like to show this
- $129~00:06:35.070 \dashrightarrow 00:06:37.110$ because I think it was a great paper.
- $130\ 00:06:37.110 --> 00:06:40.380$ One of the first to really show attribution.
- $131\ 00:06:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.380$ So what was shown in this paper is they looked at,
- $132\ 00:06:45.990 \dashrightarrow 00:06:50.150$ I think about the last three decades of changes in
- 133 $00:06:50.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.820$ temperature and kind of disentangled what the temperature
- $134\ 00{:}06{:}53.820 --> 00{:}06{:}57.360$ would've been had we not been undergoing climate change
- $135\ 00:06:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.000$ and what the temperature really was
- $136\ 00:07:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.190$ according to the historical record.
- $137\ 00:07:02.190 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.470$ That's the difference between those red and orange lines,
- $138\ 00:07:04.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.303$ you can see there.
- $139\ 00:07:05.303 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.950$ And then they were able to kind of parse out
- $140\ 00:07:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.020$ how much of the deaths that we see
- $141\ 00{:}07{:}10.020 --> 00{:}07{:}13.470$ during these heat wave events over the last few decades
- $142\ 00:07:13.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.150$ in many countries around the world.
- $143\ 00:07:15.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.390$ You can see the map where data were pulled from,
- $144\ 00:07:18.390 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.620$ how much of those were due to that differential,
- $145\ 00{:}07{:}22.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.350$ to that temperature change that is associated with an

- 146 00:07:26.350 --> 00:07:27.810 anthropogenic climate change.
- 147~00:07:27.810 --> 00:07:32.810 And they showed that there were about 37% of the deaths in
- $148\ 00:07:32.850 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.460$ the warm season were attributable
- 149 00:07:35.460 --> 00:07:37.470 to that anthropogenic climate change.
- $150~00{:}07{:}37.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40.590$ So the point I just wanted to make with this slide
- $151\ 00:07:40.590 --> 00:07:43.080$ is to say that this isn't just a future problem,
- $152\ 00:07:43.080$ --> 00:07:46.890 this is a problem that is impacting us has been for decades,
- $153\ 00{:}07{:}46.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}50.370$ people die every year from extreme heat and this is already
- $154\ 00:07:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.470$ worsened because of climate change.
- $155\ 00:07:52.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.670$ And you know, without kind of mitigation and adaptation,
- $156~00{:}07{:}56.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.803$ we expect that to continue to worsen.
- $157\ 00:08:01.140 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.100$ Okay, so now that everyone may be depressed,
- $158\ 00:08:04.100 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.210$ what can we do about it?
- 159 00:08:06.210 --> 00:08:08.610 So we know that, you know,
- $160\ 00{:}08{:}08.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.850$ as I've shown plenty of other studies have shown heat waves
- 161 00:08:11.850 --> 00:08:12.683 are deadly.
- $162\ 00{:}08{:}12.683 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.650$ But there are some things that we can try to do to mitigate
- $163\ 00:08:16.650 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.420$ those health impacts.
- $164\ 00:08:18.420 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.130$ So there are heat warning systems.
- $165\ 00{:}08{:}20.130$ --> $00{:}08{:}24.100$ Many communities implement these to put into place a wide
- 166 00:08:24.100 --> 00:08:26.100 variety of measures.
- $167\ 00:08:26.100 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.520$ Now the studies that have looked at these in a rigorous way
- 168 00:08:29.520 --> 00:08:33.150 have really shown mixed, mixed results.
- $169\ 00{:}08{:}33.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}36.270$ We don't know really if these are really effective in saving

170 00:08:36.270 --> 00:08:37.980 lives during heat waves.

171 00:08:37.980 --> 00:08:41.190 There's been kind of mixed data in some locations it seems

 $172\ 00:08:41.190 \dashrightarrow 00:08:43.890$ they have been effective and others they haven't been.

 $173\ 00:08:43.890$ --> 00:08:46.860 And there's, there's discussion around this discuss like,

174 00:08:46.860 --> 00:08:48.690 why limelight did that be the case?

 $175\ 00:08:48.690 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.900$ Well one reason is implementation after heat warnings

 $176\ 00:08:51.900 --> 00:08:54.450$ is very different from community to community.

177 00:08:54.450 --> 00:08:55.980 What's actually done?

178 00:08:55.980 --> 00:08:57.294 But another question is,

 $179\ 00:08:57.294 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.720$ is the temperature threshold that triggers that warning,

 $180\ 00:09:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.850$ is it really appropriate to that local community?

 $181\ 00:09:02.850 \dashrightarrow 00:09:05.880$ Sometimes it's based on a regional forecast.

 $182\ 00:09:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.820$ And so in some places people have used the epidemiologic

183 00:09:09.820 --> 00:09:11.340 data from that community.

 $184\ 00{:}09{:}11.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.470$ So particularly in New York City they did this

185 00:09:13.470 --> 00:09:16.290 where they actually changed the threshold

 $186\ 00:09:16.290 \dashrightarrow 00:09:19.800$ to trigger that warning based on epidemiologic study

 $187\ 00:09:19.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.020$ and they showed that that did help

 $188\ 00:09:22.020$ --> 00:09:26.490 to prevent hospitalization after that change was made.

 $189\ 00{:}09{:}26.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.400$ So that's something we can do in terms of public health

 $190\ 00{:}09{:}29.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}33.090$ research where we can try and use local contextual data to

 $191\ 00:09:33.090 \ --> \ 00:09:35.340$ better understand what are appropriate thresholds

 $192\ 00:09:35.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.050$ to trigger warnings.

 $193\ 00:09:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.600$ We can also think about urban form.

 $194\ 00:09:39.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.850$ Where should we implement more green space

 $195\ 00{:}09{:}41.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.250$ or think about reflective groups to may be lessen

 $196\ 00:09:44.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.660$ that urban heat island.

 $197\ 00:09:46.660 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.840$ But the question is where to target those?

 $198\ 00:09{:}48.840 \dashrightarrow 00:09{:}51.420$ You know, there's only a finite amount of money usually.

 $199\ 00{:}09{:}51.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}54.210$ And so we wanna target those in the places that could most

200 00:09:54.210 --> 00:09:56.790 benefit and seek to gain the most

 $201\ 00:09:56.790 --> 00:09:58.770$ health benefit in particular.

 $202\ 00:09:58.770 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.160$ It might be in the parts of the city that are hottest,

 $203\ 00:10:02.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.560$ but that might not be where people are living.

 $204\ 00:10:04.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.200$ So we really need to know where people

 $205\ 00:10:07.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.300$ are impacted the most.

 $206\ 00:10:09.300 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.210$ And the same thing with other types of, you know,

207 00:10:12.210 --> 00:10:13.950 social and financial supports.

208~00:10:13.950 --> 00:10:17.040 We really wanna think about who can benefit most from these

 $209\ 00{:}10{:}17.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20.370$ types of programs and how we can get resources to those

 $210\ 00{:}10{:}20.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22.560$ populations and neighborhoods.

 $211\ 00:10:23.770 --> 00:10:25.940$ So that brings me to some of the work I wanted to talk about

212 00:10:25.940 --> 00:10:27.750 that I've done in New York City.

 $213\ 00:10:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.210$ And this was a study that I did quite a while ago,

- $214\ 00{:}10{:}30.210 \longrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33.720$ but it really has informed a lot of work that I've done
- 215 00:10:33.720 --> 00:10:35.850 since then and continue to do,
- 216 00:10:35.850 --> 00:10:37.860 which I'll talk about a little bit after that.
- 217 00:10:37.860 --> 00:10:40.320 But I wanted to set the stage with the study
- $218\ 00{:}10{:}40.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.163$ and this was a study on heat vulnerability in New York City.
- $219\ 00:10:45.520 --> 00:10:49.860$ So when I started this work, what was noon already
- $220\ 00{:}10{:}49.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}52.620$ I was collaborating with people at Columbia University as
- 221 00:10:52.620 --> 00:10:54.510 well as the New York City Department of Health
- $222\ 00:10:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.950$ and Mental Hygiene.
- $223\ 00{:}10{:}55.950 --> 00{:}10{:}58.980$ And with those collaborators at the New York City Department
- $224\ 00{:}10{:}58.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}02.490$ of Health, they had already really looked at the burden
- 225 00:11:02.490 --> 00:11:05.400 of heat on health in New York City.
- $226\ 00:11:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.840$ And they had found that they really started to see severe
- $227\ 00:11:07.840 --> 00:11:10.849$ health impacts of heat so rises in mortality
- $228\ 00:11:10.849 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.710$ when the heat index,
- $229\ 00{:}11{:}13.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}17.280$ which is a combined temperature and humidity metric,
- 230 00:11:17.280 \rightarrow 00:11:20.520 exceeded 95 degrees Fahrenheit over a couple of days.
- $231\ 00:11:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.640$ So a prolonged period of that high heat index.
- 232 00:11:23.640 --> 00:11:26.520 So they kind of knew where they wanted to start thinking
- $233\ 00:11:26.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.110$ about that was their threshold
- $234\ 00:11:28.110 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.910$ where they're seeing health impacts.
- $235\ 00{:}11{:}30.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}33.750$ But what they didn't know was where specifically within

- $236\ 00{:}11{:}33.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37.080$ New York City, which is very large and diverse city,
- 237 00:11:37.080 --> 00:11:39.090 should they be targeting resources
- $238\ 00:11:39.090 --> 00:11:41.373$ and what populations were most at risk.
- $239\ 00:11:42.720 --> 00:11:45.060$ That was where our collaboration came in
- 240 00:11:45.060 --> 00:11:46.680 and we really wanted to again,
- $241\ 00{:}11{:}46.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49.890$ determine individual and neighborhood characteristics that
- $242\ 00{:}11{:}49.890 --> 00{:}11{:}53.040$ increased the likelihood of dying during a heat wave
- 243 00:11:53.040 --> 00:11:55.560 and then use that epidemiologic data,
- $244\ 00:11:55.560 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.890$ that health informed data to create a heat vulnerability
- 245 00:11:58.890 --> 00:12:00.387 index for New York City.
- $246\ 00{:}12{:}00{.}387 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03.630$ And we felt that we could really make the strongest case for
- 247~00:12:03.630 --> 00:12:06.660 policy makers to use that if we had the health data
- 248 00:12:06.660 --> 00:12:10.023 to back up our recommendations.
- 249 00:12:11.250 --> 00:12:14.670 So we did that with a case only study,
- $250\ 00{:}12{:}14.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}18.600$ a case only design is a kind of a nice efficient way
- $251\ 00{:}12{:}18.600 \longrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21.270$ to look at an effect modifier.
- $252\ 00{:}12{:}21.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25.440$ So if you've already understand the relationship between an
- 253 00:12:25.440 --> 00:12:28.290 exposure and an outcome as I said,
- $254\ 00{:}12{:}28.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31.260$ this relationship between heat and mortality was already
- $255\ 00:12:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.790$ pretty well characterized in this community,
- $256\ 00{:}12{:}35.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38.400$ but you really wanna look at some of those third variables
- $257\ 00:12:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.133$ that might tighten that relationship.
- $258\ 00{:}12{:}42.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}45.210$ You can use a case only design and really look at the

 $259\ 00:12:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.230$ modification piece.

 $260\ 00{:}12{:}47.230 {\: -->\:} 00{:}12{:}52.230$ So we were able to do that with about over 200.000 deaths in

 $261~00{:}12{:}52.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}55.860$ New York City over a period of just over 10 years

 $262\ 00:12:55.860 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.240$ where we looked at all of the deaths

 $263\ 00:12:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.140$ that occurred in the warm season

 $264\ 00:13:00.210 --> 00:13:03.360$ and we tried to understand what factors

 $265\ 00:13:03.360 --> 00:13:04.590$ were increasing vulnerability.

 $266~00{:}13{:}04.590 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}13{:}09.510$ We defined heat waves as according to this definition that

 $267\ 00{:}13{:}09.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11.970$ was already established by the New York City Department

 $268~00{:}13{:}11.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16.320$ of Health times when the heat index heated $95~\mathrm{degrees}$

 $269\ 00:13:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.123$ Fahrenheit for at least two days.

270 00:13:20.310 --> 00:13:21.360 And then we tried to think about,

 $271\ 00:13:21.360 --> 00:13:23.810$ okay, how do we define vulnerability?

 $272\ 00:13:23.810 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.690$ We like to think about three components of vulnerability,

273 00:13:27.690 --> 00:13:29.523 what's increasing exposure?

 $274\ 00{:}13{:}30.720 --> 00{:}13{:}34.260$ This might be something in the neighborhood that maybe makes

 $275\ 00:13:34.260 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.640$ the heat greater in one part of the city versus another.

276 00:13:38.640 --> 00:13:40.030 What might make a person more sensitive,

 $277\ 00{:}13{:}40.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}43.650$ maybe their their age or medications that they're on

 $278\ 00{:}13{:}43.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}46.890$ and what could give a person adaptive capacity

 $279\ 00:13:46.890 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.840$ so that they could withstand the heat.

 $280\ 00{:}13{:}48.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51.786$ And that gets into things like maybe financial resources

 $281\ 00:13:51.786 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.820$ or other things.

 $282\ 00{:}13{:}53.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56.910$ The problem is of course we didn't have all the ideal data

 $283\ 00:13:56.910 --> 00:13:59.710$ that we wish we would in any study.

 $284\ 00:13:59.710 --> 00:14:02.190$ So we were working with death certificates.

285 00:14:02.190 --> 00:14:04.050 So we would have to really,

 $286\ 00{:}14{:}04.050 --> 00{:}14{:}06.120$ we were just constrained by what we could understand

 $287\ 00:14:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.370$ from the death certificate data.

 $288\ 00{:}14{:}08.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.260$ So the things we were able to look at are individual factors

289 00:14:11.260 --> 00:14:13.620 that I've listed here that are readily available

290 00:14:13.620 --> 00:14:14.870 on the death certificate.

291 00:14:16.260 --> 00:14:18.430 Then what was also available on the death certificate was

 $292\ 00:14:18.430 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.300$ the person's census tract of residence.

 $293\ 00:14:21.300 --> 00:14:24.090$ And we could match that with other geospatial data sets to

294 00:14:24.090 --> 00:14:25.230 look at, you know,

 $295\ 00:14:25.230$ --> 00:14:28.830 how much green space surrounds the person's...

296 00:14:28.830 --> 00:14:30.030 Is in the person's neighborhood,

 $297~00{:}14{:}30.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33.150$ where in which they live using some satellite data

 $298~00{:}14{:}33.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36.240$ to understand how temperature varied across the city

 $299\ 00:14:36.240 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.880$ and some other census data characteristics.

 $300\ 00:14:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.810$ So we looked at all of these factors

 $301~00{:}14{:}42.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47.220$ and we found several factors came up as statistically

 $302\ 00:14:47.220 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.810$ significant modifiers.

 $303~00{:}14{:}48.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53.760$ And so where we found that non-Hispanic black New Yorkers

 $304\ 00{:}14{:}53.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}57.120$ were much more likely to die during heatwave days

- $305\ 00:14:57.120 --> 00:14:59.270$ versus non heatwave days
- $306\ 00:14:59.270 --> 00:15:01.920$ in that same warm period than any other race
- $307\ 00:15:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.510$ or ethnic group.
- $308\ 00:15:03.510$ --> 00:15:06.420 We also found that people were more likely to die at home
- $309\ 00:15:06.420 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.523$ than in hospitals or institutions.
- $310\ 00:15:08.523 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.500$ This could potentially be a marker of social isolation,
- $311\ 00{:}15{:}13.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17.220$ although we weren't able to specifically measure that.
- $312\ 00:15:17.220$ --> 00:15:22.220 And then we found that people that died during heat wave
- $313\ 00:15:22.260 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.380$ days versus non heatwave days over this warm period,
- $314\ 00:15:25.380 --> 00:15:29.070$ relatively more of them looped in neighborhoods
- 315 00:15:29.070 --> 00:15:31.200 that were receiving more public assistance,
- $316~00{:}15{:}31.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34.890$ general marker of poverty and in parts of the city
- 317 00:15:34.890 --> 00:15:37.290 that had less vegetation and consequently,
- $318\ 00:15:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.690$ higher surface temperatures.
- $319\ 00{:}15{:}40.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}44.450$ So we were able to look at all of those factors that were,
- $320\ 00{:}15{:}44.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48.030$ again from the epidemiologic analysis came out as
- 321 00:15:48.030 --> 00:15:52.470 significant modifiers and characterized their distribution
- $322\ 00:15:52.470 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.303$ across the city.
- $323\ 00{:}15{:}53.303 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}55.920$ We looked at every census tract and the prevalence of these
- $324\ 00{:}15{:}55{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}00.400$ factors and we created Z-score to combine those factors
- $325\ 00:16:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.703$ into an index.
- $326\ 00:16:03.900 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.730$ And then we mapped the index.

- $327\ 00:16:05.730 --> 00:16:10.140$ And so you can see on this map the red portions
- $328\ 00:16:10.140 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.290$ indicate a higher index score.
- $329\ 00{:}16{:}12.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}15.690$ Those are the areas that we found were the most heat
- $330\ 00{:}16{:}15.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19.380$ vulnerable where a lot of these factors tend to cluster.
- 331 00:16:19.380 --> 00:16:22.260 And if you're familiar or not familiar
- 332 00:16:22.260 --> 00:16:23.093 with the New York City,
- $333\ 00:16:23.093 --> 00:16:25.350$ those were in areas of upper Manhattan,
- $334\ 00:16:25.350 --> 00:16:27.963$ the Bronx and central Brooklyn.
- $335\ 00:16:28.830 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.300$ And then of course,
- $336\ 00:16:30.300 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.690$ it's not to say that deaths during heat waves are not
- $337\ 00:16:33.690 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.950$ occurring in other areas,
- $338\ 00:16:34.950 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.100$ but relatively there are less in other areas.
- $339\ 00:16:38.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.627$ So we had that combined next just to see,
- $340\ 00:16:41.627 --> 00:16:43.920$ 'cause we did a very simple,
- 341 00:16:43.920 --> 00:16:46.230 we didn't really do a complicated weighting scheme.
- $342\ 00{:}16{:}46.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49.020$ We actually wanted purposely to keep it simple so that the
- $343\ 00{:}16{:}49.020 --> 00{:}16{:}52.500$ Department of Health could continue to update this index
- $344\ 00:16:52.500 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.620$ and, and you know, make it sort of an evergreen tool.
- $345\ 00:16:56.620 --> 00:17:01.560$ So we just summed those factors to create the index
- $346\ 00{:}17{:}01.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}04.710$ but we found that this is the relative odds.
- $347\ 00{:}17{:}04.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07.890$ This graph on the left is the relative odds of dying during
- $348\ 00:17:07.890 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.140$ heat wave by quintile of the index.
- $349\ 00:17:10.140 --> 00:17:13.680$ And we do see that it actually predicts pretty well with

- $350\ 00:17:13.680 --> 00:17:16.380$ each increasing quintile leading to an increase odds
- 351 00:17:16.380 --> 00:17:17.780 of dying during a heat wave.
- $352\ 00:17:19.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.140$ So this was a very useful tool
- $353\ 00:17:22.140 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.430$ for the city health department
- $354\ 00:17:23.430 --> 00:17:25.590$ and I'll talk a little bit
- $355\ 00:17:25.590 \dashrightarrow 00:17:29.220$ about how this index was eventually used by the city,
- $356\ 00{:}17{:}29.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31.650$ but of course I do wanna mention some limitations
- $357\ 00:17:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.440$ from this work and how it kinda got us thinking
- $358\ 00:17:34.440 --> 00:17:38.127$ about other aspects of things to look into.
- $359\ 00:17:38.127 --> 00:17:42.360$ The case only study is limited in that
- 360 00:17:42.360 --> 00:17:45.090 you're really looking at one modifier at a time
- $361\ 00:17:45.090 --> 00:17:48.540$ and so many of these things are highly correlated.
- $362\ 00{:}17{:}48.540$ --> $00{:}17{:}51.540$ So we really couldn't tease out what is the most important
- $363~00{:}17{:}51.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55.020$ risk factor, but that that wasn't really our goal
- $364\ 00:17:55.020 --> 00:17:58.050$ in this work we really wanted to identify areas
- 365~00:17:58.050 --> 00:17:59.400 of most vulnerability.
- 366 00:17:59.400 --> 00:18:00.925 But if you are interested in that,
- $367\ 00:18:00.925 --> 00:18:04.323$ this study design is limited in that way.
- 368~00:18:05.220-->00:18:08.880 We didn't have in information on individual socioeconomic
- $369\ 00{:}18{:}08.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}12.870$ position or measures. We used the a neighborhood measure,
- $370\ 00:18:12.870 --> 00:18:15.960$ but of course both of those really play an important role
- $371\ 00:18:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.207$ in the ability to adapt to heat.
- $372\ 00:18:18.207 --> 00:18:19.623$ And we did not have that.

 $373\ 00:18:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.670$ And of course like most big epidemiologic studies,

 $374\ 00{:}18{:}23.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27.870$ we used outdoor temperatures of proxy for personal exposure.

375 00:18:27.870 --> 00:18:30.870 This can be a poor proxy in a lot of cases,

 $376~00{:}18{:}30.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}34.020$ particularly when you're talking about having air

377 00:18:34.020 --> 00:18:36.510 conditioning or not having air conditioning.

378 00:18:36.510 --> 00:18:38.580 And so, you know,

 $379\ 00{:}18{:}38.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}41.910$ when we think about the sort of relative different changes

 $380\ 00:18:41.910 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.080$ day to day,

 $381~00{:}18{:}43.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}46.380$ we still think it's useful but it's worth mentioning that

 $382\ 00:18:46.380 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.880$ that is a limitation of this study.

383 00:18:47.880 --> 00:18:50.250 And you know, correspondingly,

 $384\ 00:18:50.250 --> 00:18:52.830$ no information on the indoor residential environment.

385 00:18:52.830 --> 00:18:54.510 So no information on air conditioning,

 $386\ 00:18:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.550$ no other information on the home.

387 00:18:56.550 --> 00:18:58.620 Again, pretty part for the course

 $388\ 00:18:58.620 --> 00:19:00.750$ for a large epidemiologic study,

 $389\ 00:19:00.750 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.453$ but left a lot of open questions for us.

 $390\ 00{:}19{:}05{.}910$ --> $00{:}19{:}09{.}510$ The one thing we decided to do after that work was complete

391 00:19:09.510 --> 00:19:13.200 was to try to understand some of those open questions

 $392~00{:}19{:}13.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}14.460$ a little bit more.

393 00:19:14.460 --> 00:19:16.020 And what we did is we conducted

 $394\ 00:19:16.020 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.270$ a follow-up telephone survey.

 $395\ 00:19:18.270 \dashrightarrow 00:19:21.300$ So I'm not gonna get into all the details of this study,

396 00:19:21.300 --> 00:19:23.970 but I'll just say that we ended up

 $397\ 00:19:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.350$ doing telephone interviews.

- $398\ 00:19:25.350 --> 00:19:29.310$ It was a landline plus cell phones sample
- $399\ 00:19:29.310 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.710$ and we did about over 700 interviews
- $400\ 00:19:31.710$ --> 00:19:34.173 conducted in English and Spanish of New Yorkers.
- $401~00{:}19{:}35.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}38.980$ I think there were about 15 questions kind of ranging from
- $402\ 00:19:38.980 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.390$ some information about characteristics of people's homes,
- 403 00:19:41.390 --> 00:19:43.110 whether or not they had air conditioning,
- $404~00{:}19{:}43.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47.310$ whether they used it from some demographic information
- $405\ 00:19:47.310$ --> 00:19:51.060 and also some questions on what they did during heat waves.
- $406\ 00:19:51.060 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.650$ If they hand staple at home,
- $407\ 00:19:52.650 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.220$ what were their options, how did they protect themselves?
- 408 00:19:56.220 --> 00:20:00.120 So you can always pull up the study
- $409\ 00:20:00.120 --> 00:20:02.730$ if you really wanna know a lot more about it.
- $410\ 00{:}20{:}02.730 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05.160$ But I'll just highlight a couple of the key findings
- $411\ 00{:}20{:}05.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}09.900$ which were that we found that over a quarter of New Yorkers
- $412\ 00{:}20{:}09.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}12.630$ did not have access to functioning air conditioning
- $413\ 00:20:12.630 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.570$ or used it less than half the time
- 414 00:20:15.570 --> 00:20:18.240 when they noted that it was very,
- $415\ 00:20:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.400$ very hot outside.
- 416 00:20:20.400 --> 00:20:23.400 So that's really telling us that, you know,
- $417\ 00{:}20{:}23.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27.270$ in general we think kinda air conditioning coverage
- 418 00:20:27.270 --> 00:20:29.460 or penetrance is pretty high,
- 419 00:20:29.460 --> 00:20:34.290 but there are a lot of people in vulnerable communities
- $420\ 00{:}20{:}34.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36.630$ who do either don't have access or are not running it.

- 421 00:20:36.630 --> 00:20:38.340 And a lot of times, you know,
- $422\ 00:20:38.340 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.960$ that may be due to financial constraints
- $423\ 00:20:39.960 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.433$ for electricity bills.
- $424\ 00:20:43.170 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.830$ We also found that non-Hispanic black respondents,
- 425 00:20:46.830 --> 00:20:47.663 you know,
- 426 00:20:47.663 --> 00:20:49.290 which was again a priority population
- $427\ 00{:}20{:}49.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51.990$ since we found they were dying much more during heat waves
- 428 00:20:51.990 --> 00:20:54.270 than other groups in New York
- 429 00:20:54.270 --> 00:20:56.430 were less likely to own air conditioning
- $430\ 00:20:56.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.623$ even when adjusting for household income.
- $431\ 00:20:59.490 --> 00:21:02.915$ Now our household income measures are still somewhat prude,
- $432\ 00:21:02.915 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.950$ but I think what this points to is that
- $433\ 00:21:04.950 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.056$ there are other potentially systemic factors
- $434\ 00:21:08.056 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.443$ that really need to be accounted for.
- $435\ 00{:}21{:}11.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}14.970$ When we sort of do these epidemiologist studies,
- $436\ 00:21:14.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.130$ a lot of the things we're measuring there are things that go
- $437\ 00:21:17.130 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.970$ way beyond that.
- $438\ 00{:}21{:}17.970 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}21{:}20.650$ So this may be related to certain types of housing
- $439\ 00:21:20.650 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.380$ conditions that can't support air conditioning use
- 440 00:21:25.380 --> 00:21:27.750 or other things that might just not,
- $441\ 00{:}21{:}27.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30.960$ not be solely due to the current household's income.
- 442 00:21:30.960 --> 00:21:32.940 And we need to think about those, you know,
- $443\ 00{:}21{:}32.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36.960$ potentially systemic and structural factors that have played
- $444\ 00{:}21{:}36.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}40.590$ a role in putting populations at a higher increased risk

- $445\ 00:21:40.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.633$ for all kinds of climate events.
- 446 00:21:43.860 --> 00:21:44.700 And then lastly,
- 447 00:21:44.700 --> 00:21:47.160 we found that participants stay at home
- $448\ 00:21:47.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.170$ even when they can't keep cool.
- $449\ 00{:}21{:}49.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51.660$ So when we asked the question about what do you do
- $450\ 00:21:51.660 --> 00:21:54.930$ when you can't keep cool at home during very hot weather,
- $451\ 00:21:54.930 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.180$ I think it was either the top response
- $452\ 00{:}21{:}57.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}00.210$ or maybe it was the second response was just stay home.
- 453 00:22:00.210 --> 00:22:03.900 And this is, I don't know,
- 454 00:22:03.900 --> 00:22:06.120 is it intuitive or counterintuitive?
- 455 00:22:06.120 --> 00:22:07.313 What do people think?
- $456\ 00:22:11.149 --> 00:22:12.438 < v -> I think it's counterintuitive < / v >$
- 457 00:22:12.438 --> 00:22:14.790 'cause we would think people it's too hot
- 458 00:22:14.790 --> 00:22:19.790 and go out to public library or (indistinct).
- 459 00:22:20.790 --> 00:22:24.060 < v ->Yeah, I mean I think that's what a lot of us think </v>
- $460\ 00{:}22{:}24.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27.990$ when we're thinking about potential solutions, right?
- $461~00:22:27.990 \rightarrow 00:22:30.390$ We suggest operating cooling centers
- 462 00:22:30.390 --> 00:22:31.980 and you know,
- 463 00:22:31.980 --> 00:22:33.060 having people come to them.
- 464 00:22:33.060 --> 00:22:35.760 But I think if you also think about it,
- $465\ 00:22:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.530$ you can also see the perspective
- $466\ 00:22:37.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.510$ that it could be intuitive as well
- $467\ 00:22:39.510 --> 00:22:44.280$ because do you really wanna leave your home
- $468\ 00:22:44.280 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.550$ where you tend to be comfortable and just go
- $469\ 00:22:47.550 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.560$ sit somewhere with strangers?
- 470 00:22:49.560 --> 00:22:50.580 So it's, you know,
- $471\ 00{:}22{:}50.580 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}22{:}55.341 {\:\hbox{I}}$ think it's something to keep in mind in terms of

- $472\ 00:22:55.341 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.020$ the solutions that we're implementing
- $473\ 00:22:58.020 --> 00:23:01.530$ because we need to think about how can we...
- $474\ 00{:}23{:}01.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}04.350$ I think cooling centers are an important measure,
- $475\ 00:23:04.350 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.890$ but also how can we keep people cool at home?
- $476\ 00{:}23{:}07.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}11.580$ And in particular, I think we thought a lot about this
- 477 00:23:11.580 --> 00:23:13.170 during the Coronavirus pandemic
- 478 00:23:13.170 --> 00:23:15.390 and New York City was one of the places
- $479\ 00{:}23{:}15.390 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}23{:}18.990$ that actually was able to get enough resources together
- 480 00:23:18.990 --> 00:23:21.870 to provide air conditioning at home
- $481\ 00:23:21.870 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.850$ and subsidize that for individuals
- $482\ 00:23:23.850 --> 00:23:26.243$ because it was dangerous to go home and...
- 483 00:23:27.547 --> 00:23:28.384 Yeah?
- 484 00:23:28.384 --> 00:23:33.384 <v Student>Is there any laws that (indistinct)</v>
- 485 00:23:37.660 --> 00:23:39.660 That's actually, that's a good question.
- 486 00:23:39.660 --> 00:23:43.800 So I don't, I mean I'm sure there are,
- 487 00:23:43.800 --> 00:23:46.680 I mean maybe not in like public libraries,
- 488 00:23:46.680 --> 00:23:49.860 which are often used, but I think,
- $489\ 00{:}23{:}49.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54.760$ I've heard sort of an ecdotally that there are also concerns
- $490\ 00{:}23{:}54.760 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}23{:}59.060$ in some communities about sort of going to government
- $491\ 00{:}23{:}59.060 {\:\raisebox{---}{\text{---}}}> 00{:}24{:}03.900$ sponsored places because of fears around other things,
- $492\ 00:24:03.900 --> 00:24:06.210$ immigration status or other other things.
- 493 00:24:06.210 --> 00:24:08.880 So yeah, I think there's kind of like
- $494~00{:}24{:}08.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}12.600$ a lot of broad implications that need to be kept in mind
- $495\ 00:24:12.600 -> 00:24:16.260$ in terms of putting this protective measure
- 496 00:24:16.260 --> 00:24:17.343 in a public space.

- $497\ 00:24:18.330 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.410$ Good question.
- 498 00:24:19.410 --> 00:24:20.243 Yeah?
- 499 00:24:21.214 --> 00:24:23.631 (indistinct)
- $500\ 00:24:28.500 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.730$ No it wasn't.
- $501~00{:}24{:}29.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}33.810$ So it was just a representative telephone survey
- 502 00:24:33.810 --> 00:24:36.330 of New York City, so.
- 503 00:24:36.330 --> 00:24:38.400 Oh, sorry, yeah,
- 504 00:24:38.400 --> 00:24:40.950 yeah it was a random digit telephone survey.
- $505~00{:}24{:}40.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}43.050$ So yeah, so I don't know if everyone heard that,
- $506\ 00:24:43.050 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.677$ but the question was,
- $507~00{:}24{:}44.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}49.023$ "Did this telephone survey sort of target a group residents
- $508~00{:}24{:}50.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54.000$ living in an HVI area and that wasn't something
- $509\ 00:24:54.000 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.930$ we were able to do,
- $510~00{:}24{:}54.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}58.023$ it was a random digit dial of all New Yorkers.
- 511 00:25:01.290 --> 00:25:04.470 So, you know, so we potentially answered
- 512 00:25:04.470 --> 00:25:06.180 some of our questions but probably
- 513 00:25:06.180 --> 00:25:08.220 just ended up having more questions
- $514~00{:}25{:}08.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11.370$ and I guess may be that's good for us as researchers
- 515 00:25:11.370 --> 00:25:12.780 'cause we have more things to look at.
- 516 00:25:12.780 --> 00:25:14.130 But, you know,
- $517~00{:}25{:}14.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18.330$ I think it's helpful to sometimes think about different ways
- $518\ 00{:}25{:}18.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}22.170$ of study quantitative methods, survey methods,
- 519 00:25:22.170 --> 00:25:24.600 qualitative methods to tackle different,
- 520 00:25:24.600 --> 00:25:27.243 different pieces of this complex problem.
- $521~00{:}25{:}28.560 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}25{:}30.930$ So I wanna talk a little bit about some of the impact that

- $522~00{:}25{:}30.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.930$ this work had before I move on in the discussion.
- $523\ 00:25:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.550$ So, you know, we were able...
- $524~00{:}25{:}41.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}45.840$ I think the findings from the epidemiologic study
- $525\ 00:25:45.840 --> 00:25:47.680$ supported by this telephone survey
- 526 00:25:48.810 --> 00:25:50.370 and because we're working very
- $527\ 00:25:50.370$ --> 00:25:52.530 collaboratively with the New York City Department of Health,
- 528 00:25:52.530 --> 00:25:54.780 were able to be used right away,
- 529 00:25:54.780 --> 00:25:59.280 which was a really nice, and this is...
- $530~00{:}25{:}59.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}01.650$ So a couple of years after the study was published,
- 531 00:26:01.650 --> 00:26:03.810 the New York City mayor implemented
- 532 00:26:03.810 --> 00:26:06.093 a cool neighborhoods NYC program.
- 533~00:26:06.093 --> 00:26:10.320 And this is, you know, what Kai was mentioning in the intro,
- $534\ 00:26:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.940$ this was a very,
- $535\ 00:26:11.940 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.140$ a lot of resources devoted to thinking about curbing the
- 536 00:26:16.140 --> 00:26:18.840 effects of extreme heat in New York City.
- $537~00{:}26{:}18.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22.500$ And throughout the plan and through, you know,
- 538 00:26:22.500 --> 00:26:24.810 this is some language from the press release,
- $539\ 00:26:24.810 --> 00:26:27.870$ you can see that the heat vulnerability index has mentioned
- $540\ 00:26:27.870 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.400$ quite a lot.
- 541 00:26:29.400 --> 00:26:31.430 And so I think, you know,
- $542~00{:}26{:}31.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}35.340$ what was really nice is this study had a very like,
- $543\ 00:26:35.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.100$ practical implication is that policymakers
- $544\ 00:26:38.100 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.150$ could take the results,
- 545 00:26:39.150 --> 00:26:41.174 look at a map pretty easily,
- $546\ 00:26:41.174 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.210$ and use this to target resources in this plan.

- 547~00:26:45.210 --> 00:26:48.870 So this part of the press release is talking about where
- 548 00:26:48.870 --> 00:26:51.000 they were doing some street tree plantings
- 549 00:26:51.000 --> 00:26:53.640 and cool roofs implementation,
- $550\ 00:26:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.780$ and you can see pretty clearly
- 551 00:26:54.780 --> 00:26:56.850 that they talk about the South Bronx,
- $552~00:26:56.850 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.156$ Northern Manhattan and central Brooklyn.
- $553~00:26:59.156 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.410$ Those were those red areas on the map
- 554 00:27:01.410 --> 00:27:03.180 and they specifically mentioned
- 555 00:27:03.180 --> 00:27:05.280 how the heat vulnerability index,
- 556~00:27:05.280 --> 00:27:06.907 those areas are ranked high according to the city's
- 557 00:27:06.907 --> 00:27:08.880 heat vulnerability index
- $558\ 00:27:08.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.710$ and that's why the resources
- $559\ 00:27:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.483$ are being targeted to those areas.
- $560\ 00:27:14.010$ --> 00:27:17.347 The city also implemented a pilot program called,
- 561 00:27:17.347 --> 00:27:18.917 "Be a Buddy".
- 562 00:27:18.917 --> 00:27:21.420 And this program was also piloted
- 563 00:27:21.420 --> 00:27:24.360 in some of those high HVI neighborhoods.
- 564 00:27:24.360 --> 00:27:25.350 And in this program,
- $565\ 00:27:25.350 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.990$ this is specifically trying to get at those people
- 566 00:27:27.990 --> 00:27:29.220 who won't leave their home.
- 567 00:27:29.220 --> 00:27:31.350 This was a program to encourage neighbors
- $568\ 00{:}27{:}31.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}34.473$ to check in on others during extreme heat events.
- 569~00:27:36.180 --> 00:27:39.480 The city chooses to use the heat vulnerability index.
- 570 00:27:39.480 --> 00:27:42.660 So in 2020 during the Coronavirus pandemic,
- $571\ 00{:}27{:}42.660 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}27{:}45.840$ when again, we couldn't bring people to public spaces,
- $572\ 00:27:45.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.070$ there were some other initiatives,

 $573\ 00:27:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.723$ the cool streets initiative, and this was, you know,

 $574\ 00:27:49.723 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.940$ where they were opening fire hydrants and spray caps

 $575\ 00{:}27{:}52.940$ --> $00{:}27{:}57.180$ trying to create some mitigating effects of extreme heat in

576 00:27:57.180 --> 00:27:59.130 the outdoor environment,.

 $577\ 00:27:59.130 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.220$ And again, the city used the HVI

 $578\ 00:28:02.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.720$ to kind of target those where those measures

 $579\ 00:28:06.720 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.720$ should be collected.

 $580~00:28:09.960 \dashrightarrow 00:28:13.810$ So a couple of takeaways from this collective work

581 00:28:15.180 --> 00:28:16.710 in terms of the findings, again,

582 00:28:16.710 --> 00:28:21.710 I wanna highlight that our study,

583 00:28:22.740 --> 00:28:25.020 like many, many other studies in the US

 $584\ 00:28:25.020$ --> 00:28:28.770 has showed that black New Yorkers were much more at risk

585~00:28:28.770 --> 00:28:32.505 during heat wave events than other race ethnic groups.

 $586\ 00{:}28{:}32.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35.877$ We see that pretty consistently in studies of heat

 $587\ 00{:}28{:}35.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}38.812$ and in studies of a lot of environmental stressors.

58800:28:38.812 --> 00:28:43.350 But, you know, our research team tried

589 00:28:43.350 --> 00:28:44.700 to delve into that a little bit,

590~00:28:44.700 --> 00:28:47.370 but we really felt like there were a lot of unmeasured

 $591~00{:}28{:}47.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51.630$ factors and this really points to kind of the potential for

592~00:28:51.630 --> 00:28:54.270 systemic discrimination that has been, you know.

593 00:28:54.270 --> 00:28:56.880 happening for years that may be at play here

 $594\ 00:28:56.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.860$ and in a lot of this work.

595 00:28:58.860 --> 00:29:00.863 And I'm gonna talk about some continuation

- $596\ 00:29:00.863 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.610$ of this work next.
- $597~00:29:03.470 \dashrightarrow 00:29:06.710$ And I think that's an important thing to highlight.
- $598~00{:}29{:}06.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}11.430$ We also really feel that there's a lot more to learn about
- $599\ 00:29:11.430 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.530$ housing in the indoor environment.
- 600~00:29:12.530 --> $00:29:17.370~\mathrm{And}$ I think this is probably one of the major areas
- $601\ 00:29:17.370 --> 00:29:19.890$ of potential future research for people
- $602\ 00:29:19.890 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.210$ that are interested in heat,
- 603 00:29:21.210 --> 00:29:23.520 is really getting a better understanding
- $604\ 00{:}29{:}23.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26.280$ and characterization of where people spend the majority
- 605 00:29:26.280 --> 00:29:28.260 of their time and the indoor environment
- $606\ 00:29:28.260 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.450$ and the kind of the range of health impacts
- $607\ 00:29:30.450 --> 00:29:34.893$ that might be mitigated within that indoor environment.
- 608 00:29:36.330 --> 00:29:39.015 And in terms of process,
- $609\ 00:29:39.015 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.480$ we're really critical to work with stakeholders
- 610 00:29:42.480 --> 00:29:44.820 from the beginning so that this research
- 611 00:29:44.820 --> 00:29:45.750 could inform policy.
- $612~00{:}29{:}45.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}48.930$ And that was really one of the things I was very fortunate
- $613\ 00{:}29{:}48.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}51.390$ to work directly with the New York City Department of Health
- $614\ 00{:}29{:}51.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}54.880$ because they were able to help define the question and help
- $615~00{:}29{:}54.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58.800$ and that made research able to be used.
- $616~00{:}29{:}58.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}03.420$ And so in future work I continue or current in future work,
- 617 00:30:03.420 --> 00:30:06.660 I continue to try to work with policymakers,
- $618\ 00{:}30{:}06.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}09.390$ with grassroots organizers to try to incorporate
- $619\ 00:30:09.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.650$ their ideas early on.

- 620 00:30:10.650 --> 00:30:13.230 And I think that's something that's always useful
- $621\ 00:30:13.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.275$ if you can find those connections
- $622\ 00:30:15.275 --> 00:30:17.943$ to make your research relevant.
- $623\ 00:30:19.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.500$ And then also just the utility of maps.
- $624~00{:}30{:}22.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}26.400$ It seems very simple, but I think the fact that we had
- $625\ 00:30:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.000$ a nice easy to understand map really helped
- $626\ 00:30:30.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.310$ our vulnerability index again, to be used.
- 627 00:30:33.310 --> 00:30:36.990 It could tell a story right away to, you know,
- $628\ 00:30:36.990 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.350$ somebody that doesn't know a thing about epidemiology,
- $629\ 00:30:40.350 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.580$ but if it's very easy to it to look at a pretty map
- $630\ 00:30:44.580 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.410$ and kind of understand it.
- 631 00:30:46.410 --> 00:30:47.550 So I think, you know,
- $632\ 00:30:47.550 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.400$ that again is kind of continue on
- $633\ 00:30:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.800$ in that thread a little bit.
- 634 00:30:53.310 --> 00:30:57.173 So I wanna talk a little bit about some work I've been doing
- $635\ 00:30:57.173 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.673$ a little last couple of years,
- $636~00{:}30{:}59.673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}03.510$ That flowed out ta that New York City work
- $637~00{:}31{:}03.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07.020$ to some extent and also just reflected a lot of other
- $638\ 00:31:07.020 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.090$ current thinking at the time.
- $639~00{:}31{:}09.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}12.900$ And this is some work that I was doing before I moved over
- 640 00:31:12.900 --> 00:31:13.733 to Johns Hopkins.
- 641 00:31:13.733 --> 00:31:16.680 About a year ago I was at a public policy research institute
- $642\ 00{:}31{:}16.680 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{--}}}\ 00{:}31{:}19.023$ called RAND. And so this is where I started there
- $643\ 00:31:19.023 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.420$ with some collaborators,

- $644\ 00{:}31{:}21.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}25.140$ myself and the other lead investigator Ben Preston.
- $645~00{:}31{:}25.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}28.924$ And I'm continuing to work with my RAND collaborators
- $646\ 00:31:28.924 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.080$ on some of this stuff.
- $647\ 00:31:31.080 --> 00:31:34.050$ And so this was kind of thinking about, you know,
- $648\ 00{:}31{:}34.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38.190$ we see environmental health studies of environmental health
- $649\ 00:31:38.190 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.260$ and racial disparities over and over
- $650\ 00:31:40.260 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.780$ kinda pointing to the same factors.
- 651 00:31:42.780 --> 00:31:45.930 And in some ways it's very unsatisfying
- $652\ 00{:}31{:}45.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}50.670$ to talk about things like certain race or ethnic groups
- $653\ 00:31:50.670 --> 00:31:54.180$ as being more vulnerable because this isn't an inherent,
- 654 00:31:54.180 --> 00:31:55.320 this is a construct, right?
- $655\ 00:31:55.320 --> 00:31:57.780$ This isn't an inherent characteristic
- $656\ 00:31:57.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.070$ that makes someone more wulnerable.
- $657\ 00:32:02.070$ --> 00:32:05.250 There's a lot of systemic factors that have gone into this.
- $658\ 00{:}32{:}05.250$ --> $00{:}32{:}08.910$ So what we wanted to do was kind of delve into a little bit
- $659\ 00:32:08.910$ --> 00:32:13.910 more of that systemic and structural factors that have led
- $660\ 00:32:14.220 --> 00:32:17.913$ to the environmental health disparities that we see today.
- 661 00:32:18.900 --> 00:32:22.200 And so I will just briefly,
- 662 00:32:22.200 --> 00:32:23.200 let's see, should I,
- 663 00:32:29.650 --> 00:32:33.630 so I don't, so just for some,
- 664 00:32:33.630 --> 00:32:36.210 some added context and background,
- 665 00:32:36.210 --> 00:32:37.680 and I think, you know,
- $666\ 00:32:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.300$ in the last few years the literature's
- $667\ 00:32:39.300 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.610$ kinda exploded in this area.

- $668\ 00:32:40.610 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.810$ So I probably don't need to give this background,
- $669\ 00:32:42.810 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.837$ but I'll briefly say that one of the measures
- $670\ 00{:}32{:}45.837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}49.110$ that we started looking at as well as many other researchers
- $671\ 00:32:49.110 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.855$ have been looking at is these historical maps
- $672\ 00{:}32{:}52.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}56.790$ that have characterized a discriminatory practice
- 673 00:32:56.790 --> 00:32:57.990 called redlining.
- $674~00{:}32{:}57.990$ --> $00{:}33{:}02.990$ And this was a practice that happened in the US in the $1930\mathrm{s}$
- $675\ 00:33:04.170 --> 00:33:07.590$ that kind of basically codified discriminatory lending.
- $676\ 00:33:07.590$ --> 00:33:10.790 And this is a map of Baltimore where you could see that
- $677\ 00:33:10.790 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.360$ areas in the center of the city were what we call redlined.
- $678\ 00{:}33{:}14.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}17.560$ And those were areas that were marked as being pretty risky
- $679\ 00:33:17.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.710$ for mortgage investment,
- $680\ 00:33:19.710 --> 00:33:21.990$ whereas other of the surrounding areas,
- $681\ 00{:}33{:}21.990 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}33{:}24.930$ the kinda green and blue areas were areas that were deemed
- $682\ 00:33:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.770$ not as risky.
- 683 00:33:25.770 --> 00:33:27.385 So mortgage lending could, you know,
- $684\ 00:33:27.385 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.100$ more freely happened now.
- 685 00:33:29.100 --> 00:33:33.630 And the thought is that, you know,
- $686\ 00:33:33.630 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.640$ where this occurred,
- $687\ 00{:}33{:}35.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}40.640$ this sort of created both segregation and economic inequity
- $688\ 00:33:41.010 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.800$ that has lasted for generations.
- 689 00:33:43.800 --> 00:33:45.930 You know, creating real,
- $690\ 00{:}33{:}45.930 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}33{:}49.740$ real wealth gaps in communities because of these

- $691\ 00:33:49.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.470$ discriminatory practices that happened
- 692 00:33:52.470 --> 00:33:54.306 about a hundred years ago, right?
- 693 00:33:54.306 --> 00:33:57.390 Because people could not get mortgages,
- 694 00:33:57.390 --> 00:33:59.130 they couldn't accumulate wealth over time,
- $695\ 00:33:59.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.365$ they couldn't pass it on intergenerationally.
- 696 00:34:01.365 --> 00:34:03.540 And I think the key thing
- $697\ 00:34:03.540$ --> 00:34:07.440 to think about there is some historical archives.
- $698\ 00:34:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.629$ We can see the language that was used
- $699\ 00:34:09.629 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.060$ to make some of these determinations.
- 700~00:34:12.060 --> 00:34:15.990 Now it wasn't a hundred percent always based on race
- $701\ 00:34:15.990 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.110$ or whether the residents were foreign born or not,
- 702 00:34:19.110 --> 00:34:23.610 but in many, many cases it was very explicit
- $703\ 00{:}34{:}23.610 {\: -->\:} 00{:}34{:}26.310$ in terms of how they made these characterizations.
- 704 00:34:26.310 --> 00:34:27.150 So I think, you know,
- $705\ 00{:}34{:}27.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}32.150$ it's a pretty clear example of a discriminatory practice
- $706\ 00:34:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.760$ that was pretty embedded throughout the US.
- 707 00:34:35.760 --> 00:34:37.770 Now there's been also,
- $708\ 00:34:37.770 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.130\ I$ do wanna mention discussion in the literature
- $709\ 00:34:40.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.000$ as sort of whether this is,
- 710 00:34:42.000 --> 00:34:44.520 you know, was it this redlining practice
- $711\ 00{:}34{:}44.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}48.570$ and these maps or was it other types of discrimination
- $712\ 00:34:48.570$ --> 00:34:50.670 and segregation that was happening before that?
- 713 00:34:50.670 --> 00:34:52.980 And this was sort of a result of that?
- 714 00:34:52.980 --> 00:34:54.880 I think for our purposes is, you know,
- 715 00:34:54.880 --> 00:34:57.690 a lot of epidemiologists and geographers
- $716\ 00:34:57.690 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.820$ have become interested in this.

 $717\ 00:34:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.310$ We know there were lots of discriminatory practices

 $718\ 00:35:02.310 --> 00:35:03.690$ happening at that time.

719 00:35:03.690 --> 00:35:06.450 So I don't think we need to necessarily know

 $720\ 00:35:06.450 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.400$ that this was the be all and end all,

721 00:35:08.400 --> 00:35:11.880 but I think we can use this as a very good measure

 $722\ 00:35:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.350$ of the things that were happening.

723 00:35:13.350 --> 00:35:16.023 And so that's how many people have been using it.

 $724~00:35:16.023 \dashrightarrow 00:35:20.660$ And that's how we used it in study where we really again

 $725\ 00:35:20.660 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.760$ wanted to think about how to change the dialogue,

 $726~00{:}35{:}23.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}27.900$ one that focuses explicitly on race and racial disparities

727 00:35:27.900 --> 00:35:32.343 to one that focuses on practices and policies and racism.

728 00:35:34.080 --> 00:35:36.270 At the time when we started this study,

 $729\ 00:35:36.270 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.060$ there was a little bit of work being done in this area.

 $730\ 00:35:39.060 \dashrightarrow 00:35:42.840$ As I said, it really has exploded over the last few years,

731 00:35:42.840 --> 00:35:45.150 but there was a really nice paper by Jeremy Hoffman

 $732\ 00:35:45.150 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.750$ and colleagues that looked at heat islands

 $733\ 00:35:48.750 --> 00:35:53.130$ across the US and how the spatial distribution of heat

 $734\ 00:35:53.130 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.610$ really varied within cities and mapped those

 $735\ 00:35:56.610 --> 00:35:58.920$ pouring to these redlining maps

 $736\ 00:35:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.080$ and showed very high correlations

 $737\ 00:36:01.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.060$ between areas that were previously redlined

 $738\ 00:36:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.029$ and those being the areas that still retain the most heat.

 $739\ 00:36:07.029 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.660$ We kind of knew that this was the case,

740 00:36:09.660 --> 00:36:11.130 not just with heat, right?

741 00:36:11.130 --> 00:36:14.298 There's so many environmental aspects that we see

 $742\ 00:36:14.298 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.628$ in these sort of,

 $743\ 00:36:15.628 --> 00:36:18.720$ that play a role in these environmental health disparities.

 $744\ 00:36:18.720 --> 00:36:22.410$ So goal of this project is really to kinda bring a lot more

745 00:36:22.410 --> 00:36:23.580 data into the picture,

746 00:36:23.580 --> 00:36:26.790 look at a broad range of environmental hazards

 $747\ 00:36:26.790 --> 00:36:29.550$ and see if we could make that data available

748 00:36:29.550 --> 00:36:31.890 for people to use it and kind of look at

 $749\ 00:36:31.890 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.513$ these associations more closely.

750 00:36:34.620 --> 00:36:37.830 Other goal of this topic was to think about solutions

751 00:36:37.830 --> 00:36:41.580 and what communities are currently doing to kind of mitigate

 $752\ 00:36:41.580 --> 00:36:44.624$ these long-term systemic problems.

 $753\ 00:36:44.624 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.624$ So we did that by taking data from multiple sources.

 $754\ 00:36:51.000 --> 00:36:53.130$ So we took some of that temperature data,

 $755\ 00:36:53.130 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.320$ but we also took data on air quality,

756 00:36:55.320 --> 00:36:56.280 hazardous waste sites,

757 00:36:56.280 --> 00:36:57.663 a lot of EPA data,

 $758\ 00:36:58.680 --> 00:37:01.980$ the redlining maps that were digitized

759 00:37:01.980 --> 00:37:03.360 by the University of Richmond.

 $760\ 00:37:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.970$ We wouldn't have been able to do this project without that,

 $761\ 00:37:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.180$ as well as some land cover data.

 $762\ 00:37:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.340$ We took all of that data for our quantitative piece.

763 00:37:11.340 --> 00:37:14.310 The other thing we did was we worked and partnered

 $764\ 00:37:14.310 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.670$ with a grassroots organization called Groundwork USA.

765 00:37:17.670 --> 00:37:20.820 Groundwork USA is a network of trusts,

 $766\ 00:37:20.820 \dashrightarrow 00:37:23.850$ environmental justice organizations across the country

767 00:37:23.850 --> 00:37:28.740 working specifically on kind of mitigating these issues of

 $768\ 00:37:28.740 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.620$ environmental inequities and communities.

 $769\ 00:37:31.620 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.470$ And we interviewed the groundwork trusts

 $770\ 00:37:34.470 --> 00:37:36.420$ and we interviewed the policymakers

 $771\ 00:37:36.420 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.400$ that they're working with to find out

 $772\ 00:37:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.780$ what are they doing now,

773 00:37:39.780 --> 00:37:41.790 how are they trying to rectify this issue,

 $774\ 00:37:41.790 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.623$ what are the barriers they faced?

 $775\ 00:37:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.480$ So in terms of this study,

776 00:37:48.480 \rightarrow 00:37:51.300 well the first thing we did was put a publicly available

 $777\ 00:37:51.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.560$ tool together.

778 00:37:52.560 --> 00:37:56.340 And I have a link here at the bottom of the slide.

779 00:37:56.340 --> 00:38:00.630 The tool is finally published just about a year ago.

 $780~00:38:00.630 \dots > 00:38:04.690$ And I also wanna highlight that someone who has way better

781 00:38:04.690 --> 00:38:08.910 coding skills than I do is a doctoral student,

782 00:38:08.910 --> 00:38:10.830 a doctoral student at the party rating school,

783 00:38:10.830 --> 00:38:12.540 Carlos Calvo Hernandez.

 $784\ 00:38:12.540 --> 00:38:16.170$ So he really was instrumental in building this tool.

 $785~00:38:16.170 \dashrightarrow 00:38:19.830$ But we have data on about 200 communities in the US

 $786~00:38:19.830 \dashrightarrow 00:38:21.930$ and we have two drop down menus for this tool

 $787\ 00:38:21.930 --> 00:38:23.670$ where you can pick the community

- $788\ 00:38:23.670 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.890$ and you can pick the environmental hazard
- $789\ 00:38:25.890 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.950$ and then you can see maps comparing
- 790 00:38:28.950 --> 00:38:30.690 those historical redlining maps
- $791\ 00:38:30.690 --> 00:38:33.450$ and as well as the distribution of all of these
- $792\ 00{:}38{:}33.450 {\: -->\:} 00{:}38{:}35.970$ environmental metrics and how they exist today.
- 793 00:38:35.970 --> 00:38:39.000 And you'll see quite consistent patterns
- 794 00:38:39.000 --> 00:38:40.560 across a range of cities
- $795\ 00:38:40.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.533$ and across a range of environmental metrics.
- 79600:38:46.080 --> 00:38:48.660 And in general, just to show you some descriptive results
- $797\ 00:38:48.660 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.710$ from that work,
- $798\ 00:38:49.710 --> 00:38:52.410$ we consistently see this is from data
- $799\ 00:38:52.410 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.850$ from all of the communities.
- 800~00:38:53.850 --> 00:38:55.655 So things like diesel particulate matter
- 801~00:38:55.655 --> 00:38:59.850 are carcinogen much higher in previously redline areas
- $802\ 00:38:59.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.743$ than in other parts of cities.
- 803 00:39:02.610 --> 00:39:04.770 Counts of hazardous waste sites higher,
- $804\ 00:39:04.770 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.530$ again, in those formerly redline areas.
- $805\ 00{:}39{:}07.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}11.190$ When we talk specifically about climate related stressors,
- $806\ 00:39:11.190 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.080$ others have shown this.
- $807\ 00:39:13.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.210$ But we did look at tree canopy cover.
- $808\ 00:39:15.210 --> 00:39:17.970$ I again see that consistent pattern where are as that were
- $809\ 00:39:17.970 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.074$ previously redlined have much less tree canopy
- $810\ 00:39:21.074 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.130$ than other parts of the community.
- 811 00:39:23.130 --> 00:39:26.610 We looked at gridded climate data,
- $812\ 00{:}39{:}26.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}30.270$ so we were able to look at average minimum temperatures
- 813 00:39:30.270 --> 00:39:32.490 over a summer season, mean temperature,
- $814\ 00:39:32.490 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.900$ maximum temperature.

 $815\ 00{:}39{:}33.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}36.240$ We know that minimum temperature can be important

816 00:39:36.240 --> 00:39:38.490 in terms of health because that's the time

 $817\ 00:39:38.490 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.040$ when the body can cool down in the evening

818 00:39:41.040 --> 00:39:44.070 and we consistently see higher minimum

819 00:39:44.070 \rightarrow 00:39:46.710 temperatures in those previously red line areas.

820 00:39:46.710 \rightarrow 00:39:50.070 And another metric that I'm really excited about,

821 00:39:50.070 --> 00:39:52.890 a paper that was published not too long ago,

 $822\ 00:39:52.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.630$ by Remedia Et al.,

 $823\ 00:39:54.630 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.080$ They put together a estimate of air conditioning

 $824~00{:}39{:}58.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}01.830$ prevalence by census tract in many major cities in the US

825 00:40:01.830 --> 00:40:02.760 And so this is,

826 00:40:02.760 --> 00:40:03.900 we're working on this now

827 00:40:03.900 --> 00:40:05.710 to get the paper ready for publication,

 $828\ 00:40:05.710 --> 00:40:10.020$ but I looked at the air conditioning prevalence by these

 $829\ 00:40:10.020 --> 00:40:11.970$ redlining measures and we can still see

 $830\ 00{:}40{:}11.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}14.790$ while air conditioning prevalence doesn't vary a lot,

831 $00:40:14.790 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.170$ we can still see,

832 00:40:16.170 --> 00:40:18.150 and it's statistically significant

833 00:40:18.150 --> 00:40:20.010 in our regression modeling of it,

 $834\ 00{:}40{:}20.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}23.130$ that there is a lower prevalence of air conditioning

 $835\ 00:40:23.130 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.203$ in those previously redlined areas.

 $836\ 00{:}40{:}26.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.030$ Now, in terms of what we learned from the interviews

837 00:40:30.030 --> 00:40:31.443 with Groundwork USA,

 $838\ 00:40:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.420$ there is a lot,

839 00:40:33.420 --> 00:40:36.235 and I'm not gonna go through all of those results.

 $840\ 00{:}40{:}36.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}39.270$ We published a paper last year on those interviews

841 $00:40:39.270 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.300$ and a lot of the policy solutions people are working on,

842 00:40:42.300 --> 00:40:44.580 so if this is something you're interested in,

 $843\ 00:40:44.580 --> 00:40:46.481$ I encourage you to take a look at that.

 $844\ 00:40:46.481 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.734$ But the response that we heard

 $845\ 00:40:49.734 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.227$ from the trust over and over again

846 00:40:52.227 --> 00:40:55.432 was something that I found really interesting

 $847\ 00:40:55.432 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.740$ was that they themselves and their constituency

 $848\ 00:40:58.740 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.640$ had a lot of concerns about greening solutions

849 00:41:02.640 --> 00:41:07.020 and quotes from interviews,

850 00:41:07.020 --> 00:41:08.460 and I'll let you read them yourself,

 $851\ 00:41:08.460 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.530$ but generally these

 $852\ 00:41:13.740 --> 00:41:15.360$ were basically within the context

853 00:41:15.360 --> 00:41:18.331 of people being concerned about displacement

 $854\ 00{:}41{:}18.331 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}21.680$ or what some people are calling green gentrification.

 $855\ 00:41:21.680 --> 00:41:25.740$ So there are a lot of concerns about like,

856 00:41:25.740 --> 00:41:28.260 are you putting trees in here for me

857 00:41:28.260 --> 00:41:31.710 or for the person who's gonna buy up my land

858 00:41:31.710 --> 00:41:33.123 and displace me?

 $859~00:41:34.170 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.880$ And so this is something that Groundwork

 $860\ 00:41:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.350$ has taken really seriously.

 $861~00{:}41{:}37.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}39.990$ And one of the things that they told us that they're doing

 $862\ 00:41:39.990 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.720$ to address this issue is to really think

863 00:41:42.720 --> 00:41:44.760 holistically about solutions.

 $864\ 00:41:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.130$ And that is when they're advocating for greening,

 $865\ 00{:}41{:}47.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}50.760$ they're simultaneously advocating for housing protections.

866 00:41:50.760 --> 00:41:52.050 And I think, you know,

 $867\ 00:41:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.400$ with these types of issues,

868 00:41:53.400 --> 00:41:56.220 we really need to think very holistically,

 $869\ 00{:}41{:}56.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}59.307$ even as researchers when we're recommending solutions about

 $870\ 00:41:59.307 --> 00:42:01.683$ the potential unintended consequences.

 $871\ 00{:}42{:}03.371 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}07.860$ So with that work we see of across a variety of hazards,

872 00:42:07.860 --> 00:42:09.873 we see pretty consistent spatial patterns

873 00:42:09.873 --> 00:42:12.600 that this systemic discriminatory practice,

 $874\ 00:42:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.720$ whether it's redlining or other things that will hopefully

 $875\ 00:42:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.809$ start to measure better soon,

 $876\ 00:42:17.809 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.881$ as potential drivers for some of the environmental

 $877\ 00:42:20.881 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.767$ inequities we see today,

878 00:42:22.767 --> 00:42:24.780 even with some regional variation,

 $879\ 00:42:24.780 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.520$ we still see that those relationships hold up

 $880\ 00{:}42{:}28.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}31.530$ and it's really important to think about implementing

 $881\ 00{:}42{:}31.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}35.700$ interventions that may avoid unintended consequences.

882 00:42:35.700 --> 00:42:38.370 So thinking about holistic solutions are really,

883 00:42:38.370 --> 00:42:39.459 again, an important piece

 $884\ 00{:}42{:}39.459 --> 00{:}42{:}43.173$ of how we're gonna move forward with this and progress.

885 00:42:44.220 --> 00:42:45.270 So, you know,

886 00:42:45.270 --> 00:42:47.790 this work has really gotten me thinking a lot

 $887\ 00:42:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.760$ about how to better consider structural racism

888 00:42:50.760 --> 00:42:52.680 within climate and health studies.

889 00:42:52.680 --> 00:42:53.513 And I wanted to...

890 00:42:53.513 --> 00:42:55.650 This work is very much in progress.

891 00:42:55.650 --> 00:42:58.470 I wanted to just go highlight my doctoral student.

892 00:42:58.470 --> 00:43:00.840 current doctoral student, Shifali Matthews,

 $893\ 00:43:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.210$ because she's been really digging into thinking about,

 $894\ 00:43:03.210 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.946$ she's using the case study of hurricanes

 $895~00:43:05.946 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.450$ and trying to think about how can we do a better job

 $896\ 00:43:09.450 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.324$ of looking at these systemic upstream factors.

 $897\ 00:43:12.324 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.530$ And she's started off that work by doing a literature review

898 00:43:16.530 \rightarrow 00:43:17.760 to look at, well,

 $899\ 00:43:17.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.190$ how have we in the past considered vulnerability

900 00:43:20.190 --> 00:43:21.300 to hurricanes?

901 00:43:21.300 --> 00:43:22.770 And I know this graph

902 00:43:22.770 --> 00:43:24.960 isn't in the best shape at this point,

903 00:43:24.960 --> 00:43:25.950 it's very preliminary,

904 00:43:25.950 --> 00:43:29.490 but what I can tell you is the bar all the way on the left

 $905\ 00:43:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.830$ is a bar of demographic.

906 00:43:31.830 --> 00:43:35.850 So that's by far and a way how we generally look at

907 00:43:35.850 --> 00:43:38.520 vulnerability things like age,

908 00:43:38.520 --> 00:43:39.900 race, and ethnic groups,

909 00:43:39.900 --> 00:43:41.823 social economics, gender.

910 00:43:43.080 --> 00:43:46.260 The second to the, you know,

911 00:43:47.360 --> 00:43:49.560 or maybe the one, two, three, four,

 $912\ 00:43:49.560 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.980$ fifth bar over is structural factors.

913 00:43:52.980 --> 00:43:56.640 And I think we found maybe four or five studies that looked

 $914\ 00:43:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.459$ at structural factors and sort of

- $915\ 00:43:58.459 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.200$ upstream policy factors.
- 916 00:44:01.200 --> 00:44:04.696 So you can really see the literature has not explored that
- 917 00:44:04.696 --> 00:44:07.180 as much and Shifali's been thinking about this
- 918 00:44:08.070 --> 00:44:11.013 you know, is working on recommendations for this paper.
- 919 00:44:12.660 --> 00:44:14.670 So I wanna close out with just a mention
- 920 00:44:14.670 --> 00:44:16.260 of really briefly two studies.
- 921 00:44:16.260 --> 00:44:19.380 I know that when Dr. Chen first invited me here,
- 922 00:44:19.380 \rightarrow 00:44:22.530 I think he was hoping that I would talk about one of these
- 923 00:44:22.530 --> 00:44:23.910 and I was hoping that too,
- $924\ 00:44:23.910 --> 00:44:27.420$ but we've had some big delays in in these studies,
- 925 00:44:27.420 --> 00:44:29.791 but, you know, maybe if I'm able to come back
- 926 00:44:29.791 --> 00:44:33.620 in a year or two, maybe I can put on some findings
- $927\ 00:44:33.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.400$ on some of this new work.
- 928 00:44:35.400 --> 00:44:38.550 But we have two studies
- 929 00:44:38.550 --> 00:44:40.634 that are really kicking off right now.
- 930 00:44:40.634 --> 00:44:43.530 One is very much focused on heat
- 931 $00:44:43.530 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.290$ within the city of New Orleans.
- 932 00:44:46.290 \rightarrow 00:44:49.683 And a lot of this is building off of the New York City work.
- 933 00:44:50.790 --> 00:44:52.020 And really, again,
- 934 00:44:52.020 --> 00:44:54.300 thinking about what is the right threshold
- $935\ 00:44:54.300 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.040$ that we start to see hospitalizations.
- 936 00:44:56.040 --> 00:44:58.500 We're working with Louisiana Department of Health
- $937\ 00:44:58.500 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.780$ to look at more hospitalization data.
- 938 $00:45:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.360$ What are the neighborhood factors?

939 00:45:03.360 --> 00:45:07.615 We know that there's a high proportion of the population

940 00:45:07.615 --> 00:45:10.500 in New Orleans that is living in poverty.

 $941\ 00:45:10.500 -> 00:45:13.470$ And so it's a particularly heat vulnerable area.

 $942\ 00{:}45{:}13.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}16.590$ And we have three things that we're doing study first,

 $943\ 00:45:16.590 --> 00:45:18.660$ again, sort of characterizing that burden

944 00:45:18.660 --> 00:45:20.970 from a threshold perspective,

945 00:45:20.970 --> 00:45:22.200 looking at vulnerability,

946 00:45:22.200 --> 00:45:25.080 very similar to the work I showed in New York City.

 $947\ 00:45:25.080$ --> 00:45:28.673 So we're hoping to create a heat vulnerability index then.

948 00:45:28.673 \rightarrow 00:45:32.610 Then which is one of the most exciting parts of the study.

 $949\ 00:45:32.610 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.920$ And we'll be in the field this summer

950~00:45:34.920 --> 00:45:37.950 and hopefully we'll get participants and get good data,

951 00:45:37.950 --> 00:45:41.220 but we're partnering with a group called I See Change

 $952\ 00{:}45{:}41.220 --> 00{:}45{:}44.850$ who has a digital application where they allow participants

953 00:45:44.850 --> 00:45:47.310 to just report on climate change

 $954\ 00:45:47.310 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.690$ and the findings,

955 00:45:48.690 --> 00:45:50.310 sightings in their own neighborhoods.

956 00:45:50.310 --> 00:45:52.980 So we're gonna work with I See change to get participants

 $957\ 00:45:52.980 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.490$ enrolled in our study to answer questionnaires

958 00:45:56.490 --> 00:46:00.060 through their app that kind of report on day-to-day

959 00:46:00.060 --> 00:46:02.460 subtle changes, changes in mood,

960 00:46:02.460 --> 00:46:04.770 changes in sleep patterns,

 $961\ 00:46:04.770 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.200$ and kinda subjective health measures

- $962\ 00{:}46{:}07.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}10.530$ that will correlate with day-to-day changes in temperature
- $963\ 00:46:10.530 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.867$ and even within day changes in temperature.
- 964 00:46:12.867 --> 00:46:15.150 So I'm really hoping that that data collection
- $965\ 00:46:15.150 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.920$ goes well this summer.
- 966 00:46:16.920 --> 00:46:19.200 The other study that is kicking off now
- $967\ 00:46:19.200 --> 00:46:23.370$ is building off of the work I talked about
- $968\ 00:46:23.370 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.650$ related to redlining and green space
- 969 00:46:25.650 --> 00:46:29.910 and we're adding on a health component to that work
- $970~00{:}46{:}29.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}32.560$ and really thinking about how neighborhood factors
- 971 00:46:33.810 --> 00:46:36.420 can play a role in cognitive function.
- 972 00:46:36.420 --> 00:46:38.340 But there's been little attention
- $973\ 00:46:38.340 --> 00:46:40.230$ on the relationship between green space
- 974 00:46:40.230 --> 00:46:42.480 and cognitive function to date.
- 975 00:46:42.480 --> 00:46:44.550 We do see huge racial disparities,
- $976\ 00{:}46{:}44.550 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}46{:}47.490$ and so maybe again these systemic factors
- $977\ 00:46:47.490 --> 00:46:50.850$ that have led to very different environments
- $978~00:46:50.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.830$ where people have green space around them
- 979 00:46:52.830 --> 00:46:57.063 may play some role in in these health disparities.
- $980~00{:}46{:}58.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}01.560$ And you know, this question around green gentrification,
- 981 00:47:01.560 --> 00:47:03.280 does that modify the relationship?
- $982\ 00:47:03.280 --> 00:47:07.243$ Does that make green space have benefits
- 983 00:47:07.243 --> 00:47:09.589 for some groups of people while it's detrimental to
- 984 00:47:09.589 --> 00:47:11.640 other groups of people?
- $985\ 00:47:11.640 --> 00:47:13.620$ So in this study we're gonna be looking at,
- 986 00:47:13.620 --> 00:47:15.030 we've already kind of looked at,
- 987 00:47:15.030 --> 00:47:15.960 as I mentioned,
- $988\ 00:47:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.850$ the relationship between historical redlining

- 989 $00:47:17.850 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.990$ and green space.
- 990 00:47:18.990 --> 00:47:21.410 We're adding on measures of cognitive function
- 991 00:47:21.410 --> 00:47:23.060 in a nationally representative study.
- 992 00:47:23.060 --> 00:47:24.970 We're gonna be examining that,
- $993\ 00:47:24.970 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.710$ looking at this maybe as a potential mediation pathway
- 994 00:47:28.710 --> 00:47:32.430 and I'm looking at gentrification as a modifying variable
- $995\ 00:47:32.430 --> 00:47:35.220$ as well as potentially the role of social support
- 996 00:47:35.220 --> 00:47:37.020 in cognitive function.
- 997 00:47:37.020 --> 00:47:37.853 So, you know,
- 998 00:47:37.853 --> 00:47:40.020 I hope we'll have some preliminary results
- 999 00:47:40.020 --> 00:47:42.423 in that also maybe within the next year.
- 1000 00:47:43.727 --> 00:47:46.080 So hopefully just sort of in wrapping up,
- $1001~00{:}47{:}46.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}49.200~I$ think, I hope you can see that there are many ways
- $1002\ 00{:}47{:}49.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}53.200$ I think that climate health research can inform policy
- 1003 00:47:53.200 --> 00:47:55.001 from, you know, characterizing the burden,
- 1004 00:47:55.001 --> 00:47:57.783 understanding triggers and thresholds,
- 1005 00:47:58.680 --> 00:48:00.270 thinking about drivers of vulnerability
- $1006\ 00:48:00.270 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.960$ and how to target resources
- 1007 00:48:03.248 --> 00:48:05.700 and also thinking about solutions.
- 1008 00:48:05.700 --> 00:48:06.906 I didn't get to talk at all
- $1009\ 00{:}48{:}06.906 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{--}}}\ 00{:}48{:}10.710$ but I'm very interested in the effectiveness of solutions.
- $1010~00:48:10.710 --> 00:48:14.403~\mathrm{I}$ think that's a huge area for us to be working on.
- $1011\ 00{:}48{:}14.403 --> 00{:}48{:}17.280$ Love to think about that with anyone who's interested
- $1012\ 00:48:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.290$ in thinking about that more.
- 1013 00:48:19.290 --> 00:48:20.790 But as I did show, you know,

- 101400:48:20.790 --> 00:48:23.340 I think solutions really we need to think about
- $1015\ 00:48:23.340 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.040$ all the potential unintended consequences too,
- $1016\ 00:48:26.040 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.290$ and that's a key role.
- 1017 00:48:28.290 --> 00:48:30.960 So with that,
- 1018 00:48:30.960 --> 00:48:33.280 I'd like thank you for your attention
- 1019 00:48:34.470 --> 00:48:37.740 if you are interested in any more information,
- $1020\ 00:48:37.740 --> 00:48:39.480$ I have my website here.
- $1021\ 00{:}48{:}39.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}42.690$ Probably be posting some postdoc opportunities there so on
- 1022 00:48:42.690 --> 00:48:44.430 just in case anyone's interested in that.
- $1023\ 00:48:44.430 --> 00:48:47.943$ But yeah, and really thank you all very much.
- $1024\ 00:48:54.690 --> 00:48:56.040 < v Dr.$ Chen>I think because of time, </v>
- $1025\ 00:48:56.040 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.843$ let's have two questions.
- $1026\ 00:48:58.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.162\ Yeah.$
- $1027\ 00:49:00.162 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.579$ (indistinct)
- 1028 00:49:10.260 --> 00:49:11.913 <v -> It's actually a great question. </v>
- 1029 00:49:12.780 --> 00:49:13.613 <v -> And I-</v>
- 1030 00:49:13.613 --> 00:49:14.663 <-v Dr. Chen>Could you please repeat the-</v>
- 1031 00:49:16.245 --> 00:49:17.078 <v ->Oh, sorry, yes,</v>
- $1032\ 00:49:17.078 --> 00:49:19.160$ So the question from the audience was...
- $1033\ 00{:}49{:}23.806$ --> $00{:}49{:}25.560$ The person here mentioned that they've heard
- 103400:49:25.560 --> 00:49:27.240 of the Be A Buddy program in New York City
- $1035\ 00{:}49{:}27.240 \to 00{:}49{:}31.020$ and they were wondering if it was actually effective.
- 1036~00:49:31.020 --> 00:49:33.273 And so the answer is I don't have the answer to that.
- $1037\ 00:49:33.273 --> 00:49:36.480$ I've actually asked the collaborators
- $1038\ 00{:}49{:}36.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}39.267$ if they've ever been able to evaluate that program

- 1039 00:49:39.267 --> 00:49:41.580 and I think they wanted to,
- $1040\ 00{:}49{:}41.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}45.000$ but I think they had some resource constraints
- $1041\ 00:49:45.000 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.980$ around actually doing an evaluation.
- $1042\ 00:49:46.980 --> 00:49:49.200$ So I'm not sure they were ever able to evaluate it.
- 1043 00:49:49.200 --> 00:49:52.639 And in transparency, I actually don't know
- $1044\ 00:49:52.639 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.263$ if it's continued at this point.
- $1045\ 00:49:57.420 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.590$ Yeah.
- 1046 00:49:58.590 --> 00:50:01.650 <
v Student>When you run into (indistinct)</br/>/v>
- $1047\ 00:50:27.630 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.580 < v \longrightarrow Yeah, being on so, </v>$
- 1048 00:50:29.580 --> 00:50:32.190 well I think I'm actually,
- 1049 00:50:32.190 --> 00:50:33.750 I'm not sure.
- 1050 00:50:33.750 --> 00:50:34.860 Let me repeat what you're saying
- $1051\ 00:50:34.860 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.720$ and I'm not sure if I'm totally understanding the question,
- $1052\ 00:50:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.310$ but I think question was,
- $1053\ 00:50:38.310 -> 00:50:41.040$ when you start to hear things about potential
- 1054 00:50:41.040 --> 00:50:43.710 unintended consequences, so for example,
- $1055\ 00{:}50{:}43.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}46.350$ someone responding that they're not sure the greening
- $1056\ 00:50:46.350 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.150$ is really meant for them
- $1057\ 00:50:48.150 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.260$ or is it meant for the person
- 1058 00:50:49.260 --> 00:50:51.360 that's going to potentially displace them?
- $1059\ 00:50:52.230 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.180$ What are some workarounds
- 1060 00:50:54.180 --> 00:50:57.420 about not being able to quantify that risk?
- 1061 00:50:57.420 --> 00:50:58.253 Is that right?
- $1062\ 00:50:59.460 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.450$ So I think, yeah,
- $1063\ 00:51:00.450 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.620$ there's a couple of answers to that question.
- $1064\ 00{:}51{:}04.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}07.740$ So one is I think it actually does point to the value
- 1065 00:51:07.740 --> 00:51:09.990 of kind of mixed methods research

- $1066\ 00:51:09.990 --> 00:51:12.840$ because and I kind of grew up trained
- $1067\ 00:51:12.840 --> 00:51:14.130$ as a quantitative researcher
- $1068\ 00:51:14.130 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.010$ and it was only more recently that I've been exposed to
- 1069 00:51:19.200 --> 00:51:21.180 interviewer qualitative studies.
- $1070\ 00:51:21.180 --> 00:51:23.280$ And I think there is a real value
- 1071 00:51:23.280 --> 00:51:25.920 to kind of interdisciplinary collaborations
- $1072\ 00:51:25.920 --> 00:51:29.910$ because we might not have good quantitative data on that.
- 1073 00:51:29.910 --> 00:51:31.560 Yeah, there are studies that are looking
- 1074 00:51:31.560 --> 00:51:33.360 at green gentrification,
- $1075\ 00{:}51{:}33.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}35.130$ we're gonna look at it in the study I just mentioned,
- 1076 00:51:35.130 --> 00:51:38.730 but it may be happening in some places,
- 1077 00:51:38.730 --> 00:51:41.910 it may not be happening in other places.
- $1078\ 00:51:41.910 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.260$ There may be a lot of things
- $1079\ 00:51:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.290$ that are triggering gentrification.
- $1080\ 00:51:45.290 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.890$ So it's hard to tease that out.
- $1081\ 00:51:46.890 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.490$ But I think we can just put some value on the words
- $1082\ 00:51:50.490 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.410$ that that person is speaking.
- $1083\ 00:51:52.410 --> 00:51:55.560$ Those are the person's like feelings, right?
- 1084 00:51:55.560 --> 00:51:56.393 That's how...
- $1085\ 00:51:56.393 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.990$ And so whether objectively by one of the 10 ways
- $1086\ 00:52:00.990 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.700$ we can define gentrification,
- 1087 00:52:02.700 --> 00:52:03.543 it's happening,
- $1088\ 00:52:04.650 --> 00:52:08.040$ that person may still be dealing with mental stress
- $1089\ 00:52:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.980$ and anxiety related to the those feelings.
- 1090 00:52:10.980 --> 00:52:13.740 So I think that's an important piece.
- $1091\ 00{:}52{:}13.740 \to 00{:}52{:}18.570$ So sort of thinking about how that, that might relate

 $1092\ 00{:}52{:}18.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}20.280$ to mental distress and also thinking about

 $1093\ 00:52:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.173$ the value of qualitative research.

1094 00:52:24.637 --> 00:52:26.626 <
v Dr. Chen>So I think because of time,
</v>

 $1095\ 00:52:26.626 --> 00:52:30.177$ (indistinct) thank you again for.

1096 00:52:33.823 --> 00:52:35.790 < v ->(indistinct) for our online audiences,</v>

1097 00:52:35.790 --> 00:52:38.489 thanks for staying with us. < v ->Thank you.</v>

1098 00:52:38.489 --> 00:52:41.280 < v ->We got to end this masters seminar series</v>

1099 00:52:41.280 --> 00:52:44.423 and only all the best for the families, okay?