## WEBVTT

1 00:00:00.050 --> 00:00:03.230 - [Fan] David Benkeser who is an assistant professor

2 00:00:03.230 --> 00:00:06.530 at the department of biostatistics and bioinformatics

3 00:00:06.530 --> 00:00:08.700 at Emory University.

4 00:00:08.700 --> 00:00:11.210 Dr. Benkeser got his PhD in biostatistics

5 00:00:11.210 --> 00:00:12.700 from University of Washington

600:00:12.700 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:00:14.720 and had his post-doctoral fellowship

7 00:00:14.720 --> 00:00:17.423 from University of California at Berkeley.

800:00:18.270 --> 00:00:21.660 Dr. Benkeser is an expert in methods for machine learning

900:00:21.660 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:00:24.070 and non-parametric statistical inference.

10 00:00:24.070  $\rightarrow$  00:00:25.760 He has made important contributions

11  $00:00:25.760 \rightarrow 00:00:27.900$  to integrate machine learning methods

12 00:00:27.900 --> 00:00:31.140 to draw causal inferences with observational data.

13 00:00:31.140 --> 00:00:33.660 He also has interesting work on preventative vaccines

 $14\ 00:00:33.660$  --> 00:00:37.440 and HIV prevention, which he's going to share with us today.

 $15\ 00:00:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.223$  Welcome David, the floor is yours.

16 00:00:43.880 --> 00:00:45.810 - [David] Thanks, yeah, it's a great pleasure

 $17\ 00:00:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.520$  to be here today.

18 $00{:}00{:}47.520$  -->  $00{:}00{:}51.280$  Well, here today, but with you guys today giving this talk.

19 $00:00:51.280 \dashrightarrow 00:00:54.590$  So I did see that I think Tony Fauci

20 $00{:}00{:}54.590$ --> $00{:}00{:}57.620$  spoke at Yale yesterday, so it was very nice of you Fan

21 00:00:57.620 --> 00:01:00.340 to book Tony Fauci as my opening act

22 00:01:00.340 --> 00:01:04.270 and I'll try not to disappoint him with my followup.

23 00:01:04.270 --> 00:01:07.120 So the talk I'm giving today is a very high-level talk.

24 00:01:07.120 --> 00:01:10.070 So the title is statistics and COVID-19 vaccine development,

 $25\ 00:01:10.070 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.280$  but it's really a talk mostly

26 00:01:12.280 --> 00:01:14.700 about COVID-19 vaccine development.

27 00:01:14.700 --> 00:01:18.710 There's not math until maybe slide like 29 out of 30.

 $28\ 00:01:18.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.960$  So really these are sort of

 $29\ 00:01:19.960 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.010$  just the high-level issues that have come up

 $30\;00{:}01{:}23.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28.010$  as I've worked with companies and government organizations

31 00:01:28.020 --> 00:01:30.040 on COVID-19 vaccine development.

 $32\ 00:01:30.040 \rightarrow 00:01:31.790$  So I think there's a lot of really interesting stuff

33 00:01:31.790 --> 00:01:35.370 here and really, really glad to share it with you today.

 $34\ 00:01:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.679$  So if you want to kind of slide along with

 $35\ 00:01:38.679 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.140$  the slides they're available on GitHub

 $36\ 00:01:41.140 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.190$  so there's a link at the bottom there,

 $37\ 00:01:43.190 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.267$  and you can click on that

38 00:01:44.267 --> 00:01:45.870 and that'll pull up the HTML slide back,

39 00:01:45.870 --> 00:01:48.290 and I have sort of references hyperlinked in there.

40 00:01:48.290 --> 00:01:49.580 So that's an easy way to access

41 00:01:49.580 --> 00:01:51.890 the references there as well.

 $42\ 00:01:51.890$  --> 00:01:54.520 Okay so I'm going to start just kind of talking

43 00:01:54.520 --> 00:01:57.750 about the biology a little bit of SARS-CoV-2,

44 00:01:57.750  $\rightarrow 00:02:01.110$  and segue into sort of how we can think about

45 00:02:01.110 --> 00:02:02.790 developing vaccines that will prevent

 $46\ 00:02:02.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.550$  an infection and COVID-19 disease.

47 00:02:05.550 --> 00:02:09.330 And so this is a nice little graphic that I ripped off

48 00:02:09.330 --> 00:02:11.740 from The Washington Post, who's very much better

49 00:02:11.740  $\rightarrow$  00:02:13.290 at making these cutesy little graphics

50 00:02:13.290 --> 00:02:16.140 than I am using PowerPoint or something.

 $51\ 00:02:16.140 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.450$  So let's kind of walk through this.

 $52\ 00:02:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.520$  And the goal here is to try to understand,

53 00:02:19.520 --> 00:02:21.620 you know, how SARS-CoV-2 is infecting your cells,

54 00:02:21.620  $\rightarrow 00:02:22.920$  how it's replicating,

55 00:02:22.920 --> 00:02:25.040 and then to understand what the mechanisms 56 00:02:25.040 --> 00:02:27.570 that immunological mechanisms of the vaccine are

57 $00{:}02{:}27{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29{.}930$  that can potentially block that infection

58 00:02:29.930 --> 00:02:31.120 and prevent clinical disease.

 $59\ 00:02:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.950$  So we'll just go quickly through this

 $60\ 00{:}02{:}32{.}950$  -->  $00{:}02{:}36{.}320$  and this is sort of the story for most viruses, right?

61 00:02:36.320 --> 00:02:39.040 Is that viruses are really just genetic material 62 00:02:39.040 --> 00:02:42.162 in this case RNA that's wrapped up in the glycoprotein.

 $63\ 00:02:42.162 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.190$  So it's genetic material wrapped up in a protein.

64 00:02:45.190 --> 00:02:48.560 And so for SARS-CoV-2 you may have heard of a couple

 $65\ 00:02:48.560$  --> 00:02:50.900 of these proteins in particular, the spike protein will play

66 00:02:50.900 --> 00:02:53.680 a large role when we talk about a vaccine development

 $67\ 00:02:53.680 \rightarrow 00:02:56.100$  and why is this spike protein so important?

 $68\ 00:02:56.100 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.170$  Well, that's the guy that sort of latches

69 $00{:}02{:}58{.}170$  -->  $00{:}03{:}01{.}375$  onto your cell and it does that through this ACE2 pathway

70 00:03:01.375 --> 00:03:05.240 and it grabs onto your cell and insert itself inside

71 00:03:05.240 --> 00:03:07.110 you cell and once it's inside

 $72\ 00:03:07.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.860$  it releases its genetic material, right?

73 $00:03:08.860 \dots > 00:03:11.410$  It releases its RNA and kind of tricks

 $74\ 00:03:11.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.430$  your cell into replicating the virus, right?

75 00:03:13.430 --> 00:03:17.060 So that your cell is producing new copies of this virus,

76 00:03:17.060 --> 00:03:18.970 they're pieced together out of proteins that are released

77 00:03:18.970 --> 00:03:21.570 into your bloods<br/>tream to go infect more cells

78 00:03:21.570 --> 00:03:22.980 and more people.

79 00:03:22.980  $\rightarrow 00:03:24.810$  Okay so that's sort of the infection process

 $80\ 00:03:24.810 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.740$  and where along the lines do you know

81 00:03:26.740 --> 00:03:28.800 vaccines sort of halt this?

82 $00:03:28.800 \dashrightarrow 00:03:30.670$  So I'll walk through a few different

83 00:03:30.670 --> 00:03:33.130 of the major vaccine constructs that are being used

84 00:03:33.130 --> 00:03:34.860 for SARS-CoV-2 vaccines,

 $85\ 00:03:34.860$  --> 00:03:37.080 and the details aren't super important here,

86 00:03:37.080 --> 00:03:38.320 but I do think it's sort of helpful

 $87\ 00:03:38.320$  --> 00:03:39.953 to have a high level overview in comparison, right?

 $88\ 00:03:39.953 \dashrightarrow 00:03:42.970$  Because there's so many vaccine products being developed,

89 $00{:}03{:}42{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}45{.}180$  at least having some point of biological comparison

 $90\ 00:03:45.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.270$  of how they're working is useful.

91 00:03:47.270 - 00:03:48.430 So to walk through these slides,

 $92\ 00{:}03{:}48{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50{.}440$  all of these slides are basically going to be the same

 $93\ 00:03:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.370$  on the right hand side of the slide

94 00:03:52.370  $\rightarrow 00:03:53.960$  and how they're gonna differ is what goes

 $95\ 00:03:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.580$  into the vaccine on the left-hand side.

96 00:03:55.580 --> 00:03:57.837 So let's actually start on the right-hand side, right?

97 00:03:57.837 --> 00:04:00.250 And talk a little bit about immunology, right?

98 00:04:00.250 --> 00:04:02.997 And how your body tries to fight off infection.

 $99\ 00:04:02.997 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.740$  And we have a couple of different mechanisms

 $100\ 00:04:04.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.020$  of your immune system to do that.

101 00:04:06.020 --> 00:04:09.500 So there's a kind of T-cell responses, cytotoxic T-cells.

102 00:04:09.500 --> 00:04:12.210 So those are T-cells that recognize cells in your body

 $103\ 00:04:12.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.850$  that have been infected with a pathogen

 $104\ 00:04:13.850 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.210$  and destroy those cells, right?

105 00:04:15.210 --> 00:04:17.110 Because the cells are producing copies of the virus,

 $106\ 00:04:17.110 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.840$  releasing in the bloodstream.

 $107\ 00:04:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.400$  So if we're able to destroy infected cells,

108 00:04:21.400 --> 00:04:24.290 we can potentially stop infection, prevent disease,

 $109\ 00:04:24.290 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.580$  and then another key response

110 00:04:25.580 --> 00:04:27.510 that your immune system has is through antibodies.

111 00:04:27.510  $\rightarrow 00:04:29.560$  And that's sort of what's on the bottom here

112 00:04:29.560 --> 00:04:33.860 and is that B cells are able to produce antibodies.

113 $00{:}04{:}33{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36{.}100$  And what those antibodies do is they basically grab

 $114\ 00:04:36.100 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.280$  onto these surface proteins, right?

115 00:04:38.280 --> 00:04:40.280 So remember we talked about the spike protein,

116 00:04:40.280 --> 00:04:42.730 and what antibodies do is basically just bind onto that

 $117\ 00:04:42.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.520$  and sit there and so neutralizing antibodies.

118 00:04:45.520 --> 00:04:47.480 So there's two classes of antibodies that are kind

119 00:04:47.480 --> 00:04:48.470 of relevant for vaccines.

120 00:04:48.470 --> 00:04:50.113 So neutralizing antibodies really, you're just doing that.

 $121\ 00:04:50.113$  --> 00:04:52.787 They're gonna sit on all of those spike proteins

 $122\ 00{:}04{:}52.787$  -->  $00{:}04{:}55.130$  and because they're sitting there now the virus can't grab

 $123\ 00:04:55.130 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.010$  onto your cells to infect them.

124 00:04:57.010 --> 00:05:00.680 There's also binding antibodies, which are somewhat

 $125\ 00:05:00.680 \rightarrow 00:05:02.510$  considered to be less important in this context,

126 00:05:02.510 --> 00:05:04.830 but what those guys do is bind onto those surface proteins,

 $127\ 00:05:04.830 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.840$  they don't neutralize the virus itself,

128 00:05:06.840 --> 00:05:09.160 but they send out chemical signals to other cells

 $129\ 00:05:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.025$  in your body that say, hey, here's a virus.

130 00:05:11.025 --> 00:05:13.030 Please come eat it for me.

131 00:05:13.030 --> 00:05:15.280 So those are the sort of antibody classes response

 $132\ 00:05:15.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.113$  that you can have.

133 00:05:16.113 --> 00:05:18.240 So there's these two sort of immune mechanisms

134 00:05:18.240 --> 00:05:22.460 that we have to neutralize infections by viruses.

 $135\ 00:05:22.460 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.720$  How do they learn to neutralize them?

 $136\ 00:05:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.980$  Well, there's this sort of middleman.

137 $00{:}05{:}25{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27{.}790$  So we're moving just to this middle panel here

 $138\ 00:05:27.790 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.630$  with these APC cells,

 $139\ 00:05:29.630 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.830$  so these antigen presenting cells, right?

 $140\ 00:05:31.830 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.240$  Those are the guys that what they're doing

 $141\ 00:05:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.890$  is basically digesting little bits

142 00:05:35.890 --> 00:05:40.347 of the virus in this case of the surface protein, right?

143 00:05:40.347 --> 00:05:42.540 And they're teaching or training your immune system

 $144\ 00:05:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.250$  to recognize that pathogen, right?

145 00:05:44.250 --> 00:05:46.790 So they're the ones that go and talk to the T cells,

 $146\ 00:05:46.790 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.120$  talk to the B cells and say,

 $147\ 00:05:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.580$  here's that how this virus looks,

148 00:05:50.580 --> 00:05:53.220 please go produce some antibodies or please recognize cells

 $149\ 00:05:53.220 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.680$  that have been infected with this

 $150\ 00:05:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.330$  and neutralize them for me.

151 00:05:56.330 --> 00:05:58.990 So really again, the whole right side of this plot

 $152\ 00:05:58.990 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.220$  is about your immune system.

153 00:06:00.220 --> 00:06:02.960 This is the way your immune system fights off infection.

154 $00{:}06{:}02{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04{.}780$  And what's different between this slide

155 00:06:04.780 --> 00:06:07.820 and the next few slides is basically how we present

 $156\ 00:06:07.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.280$  pieces of the pathogen pieces

157 00:06:09.280 --> 00:06:11.720 of the virus to these APCs, right?

158 00:06:11.720 --> 00:06:14.440 So how do we get these APCs, the material that they need

 $159\ 00:06:14.440$  --> 00:06:18.860 for you to mount an immune response against SARS-CoV-2?

 $160\ 00:06:18.860 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.700$  And so the first class of vaccines

161 00:06:20.700 --> 00:06:22.830 I'll describe are nucleic acid vaccines.

162 00:06:22.830 --> 00:06:25.040 And so I'm talking about first

163 00:06:25.040 --> 00:06:27.050 because they're sort of the first wave of vaccines

 $164\ 00:06:27.050 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.130$  that are in phase three trials in the US.

 $165\ 00{:}06{:}29{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32{.}847$  So Moderna and Pfizer, who are probably the most advanced

166 00:06:32.847 --> 00:06:36.897 candidates for US licensure are both mRNA vaccines.

 $167\ 00:06:36.897 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.630$  And so how are those vaccines made?

 $168\ 00:06:38.630 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.830$  Well, we take a little bit of messenger RNA,

 $169\ 00:06:41.830 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.820$  a little bit of viral genetic material,

 $170\ 00:06:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.270$  and wrap that in a lipid shell, right?

 $171\ 00:06:45.270 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.710$  That's the construct of the vaccine.

172 00:06:46.710 --> 00:06:49.190 And when you're injected that lipid shell

173 00:06:49.190 --> 00:06:51.240 latches onto your cell, right?

 $174\ 00:06:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.710$  Delivers that mRNA into your cell,

 $175\ 00:06:53.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.490$  just like a natural infection, right?

176 00:06:55.490 --> 00:06:57.470 Remember the SARS-CoV-2 grabbed onto your cell

177 00:06:57.470 --> 00:07:00.440 and inserted itself and then made copies of itself.

178 00:07:00.440 --> 00:07:02.990 So what is the mRNA doing once it's in your cell,

179 00:07:02.990 --> 00:07:04.580 it's actually just making copies

 $180\ 00:07:04.580 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.810$  of the spike protein itself, right?

181 00:07:06.810 --> 00:07:11.040 So you're manufacturing this protein within your own cells

182 00:07:11.040 --> 00:07:14.370 that are then released for these APCs to detect.

183 00:07:14.370 --> 00:07:16.890 So this is how we're getting these APCs,

184 $00{:}07{:}16.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.940$  spike protein with an mRNA vaccine.

 $185\ 00:07:18.940 \dashrightarrow 00:07:21.790$  We're basically using your cells as a warehouse

186 $00{:}07{:}21.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}23.637$  to produce the antigen of the vaccine

187 00:07:23.637 --> 00:07:27.670 and so this is a really cool idea and a new idea, right?

188 00:07:27.670 --> 00:07:31.010 So, am mRNA or DNA vaccine has never been licensed before

 $189\ 00:07:31.010$  --> 00:07:34.170 and that's not to say that we tried many times and failed.

190 $00:07:34.170 \dashrightarrow 00:07:36.240$  It's just to say that this is a very new technology,

191 00:07:36.240 --> 00:07:39.520 and it's sort of interesting that it's kind of come

192 00:07:39.520 --> 00:07:41.480 to the forefront in this context.

 $193\ 00:07:41.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.940$  So why do we like mRNA vaccines?

 $194\ 00:07:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.610$  Well, they're very fast to manufacturer.

195 $00{:}07{:}45.610$  -->  $00{:}07{:}47.710$  We'll talk about some of the other vaccine constructs

196 $00{:}07{:}47.710$  --> 00:07:50.210 where we're making this spike protein in a lab,

197 00:07:50.210 --> 00:07:52.250 and that is a long and arduous.

 $198\ 00:07:52.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.950$  It needs to be very careful process

199 00:07:53.950  $\rightarrow 00:07:55.290$  and when we're thinking about scaling up

200 00:07:55.290 --> 00:07:59.130 vaccine manufacturing, mRNA vaccines are very appealing

201 $00:07:59.130 \dashrightarrow 00:08:01.770$  in that sense, you can manufacture them

 $202\ 00:08:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.300$  very quickly at scale.

 $203 \ 00:08:03.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.870$  They don't require a cold chain

204 00:08:04.870 --> 00:08:09.520 and so that's another great advantage these vaccines enjoy

205 00:08:09.520 --> 00:08:11.710 in terms of thinking about vaccine deployment,

 $206\ 00:08:11.710 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.320$  particularly in developing world settings.

 $207\ 00:08:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.000$  But again, this is a brand new technology.

208 00:08:17.000 --> 00:08:18.260 We don't have any safety data

209 00:08:18.260  $\rightarrow$  00:08:20.410 from past vaccines with this construct.

 $210\ 00:08:20.410 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.400$  We don't have any efficacy data.

211 00:08:22.400 --> 00:08:24.700 So, it's sort of an open question in the field

 $212\ 00:08:24.700 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.850$  as to how well these things are gonna work.

213 00:08:27.710 --> 00:08:30.117 So moving to sort of more classical, constructive vaccines

 $214\ 00:08:30.117 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.680$  and viral vector vaccines.

 $215\ 00:08:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.150$  So again, the right side of this picture

 $216\ 00:08:34.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.070$  is exactly the same.

217 00:08:35.070 --> 00:08:38.110 The story is how do we get an APC the right antigen?

21800:08:38.110 --> 00:08:41.390 How do we show an APC a little bit of the spike protein?

 $219\ 00:08:41.390 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.482$  So a viral vector vaccine, right?

 $220\ 00:08:45.482 \rightarrow 00:08:48.960$  Is going to take a different virus and splice

221 00:08:48.960 --> 00:08:52.130 a little bit of SARS-CoV-2 into that virus, okay.

222 00:08:52.130 --> 00:08:55.700 So for example, AstraZeneca, that's the Oxford that you may

223 00:08:55.700 --> 00:08:58.470 have heard of, they take a chimpanzee adenovirus,

 $224\ 00:08:58.470 \dashrightarrow 00:09:02.210$  that's like, it's a virus that causes the common cold

 $225\ 00:09:02.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.250$  in chimpanzees and they splice in a little bit

226 00:09:04.250 --> 00:09:09.230 of SARS-CoV-2 into that and so that sort of host virus,

227 00:09:09.230  $\rightarrow$  00:09:12.120 that adenovirus holds genetic material

228 00:09:12.120 --> 00:09:15.690 infects your cells and your cells then produce the antigen.

229 00:09:15.690 --> 00:09:19.790 They produce the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2.

230 00:09:19.790 --> 00:09:22.420 So AstraZeneca and Janssen are using this construct again,

231 00:09:22.420 --> 00:09:26.350 both with a denoviruses, a very common virus vector.

 $232\ 00:09:26.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.100$  And again, we like these types of vaccines

233 00:09:29.100  $\rightarrow$  00:09:31.720 because they're quick to manufacturer,

 $234\ 00:09:31.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.130$  but a challenge of them is that your body

 $235\ 00:09:34.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.740$  can sort of develop separate immune responses

 $236\ 00:09:36.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.450$  against the vector itself, right?

237 00:09:39.450 --> 00:09:41.930 So you can develop a separate immune response

 $238\ 00:09:41.930 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.510$  against say an adenovirus right?

239 00:09:44.510 --> 00:09:47.360 Such that your body neutralizes those a denoviruses

 $240\ 00:09:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.910$  before they're able to infect your cells

241 00:09:49.910 --> 00:09:51.720 and produce the SARS-CoV-2 antigen.

 $242\ 00:09:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.074$  So we do see tendency a kind of faster waning

243 00:09:55.074 --> 00:09:58.470 vaccine effects with this class of vaccines.

244 00:09:58.470 --> 00:10:00.470 So moving on to subunit vaccine.

245 00:10:00.470 --> 00:10:02.940 So this is NovaVax and Sanofi's vaccine

246 00:10:02.940 --> 00:10:04.800 will be subunit vaccines

247 00:10:04.800 --> 00:10:06.250 and this is where I kind of mentioned before

248 00:10:06.250 --> 00:10:09.790 actually what happens here is these spike proteins

 $249\ 00:10:09.790 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.700$  or whatever the antigen is,

 $250\ 00:10:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.380$  is created and purified in a lab.

 $251\ 00:10:14.380 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.010$  So they actually use insect cells

 $252\ 00:10:17.010 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.900$  that they infect with SARS-CoV-2,

253 00:10:19.900 --> 00:10:23.310 those insect cells then produce the antigen that's purified

 $254\ 00:10:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.920$  and that's what goes into the vaccine

255 00:10:25.920 --> 00:10:27.470 are those protein subunits, right?

256 00:10:27.470 --> 00:10:29.800 So there we're just directly giving you the spike protein

 $257\ 00:10:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.290$  that we've grown outside of the host

258 00:10:33.290 --> 00:10:36.950 and that's how we're getting these APCs, those antigens.

259 00:10:36.950 --> 00:10:40.080 And so this is a commonly used vaccine construct.

260 $00:10:40.080 \dashrightarrow 00:10:42.160$  So the hep B vaccine is highly effective.

261 00:10:42.160 --> 00:10:43.890 HPV vaccine is highly effective.

262 00:10:43.890 --> 00:10:45.987 That's the construct of these, but the downside of course

263 00:10:45.987 --> 00:10:50.580 to it, so it's a well-trodden way of developing vaccines.

264 00:10:50.580 --> 00:10:52.750 But the downside is that they're slower to manufacturer.

265 00:10:52.750 --> 00:10:55.180 There's this whole process where we have to cultivate

266 00:10:55.180 --> 00:11:00.180 and grow these viruses in a lab, we have to purify them,

267 00:11:00.239 --> 00:11:03.930 and moreover they often also require an adjuvant.

268 00:11:03.930 --> 00:11:06.640 So that's really just sort of adding something a little bit

269 00:11:06.640 --> 00:11:10.660 extra that stimulates a better immune response in your body.

 $270\ 00:11:10.660 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.760$  So basically at the site of injection,

 $271\ 00:11:12.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.930$  it's something that increases

272 00:11:13.930 --> 00:11:15.770 your inflammatory response actually

273 00:11:15.770 --> 00:11:17.900 to kind of stimulate your immune system

274 00:11:17.900 --> 00:11:19.720 into recognizing those antigens

275 00:11:19.720 --> 00:11:22.360 and developing an immune response against them.

 $276\ 00:11:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.360$  So there's subunit vaccines.

 $277\ 00{:}11{:}24{.}360$  -->  $00{:}11{:}27{.}447$  So the fourth class here is a weak-ened/inactivated vaccine.

278 00:11:27.447 --> 00:11:30.240 And so this is, I think, what most people like what

279 00:11:30.240 --> 00:11:32.980 my grandparents probably think all vaccines are,

 $280\ 00:11:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.270$  is basically we take a pathogen

281 00:11:35.270 --> 00:11:38.530 and we weaken it in some way, or we kill it, right?

 $282\ 00:11:38.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.180$  And then that's the construct of the vaccine  $283\ 00:11:40.180 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.130$  and that's what's injected into you.

284 00:11:42.130 --> 00:11:45.440 And we go through this similar process there 285 00:11:45.440 --> 00:11:47.280 that literally mimics natural infection, right? 286 00:11:47.280 --> 00:11:51.230 Where your cells are infected by this weakened form

 $287\ 00:11:51.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.070$  of the virus, the virus replicates,

288 00:11:53.070 --> 00:11:55.810 and that's how we get antigens to the APCs.

289 00:11:55.810 --> 00:11:57.540 So this is the construct used in of course

290 00:11:57.540 --> 00:12:01.400 some classic vaccines like MMR, polio vaccine,

291 00:12:01.400 --> 00:12:02.970 but again, it's slower manufacturing, right?

 $292\ 00:12:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.490$  Because we have to cultivate the virus

 $293\ 00:12:04.490 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.280$  in the lab and then it also requires adjuvants.

294 00:12:07.280 --> 00:12:10.110 So I don't think there's currently any plans

 $295\ 00:12:10.110 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.150$  to have US phase three trials

296 00:12:12.150 --> 00:12:15.480 of weaken inactivated vaccines, but there are in China.

297 00:12:15.480 --> 00:12:17.383 So Sinopharm and Sinovac vaccines

 $298\ 00:12:17.383 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.033$  were using this construct.

299 00:12:20.554 --> 00:12:23.630 So that's just a bit of a background in immunology

300 00:12:23.630 --> 00:12:26.460 and how all this works and how we think about preventing

301 00:12:26.460 --> 00:12:29.460 infection with SARS-CoV-2 and hopefully preventing

302 00:12:29.460 --> 00:12:32.090 clinical disease COVID-19 disease.

 $303\ 00:12:32.090 -> 00:12:33.740$  So now we're gonna segue to talk a little bit

 $304\ 00:12:33.740 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.590$  about the vaccine development process, right?

 $305\ 00:12:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.510$  'Cause this has all happened extremely fast.

 $306\ 00:12:37.510 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.340$  So let's talk about sort of the process whereby

307 00:12:40.340 --> 00:12:43.310 vaccine products are typically brought to market, right.

308 00:12:43.310 --> 00:12:44.810 And what looks a little bit different

309 00:12:44.810 --> 00:12:49.080 about the COVID-19 vaccine development process?

310 00:12:49.080 --> 00:12:52.350 So this is a figure from a nice New England journal paper

311 00:12:52.350 --> 00:12:53.650 that's referenced at the bottom

312 00:12:53.650 --> 00:12:55.560 that's just talking about sort of what's different

313 00:12:55.560 --> 00:12:57.730 this go around in terms of how are we accelerating

 $314\ 00:12:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.730$  the vaccine development process.

 $315\ 00:12:59.730 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.120$  And so I think as biostatisticians,

316 00:13:01.120 --> 00:13:04.101 anyone who works on clinical trials is fairly familiar

317 00:13:04.101 --> 00:13:05.890 with the traditional paradigm

 $318\ 00:13:05.890 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.350$  for bringing products to market, right.

319 00:13:08.350 --> 00:13:11.020 It involves sort of a lot of R&D

 $320\ 00:13:11.020 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.900$  in the lab, preclinical work

 $321\ 00{:}13{:}12.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15.820$  and then you start doing human trials in phase one,

 $322\ 00:13:15.820 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.380$  these are small dose finding safety trials,

 $323\ 00:13:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.780$  checking whether these vaccines

324 00:13:20.780 --> 00:13:22.940 generate any immune response.

325 00:13:22.940 --> 00:13:25.340 And then what we'll often do is in vaccine trials

326 00:13:25.340 --> 00:13:27.100 is run a small randomized trial.

327 00:13:27.100 --> 00:13:28.580 That's a phase two trial, right?

328 00:13:28.580 --> 00:13:31.060 We're we'll have a placebo control,

329 00:13:31.060 --> 00:13:33.855 maybe pick out a particularly high risk population

 $330\ 00:13:33.855 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.280$  and start to see if we're getting

 $331\ 00:13:35.280 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.100$  any efficacy signal, right?

332 00:13:37.100 --> 00:13:38.970 And this is a very deliberate process, right?

333 00:13:38.970 --> 00:13:41.000 Phase one typically advances very slowly.

 $334\ 00:13:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.380$  We have lots of safety concerns.

335 00:13:42.380 --> 00:13:45.420 Phase two, we think very hard about whether the efficacy

336 00:13:45.420 --> 00:13:47.750 signal was really worth it to advance a candidate to

337 00:13:47.750 --> 00:13:50.800 phase three and it's a very deliberate process, right?

 $338\ 00:13:50.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.010$  To get to this phase three licensure trial, right?  $339\ 00:13:53.010 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.560$  So the phase three trial is the big one involving  $340\ 00:13:55.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.530$  the most participants.

341 00:13:56.530 --> 00:13:59.900 It's a randomized controlled trial, right?

342 00:13:59.900 --> 00:14:02.060 Enrolling many, many subjects that's well powered

343 00:14:02.060 --> 00:14:04.460 to detect ethicacy signals and based on the results

344 $00{:}14{:}04{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06{.}900$  of that phase three trial and safety data

345 00:14:06.900 --> 00:14:08.260 that's been accumulated throughout

 $346\ 00:14:08.260 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.830$  this whole process, right.

347 00:14:09.830 --> 00:14:13.690 We're able to provide licensure ideally for a product.

348 00:14:13.690 --> 00:14:16.510 And so that's sort of the clinical development process,

349 $00{:}14{:}16{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}18{.}760$  but also in the context of COVID vaccines

 $350\ 00:14:18.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.640$  it's important to think about

 $351\ 00:14:19.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.377$  the manufacturing process, right.

 $352\ 00{:}14{:}21.377$  -->  $00{:}14{:}23.050$  And how that looks a little bit different.

353 00:14:23.050 --> 00:14:27.010 So typically right, companies are very sort of hesitant

354 00:14:27.010 --> 00:14:30.640 to scale up manufacturing before they know that they have

 $355\ 00:14:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.980$  a product that will be licensed, right.

356 00:14:31.980 --> 00:14:34.040 Which makes sense, you know, they're sort of risk averse.

357 00:14:34.040 --> 00:14:35.710 We don't want to start manufacturing a product

358 00:14:35.710 --> 00:14:38.780 that may ultimately be shot down by the FDA.

 $359\ 00:14:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.320$  So really large scale manufacturing

 $360\ 00:14:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.670$  is not happening until after product licensure.

361 00:14:43.670 --> 00:14:45.010 So what's happening with COVID vaccine

 $362\ 00:14:45.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.620$  is basically this whole long deliberate timeline

363 00:14:48.620 --> 00:14:51.650 is being compressed into a shorter time period.

 $364\ 00:14:51.650 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.370$  And so how do we do that?

365 00:14:53.370 --> 00:14:55.680 Well, basically what happens is we've collapsed

 $366\ 00:14:55.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.650$  the phase one and phase two trials, right?

367 00:14:57.650 --> 00:14:59.790 So we're doing small safety studies.

368 00:14:59.790  $\rightarrow$  00:15:01.320 We're checking whether these vaccines

 $369\ 00:15:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.840$  are generating immune responses,

370 00:15:02.840 --> 00:15:05.956 but we're really not doing that smaller efficacy study

 $371\ 00:15:05.956 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.150$  that is typical of vaccine development.

372 00:15:10.150 --> 00:15:12.710 And so we're collapsing the phase one and two process,

373 00:15:12.710 --> 00:15:14.770 the phase three process is where we're at, right.

 $374\ 00:15:14.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.420$  We're doing these large scale trials, right?

375 00:15:16.420 --> 00:15:18.607 Because we need robust efficacy data

376 00:15:18.607 --> 00:15:21.520 and we need robust safety data to gain licensure,

377 00:15:21.520 --> 00:15:23.870 but a big thing that has changed, so the clinical process

378 00:15:23.870 --> 00:15:26.770 yeah a little bit compressed, but mostly the same,

 $379\ 00:15:26.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.740$  the big thing that's changed

 $380\ 00:15:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.820$  is the manufacturing process, right.

381 00:15:29.820 --> 00:15:33.250 Is we wann<br/>a make sure that once a vaccine is licensed

382 00:15:33.250 --> 00:15:36.500 and is proven to be safe and effective that we're able

 $383\ 00:15:36.500 \rightarrow 00:15:38.530$  to start distributing that vaccine immediately.

384 00:15:38.530 --> 00:15:40.830 So that means that manufacturing needs to start ramping

 $385\ 00:15:40.830 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.841$  up right before we ever have a signal of efficacy  $386\ 00:15:44.841 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.490$  and that's a huge risk for companies to take.

387 00:15:47.490 --> 00:15:51.190 So, I'll talk in a couple of slides about sort of how

388 00:15:51.190 --> 00:15:53.630 the government has come in to try to remove 389 00:15:53.630 --> 00:15:56.180 some of that risk from these companies

390 00:15:56.180 --> 00:15:58.670 and then the next slide I think is just showing sort of

391 00:15:58.670 --> 00:16:00.610 that it's really impressive that we're even talking

392 00:16:00.610 --> 00:16:04.770 about potentially having a COVID vaccine available this year

393 00:16:04.770 --> 00:16:07.630 or early next year, just given the timelines

394 00:16:07.630 --> 00:16:10.537 that are required to bring effective vaccines to market.

395 00:16:10.537 --> 00:16:12.600 And so here's just a few, you know,

396 00:16:12.600 --> 00:16:14.160 polio, measles, chickenpox, mumps,

397 00:16:14.160 --> 00:16:18.040 all multiple years of development for these vaccines,

 $398\ 00:16:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.460$  you could add malaria on this list.

399 00:16:19.460 --> 00:16:20.490 It took about 30 years

 $400\ 00{:}16{:}20{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24{.}210$  to get a partially effective malaria vaccine to market.

401 00:16:24.210 --> 00:16:26.373 So this is typically a very long process, right?

402 00:16:26.373 --> 00:16:29.180 And for COVID, we're looking at hopefully doing this

 $403\ 00:16:29.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.376$  in just under a year or two.

404 00:16:31.376 --> 00:16:34.520 So how is the US government playing a role in this?

 $405\ 00{:}16{:}34{.}520$  -->  $00{:}16{:}37{.}050$  Well, it's through this program that you may have heard of

406 00:16:37.050 --> 00:16:39.020 called Operation Warp Speed,

407 00:16:39.020 --> 00:16:43.840 which is this huge convoluted mess of an amalgamation

 $408\ 00:16:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.350$  of programs across the government

 $409\ 00{:}16{:}45{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49{.}810$  from DOD to many branches of NIH, BARDA, NIAID,

410 00:16:49.810 --> 00:16:51.470 so it's sort of all over the place.

 $411\ 00:16:51.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.490$  And this is really just the same figure

412 00:16:54.490 --> 00:16:56.946 that I showed you from the New England journal paper.

 $413\ 00:16:56.946 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.940$  Just maybe a slightly more confusing

414 00:17:00.940 --> 00:17:02.770 if you ask me, I don't think Edward Tufte,

415 00:17:02.770 --> 00:17:04.630 he would be a big fan of graphic

416 00:17:04.630 --> 00:17:07.000 but the point here I want to mention

417 00:17:07.000  $\rightarrow 00:17:09.500$  is how is the government responding

418 00:17:09.500 --> 00:17:10.990 to COVID vaccine development?

 $419\ 00:17:10.990 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.650$  How are they contributing to that process?

420 00:17:12.650 --> 00:17:14.640 Well, there's really two ways that they've offered

 $421\ 00:17:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.590$  to accelerate the process.

 $422\ 00:17:16.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.910$  The first is through funding

 $423\ 00:17:18.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.140$  of phase three clinical trials, right?

424 00:17:21.140 --> 00:17:23.750 So a number of companies, six of the major companies,

425 00:17:23.750 --> 00:17:25.960 basically every company that's running a phase three trial

426 00:17:25.960 --> 00:17:29.630 in the US besides Pfizer that you've heard about

 $427\ 00:17:29.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.640$  is contracting with BARDA.

 $428\ 00:17:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.570$  That's an arm of the NIH,

 $429\ 00:17:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.060$  they're contracting with the government

 $430\;00{:}17{:}36.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}38.580$  to have the government fund their phase three trials.

431 00:17:38.580 --> 00:17:40.450 So it's a joint agreement between the government

432 00:17:40.450  $\rightarrow$  00:17:42.140 and these companies where the government,

433 00:17:42.140 --> 00:17:44.930 you the taxpayer, right, are paying for these 434 00:17:44.930 --> 00:17:48.550 phase three trials that will eventually lead to licensure.

435 $00{:}17{:}48.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.300$  So that's the first way that the government

 $436\ 00:17:50.300 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.464$  is sort of throwing money at this problem.

 $437~00{:}17{:}52{.}464{\:--}{>}00{:}17{:}55{.}850$  It's through design and paying for these phase three trials.

 $438\ 00:17:55.850 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.640$  The second way is that they're paying

439 00:17:57.640 --> 00:17:58.730 for manufacturing, right?

440 00:17:58.730 --> 00:18:01.120 They're removing that risk for these companies

441 00:18:01.120 --> 00:18:03.810 by basically committing to buy a certain number of doses

 $442\ 00:18:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.810$  before we ever have any efficacy data.

443 00:18:05.810 --> 00:18:08.025 So we're in the hole basically to all of these companies

444 00:18:08.025 -> 00:18:10.540 for a fixed number of doses right.

445 00:18:10.540 --> 00:18:12.900 But that motivates the companies then to scale up

 $446\ 00:18:12.900 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.813$  their manufacturing ahead of the time

447 00:18:14.813 -> 00:18:16.693 that efficacy data are available.

 $448\ 00:18:17.527$  --> 00:18:19.510 And that type of agreement has been entered

449 00:18:19.510  $\rightarrow 00:18:20.900$  into with Pfizer as well.

 $450\;00{:}18{:}20{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24{.}460$  So all of these companies that OWS Operation Warp Speed

 $451\ 00:18:24.460 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.550$  is running the phase three trials for

 $452\ 00:18:26.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.980$  also have this manufacturing agreement.

453 00:18:28.980 --> 00:18:31.380 Pfizer has that manufacturing agreement as well.

454 00:18:33.130 --> 00:18:37.730 So what role have I played in any of this big messy thing?

455 00:18:37.730 --> 00:18:40.580 So I work with a great group of scientists

456 00:18:40.580 --> 00:18:42.520 in the COVID-19 Prevention Network.

 $457\ 00:18:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.450$  So this was a clinical trials network established

458 00:18:45.450 --> 00:18:48.056 by National Institute of Allergies and Infectious Disease

459 00:18:48.056 --> 00:18:50.780 and NIAID so that's an arm of NIH,

 $460\;00{:}18{:}50.780\;{-}{>}\;00{:}18{:}54.060$  and it's basically anyone who works in clinical trials

461 00:18:54.060  $\rightarrow 00:18:55.010$  is fairly familiar

 $462\ 00:18:55.010 \rightarrow 00:18:56.840$  with these clinical trials networks, right?

 $463\ 00{:}18{:}56{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}00{.}700$  It's an amalgamation of researchers and study sites,

464 00:19:00.700 --> 00:19:04.390 laboratories, people who focus on recruitment and retention

465 00:19:04.390 --> 00:19:06.720 of trial participants, statisticians.

466 00:19:06.720 --> 00:19:08.750 So it's researchers who are really experts

467 00:19:08.750 --> 00:19:10.270 in running clinical trials,

 $468\ 00:19:10.270 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.290$  designing clinical trials

 $469\ 00:19:12.290 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.070$  and ensuring their robust conduct.

470 00:19:15.070 --> 00:19:18.930 So the CoVPN was formed by basically leveraging

471 00:19:18.930 --> 00:19:20.590 four existing clinical trials networks.

472 00:19:20.590 --> 00:19:21.770 One of which I was a part of,

473 00:19:21.770  $\rightarrow 00:19:23.820$  which is the HIV vaccine trials network.

474 00:19:23.820 --> 00:19:27.040 And so from our group, we've really brought a great group

475 00:19:27.040 --> 00:19:29.700 of statisticians, many of whom are at the Fred Hutch

476 00:19:29.700 --> 00:19:34.480 in Seattle as well as great groups of laboratories at U Dub.

 $477\ 00:19:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.810$  And so what are the roles

 $478\ 00:19:35.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.530$  that we're playing in these trials?

479 00:19:37.530 --> 00:19:40.117 So in our statistical group,

480 00:19:40.117 --> 00:19:44.330 there's a couple of statisticians who are designated

 $481\ 00:19:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.320$  as like CoVPN representatives

 $482\ 00:19:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.880$  for each of these phase three trials.

483 00:19:47.880  $\rightarrow 00:19:52.680$  So I sit on calls with these trials and advise

484 $00{:}19{:}52.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}54.950$  on their design and analysis approaches

 $485\ 00{:}19{:}54{.}950$  -->  $00{:}19{:}57{.}610$  for their efficacy monitoring, for their safety monitoring.

486 00:19:57.610 --> 00:20:01.114 We help them address DSMB and FDA comments

487 00:20:01.114 --> 00:20:03.660 and sort of that's all happening in conjunction

 $488\ 00:20:03.660 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.250$  with both government statisticians, right.

489 00:20:06.250 --> 00:20:09.170 Representatives of BARDA and NIAID

 $490\ 00:20:10.010 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.930$  as well as company statisticians.

491 00:20:11.930 --> 00:20:14.470 And so we get on these calls and, you know,

492 00:20:14.470 --> 00:20:16.450 nerd out over clinical trials,

493 00:20:16.450 --> 00:20:20.630 statistical decision-making, and it's a good old time.

494 00:20:20.630 --> 00:20:23.660 Another aspect that we really contribute a lot on,

495 00:20:23.660 --> 00:20:26.170 or that CoVPN has sort of been tasked with taking

496 00:20:26.170 --> 00:20:28.870 the lead on is the development of immune correlates.

497 00:20:28.870 --> 00:20:30.590 And so that's the part of my talk

 $498\ 00:20:30.590 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.100$  where I'll get a little bit into statistics

499 00:20:32.100 --> 00:20:34.060 and talking about what immune correlates are,

 $500\ 00{:}20{:}34.060$  -->  $00{:}20{:}35.720$  some of the types of analytic approaches

 $501\ 00{:}20{:}35{.}720$  -->  $00{:}20{:}38{.}253$  we use to study those and the idea of immune correlates

 $502\ 00:20:38.253 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.880$  just to give you a teaser

503 00:20:39.880 --> 00:20:41.710 so you don't, you know, sign off Zoom early.

 $504~00{:}20{:}41.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.430$  So immune correlates are really the idea there is

 $505\ 00{:}20{:}45{.}430$  -->  $00{:}20{:}48{.}360$  we're looking for immune responses that are predictive

 $506\ 00:20:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.550$  of the vaccines working, right.

507 00:20:51.550 --> 00:20:54.270 So what we'd really like to be able to do is understand,

 $508\ 00:20:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.040$  okay, if we're able to generate this level

 $509\ 00:20:56.040 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.810$  of neutralizing antibody,

510 00:20:57.810 --> 00:21:00.174 then that will lead to this level of protective effect

 $511\ 00:21:00.174 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.810$  of the vaccine, right?

 $512\ 00:21:01.810 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.960$  So that's the whole goal there is identifying

 $513\ 00:21:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.441$  what are these immune responses that are

 $514\ 00:21:05.441 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.443$  responsible for providing protection?

515 00:21:08.443 --> 00:21:11.410 Okay so I'm gonna walk through just a few of the design

 $516\ 00:21:11.410 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.243$  and analysis questions.

 $517\ 00:21:12.243 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.160$  And so these are things that have come up

518 00:21:14.160 --> 00:21:15.990 as we've worked with these company statisticians,

519 00:21:15.990 --> 00:21:19.630 as we thought about sort of the whole OWS vaccine program,

 $520\ 00:21:19.630$  --> 00:21:21.860 what are some of the issues that statisticians  $521\ 00:21:21.860$  --> 00:21:24.120 are kicking around and people who have worked

522 00:21:24.120 --> 00:21:24.990 on clinical trials, right,

 $523\ 00:21:24.990 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.140$  a lot of these issues aren't gonna be new

524 00:21:27.140 --> 00:21:30.330 and one thing that I think is sort of interesting about this

525 00:21:30.330 --> 00:21:33.670 whole pandemic and operating as a public health professional

 $526\ 00{:}21{:}33.670$  -->  $00{:}21{:}36.974$  in this and a clinical trial statistician in particular,

 $527\ 00:21:36.974 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.130$  is that a lot of things that we take for granted

 $528\ 00:21:39.130 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.210$  as scientists are either very confusing

529 00:21:42.210 --> 00:21:44.947 or sort of counterintuitive for a lot of the lay public.

530 00:21:44.947 --> 00:21:48.014 And so it's been sort of interesting to have that laid bare.

531 00:21:48.014  $\rightarrow$  00:21:49.810 In some of these issues, some of these things 532 00:21:49.810  $\rightarrow$  00:21:52.620 that we think are no-brainers like doing in-

terim analysis

533 00:21:52.620 --> 00:21:55.760 for example are kind of highly controversial

 $534\ 00:21:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.060$  and have ended up being, you know,

 $535\ 00:21:57.060 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.350$  sort of areas of huge disputes.

536 00:21:59.350 --> 00:22:01.380 And so I just want to run through some of these issues

537 00:22:01.380 --> 00:22:03.730 that I think are quite fascinating, a lot of which,

538 00:22:03.730 --> 00:22:05.880 you know, really don't have a correct answer 539 00:22:05.880 --> 00:22:07.330 and they're really just sort of food for thought 540 00:22:07.330 --> 00:22:09.153 the types of things that we're thinking about 541 00:22:09.153 --> 00:22:11.460 when we're designing these trials.

542 00:22:11.460 --> 00:22:15.620 So I'll start by just giving a sort of more specific idea

543 00:22:15.620 --> 00:22:17.870 of what these trials look like and how they're conducted

544 00:22:17.870 --> 00:22:19.900 and I've picked AstraZeneca because that's the one

545 00:22:19.900 --> 00:22:22.600 I've worked on for the longest and most closely, 546 00:22:22.600 --> 00:22:25.886 but all of the trials sort of follow this similar design.

 $547\ 00:22:25.886 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.080$  And so the first thing I'll note

548 00:22:27.080  $\rightarrow 00:22:28.660$  is that you can read these trial protocols.

549 $00{:}22{:}28.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.230$  So one of the interesting things that's happened

 $550\ 00:22:31.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.370$  in this COVID-19 development processes

551 00:22:33.370 --> 00:22:36.400 is there was a huge public push led by like Eric Topol

 $552\ 00:22:36.400$  --> 00:22:39.420 and others to have the protocols of these trials  $553\ 00:22:39.420$  --> 00:22:42.920 made public, which when it happened was I guess

554 00:22:42.920 --> 00:22:45.220 when that push started happening, you know, 555 00:22:45.220 --> 00:22:46.660 I emailed all my colleagues and said,

556 00:22:46.660 --> 00:22:49.860 really do we not usually make protocols public?

557 00:22:49.860 --> 00:22:51.490 And that was just sort of interesting disconnect

558 00:22:51.490 --> 00:22:53.860 for me as an academic who's used to sort of everything

559 00:22:53.860 --> 00:22:56.920 being open science and that's a no brainer right.

560 00:22:56.920 --> 00:22:58.050 Working in this setting, right,

561 00:22:58.050 --> 00:23:00.489 where these protocols are really seen as trade secrets

 $562\ 00:23:00.489 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.940$  for pharmaceutical companies.

563 00:23:01.940 --> 00:23:04.790 So it's really unusual that actually these protocols

 $564\ 00:23:04.790 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.300$  for clinical trials have been made public.

565 00:23:06.300 --> 00:23:09.150 So it's sort of neat, but one of the things that happened

566 00:23:09.150 --> 00:23:12.010 is all of these protocols went public and reporters

 $567\ 00:23:12.010 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.380$  got their hands on them and said, wow,

 $568\ 00:23:13.380 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.440$  these are really dense documents, right?

569 00:23:15.440 --> 00:23:17.628 If you've ever looked at the clinical trial protocol,

 $570~00{:}23{:}17.628$  -->  $00{:}23{:}21.460$  it's like a hundred pages of very specific definitions

571 00:23:21.460 --> 00:23:23.720 and safety monitoring and what symptoms lists

572 00:23:23.720 --> 00:23:25.840 you're gonna use and what surveys

573 00:23:25.840 --> 00:23:26.673 you're gonna give to people.

574 00:23:26.673 --> 00:23:28.350 So they're very sort of detailed documents

 $575\ 00:23:28.350 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.810$  that are kind of hard for the public to parse.

576 00:23:31.810 --> 00:23:34.430 So it's been sort of a be careful what you wish for thing

 $577\ 00:23:34.430 \dashrightarrow 00:23:37.530$  in terms of releasing these protocols, but that's an aside.

578 00:23:37.530 --> 00:23:40.030 So let's talk about actually what these trials look like.

579 00:23:40.030 --> 00:23:41.560 So here's a schematic, and again,

 $580\ 00:23:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.750$  this is AstraZeneca in particular,

 $581\ 00{:}23{:}43.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}47.230$  but this is basically the design of most of these trials

 $582\ 00:23:47.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.390$  will look something like this.

 $583\ 00:23:48.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.020$  So who is the population?

584 00:23:50.020 --> 00:23:52.840 Most of these trials are gonna be primarily in adults.

585 00:23:52.840 --> 00:23:54.520 I think Pfizer has now started

 $586\ 00:23:54.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.930$  to talk about including children.

587 00:23:56.930 --> 00:23:58.684 I'm not exactly sure where that's happening,

 $588\ 00:23:58.684 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.952$  but adults for the most part,

589 00:24:00.952 --> 00:24:04.810 these are mostly healthy individuals

590 00:24:04.810  $\rightarrow$  00:24:07.310 that don't have, you know, chronic diseases

 $591\ 00:24:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.970$  that are at risk or high risk of death.

 $592~00{:}24{:}09{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11{.}890$  And we're really looking at targeting individuals

593 00:24:11.890 --> 00:24:15.300 who are at an increased risk for SARS-CoV-2 acquisition

 $594\ 00:24:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.150$  and severe COVID disease

 $595\ 00:24:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.100$  and so the idea there is number one

 $596\ 00:24:19.100 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.420$  these are the people that are bearing

597 00:24:20.420 --> 00:24:22.520 the brunt of the pandemic, right?

598 00:24:22.520 --> 00:24:25.500 So we want to be able to get a product to those people

 $599\ 00:24:25.500 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.520$  as fast as possible.

60000:24:26.520 --> 00:24:28.603 But number two also, right, that means that we'll accrure

601 00:24:28.603 --> 00:24:32.070 from a sort of cold hearted and statistician point of view

 $602\ 00:24:32.070 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.390$  that means we'll accrue end points faster.

 $603\ 00{:}24{:}34{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37{.}030$  We'll observe more cases of COVID-19 disease

60400:24:37.030 --> 00:24:39.550 and potentially get an efficacy signal a little bit faster.

 $605\ 00:24:39.550$  --> 00:24:42.500 So there's a lot of interest in sort of recruiting  $606\ 00:24:42.500$  --> 00:24:45.050 and retaining individuals at high risk for COVID-19.

607 00:24:45.050 --> 00:24:47.510 So you can go onto the COVID-19 prevention trials network

 $608\ 00:24:47.510 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.050$  and fill out a survey, right.

 $609\ 00:24:49.050 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.500$  Then we'll basically under the hood

610 00:24:50.500 --> 00:24:52.530 assess your risk for COVID-19

611 00:24:52.530 --> 00:24:53.740 and if you're found to be at high risk,

612 00:24:53.740 --> 00:24:55.400 we'll aggressively email you and try to get you

 $613\ 00:24:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.580$  enrolled in one of these trials.

614 00:24:56.580 --> 00:24:57.413 If you're at low risk,

 $615\ 00:24:57.413 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.040$  we'll say, thanks for taking the survey,

 $616\ 00:24:59.040 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.610$  we'll be in touch and likely

 $617\ 00:25:00.610 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.474$  you won't hear from us anytime soon.

 $618\ 00:25:03.474 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.390$  Okay so that's the trial population.

 $619\ 00:25:05.390 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.160$  So how does the actual trial conduct look?

620 00:25:07.160 --> 00:25:09.830 So there's kind of a mixture here.

 $621\ 00{:}25{:}09{.}830$  -->  $00{:}25{:}12{.}630$  AstraZeneca is using a two to one randomization scheme.

 $622\ 00{:}25{:}12.630$  -->  $00{:}25{:}15.928$  So you have two chances of getting the active vaccine

 $623\ 00:25:15.928 \rightarrow 00:25:18.190$  versus one chance of getting a placebo.

624 00:25:18.190 --> 00:25:21.500 And in this case, it's a true placebo, just a saline dose

 $625~00{:}25{:}21.500$  -->  $00{:}25{:}25.570$  and then most of the vaccines, most all with Janssen

62600:25:25.570 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:25:27.990 being the accepted are two dose vaccines.

 $627\ 00:25:27.990 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.770$  So you receive the first dose at day one

 $628\ 00{:}25{:}29{.}770$  -->  $00{:}25{:}32{.}270$  and the second dose about a month later.

 $629\ 00{:}25{:}32{.}270$  -->  $00{:}25{:}34{.}110$  And in the interim, we take a couple of measurements.

63000:25:34.110 --> 00:25:36.710 We have a phone call to assess reactogenicity right.

631 00:25:37.964 --> 00:25:41.080 Does your arm hurt, or have you experienced any adverse side

632 00:25:41.080 --> 00:25:44.010 effects of the first dose of vaccine?

633 00:25:44.010 --> 00:25:46.240 And then there's also an immune response measurement

 $634\ 00:25:46.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.550$  that happens after a couple of days.

 $635\ 00:25:47.550 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.020$  So we get an early signal

636 $00{:}25{:}49{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51{.}247$  of how immunogenetic these vaccines are.

637 00:25:51.247 --> 00:25:53.310 And so then individuals come in for their second dose

638 00:25:53.310 --> 00:25:55.100 of vaccine and it's a similar story, right?

 $639\ 00:25:55.100 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.690$  Did you have any reactions?

64000:25:56.690 --> 00:25:59.440 We measure your immune response and after that,

641 00:25:59.440 --> 00:26:02.000 that's sort of when the clock starts for active follow-ups.

642 00:26:02.000 --> 00:26:06.193 So this day 57, that's two weeks roughly after,

643 00:26:07.450 --> 00:26:08.440 am I doing that math right?

644 00:26:08.440 --> 00:26:11.810 Well, it looks like roughly two weeks after the second dose

 $645\ 00:26:11.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.810$  of the vaccine is typically when this clock

646 00:26:13.810 --> 00:26:16.690 is gonna start and we're gonna start counting COVID events.

647 00:26:16.690 --> 00:26:21.050 And then it's sort of just the standard sort of game we play

648 00:26:21.050 --> 00:26:21.883 in clinical trials.

 $649\ 00:26:21.883 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.310$  We wait for events to accrue.

 $650\ 00:26:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.930$  We have certain monitoring plan

 $651\ 00:26:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.840$  for when we're gonna check for efficacy

 $652\ 00:26:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.020$  and we'll talk about some of that.

65300:26:28.020 --> 00:26:30.350 So, I just want to note that there's sort of two ways

 $654\ 00:26:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.590$  that we're ascertaining events

 $655\ 00:26:31.590 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.090$  that are happening here, right?

 $656\ 00:26:33.090 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.840$  The first is passive monitoring.

657 00:26:34.840 --> 00:26:37.030 What that means is we basically wait for individuals

658 00:26:37.030 --> 00:26:39.230 to present with symptoms of COVID 19, right?

659 00:26:39.230 --> 00:26:41.400 So you get a cough, you lose taste, right?

660 00:26:41.400 --> 00:26:44.810 You call the study site, right?

661 00:26:44.810 --> 00:26:45.810 So I am having these symptoms.

 $662\ 00:26:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.910$  They say, come on in.

663 00:26:46.910 --> 00:26:49.642 You get a PCR test to see whether you're infected.

664 00:26:49.642 --> 00:26:51.310 And in that case, you would count

665 00:26:51.310 --> 00:26:52.900 as a COVID-19 endpoint, right?

666 00:26:52.900 --> 00:26:55.700 If you check off some check boxes for symptoms

667 00:26:55.700 --> 00:26:58.570 with COVID-19 disease, you have a PCR positive test.

668 00:26:58.570 --> 00:27:01.100 You'd go down as a COVID 19 endpoint.

669 00:27:01.100 --> 00:27:04.000 There's also these sort of active follow-up visits.

 $670\ 00:27:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.559$  So these like day 90, day, 180 and day 360,

 $671\ 00:27:07.559 \rightarrow 00:27:10.580$  and at those visits we'll do a serology check.

672 00:27:10.580 --> 00:27:12.560 And what that means is we basically take a blood draw

 $673\ 00:27:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.670$  and we measure whether you have antibodies

674 00:27:15.670 --> 00:27:18.640 against SARS-CoV-2, right, antibodies that are distinct

 $675\ 00:27:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.970$  from the antibodies that are generated

 $676\ 00:27:19.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.110$  in response to the vaccine.

677 00:27:21.110 --> 00:27:24.130 So we're basically able to tell whether you were infected

 $678\ 00:27:24.130 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.270$  in this sort of interim period,

 $679\ 00:27:26.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.740$  when you show up for these visits.

680 00:27:28.740 --> 00:27:30.290 So that's active follow up

 $681\ 00:27:30.290 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.270$  and so there you're gonna be able

 $682\ 00:27:31.270$  --> 00:27:33.390 to pick up sort of asymptomatic cases, right?  $683\ 00:27:33.390$  --> 00:27:35.940 'Cause if you never have symptoms, you'll never come in

 $684\ 00:27:35.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.040$  and be captured by passive followup.

 $685\ 00:27:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.070$  So we have to wait for these set clinic visits

 $686\ 00:27:40.070 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.720$  to do the serology testing,

 $687\ 00:27:41.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.820$  to ascertain it asymptomatic cases.

 $688\ 00:27:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.010$  And so this is gonna actually play a role

689 00:27:46.010 --> 00:27:47.600 in a little bit, when I started talking about, you know,

 $690\ 00{:}27{:}47.600$  -->  $00{:}27{:}50.110$  what are the end points that we're thinking about measuring?

 $691\ 00:27:50.110 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.530$  Like, what do we want to know how well

 $692\ 00:27:51.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.270$  the vaccine works at preventing?

693 00:27:53.270 --> 00:27:54.830 Is it asymptomatic infection?

 $694\ 00:27:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.990$  Is it disease?

 $695 \ 00:27:55.990 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.470$  Is it severe disease and so forth?

 $696\ 00:27:57.470 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.870$  So we'll talk through some of those issues,

697 00:27:58.870 --> 00:28:01.720 but just want to note already that the design has started

698 00:28:01.720 --> 00:28:04.350 to inform some of the challenges that we might see

69900:28:04.350 --> 00:28:06.510 when we want to talk about how well the vaccine works

700 00:28:06.510 --> 00:28:09.113 against certain forms of infection and disease. 701 00:28:10.220 --> 00:28:12.970 And so I think if you read the newspaper and you'll see

702 00:28:12.970 --> 00:28:15.290 the term vaccine efficacy tossed around a lot.

703 00:28:15.290 --> 00:28:16.830 So the first thing I want to talk about is right,

704 00:28:16.830 --> 00:28:19.080 what is the primary hypothesis

 $705\ 00:28:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.530$  that these trials are trying to test?

 $706\ 00:28:20.530 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.510$  And what is the parameter?

707 00:28:22.510 --> 00:28:24.820 What is the estimate, right, that they're going after

708 00:28:24.820 --> 00:28:26.550 in these trials and for whatever reason

709 00:28:26.550 --> 00:28:28.800 nobody consulted me when they decided that VE

710 00:28:28.800 - 00:28:30.933 would be measured in this way.

711 00:28:31.782 --> 00:28:35.220 But for whatever reason, we studied this that we quantify

712 00:28:35.220 --> 00:28:37.180 the efficacy of a vaccine in a sort of weird way.

713 00:28:37.180 --> 00:28:40.300 So a vaccine efficacy, we describe as the percent reduction

714 00:28:40.300 --> 00:28:43.040 in relative risk comparing vaccine to placebo.

715 00:28:43.040 --> 00:28:46.060 So it's this one minus a risk ratio.

716 00:28:46.060 --> 00:28:49.140 There's a one minus a risk ratio where you take the risk

717 00:28:49.140  $\rightarrow 00:28:51.300$  in the vaccine and the numerator and the risk

 $718\ 00:28:51.300 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.410$  in the placebo and the denominator.

719 00:28:53.410 --> 00:28:54.243 So, I mean,

 $720\ 00{:}28{:}54{.}243 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55{.}940$  we can just play a quick little intuitive game, right?

 $721\ 00:28:55.940 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.280$  How do we get a VE close

 $722\ 00:28:57.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.650$  to one that would be a perfect vaccine?

 $723\ 00:28:59.650 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.720$  Well, we would make the risk

 $724\ 00:29:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.840$  in the vaccine close to zero, right?

725 00:29:02.840 --> 00:29:03.780 So that sort<br/>a makes sense.

726 00:29:03.780 --> 00:29:05.640 If you have a perfectly effective vaccine,

727 00:29:05.640 --> 00:29:08.350 there'll be no risk of infection and or disease

 $728\ 00:29:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.310$  amongst the vaccinated.

 $729\ 00:29:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.210$  So you would get VE close to one.

730 00:29:11.210 --> 00:29:13.498 But on the other hand, how do we make VE zero?

 $731\ 00:29:13.498 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.660$  Well, we would take the risk in the vaccine

732 00:29:15.660 --> 00:29:18.050 and set it equal to the risk in the placebo, right.

733 00:29:18.050 --> 00:29:20.680 In which case basically saying the vaccine's not doing

 $734\ 00:29:20.680 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.620$  anything and then on the other hand,

735 00:29:22.620 --> 00:29:23.860 a VE is negative, right?

736 00:29:23.860 --> 00:29:26.040 That's indicating that there's actually higher risk

737 00:29:26.040 --> 00:29:27.890 in the vaccine.

738 00:29:27.890 --> 00:29:30.840 So just to give you sort of a few reference points, right?

739 00:29:30.840 --> 00:29:34.200 So that VE of one is perfect, VE of zero is nothing

740 00:29:34.200 --> 00:29:37.590 and what we're really hoping for with these COVID trials

741 00:29:37.590 --> 00:29:39.730 is a VE of at least 50%.

742 00:29:39.730 --> 00:29:42.460 And that's sort of the cutoff that FDA guidance

743 00:29:42.460 --> 00:29:45.590 has stipulated is that you need to show a point estimate

744 00:29:45.590 --> 00:29:48.050 of VE for your primary end point.

745 $00{:}29{:}48.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}50.040$  And again, we'll talk about what these primary end points

746 00:29:50.040 --> 00:29:52.620 are but we need a VE against a primary end point

747 00:29:52.620 --> 00:29:54.147 of at least 50%

748 00:29:54.147 --> 00:29:59.147 and we need to definitively rule out the possibility

749 00:29:59.480 --> 00:30:02.250 that the vaccine efficacy is less than 30%.

 $750\ 00{:}30{:}02.250$  -->  $00{:}30{:}04.500$  So basically we have to reject the null hypothesis

751 00:30:04.500 --> 00:30:07.710 that VE is less than 30% along with having a point

752 00:30:07.710 --> 00:30:10.760 estimate of VE being greater than 50%, right.

753 00:30:10.760 --> 00:30:13.273 And we need to do that while controlling type one error

754 00:30:13.273 --> 00:30:14.863 at two and a half percent.

 $755\ 00:30:15.820 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.620$  Okay and so here, just one final note,

 $756\ 00:30:18.620 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.990$  since this is a statistics talk,

757 00:30:19.990 --> 00:30:21.210 I'll talk a little bit more

758 00:30:21.210 --> 00:30:23.110 about what I mean by risk, right?

759 00:30:23.110 --> 00:30:25.730 So risk here can be quantified in a number of ways

760 00:30:25.730 --> 00:30:26.563 and it often is.

761 00:30:26.563 --> 00:30:28.920 So we can quantify this using hazards, for example,

762 00:30:28.920 --> 00:30:31.210 like you can imagine fitting a Cox model, right.

763 00:30:31.210 --> 00:30:32.580 A proportional hazards model, right.

764 00:30:32.580 --> 00:30:34.720 That only adjusts for vaccine, right.

765 00:30:34.720 --> 00:30:36.880 And presenting like one minus a hazard ratio

766 00:30:36.880 --> 00:30:39.250 from a Cox model, that's something that's commonly done.

767 00:30:39.250 --> 00:30:41.430 You can also think about cumulative incidents, right?

768 00:30:41.430 --> 00:30:42.720 So like mapping,

769 00:30:42.720 --> 00:30:45.980 maybe one minus a survival probability as a way

770 00:30:45.980 --> 00:30:49.140 of quantifying risk, incidents rate ratios.

 $771\ 00:30:49.140 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.990$  So they're all sort of used for different vaccines.

 $772\ 00:30:51.990 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.530$  And usually we like to sort of argue

 $773\ 00:30:54.530 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.890$  about which one of these is better

 $774\ 00:30:55.890 \rightarrow 00:30:58.390$  and I've thought a lot about that in my career.

775 00:30:58.390 --> 00:31:00.140 And in this setting, it turns out because COVID

776 00:31:00.140 --> 00:31:02.930 is such a rare event that all of these ways of quantifying

777  $00:31:02.930 \rightarrow 00:31:05.220$  rates are basically the same and you end up

 $778\ 00:31:05.220$  --> 00:31:07.960 with almost identical operating characteristics of a trial.

779 00:31:07.960 --> 00:31:09.840 So it's really not worth sort of losing sleep over

780 00:31:09.840 --> 00:31:12.107 whether we're talking about VE in terms of hazard

781 00:31:12.107 --> 00:31:14.563 or incidents or incidents rate and so forth.

782 00:31:16.470 --> 00:31:18.830 So how are folks going about estimating this VE?

783 00:31:18.830 --> 00:31:21.950 Here's just a quick table of the four most advanced

 $784\ 00:31:21.950 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.783$  phase three trials,

785 00:31:22.783 --> 00:31:25.330 the ones that have released their protocols at least.

786 00:31:25.330 --> 00:31:28.200 So we see for Moderna, AstraZeneca, and Janssen,

787 00:31:28.200 --> 00:31:30.800 they're using pretty kind of the standard approaches.

788 00:31:30.800 --> 00:31:33.140 Moderna a Cox model as I describe,

789 00:31:33.140 --> 00:31:35.170 AstraZeneca a Poisson regression model,

790 00:31:35.170 --> 00:31:37.810 it's like, okay, that's basically a Cox model,

791 00:31:37.810 --> 00:31:40.660 and then Janssen is using a sort of exact binomial test

 $792\ 00:31:40.660 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.681$  with this sequential probability ratio rest.

 $793\ 00:31:43.681 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.320$  Pfizer is a little bit of the oddball.

794 00:31:46.320  $\rightarrow$  00:31:49.030 So they have stipulated a bayesian approach

795 00:31:49.030  $\rightarrow$  00:31:52.770 wherein they're basically specifying a prior

796 00:31:52.770  $\rightarrow 00:31:55.290$  for vaccine efficacy and are using sort of

797 00:31:55.290 --> 00:31:57.880 a beta-binomial bayesian approach to evaluate 798 00:31:57.880 --> 00:31:59.840 the posterior probability of the vaccine efficacy 799 00:31:59.840 --> 00:32:03.970 is greater than 30% and so at the end of the day,

 $800\ 00:32:03.970 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.530$  there's four different statistical methods here.

801 00:32:05.530 --> 00:32:08.850 Again, if you do a simulation study with parameters

 $802\ 00{:}32{:}08.850$  -->  $00{:}32{:}10.760$  that are approximately similar to what we expect to see

 $803\ 00:32:10.760 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.010$  in these COVID trials,

804 00:32:12.010 --> 00:32:13.930 you're really not gonna see much difference in terms

 $805\ 00:32:13.930 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.740$  of operating characteristics across these.

 $806\ 00:32:15.740 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.850$  So it's interesting to notice that assertions

 $807\ 00{:}32{:}17.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}19.410$  that there's these different approaches,

 $808\ 00:32:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.243$  but at the end of the day,

80900:32:20.243 --> 00:32:22.440 we're basically talking about how many vaccinated people

810 00:32:22.440 --> 00:32:25.080 get infected, how many place<br/>bo people got infected,

811 00:32:25.080 --> 00:32:27.410 and almost all of these methods are gonna yield

 $812\ 00:32:27.410 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.010$  very similar inference.

 $813\ 00:32:29.010 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.500$  When it comes down to brass tacks,

 $814\ 00:32:30.500 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.190$  how many numbers fall into those categories?

 $815\ 00:32:33.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.710$  So that's a little bit about sort of

 $816\ 00:32:35.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.080$  how we quantify VE in these settings

817 00:32:38.080 --> 00:32:39.920 but one of the big things I haven't described yet

 $818\ 00:32:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.880$  is VE against what, right?

819 00:32:41.880 --> 00:32:43.497 What is the end point that we're measuring here?

820 $00{:}32{:}43.497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47.280$  And so here's a figure from a paper we just had come out

821 00:32:47.280 --> 00:32:50.040 in Annals of Internal Medicine, the link's here.

 $822\ 00{:}32{:}50.040$  -->  $00{:}32{:}52.080$  So this is where we were spending a lot of time,

823 00:32:52.080 --> 00:32:54.230 you know, earlier this summer, thinking about,

 $824\ 00:32:54.230 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.920$  you know, what's the right end point,

 $825\ 00{:}32{:}55{.}920$  -->  $00{:}32{:}57{.}900$  what's the right end point for a primary analysis

 $826\ 00:32:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.257$  of the clinical trial.

 $827~00{:}32{:}59{.}257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}02{.}530$  And it's complicated for something like SARS-CoV-2, right?

828 00:33:02.530 --> 00:33:03.733 Because we know we can start up here

 $829\ 00:33:03.733 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.030$  with the SARS-CoV-2 infection, right?

830 00:33:07.030 --> 00:33:08.590 That's sort of the base, you can become infected

831 00:33:08.590  $\operatorname{-->}$  00:33:10.930 and then a number of things can happen, right?

832 00:33:10.930 --> 00:33:14.270 You can go on to be infected but develop no symptoms.

833 00:33:14.270 --> 00:33:16.750 So we would call that an asymptomatic infection,

 $834\ 00:33:16.750 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.410$  or you can develop symptoms, right.

 $835\ 00:33:18.410 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.700$  In which case we don't call you

836 00:33:19.700 --> 00:33:21.300 a SAR-CoV-2 infection anymore,

837 00:33:21.300 --> 00:33:24.880 we call you a COVID-19 disease endpoint.

838 00:33:24.880 --> 00:33:28.480 You have a clinical manifestation of your infection.

 $839\ 00:33:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.640$  But even beyond that, right,

840 00:33:29.640 --> 00:33:31.220 amongst people who exhibit symptoms

841 00:33:31.220 --> 00:33:34.380 some of them, maybe many of them are quite mild, right.

 $842\ 00{:}33{:}34{.}380$  -->  $00{:}33{:}37{.}580$  So we have this kind of category of non-severe COVID,

843 00:33:37.580 --> 00:33:40.730 whereas others we know that are extremely adversely

844 00:33:40.730 --> 00:33:45.330 impacted by infection and end up with severe COVID disease.

 $845\ 00:33:45.330 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.740$  So you have all of these choices of sort of

 $846\ 00:33:48.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.820$  which end points you might want to talk about

847 00:33:50.820 --> 00:33:53.050 and so I'll kind of walk through some what I see

848 00:33:53.050 --> 00:33:56.360 as the positives and negatives of this and then I'll also

849 00:33:56.360 --> 00:33:57.820 talk about this burden of disease

 $850\ 00:33:57.820 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.907$  very briefly end point that we've put together

 $851\ 00:34:00.907 -> 00:34:02.780$  and so that's kind of a composite end point

 $852\ 00{:}34{:}02.780$  -->  $00{:}34{:}05.000$  that we've suggested that could kind of bring all

 $853\ 00:34:05.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.170$  of these different end points together.

85400:34:07.170 --> 00:34:09.360 Okay so starting with SARS-CoV-2 infection, right?

85500:34:09.360 --> 00:34:12.390 Why might we like any sort of any infection, right.

856 00:34:12.390 --> 00:34:14.020 Asymptomatic, symptomatic don't care,

 $857\ 00:34:14.020 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.730$  let's count any infection as an event

 $858\ 00:34:16.730 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.660$  and measure VE against preventing infection.

859 00:34:19.660 --> 00:34:21.620 Okay and so that's definitely relevant, right.

 $860\ 00:34:21.620 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.940$  It's relevant the context of a pandemic.

861 00:34:23.940 --> 00:34:24.930 We're preventing infections,

 $862\ 00:34:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.520$  we're preventing spread of the disease,

 $863\ 00{:}34{:}26.520$  -->  $00{:}34{:}29.967$  we're bringing our knot down, we're impacting the pandemic.

864 00:34:29.967 --> 00:34:33.080 And moreover, we're going to see many more infections

 $865\ 00:34:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.580$  than we will cases of symptomatic disease.

 $866\ 00:34:35.580 \dashrightarrow 00:34:37.220$  We know that many people who were infected

 $867\ 00:34:37.220 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.110$  never go on to develop symptoms

868 00:34:39.110 --> 00:34:42.310 so thinking about having an answer faster, right.

869 00:34:42.310 --> 00:34:44.469 SARS-CoV-2 infection is a nice endpoint,

 $870\ 00:34:44.469 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.780$  but then the question is,

 $871\ 00:34:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.430$  is it a clinically relevant endpoint?

 $872\ 00{:}34{:}47{.}430$  -->  $00{:}34{:}52{.}085$  So it's really not describing an impact on patients at all.

873 00:34:52.085 --> 00:34:55.510 So we could kind of question its relevance

 $874\ 00:34:55.510 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.940$  from that perspective.

875 00:34:56.940 --> 00:34:58.710 The other thing, right, is that we remember going back

876 00:34:58.710 --> 00:35:01.080 to the study design, we're only able to ascertain

877 00:35:01.080 --> 00:35:05.270 asymptomatic infections sort of very coarsely in time

878 00:35:05.270 --> 00:35:08.930 and moreover you have this phenomenon that happens

879 00:35:08.930 --> 00:35:12.160 is that when you're testing many, many individuals, right.

880 00:35:12.160 --> 00:35:13.587 It's sort of the classic biostat

 $881\ 00:35:13.587 \rightarrow 00:35:15.530$  one-on-one problem that we give people, right.

 $882\ 00:35:15.530 \dashrightarrow> 00:35:18.870$  You're testing many individuals, but the prevalence is low.

883 00:35:18.870 --> 00:35:22.470 So even if you have high sensitivity and high specificity,

 $884\ 00:35:22.470$  --> 00:35:24.620 you could end up with low positive predictive value.

 $885\ 00{:}35{:}24.620$  -->  $00{:}35{:}28.480$  And the effect of that when you come to the time to analyze

 $886\ 00:35:28.480$  --> 00:35:31.320 the data is that you'll be biasing VE towards the knoll.

887 00:35:31.320 --> 00:35:35.065 So it's actually, while it seems like maybe a nice end point

 $888\ 00{:}35{:}35{.}065$  -->  $00{:}35{:}36{.}920$  from the perspective of observing many infections,

 $889\ 00:35:36.920$  --> 00:35:40.343 it's a very challenging endpoint to analyze quantitatively.

 $890\ 00:35:41.190 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.260$  So moving down we could talk about COVID.

891 00:35:43.260 --> 00:35:45.690 So again, COVID is just infection,

 $892\ 00{:}35{:}45{.}690$  -->  $00{:}35{:}48{.}880$  PCR confirmed infection with clinical symptoms.

893 00:35:48.880 --> 00:35:50.770 So that's of course more clinically relevant, right.

894 00:35:50.770 --> 00:35:52.560 Because we're starting to talk about

 $895\ 00{:}35{:}52{.}560$  -->  $00{:}35{:}56{.}830$  an impact, excuse me, the endpoint that impacts patients.

 $896~00{:}35{:}56{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00{.}090$  All right so that's more clinically relevant and moreover

897 00:36:00.090 --> 00:36:03.130 we'll expect to have a reasonable number of cases, right.

898 00:36:03.130 --> 00:36:06.410 By including more mild cases, for example,

899 00:36:06.410 --> 00:36:08.590 in this endpoint definition.

 $900\ 00:36:08.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.360$  But then on the other side of that coin

 $901\ 00:36:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.280$  is it really that clinically relevant

902 00:36:12.280 --> 00:36:14.540 if we're just talking about mild symptoms?

903 00:36:14.540 --> 00:36:16.010 We're talking about a disease where you get it

 $904\ 00:36:16.010$  --> 00:36:17.930 and you end up with a little cough for a couple of weeks

905 00:36:17.930 --> 00:36:19.350 and that's it.

906 00:36:19.350 --> 00:36:22.020 So then maybe you suggest using severe COVID right.

 $907\ 00:36:22.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.940$  That's the most clinically relevant one.

908 00:36:23.940 --> 00:36:26.420 We want to be protecting the most vulnerable individuals

909 00:36:26.420 --> 00:36:28.480 so we should be quantifying how well our vaccines

910 00:36:28.480 --> 00:36:33.130 work towards preventing those most severe end points.

911 00:36:33.130 --> 00:36:34.990 And so most clinically relevant,

 $912\ 00:36:34.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.930$  and also there's sort of a long history

913 00:36:36.930 --> 00:36:40.000 of vaccine development where really we see the best VE

 $914\ 00:36:40.000 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.890$  against severe cases of disease.

 $915\ 00:36:42.890 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.740$  So that's really where we expect the vaccines

916 00:36:44.740 --> 00:36:47.150 to have the most impact is maybe we are not preventing

917 00:36:47.150 --> 00:36:50.580 you from being infected but we're less ening the symptoms

 $918\ 00:36:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.410$  once you become infected.

919 00:36:52.410  $\rightarrow 00:36:54.880$  So we're not totally blocking transmission

920 00:36:54.880 --> 00:36:56.730 but we're making a clinical impact on disease

921 00:36:56.730 --> 00:36:57.750 and that's sort of been seen

922 00:36:57.750 --> 00:37:00.280 for a number of vaccines in the past.

923 00:37:00.280 --> 00:37:01.670 The downside of this end point of course

924 00:37:01.670 --> 00:37:04.150 is that there's very few cases expected to be observed.

925 00:37:04.150 --> 00:37:05.350 So amongst all infections,

926 00:37:05.350 - 00:37:07.420 only a fraction have any symptoms.

 $927\ 00:37:07.420 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.640$  Amongst those with any symptoms,

 $928\ 00:37:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.330$  only a fraction develops severe symptoms.

929 00:37:10.330 --> 00:37:13.040 So we're really whittling away the number of end points.

 $930\ 00:37:13.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.500$  So we need to do larger trials

931 00:37:14.500 --> 00:37:17.703 or have longer follow-up to evaluate this endpoint.

932 00:37:18.890 --> 00:37:21.390 And so in that paper, I'm sort of pressed for time

933 00:37:21.390 --> 00:37:23.700 so I won't spend too much time talking about this,

934 00:37:23.700 --> 00:37:26.280 we also proposed this burden of disease measure

935 00:37:26.280 --> 00:37:29.350 where you're sort of scoring these these outcomes, right?

 $936\ 00:37:29.350 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.030$  So maybe you would get a score of zero

937 00:37:31.030 --> 00:37:32.710 if you're an asymptomatic infection

938 00:37:32.710 --> 00:37:35.780 'cause it's really no burden on you as a patient, right?

939 00:37:35.780 --> 00:37:37.370 You don't have any symptoms.

940 00:37:37.370 --> 00:37:39.490 And then we're sort of assigning arbitrarily

941 00:37:39.490 --> 00:37:42.020 a score of one for non severe COVID so that's like

942 00:37:42.020 --> 00:37:44.610 mild cases of COVID and a score of two

943 00:37:44.610 --> 00:37:47.990 for severe cases of COVID and this end point actually

944 00:37:47.990 --> 00:37:50.680 has some nice operating characteristics we think,

945 00:37:50.680 --> 00:37:53.160 but of course it's subject to controversy, anytime you start

946 00:37:53.160 --> 00:37:57.370 talking about an ordinal scoring system, right,

947 00:37:57.370 --> 00:37:59.450 you start to raise questions about how you're assigning

948 00:37:59.450 --> 00:38:01.200 the burden of disease score, right?

949 00:38:01.200 --> 00:38:03.430 Why should severe cases be a two

 $950\ 00:38:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.620$  versus a three versus a five and so forth?

951 00:38:05.620 --> 00:38:07.460 So you can kind of get bogged down

 $952\ 00:38:07.460 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.193$  in some of the specifics of that.

953 00:38:10.220 --> 00:38:11.820 So what has FDA said about this?

 $954\ 00:38:11.820 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.600$  So FDA guidance documents states that either

955 00:38:14.600 --> 00:38:17.830 the COVID end point or SARS-CoV-2 infection

956 00:38:17.830 --> 00:38:19.310 is an acceptable primary endpoint

957 00:38:19.310 --> 00:38:22.180 and then somewhat ironically OWS has been telling companies

958 00:38:22.180  $\rightarrow 00:38:23.950$  that infection alone is not acceptable

 $959\ 00:38:23.950 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.870$  as a primary end point.

960 00:38:24.870 --> 00:38:27.590 So we had one company that was interested in including

961 00:38:27.590 --> 00:38:31.150 that as co-primary and for whatever reason we told them

962 00:38:31.150 --> 00:38:36.061 please don't do that, and then beyond that so COVID

963 00:38:36.061 --> 00:38:38.570 has sort of won out as the end point of choice.

964 00:38:38.570 --> 00:38:41.620 But beyond that FDA guidance states that companies should

965 00:38:41.620 --> 00:38:44.060 consider powering efficacy trials

966 00:38:44.060 --> 00:38:48.230 for the severe COVID endpoint as a co-primary or at least

967 00:38:48.230 --> 00:38:50.510 as a key secondary endpoint in the trial.

968 00:38:50.510 --> 00:38:53.690 And so so far only Janssen has taken them up on that offer

969 00:38:53.690 --> 00:38:55.800 of making severe COVID primary.

970 00:38:55.800 --> 00:38:58.010 And that's why, if you look at the number of individuals

971 00:38:58.010 --> 00:38:59.290 that are planning to enroll in their trial,

972 00:38:59.290 --> 00:39:02.530 it's twice as many as any of the other OWS trials.

973 00:39:02.530 --> 00:39:04.443 So like AstraZeneca is planning for 30,000,

974 00:39:04.443 --> 00:39:07.730 Janssen is planning for 60,000 in their trial.

975 00:39:07.730 --> 00:39:10.480 And that's the power, to see more cases of severe disease

 $977\ 00:39:15.100 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.337$  So this is a controversial slide.

978 00:39:17.337 --> 00:39:20.330 Or this is virtual topic I found,

979 00:39:20.330 --> 00:39:22.480 again, something that clinical trials statisticians

98000:39:22.480 --> 00:39:25.570 sort of take for granted is doing interim analyses, right?

981 00:39:25.570 --> 00:39:27.990 If the treatment is working and we have enough evidence

 $982\ 00:39:27.990 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.430$  to claim that a treatment is working,

 $983\ 00:39:29.430 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.420$  we'd like to stop that trial early

 $984\ 00:39:31.420 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.990$  to get that treatment to patients, right.

985 00:39:32.990 --> 00:39:34.830 One would think that that's true here

 $986\ 00:39:34.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.340$  and so many of these trials

987 00:39:36.340 --> 00:39:40.180 were designed with aggressive sort of interim looks, right?

988 00:39:40.180 --> 00:39:41.460 Because we're in the middle of the pandemic

989 00:39:41.460 --> 00:39:44.070 and we'd like to get a vaccine to individuals

990 00:39:44.070 --> 00:39:44.903 as quickly as possible.

991 00:39:44.903 --> 00:39:47.108 So I have a table, we won't go through it all here,

992 00:39:47.108 --> 00:39:50.370 just sort of the planned interim analysis

993 00:39:50.370 --> 00:39:52.010 for these different trials.

994 00:39:52.010 --> 00:39:55.710 I would say Pfizer seems to be the most aggressive so far.

995 00:39:55.710 --> 00:39:59.680 They have five interim looks or four interim looks

 $996\ 00:39:59.680 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.770$  and a final look at their data, right?

 $997\ 00:40:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.340$  So that's fairly aggressive.

 $998\ 00:40:03.340 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.540$  OWS again, the trials that we're running,

999 00:40:06.540 --> 00:40:08.850 we're really encouraging companies to be a bit

 $1000\ 00:40:08.850 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.830$  more conservative in the approach to this

 $1001\ 00:40:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.740$  and only maybe two or three

 $1002 \ 00:40:12.740 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.290$  and so you see what's been adopted

1003 00:40:14.290 --> 00:40:17.110 by Moderna and AstraZeneca

1004 00:40:17.110 --> 00:40:19.470 and so this was really a big point of contention

1005 00:40:19.470 --> 00:40:22.270 I think when these protocols were made public is this idea

1006 00:40:22.270 --> 00:40:25.390 that like, can you really know that a vaccine works

 $1007\ 00:40:25.390 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.410$  based on 32 data points, right?

1008 00:40:27.410 --> 00:40:30.370 We're talking about a vaccine that's going to be given

 $1009\ 00:40:30.370 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.890$  to billions of people around

 $1010\ 00:40:31.890 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.280$  the world based on these results

 $1011 \ 00:40:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.720$  and you're gonna do that based

 $1012 \ 00:40:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.280$  on the results in 32 individuals?

 $1013\ 00{:}40{:}37.280$  -->  $00{:}40{:}39.720$  And like, so I can stare at the math and say that like, yes,

1014 00:40:39.720 --> 00:40:42.420 that appropriately controls type one error and so forth,

1015 00:40:42.420 --> 00:40:44.780 but it still makes me just feel a little bit uncomfortable.

1016 00:40:44.780 --> 00:40:47.500 There's a bit of dissonance between sort of my life

1017 00:40:47.500 --> 00:40:50.210 as a statistician and just me being a human

1018 00:40:50.210 --> 00:40:52.130 and saying 32 data points is probably not enough

1019 00:40:52.130  $\rightarrow 00:40:54.200$  to decide to vaccinate billions of people.

1020 00:40:54.200 --> 00:40:56.509 And so a lot of people I think sort of shared

1021 00:40:56.509 --> 00:41:00.880 that viewpoint and in response FDA has now been sort of

 $1022\ 00{:}41{:}00{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}05{.}630$  backped aling in a way and asking companies to provide more

1023 00:41:05.630 --> 00:41:10.020 data in order to grant an emergency authorization

 $1024 \ 00:41:10.020 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.853$  for their vaccine.

 $1025\ 00{:}41{:}10.853$  -->  $00{:}41{:}14.490$  So this EUA mechanism that FDA has of approving vaccines.

1026 00:41:14.490 --> 00:41:16.730 And so in addition to an efficacy signal,

1027 00:41:16.730 --> 00:41:19.840 now companies also are gonna be required, I think,

1028 00:41:19.840 --> 00:41:22.650 and this is sort of still a moving target so this is maybe

1029 00:41:22.650 --> 00:41:25.930 like data news at this point but I think prior to offering

1030 00:41:25.930 --> 00:41:29.260 an EUA, FDA has now said that companies need to have 50%

1031 00:41:29.260 --> 00:41:32.511 of participants complete at least two months of follow-up

1032 00:41:32.511 --> 00:41:36.151 for safety signals and that you need to have at least

1033 00:41:36.151 --> 00:41:38.560 six COVID cases in the oldest age group. 1034 00:41:38.560 --> 00:41:40.820 Of course, that's an age group of particular interest

 $1035\ 00{:}41{:}40.820$  -->  $00{:}41{:}43.720$  in terms of severe cases and at least five cases

 $1036\ 00:41:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.400$  of severe COVID in the placebo group.

 $1037\ 00:41:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.830$  So they want to be able to see some data,

1038 00:41:47.830 --> 00:41:50.090 even if you're not specifying severe COVID

1039 00:41:50.090 --> 00:41:51.100 as a primary end point,

 $1040 \ 00:41:51.100 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.800$  they want to be able to see some data,

 $1041 \ 00:41:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.500$  some signal of efficacy against that

 $1042 \ 00:41:54.500 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.913$  in order to grant licensure.

1043 00:41:56.770 --> 00:42:00.539 So I'll sort of, I won't go through this slide.

1044 00:42:00.539 --> 00:42:01.960 It's just to say that like,

1045 00:42:01.960 --> 00:42:03.980 sort of when Pfizer released their protocol,

 $1046\ 00:42:03.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.410$  everyone was like, ooh a bayesian analysis

1047 00:42:06.410 --> 00:42:08.760 and got very sort of skeptical, right?

1048 00:42:08.760 --> 00:42:10.530 Because the Pfizer CEO has been out there 1049 00:42:10.530 --> 00:42:12.510 sort of chest thumping and saying they're gonna have

 $1050\ 00{:}42{:}12{.}510$  -->  $00{:}42{:}15{.}040$  a vaccine before the election and so forth

1051 00:42:15.040 --> 00:42:16.620 and then they came out with this bayesian design

1052 00:42:16.620 --> 00:42:18.990 that was a little at<br/>ypical and so every<br/>body was asking

1053 00:42:18.990 --> 00:42:21.210 the question, well, are they trying to hide something?

1054 00:42:21.210 --> 00:42:22.670 So I sort of did a quick analysis

1055 00:42:22.670 --> 00:42:25.120 and found that really it doesn't look that different

1056 00:42:25.120 --> 00:42:28.080 than a classic kind of post hoc monitored design.

1057 00:42:28.080 --> 00:42:29.550 And if you want to read more about that,

1058 00:42:29.550 --> 00:42:32.919 I have some slides up on my GitHub about it.

1059 00:42:32.919 --> 00:42:35.870 So let's see, I'm running low on time

1060 00:42:35.870 --> 00:42:38.760 so I'm gonna skip over sort of the question

 $1061\ 00:42:38.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.830$  of what happens if efficacy is declared early.

1062 00:42:40.830 --> 00:42:43.330 So I have some reasons that we should be excited, right?

1063 00:42:43.330 --> 00:42:45.537 If one of these trials stops earlier, I can get a vaccine.

1064 00:42:45.537 --> 00:42:48.460 There's good data that the vaccine works

1065 00:42:48.460 --> 00:42:49.790 and that's nice.

1066 00:42:49.790 --> 00:42:52.310 I'd like to go back to something resembling normal

 $1067\ 00:42:52.310 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.750$  as I'm sure you all would,

 $1068\ 00:42:53.750 \dashrightarrow 00:42:55.620$  but of course there's reasons to be concerned, right?

 $1069\ 00:42:55.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.340$  If efficacy is declared early in particular,

 $1070 \ 00:42:58.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.630$  if that means that blinded follow-up

 $1071 \ 00:43:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.840$  in a study stops, right?

 $1072\ 00:43:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.910$  Because that means we have no way

 $1073 \ 00:43:02.910 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.180$  to assess how durable the vaccine is.

1074 00:43:05.180 --> 00:43:06.700 We won't be able to assess VE

 $1075\ 00:43:06.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.490$  and key subgroups that we care about.

 $1076\ 00:43:09.490 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.130$  We might not be able to assess VE

 $1077 \ 00:43:11.130 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.390$  formally against severe end points.

1078 00:43:13.390 --> 00:43:15.260 So there's real sort of concerns

 $1079\ 00:43:15.260 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.940$  about stopping these trials too early,

 $1080\ 00:43:16.940 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.210$  and what the implications of that

1081 00:43:18.210 --> 00:43:21.138 are both for evaluating the vaccine in question,

 $1082\ 00:43:21.138 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.040$  but as well as how it impacts

 $1083 \ 00:43:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.190$  the other clinical trials that are ongoing.

 $1084\ 00:43:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.700$  And of course in the current political climate,

1085 00:43:28.700 --> 00:43:30.710 everybody's very concerned about the role

 $1086 \ 00:43:30.710 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.040$  political pressure might play in all of this.

 $1087\ 00{:}43{:}33.040$  -->  $00{:}43{:}37.466$  So yeah, so it's kind of a double-edged sword in some sense

1088 00:43:37.466 --> 00:43:41.760 in terms of what happens if efficacy is declared early,

1089 00:43:41.760 --> 00:43:43.190 but I want to save just a few minutes

1090 00:43:43.190 --> 00:43:44.980 to talk about vaccine correlates 'cause I promised

1091 00:43:44.980 --> 00:43:47.170 that I would show you some math and prove to you

1092 00:43:47.170 --> 00:43:48.320 that I'm a real statistician.

 $1093 \ 00:43:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.800$  So let's do a little bit of that.

 $1094 \ 00:43:50.800 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.520$  So again, we're kind of shifting gears here.

 $1095\ 00{:}43{:}52{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}54{.}650$  So that's the end of sort of talking about the primary

 $1096\ 00:43:54.650 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.290$  analysis of these trials,

 $1097 \ 00:43:56.290 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.380$  what's gonna lead to their licensure.

 $1098 \ 00:43:58.380 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.190$  And the correlates of protection

 $1099\ 00:44:00.190 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.300$  is sort of a key secondary analysis

 $1100\ 00:44:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.220$  and so why is it so important

1101 00:44:04.220 --> 00:44:07.330 that we're able to establish correlates of protection?

1102 00:44:07.330 --> 00:44:08.510 Well, because it's gonna speed up

 $1103\ 00:44:08.510 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.640$  the whole vaccine development process.

1104 00:44:11.640 --> 00:44:14.210 So again, a correlative protection is really just,

1105 00:44:14.210  $\rightarrow$  00:44:17.530 it's an immune response and really an assay 1106 00:44:17.530  $\rightarrow$  00:44:20.150 to measure that immune response that's been

validated

1107 00:44:20.150 --> 00:44:22.710 to reliably predict vaccine efficacy.

 $1108\ 00:44:22.710 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.130$  So why is that so important?

 $1109\ 00:44:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.750$  Well, basically what we're hoping to achieve

1110 00:44:27.750 --> 00:44:29.240 is the establishment of a surrogate

1111 00:44:29.240 --> 00:44:32.020 endpoint for COVID disease right?

1112 00:44:32.020 --> 00:44:34.350 So I've sort of mentioned the numbers that we're talking

 $1113 \ 00:44:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.120$  about in these phase three trials,

1114 00:44:36.120 --> 00:44:39.640 enrolling 30,000 participants, 60,000 participants

1115 00:44:39.640 --> 00:44:41.743 and ending up with one or two years of followup, right.

1116 00:44:41.743 --> 00:44:44.130 Just to be able to answer the primary question, right.

1117 00:44:44.130 --> 00:44:47.730 Does the vaccine prevent infection and/or disease?

 $1118\ 00:44:47.730 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.070$  So that's a huge, expensive clinical trial.

 $1119\ 00:44:50.070 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.320$  It takes a long time to get an answer

1120 00:44:52.320 --> 00:44:56.080 and so it would be very nice if all we had to do right

1121 00:44:56.080 --> 00:44:58.960 was give people the doses of vaccine that they need,

1122 00:44:58.960 --> 00:45:02.180 wait two weeks and measure their immune response

1123 00:45:02.180 --> 00:45:04.540 and understand does that vaccine work or not.

1124 00:45:04.540 --> 00:45:07.385 That would be a much faster vaccine development process

 $1125\ 00:45:07.385 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.900$  than where we're currently at

1126 00:45:08.900 --> 00:45:11.130 in having to run these enormous phase three trials.

1127 00:45:11.130 --> 00:45:14.460 So it's valuable for establishing a surrogate endpoint.

 $1128 00:45:14.460 \rightarrow 00:45:17.480$  It's also valuable for accelerating approval

1129 00:45:17.480 --> 00:45:21.810 of vaccines that have been licensed in certain populations,

1130 00:45:21.810 --> 00:45:22.643 but not others.

1131 00:45:22.643 --> 00:45:25.100 For example, I mentioned that these phase three trials

 $1132 \ 00:45:25.100 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.720$  are mostly being conducted in adults.

1133 00:45:26.720 --> 00:45:30.000 Well, what if we want to also obtain licensure for use

 $1134\ 00:45:30.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.870$  of this vaccine in children?

1135 00:45:31.870 --> 00:45:34.260 Well, if we had an established immune correlate

1136 00:45:34.260 --> 00:45:35.093 we wouldn't have to do

1137 00:45:35.093 --> 00:45:37.050 a large randomized trial in children.

1138 00:45:37.050 --> 00:45:39.290 We could do it just a small immunogenicity study

1139 00:45:39.290 --> 00:45:42.137 and use the correlates results to bridge the VE

1140 00:45:42.137 --> 00:45:44.587 that we observed from the phase three trial.

1141 00:45:44.587 --> 00:45:47.280 That's the immune response that we've observed

1142 00:45:47.280 --> 00:45:49.260 in these children or pregnant women for example are

 $1143\ 00:45:49.260 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.210$  another key population they're being

 $1144\ 00:45:51.210 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.420$  excluded from these phase three trials

 $1145\ 00:45:53.420 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.440$  but we'd like to understand if these vaccines

1146  $00:45:55.440 \rightarrow 00:45:58.650$  are safe and effective in those women as well.

1147 00:45:58.650 --> 00:46:01.130 So really this is one of the key goals

1148 $00{:}46{:}01{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}04{.}900$  of this whole OWS program and the key role

1149 00:46:04.900 --> 00:46:07.470 that we're playing in the CoVPN is developing

1150 00:46:08.322 --> 00:46:11.940 the sampling plan and the statistical analysis plan

1151 00:46:11.940 --> 00:46:14.090 for the immune correlate studies

1152 00:46:14.090 --> 00:46:16.620 and so it's just a little bit of the statistical issues

 $1153\ 00:46:16.620 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.140$  that we're dealing with in these trials, right,

 $1154\ 00:46:20.140 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.140$  is that sort of running assays

1155 00:46:22.140 --> 00:46:26.210 so running these immuno assays on 30,000, 60,000 individuals

1156 00:46:26.210 --> 00:46:29.900 takes a long time, it's expensive, and as it turns out,

1157 00:46:29.900 --> 00:46:33.820 it's really overkill in terms of statistical power.

 $1158\ 00:46:33.820 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.310$  So we can actually be a little bit more

1159 00:46:35.310 --> 00:46:39.990 clever about how we design these correlate studies in order

 $1160\ 00:46:39.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.850$  to get answers faster and more cheaply.

1161 00:46:41.850 --> 00:46:45.070 So the way we do this is we use a case cohort design.

1162 00:46:45.070 --> 00:46:46.690 So we're not gonna measure immune responses

1163 00:46:46.690 --> 00:46:47.860 in all trial participants,

1164 00:46:47.860 --> 00:46:49.800 we're gonna measure them in a sub cohort

 $1165\ 00:46:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.280$  and that sub cohort will consist

 $1166 \ 00:46:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.720$  of a stratified random sub cohort.

1167 00:46:53.720 --> 00:46:56.100 So we're gonna be sampling individuals randomly

1168  $00:46:56.100 \rightarrow 00:46:58.370$  based on their baseline infection status.

1169 00:46:58.370 --> 00:47:01.140 Were you infected with SARS-CoV-2 in the past?

1170 00:47:01.140 --> 00:47:05.387 Based on your race, ethnicity, and based on age.

1171 00:47:06.780 --> 00:47:08.760 And so based on that, we'll take a random draw

1172 00:47:08.760 --> 00:47:12.133 of the trial population, about 1600 individuals,

1173 00:47:13.160 --> 00:47:18.160 excuse me and every<br/>one so I should mention right

1174 00:47:18.930 --> 00:47:22.000 in the trial design everybody is having their blood drawn.

1175 00:47:22.000 --> 00:47:23.690 And right now we're talking about whose blood

1176 00:47:23.690 --> 00:47:26.500 are we go<br/>nna use to measure these immune responses?

1177 00:47:26.500 --> 00:47:28.930 So we're gonna measure it in a random sample

1178 00:47:28.930 --> 00:47:31.410 and then we're gonna wait until the trial is over

1179 00:47:31.410 --> 00:47:34.870 or until one of these interim analysis concludes efficacy

1180 00:47:34.870 --> 00:47:37.910 and we're gonna measure immune responses

 $1181\ 00:47:37.910 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.240$  in all of the end points, right?

1182 00:47:39.240 --> 00:47:41.890 Remember that like power in these analyses is drive

1183 00:47:41.890 --> 00:47:45.620 by the individuals in which we observe endpoints.

1184 00:47:45.620 --> 00:47:47.180 So we're gonna make sure we get immune responses

 $1185\ 00:47:47.180 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.310$  in all the end point data, as in addition

1186 00:47:49.310 --> 00:47:52.690 to this random sub cohort and it turns out that that's about

1187 00:47:52.690 --> 00:47:56.920 as statistically efficient as running the immune assays

 $1188\ 00:47:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.890$  on all 30,000 individuals in the trial.

1189 00:47:58.890 --> 00:48:01.120 So this is this kind of classic case cohort design

1190 00:48:01.120 --> 00:48:04.000 that Ross Prentice has been writing about for years

1191 00:48:04.000 --> 00:48:06.160 that Norman Breslow did some sort of pioneering work

1192 00:48:06.160 --> 00:48:10.510 on in the 2000s and I'll just talk a little bit about sort

1193 00:48:10.510 --> 00:48:13.280 of how this complicates our life as statisticians

1194 00:48:13.280 --> 00:48:16.040 and then may<br/>be we'll leave a few minutes for questions.

 $1195\ 00:48:16.040 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.610$  So here's the math, we made it.

1196 00:48:17.610 --> 00:48:19.530 Well, the moment you've all been waiting for it

1197 00:48:19.530 --> 00:48:20.880 to see some math.

1198 00:48:20.880 --> 00:48:23.070 So just introducing, you know,

1199 00:48:23.070  $\rightarrow 00:48:26.000$  why is this sampling design challenging

 $1200\ 00{:}48{:}26.000$  -->  $00{:}48{:}28.740$  from a perspective of generating estimators, right?

1201 00:48:28.740 --> 00:48:31.160 Well, we can sort of immediately see that this isn't

 $1202\ 00{:}48{:}31.160$  -->  $00{:}48{:}34.790$  a totally random sample of the trial population, right?

1203 00:48:34.790 --> 00:48:38.290 In particular we've over-sampled the individuals who end up

1204 00:48:38.290 --> 00:48:42.150 getting diseased and it's fairly obvious

1205 00:48:42.150 --> 00:48:44.900 that those individuals have potential to be very different

 $1206\ 00{:}48{:}44{.}900$  -->  $00{:}48{:}47{.}200$  than a randomly selected individual in the population.

 $1207\ 00:48:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.620$  So we have a bias sub sample.

1208 00:48:48.620 --> 00:48:51.950 So we need some statistical methodology to try to back out,

 $1209\ 00:48:51.950 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.520$  you know, whatever this parameter is.

1210 00:48:53.520 --> 00:48:56.150 We want to be estimating it in the whole trial population,

 $1211\ 00:48:56.150 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.560$  not just in this biased sub samples.

 $1212\ 00:48:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.200$  So how do we do that?

1213 00:49:00.200 --> 00:49:02.100 So just a quick notation here,

1214 00:49:02.100 --> 00:49:04.140 let's call W baseline covariates,

1215 00:49:04.140 --> 00:49:06.830 A is a binary vaccine assignment,

1216 00:49:06.830 --> 00:49:11.340 Y is your binary COVID endpoint for example

1217 00:49:11.340 --> 00:49:13.360 and then we'll introduce this sort of indicators.

1218 00:49:13.360 --> 00:49:17.570 Delta is one, if you're selected into this immune response

 $1219\ 00:49:17.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.050$  sub cohort, either because you were a case,

1220 00:49:20.050 --> 00:49:23.190 you were an end point or because you were randomly selected

1221 00:49:23.190  $\rightarrow 00:49:24.600$  into the cohort.

 $1222\ 00:49:24.600 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.053$  And then we'll call S your immune response.

1223 00:49:27.890 --> 00:49:30.870 And then we'll just say, we'll represent this as Delta S,

1224 00:49:30.870 --> 00:49:32.800 which just means we'll arbitrarily set everybody

1225 00:49:32.800 --> 00:49:35.930 who's not in our sub cohorts immune response to be zero,

 $1226\ 00{:}49{:}35{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}38{.}170$  that's arbitrary doesn't really matter.

1227 00:49:38.170 --> 00:49:40.530 So let's talk about how estimation would happen.

1228 00:49:40.530 --> 00:49:42.980 So let's pick a very simple parameter, right?

1229 00:49:42.980 --> 00:49:45.110 Let's just say that we want to know what's the overall

 $1230\ 00:49:45.110 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.230$  immune response in the whole population,

 $1231\ 00:49:47.230 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.630$  not a particularly interesting parameter

 $1232\ 00:49:49.630 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.200$  for actually measuring correlates,

1233 00:49:51.200 --> 00:49:53.660 but just to motivate the types of statistical approaches

 $1234\ 00:49:53.660 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.090$  that we use in these settings.

 $1235\ 00{:}49{:}56.090$  -->  $00{:}49{:}58.920$  So how can we control for the bias of the sampling design?

 $1236\ 00:49:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.730$  Well, one of the most straightforward ways

1237 00:50:00.730 --> 00:50:02.050 is to use the tried and true

1238 00:50:02.050 --> 00:50:04.810 Horvitz-Thompson or IPTW estimator, right.

1239 00:50:04.810 --> 00:50:07.830 Where we're just taking basically a sample mean

1240 00:50:07.830 --> 00:50:11.200 but all our observations are sort of inverse weighted

1241 00:50:11.200 --> 00:50:15.920 by their probability of being sampled into this sub cohort.

1242 00:50:15.920 --> 00:50:17.997 And so that's, IPTW estimator if you're in causal inference,

 $1243 \ 00:50:17.997 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.460$  you're very familiar with this.

1244 00:50:19.460 --> 00:50:20.710 If you're in survey sampling,

 $1245\ 00:50:20.710 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.030$  very familiar with this.

1246 00:50:22.030 --> 00:50:26.850 Very classical way of adjusting for this selection bias.

 $1247\ 00:50:26.850 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.000$  It turns out that there's ways

 $1248\ 00:50:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.830$  that we can be more efficient in doing this.

1249 00:50:29.830 --> 00:50:33.086 We can use augmented estimators, AIPTW estimators.

1250 00:50:33.086 --> 00:50:36.683 And the key idea there is that we take the IPTW estimator

 $1251 \ 00:50:36.683 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.180$  and we add a little bit of something to it

 $1252\ 00:50:39.180 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.850$  and the key thing is that that little bit

1253 00:50:40.850 --> 00:50:45.850 of something involves a regression of S the immune response

 $1254\ 00{:}50{:}45{.}920$  -->  $00{:}50{:}49{.}970$  onto the covariates that were used to sample individuals

 $1255\ 00:50:49.970 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.140$  into the sub cohort.

 $1256\ 00:50:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.670$  And so what's the intuition as

 $1257\ 00:50:53.670 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.700$  to why this is more efficient?

1258 00:50:55.700 --> 00:50:59.050 Well, you can imagine what if we had a perfect predictor

 $1259\ 00:50:59.050 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.160$  of S measured at baseline, right?

1260 00:51:01.160 --> 00:51:04.940 Then this regression here is essentially imputing

1261 00:51:04.940 --> 00:51:06.360 the correct value of S

 $1262\ 00:51:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.860$  for every single person in the population.

1263 00:51:08.860 --> 00:51:11.480 So it's kind of like we're getting more data

 $1264\ 00:51:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.710$  in some sense, and the nice thing about

 $1265\ 00:51:14.710 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.580$  these approaches, these AIPTW approaches

 $1266\ 00:51:16.580 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.440$  is that they're double robust and so again,

1267 00:51:18.440 --> 00:51:21.100 if you work in causal inference a very familiar idea,

 $1268 \ 00:51:21.100 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.630$  and it turns out because we know

 $1269\ 00:51:22.630 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.000$  the sampling probability by design,

 $1270\ 00{:}51{:}25.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}28.230$  this regression doesn't have to be consistently estimated

1271 00:51:28.230 --> 00:51:29.840 in order to obtain a consistent estimate

 $1272\ 00:51:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.730$  of the parameter measures.

1273 00:51:30.730 --> 00:51:32.960 So it's this really nice sort of double robustness property

1274 00:51:32.960 --> 00:51:34.930 that says, yeah, you might be turned off

 $1275\ 00:51:34.930 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.110$  from this augmented estimator

1276 00:51:36.110 --> 00:51:37.740 because you have to do a little bit of extra work,

 $1277\ 00:51:37.740 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.300$  you have to fit a regression model say,

1278 00:51:40.300 --> 00:51:41.900 and maybe you're worried about miss<br/>specifying

1279 00:51:41.900 --> 00:51:44.220 that regression well it turns out that because the sampling

1280 00:51:44.220 --> 00:51:45.800 probabilities are known by design,

 $1281\ 00:51:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.430$  you don't have to concern yourself with that.

1282 00:51:47.430 --> 00:51:50.450 So it turns out you can use any old regression estimator

1283 00:51:50.450 --> 00:51:52.540 here and still end up with a consistent estimate

 $1284\ 00:51:52.540 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.240$  of the parameter of interest.

 $1285 \ 00:51:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.290$  And so we're applying this

1286  $00:51:55.290 \rightarrow 00:51:57.100$  to much more interesting parameters.

 $1287\ 00:51:57.100 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.520$  So we had a paper come out recently

 $1288 \ 00:51:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.920$  in biometrics that's linked here

1289 00:52:00.920 --> 00:52:03.210 where we're starting to study a sort of causal inference

 $1290\ 00:52:03.210 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.650$  flavored parameters in this context,

 $1291\ 00:52:05.650 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.770$  things that we can really use to pin down,

1292 00:52:07.770 --> 00:52:10.082 you know, mechanisms of these vaccines working.

1293 00:52:10.082 --> 00:52:12.626 So, in this case, we're studying sort of the effect

 $1294\ 00{:}52{:}12.626$  -->  $00{:}52{:}16.010$  of a stochastic intervention, we call it.

 $1295 \ 00:52:16.010 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.980$  So it's sort of saying what would happen

1296 00:52:17.980 --> 00:52:19.830 if we took everybody's immune response,

1297 00:52:19.830 --> 00:52:22.420 this particular immune response that we observed,

1298 00:52:22.420 --> 00:52:24.520 and we shifted it up just a little bit

 $1299\ 00:52:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.470$  or we shifted it down just a little bit.

1300 00:52:26.470 --> 00:52:29.580 How would that impact the risk of disease amongst

 $1301\ 00:52:29.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.413$  the vaccinated individuals?

1302 00:52:30.413 --> 00:52:33.770 So that's what this big, gnarly parameter is right here.

 $1303\ 00:52:33.770 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.240$  And so you ended up looking at a plot

 $1304\ 00:52:35.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.110$  that's kind of like this.

 $1305\ 00:52:36.110 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.540$  So this is from an HIV vaccine trial.

1306 00:52:38.540 --> 00:52:41.770 So at zero we're saying that's just the observed risk

 $1307\ 00:52:41.770 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.160$  of the trial and as we move left we're saying,

1308 00:52:44.160 --> 00:52:47.307 what would the risk be if we decreased your immune response?

 $1309\ 00:52:47.307 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.810$  And so we can see in this example,

1310 00:52:48.810 --> 00:52:51.770 we found that the risk would be increasing, right.

1311 00:52:51.770 --> 00:52:53.360 And then if we're moving to the right

1312 00:52:53.360 --> 00:52:56.587 is what would happen if we increase your immune response.

1313 00:52:56.587 --> 00:52:58.530 And so we're kind of getting at something

1314 00:52:58.530 --> 00:53:02.670 that's like a controlled effects mediation type parameter

1315 00:53:02.670 --> 00:53:06.210 with this approach and so we're working out some

1316 00:53:06.210 --> 00:53:10.370 of the details of the correlates plan currently

1317 00:53:10.370 --> 00:53:11.460 and so when that's done

1318 00:53:11.460 --> 00:53:13.070 we'll have it available for public comment.

1319 00:53:13.070 --> 00:53:14.490 And again, we're academics, right?

 $1320\ 00:53:14.490 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.350$  So we'll do it all open science.

1321 00:53:16.350 --> 00:53:18.270 And then I'll just say like two words of conclusion

1322 00:53:18.270 --> 00:53:20.690 and I'll shut up and leave some time for questions.

1323 00:53:20.690 --> 00:53:22.970 So there's been a big concern

1324 00:53:22.970 --> 00:53:26.000 in the current political climate that we're gonna sneak

1325 00:53:26.000 --> 00:53:28.113 something through, that something's gonna be approved

1326 00:53:28.113 --> 00:53:32.018 without sort of the standard amount of evidence

 $1327\ 00:53:32.018 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.113$  that would be required, right.

1328 00:53:33.113 --> 00:53:36.010 That there's political interference at the FDA

1329 00:53:36.010 --> 00:53:38.560 and from where I sit, you know,

1330 $00{:}53{:}38{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}40{.}520$  I can say that the science behind the vaccine

1331 00:53:40.520 --> 00:53:43.059 development program for COVID is extremely rigorous.

1332 00:53:43.059 --> 00:53:45.900 These are exactly the type of people who you would want

1333 00:53:45.900  $\rightarrow 00:53:47.969$  in charge of this decision making process

1334 00:53:47.969 --> 00:53:51.270 and the type of people that will raise red flags

1335 00:53:51.270 --> 00:53:54.190 as soon as sort of the process goes off the rails.

1336 00:53:54.190 --> 00:53:56.870 So right now I feel good about where things stand.

1337 00:53:56.870 --> 00:54:00.376 Of course, I watch presidential debates and hear, you know,

1338 00:54:00.376 --> 00:54:03.620 garbage science coming out and I get a little bit concerned,

 $1339\ 00:54:03.620 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.010$  but from where I sit right now,

 $1340\ 00:54:05.010 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.040$  everything's looking pretty good.

1341 00:54:07.040 --> 00:54:09.075 So overall, I'd say that the increased transparency

 $1342\ 00:54:09.075 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.960$  by releasing these protocols

 $1343 \ 00:54:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.490$  has been good for scientists and consumers.

 $1344 \ 00:54:13.490 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.110$  We want to bring vaccines to market,

 $1345\ 00:54:15.110 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.910$  but we also want people to trust those vaccine

1346 00:54:16.910 --> 00:54:20.480 so increasing transparency in whatever way we can is great.

1347 00:54:20.480 --> 00:54:23.050 And then finally, the final point is that a lot of these

 $1348\ 00:54:23.050 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.040$  issues that I've talked about,

 $1349\ 00:54:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.580$  how do we do interim monitoring, right?

 $1350\ 00:54:25.580 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.030$  What's the right end point to be studying?

1351 00:54:28.030 --> 00:54:29.590 What's the right S demand, right?

 $1352\ 00:54:29.590 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.580$  These are really hard decisions

 $1353\ 00:54:31.580 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.230$  and there are no right answers.

1354 00:54:34.230 --> 00:54:36.650 And so one of the things that's been a little bit

 $1355\ 00:54:36.650 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.610$  disconcerting or disheartening to me

 $1356\ 00:54:39.610 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.530$  is the extent to which in the pandemic era,

1357 00:54:42.530 --> 00:54:45.860 academic debates have been made very much public

 $1358\ 00:54:45.860 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.020$  and I'm not against academic debates.

1359 00:54:49.020 --> 00:54:52.080 It's just that most individuals aren't used to seeing them.

1360 00:54:52.080 --> 00:54:55.330 And so what I'm worried is happening is that people

1361 00:54:55.330 --> 00:54:59.760 see high profile academics debating these challenging

 $1362\ 00:54:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.870$  problems where there's no real right answer.

1363 00:55:01.870 --> 00:55:03.390 And they're saying, well, these guys don't know

 $1364\ 00:55:03.390 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.850$  what they're talking about.

1365 00:55:04.850 --> 00:55:07.810 So I think as academics and public health professionals

1366 00:55:07.810 --> 00:55:09.920 in this pandemic, one thing that we can do 1367 00:55:09.920 --> 00:55:12.344 is just to be very careful in how we're presenting,

 $1368\ 00:55:12.344 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.060$  you know, the science that we're doing

1369 00:55:15.060 --> 00:55:16.890 and acknowledge when there's not a right answer,

 $1370\ 00:55:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.590$  that you're presenting your opinion.

 $1371\ 00:55:18.590 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.850$  And that there is some validity, right?

1372 00:55:20.850 --> 00:55:23.420 That this is very gray, unfortunately,

 $1373 \ 00:55:23.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.260$  that there's nothing black and white here.

1374 00:55:25.260 --> 00:55:27.640 So may<br/>be that's a controversial statement to end on,

1375 00:55:27.640 --> 00:55:29.940 but I'll end there and then thanks again to Fan

 $1376\ 00:55:29.940 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.527$  for giving me the opportunity to talk

1377 00:55:31.527 --> 00:55:34.840 and I'm happy to take questions as there's time.

1378 00:55:34.840  $\rightarrow 00:55:36.430$  I don't have anything scheduled after this,

1379 00:55:36.430 --> 00:55:39.200 so I can stay a few minutes over as would be helpful.

1380 00:55:39.200 --> 00:55:40.183 So thanks again.

1381 00:55:41.386 --> 00:55:43.730 - [Fan] Thank you David for this very nice talk.

1382 00:55:43.730 --> 00:55:46.460 I think we do have three to four minutes for questions

1383 00:55:47.383 --> 00:55:50.423 from the audience, if there's any.

1384 00:55:53.890 --> 00:55:55.390 - [Woman] Hi David, I have a question

1385 00:55:55.390 --> 00:56:00.100 'cause right now for COVID situation and because of the time

 $1386\ 00:56:00.100 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.720$  and the faster progress of the disease

 $1387\ 00:56:03.720 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.870$  and it's a hard to keep the standard method,

1388 00:56:07.870 --> 00:56:12.870 but do you have other proofed vaccine for other disease

1389 00:56:13.890 --> 00:56:18.890 and have a quick trial have a similar way as COVID

1390 00:56:19.450 --> 00:56:23.590 and apply the method you're using right now

1391  $00:56:23.590 \rightarrow 00:56:26.910$  and we have standard results already

1392 00:56:26.910 --> 00:56:31.840 and then compare to see how good the current method is.

 $1393\ 00:56:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.533$  So that's my question.

1394 00:56:35.110  $\rightarrow 00:56:37.217$  - [David] Yeah it's an interesting question.

1395 00:56:37.217 --> 00:56:39.320 So let me try to restate, so you're saying,

1396 00:56:39.320 --> 00:56:42.090 are there any lessons from vaccine development

 $1397\ 00:56:42.090 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.136$  that we can try to draw from here

1398 00:56:44.136 --> 00:56:47.590 to evaluate our methodology, whether it work?

 $1399\ 00:56:47.590 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.933$  - [Woman] Yes, from other vaccines.

1400 00:56:51.840 --> 00:56:54.920 - [David] So I guess what I would say is that at this stage,

1401 00:56:54.920 --> 00:56:58.280 in phase three vaccines, these phase three trials

 $1402\ 00:56:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.820$  look completely normal.

1403 00:56:59.820 --> 00:57:02.680 So I would say the process of getting to the phase three

1404 00:57:02.680 --> 00:57:04.920 looked very different and much more accelerated

1405 00:57:04.920 --> 00:57:07.170 in terms of kind of squashing together

1406 00:57:07.170 --> 00:57:11.300 phase one and phase two in terms of the manufacturing,

1407 00:57:11.300 --> 00:57:13.410 but in terms of what's happening in a phase three trial,

1408 $00{:}57{:}13{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}14{.}760$  this is probably the phase three trial

1409 00:57:14.760 --> 00:57:18.220 that would be done outside of the setting of a pandemic.

1410 00:57:18.220 --> 00:57:20.220 May<br/>be the interim analysis would be a little bit

1411 00:57:20.220 --> 00:57:23.390 less aggressive for some of these companies, but really,

1412 00:57:23.390 --> 00:57:26.614 I think the approaches that the companies are taking

1413 00:57:26.614 --> 00:57:30.903 would be fairly standard even in any other vaccine context.

1414 00:57:34.831 --> 00:57:36.842 - -Woman] Yeah. I mean, even though

1415 00:57:36.842 --> 00:57:39.913 for the established vaccine,

1416 00:57:40.820 --> 00:57:43.260 there could be some field trial

1417 00:57:43.260  $-\!\!>$  00:57:47.150 and that they also went through a phase three,

 $1418\ 00:57:47.150 \longrightarrow 00:57:50.540$  but you can do the similar thing to enhance,

1419 00:57:50.540 --> 00:57:55.000 to see whether it is possible to pass the current protocol

 $1420\ 00{:}57{:}56{.}512$  -->  $00{:}57{:}59{.}473$  and become some sort of false positive.

1421 00:58:02.350 --> 00:58:05.543 - [David] Yeah and, you know, I think speaking,

1422 00:58:07.930 --> 00:58:09.320 I mean, speaking of failed vaccines,

1423 00:58:09.320 --> 00:58:11.497 as someone who works in HIV vaccines,

1424 00:58:11.497 --> 00:58:14.700 we're very familiar with failure and learning from that.

1425 00:58:14.700 --> 00:58:17.497 So again, I think the people who are running these trials

1426 00:58:17.497 --> 00:58:20.130 are sort of the right people in terms of looking out

1427  $00:58:20.130 \rightarrow 00:58:22.430$  for these false positive signals and so forth.

1428 00:58:24.132 --> 00:58:25.132 - [Woman] Thank you.

1429 00:58:26.513 --> 00:58:29.580 - [Fan] So I think we are just about the time

1430 00:58:29.580 --> 00:58:32.040 and I'm sure that David is happy

1431 00:58:32.040  $\rightarrow 00:58:35.030$  to take your questions afterwards by email.

1432 00:58:35.030 --> 00:58:37.150 So I'll thank David more time.

- 1433 00:58:37.150 --> 00:58:39.010 Again, thank you for sharing with us
- 1434 00:58:39.010 --> 00:58:41.223 and we'll see every<br/>one again next week.
- 1435 00:58:43.070 --> 00:58:44.063 [David] Thanks every<br/>body.