## WEBVTT

- 100:00:00.050 --> 00:00:03.230 [Fan] David Benkeser who is an assistant professor
- 2 00:00:03.230 --> 00:00:06.530 at the department of biostatistics and bioinformatics
- 3 00:00:06.530 --> 00:00:08.700 at Emory University.
- $4~00:00:08.700 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.210~\mathrm{Dr}$ . Benkeser got his PhD in biostatistics
- 5~00:00:11.210 --> 00:00:12.700 from University of Washington
- 6  $00:00:12.700 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.720$  and had his post-doctoral fellowship
- 7 00:00:14.720 --> 00:00:17.423 from University of California at Berkeley.
- $8~00:00:18.270 \dashrightarrow 00:00:21.660$  Dr. Benkeser is an expert in methods for machine learning
- 9  $00:00:21.660 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.070$  and non-parametric statistical inference.
- $10~00:00:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.760$  He has made important contributions
- $11\ 00:00:25.760 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.900$  to integrate machine learning methods
- $12\ 00:00:27.900$  --> 00:00:31.140 to draw causal inferences with observational data.
- $13~00{:}00{:}31.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.660~\mathrm{He}$  also has interesting work on preventative vaccines
- $14\ 00{:}00{:}33.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37.440$  and HIV prevention, which he's going to share with us today.
- $15\ 00:00:37.440 --> 00:00:39.223$  Welcome David, the floor is yours.
- 16 00:00:43.880 --> 00:00:45.810 [David] Thanks, yeah, it's a great pleasure
- $17\ 00:00:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.520$  to be here today.
- $18\ 00:00:47.520$  --> 00:00:51.280 Well, here today, but with you guys today giving this talk.
- $19\ 00:00:51.280 --> 00:00:54.590$  So I did see that I think Tony Fauci
- $20~00{:}00{:}54.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.620$  spoke at Yale yesterday, so it was very nice of you Fan
- 21 00:00:57.620 --> 00:01:00.340 to book Tony Fauci as my opening act
- $22\ 00{:}01{:}00.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}04.270$  and I'll try not to disappoint him with my follow up.
- $23\ 00:01:04.270 --> 00:01:07.120$  So the talk I'm giving today is a very high-level talk.

- $24\ 00:01:07.120 \dashrightarrow 00:01:10.070$  So the title is statistics and COVID-19 vaccine development,
- 25 00:01:10.070 --> 00:01:12.280 but it's really a talk mostly
- $26\ 00:01:12.280 --> 00:01:14.700$  about COVID-19 vaccine development.
- $27\ 00:01:14.700 --> 00:01:18.710$  There's not math until maybe slide like 29 out of 30.
- $28\ 00:01:18.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.960$  So really these are sort of
- $29\ 00:01:19.960 --> 00:01:23.010$  just the high-level issues that have come up
- $30~00{:}01{:}23.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28.010$  as I've worked with companies and government organizations
- $31\ 00:01:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.040$  on COVID-19 vaccine development.
- $32\ 00:01:30.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.790$  So I think there's a lot of really interesting stuff
- $33\ 00{:}01{:}31.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35.370$  here and really, really glad to share it with you today.
- $34\ 00:01:35.370 --> 00:01:38.679$  So if you want to kind of slide along with
- $35\ 00:01:38.679 --> 00:01:41.140$  the slides they're available on GitHub
- $36\ 00:01:41.140 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.190$  so there's a link at the bottom there,
- $37\ 00:01:43.190 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.267$  and you can click on that
- 38 00:01:44.267 --> 00:01:45.870 and that'll pull up the HTML slide back,
- $39\ 00:01:45.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.290$  and I have sort of references hyperlinked in there.
- $40\ 00:01:48.290 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.580$  So that's an easy way to access
- $41\ 00:01:49.580 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.890$  the references there as well.
- 42 00:01:51.890 --> 00:01:54.520 Okay so I'm going to start just kind of talking
- 43 00:01:54.520 --> 00:01:57.750 about the biology a little bit of SARS-CoV-2,
- $44\ 00:01:57.750 --> 00:02:01.110$  and segue into sort of how we can think about
- $45\ 00:02:01.110 --> 00:02:02.790$  developing vaccines that will prevent
- $46\ 00:02:02.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.550$  an infection and COVID-19 disease.
- $47\ 00:02:05.550 \dashrightarrow 00:02:09.330$  And so this is a nice little graphic that I ripped off
- $48~00:02:09.330 \dashrightarrow 00:02:11.740$  from The Washington Post, who's very much better
- 49 00:02:11.740 --> 00:02:13.290 at making these cutesy little graphics

- 50 00:02:13.290 --> 00:02:16.140 than I am using PowerPoint or something.
- $51\ 00:02:16.140 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.450$  So let's kind of walk through this.
- 52 00:02:17.450 --> 00:02:19.520 And the goal here is to try to understand,
- $53~00:02:19.520 \dashrightarrow 00:02:21.620$  you know, how SARS-CoV-2 is infecting your cells,
- 54 00:02:21.620 --> 00:02:22.920 how it's replicating,
- $55\ 00:02:22.920 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.040$  and then to understand what the mechanisms
- 56~00:02:25.040 --> 00:02:27.570 that immunological mechanisms of the vaccine are
- $57~00:02:27.570 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.930$  that can potentially block that infection
- $58\ 00:02:29.930 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.120$  and prevent clinical disease.
- 59 00:02:31.120 --> 00:02:32.950 So we'll just go quickly through this
- $60~00:02:32.950 \dashrightarrow 00:02:36.320$  and this is sort of the story for most viruses, right?
- 61 00:02:36.320 --> 00:02:39.040 Is that viruses are really just genetic material
- $62\ 00:02:39.040 \dashrightarrow 00:02:42.162$  in this case RNA that's wrapped up in the glycoprotein.
- $63\ 00:02:42.162 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.190$  So it's genetic material wrapped up in a protein.
- $64\ 00:02:45.190 --> 00:02:48.560$  And so for SARS-CoV-2 you may have heard of a couple
- $65\ 00:02:48.560 --> 00:02:50.900$  of these proteins in particular, the spike protein will play
- $66\ 00{:}02{:}50.900 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}02{:}53.680$  a large role when we talk about a vaccine development
- $67\ 00:02:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.100$  and why is this spike protein so important?
- 68 00:02:56.100 --> 00:02:58.170 Well, that's the guy that sort of latches
- 69 00:02:58.170  $\rightarrow$  00:03:01.375 onto your cell and it does that through this ACE2 pathway
- $70\ 00:03:01.375$  --> 00:03:05.240 and it grabs onto your cell and insert itself inside
- 71 00:03:05.240 --> 00:03:07.110 you cell and once it's inside
- 72 00:03:07.110 --> 00:03:08.860 it releases its genetic material, right?
- $73~00:03:08.860 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.410$  It releases its RNA and kind of tricks
- 74 00:03:11.410 --> 00:03:13.430 your cell into replicating the virus, right?

- $75~00:03:13.430 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.060$  So that your cell is producing new copies of this virus,
- $76~00{:}03{:}17.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18.970$  they're pieced together out of proteins that are released
- 77 00:03:18.970 --> 00:03:21.570 into your bloodstream to go infect more cells
- $78\ 00:03:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.980$  and more people.
- $79\ 00:03:22.980 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.810$  Okay so that's sort of the infection process
- $80\ 00:03:24.810 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.740$  and where along the lines do you know
- $81\ 00:03:26.740 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.800$  vaccines sort of halt this?
- 82 00:03:28.800 --> 00:03:30.670 So I'll walk through a few different
- 83 00:03:30.670 --> 00:03:33.130 of the major vaccine constructs that are being used
- 84 00:03:33.130 --> 00:03:34.860 for SARS-CoV-2 vaccines,
- $85\ 00:03:34.860 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.080$  and the details aren't super important here,
- 86 00:03:37.080 --> 00:03:38.320 but I do think it's sort of helpful
- $87\ 00{:}03{:}38.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}39.953$  to have a high level overview in comparison, right?
- $88\ 00:03:39.953 --> 00:03:42.970$  Because there's so many vaccine products being developed,
- $89\ 00{:}03{:}42.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}45.180$  at least having some point of biological comparison
- 90 00:03:45.180 --> 00:03:47.270 of how they're working is useful.
- 91 00:03:47.270 --> 00:03:48.430 So to walk through these slides,
- 92 00:03:48.430 --> 00:03:50.440 all of these slides are basically going to be the same
- 93  $00:03:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.370$  on the right hand side of the slide
- $94~00:03:52.370 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.960$  and how they're gonna differ is what goes
- 95 00:03:53.960 --> 00:03:55.580 into the vaccine on the left-hand side.
- $96~00{:}03{:}55.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57.837$  So let's actually start on the right-hand side, right?
- 97 00:03:57.837 --> 00:04:00.250 And talk a little bit about immunology, right?
- $98~00:04:00.250 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.997$  And how your body tries to fight off infection.
- 99 00:04:02.997 --> 00:04:04.740 And we have a couple of different mechanisms

 $100\ 00:04:04.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.020$  of your immune system to do that.

 $101\ 00:04:06.020 \dashrightarrow 00:04:09.500$  So there's a kind of T-cell responses, cytotoxic T-cells.

 $102\ 00:04:09.500$  --> 00:04:12.210 So those are T-cells that recognize cells in your body

 $103\ 00:04:12.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.850$  that have been infected with a pathogen

 $104\ 00:04:13.850 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.210$  and destroy those cells, right?

 $105\ 00:04:15.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.110$  Because the cells are producing copies of the virus,

 $106\ 00:04:17.110 --> 00:04:18.840$  releasing in the bloodstream.

 $107\ 00:04:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.400$  So if we're able to destroy infected cells,

 $108\ 00:04:21.400$  --> 00:04:24.290 we can potentially stop infection, prevent disease,

 $109\ 00:04:24.290 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.580$  and then another key response

 $110\ 00{:}04{:}25.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}27.510$  that your immune system has is through antibodies.

111 00:04:27.510 --> 00:04:29.560 And that's sort of what's on the bottom here

 $112\ 00{:}04{:}29.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33.860$  and is that B cells are able to produce antibodies.

 $113\ 00:04:33.860 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.100$  And what those antibodies do is they basically grab

114 00:04:36.100 --> 00:04:38.280 onto these surface proteins, right?

 $115\ 00{:}04{:}38.280 \to 00{:}04{:}40.280$  So remember we talked about the spike protein,

 $116\ 00:04:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.730$  and what antibodies do is basically just bind onto that

 $117\ 00:04:42.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.520$  and sit there and so neutralizing antibodies.

 $118\ 00{:}04{:}45.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47.480$  So there's two classes of antibodies that are kind

119 00:04:47.480 --> 00:04:48.470 of relevant for vaccines.

 $120\ 00:04:48.470 --> 00:04:50.113$  So neutralizing antibodies really, you're just doing that.

121 00:04:50.113 --> 00:04:52.787 They're gonna sit on all of those spike proteins

 $122\ 00:04:52.787 --> 00:04:55.130$  and because they're sitting there now the virus can't grab

- $123\ 00:04:55.130 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.010$  onto your cells to infect them.
- $124\ 00{:}04{:}57.010$  -->  $00{:}05{:}00.680$  There's also binding antibodies, which are somewhat
- $125\ 00:05:00.680 -> 00:05:02.510$  considered to be less important in this context,
- $126\ 00:05:02.510$  --> 00:05:04.830 but what those guys do is bind onto those surface proteins,
- 127 00:05:04.830 --> 00:05:06.840 they don't neutralize the virus itself,
- $128\ 00:05:06.840$  --> 00:05:09.160 but they send out chemical signals to other cells
- $129\ 00:05:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.025$  in your body that say, hey, here's a virus.
- $130\ 00:05:11.025 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.030$  Please come eat it for me.
- $131\ 00{:}05{:}13.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}15.280$  So those are the sort of antibody classes response
- $132\ 00:05:15.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.113$  that you can have.
- 133 00:05:16.113 --> 00:05:18.240 So there's these two sort of immune mechanisms
- $134\ 00:05:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.460$  that we have to neutralize infections by viruses.
- 135 00:05:22.460 --> 00:05:24.720 How do they learn to neutralize them?
- 136 00:05:24.720 --> 00:05:25.980 Well, there's this sort of middleman.
- 137 00:05:25.980 --> 00:05:27.790 So we're moving just to this middle panel here
- $138\ 00:05:27.790 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.630$  with these APC cells,
- 139 00:05:29.630 --> 00:05:31.830 so these antigen presenting cells, right?
- $140\ 00:05:31.830 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.240$  Those are the guys that what they're doing
- $141\ 00:05:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.890$  is basically digesting little bits
- $142\ 00{:}05{:}35.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40.347$  of the virus in this case of the surface protein, right?
- $143\ 00:05:40.347 --> 00:05:42.540$  And they're teaching or training your immune system
- 144 00:05:42.540 --> 00:05:44.250 to recognize that pathogen, right?
- $145\ 00{:}05{:}44.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.790$  So they're the ones that go and talk to the T cells,
- $146\ 00:05:46.790 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.120$  talk to the B cells and say,
- 147 00:05:48.120 --> 00:05:50.580 here's that how this virus looks,

- $148\ 00{:}05{:}50.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.220$  please go produce some antibodies or please recognize cells
- 149 00:05:53.220 --> 00:05:54.680 that have been infected with this
- $150\ 00:05:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.330$  and neutralize them for me.
- $151\ 00{:}05{:}56.330 {\: -->\:} 00{:}05{:}58.990$  So really again, the whole right side of this plot
- $152\ 00:05:58.990 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.220$  is about your immune system.
- $153\ 00:06:00.220$  --> 00:06:02.960 This is the way your immune system fights off infection.
- $154\ 00:06:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.780$  And what's different between this slide
- $155\ 00{:}06{:}04.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07.820$  and the next few slides is basically how we present
- $156\ 00:06:07.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.280$  pieces of the pathogen pieces
- 157 00:06:09.280 --> 00:06:11.720 of the virus to these APCs, right?
- $158~00{:}06{:}11.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.440$  So how do we get these APCs, the material that they need
- $159~00:06:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.860$  for you to mount an immune response against SARS-CoV-2?
- $160\ 00:06:18.860 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.700$  And so the first class of vaccines
- 161 00:06:20.700 --> 00:06:22.830 I'll describe are nucleic acid vaccines.
- 162 00:06:22.830 --> 00:06:25.040 And so I'm talking about first
- $163\ 00{:}06{:}25.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27.050$  because they're sort of the first wave of vaccines
- $164\ 00:06:27.050 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.130$  that are in phase three trials in the US.
- $165\ 00:06:29.130 --> 00:06:32.847$  So Moderna and Pfizer, who are probably the most advanced
- $166~00{:}06{:}32.847 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36.897$  candidates for US licensure are both mRNA vaccines.
- 167 00:06:36.897 --> 00:06:38.630 And so how are those vaccines made?
- 168 00:06:38.630 --> 00:06:41.830 Well, we take a little bit of messenger RNA,
- 169 00:06:41.830 --> 00:06:43.820 a little bit of viral genetic material,
- 170 00:06:43.820 --> 00:06:45.270 and wrap that in a lipid shell, right?
- $171\ 00:06:45.270 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.710$  That's the construct of the vaccine.
- $172\ 00:06:46.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.190$  And when you're injected that lipid shell

- 173 00:06:49.190 --> 00:06:51.240 latches onto your cell, right?
- 174 00:06:51.240 --> 00:06:53.710 Delivers that mRNA into your cell,
- 175 00:06:53.710 --> 00:06:55.490 just like a natural infection, right?
- $176~00{:}06{:}55.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57.470$  Remember the SARS-CoV-2 grabbed onto your cell
- $177\ 00{:}06{:}57.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00.440$  and inserted itself and then made copies of itself
- $178\ 00:07:00.440 \dashrightarrow 00:07:02.990$  So what is the mRNA doing once it's in your cell,
- 179 00:07:02.990 --> 00:07:04.580 it's actually just making copies
- 180 00:07:04.580 --> 00:07:06.810 of the spike protein itself, right?
- $181\ 00{:}07{:}06.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.040$  So you're manufacturing this protein within your own cells
- $182\ 00{:}07{:}11.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.370$  that are then released for these APCs to detect
- 183 00:07:14.370 --> 00:07:16.890 So this is how we're getting these APCs,
- 184 00:07:16.890 --> 00:07:18.940 spike protein with an mRNA vaccine.
- 185 00:07:18.940 --> 00:07:21.790 We're basically using your cells as a warehouse
- $186\ 00:07:21.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.637$  to produce the antigen of the vaccine
- $187\ 00:07:23.637 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.670$  and so this is a really cool idea and a new idea, right?
- $188\ 00{:}07{:}27.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31.010$  So, am mRNA or DNA vaccine has never been licensed before
- $189\ 00:07:31.010 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.170$  and that's not to say that we tried many times and failed.
- $190\ 00:07:34.170 --> 00:07:36.240$  It's just to say that this is a very new technology,
- $191~00{:}07{:}36.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}39.520$  and it's sort of interesting that it's kind of come
- $192\ 00:07:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.480$  to the forefront in this context.
- 193 00:07:41.480 --> 00:07:43.940 So why do we like mRNA vaccines?
- $194\ 00:07:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.610$  Well, they're very fast to manufacturer.
- $195\ 00{:}07{:}45.610$  -->  $00{:}07{:}47.710$  We'll talk about some of the other vaccine constructs

- $196\ 00:07:47.710 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.210$  where we're making this spike protein in a lab,
- $197\ 00:07:50.210 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.250$  and that is a long and arduous.
- $198\ 00:07:52.250 --> 00:07:53.950$  It needs to be very careful process
- $199\ 00:07:53.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.290$  and when we're thinking about scaling up
- $200\ 00:07:55.290$  --> 00:07:59.130 vaccine manufacturing, mRNA vaccines are very appealing
- 201 00:07:59.130 --> 00:08:01.770 in that sense, you can manufacture them
- $202\ 00:08:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.300$  very quickly at scale.
- 203 00:08:03.300 --> 00:08:04.870 They don't require a cold chain
- $204\ 00:08:04.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.520$  and so that's another great advantage these vaccines enjoy
- $205\ 00:08:09.520$  --> 00:08:11.710 in terms of thinking about vaccine deployment,
- 206 00:08:11.710 --> 00:08:15.320 particularly in developing world settings.
- 207 00:08:15.320 --> 00:08:17.000 But again, this is a brand new technology.
- $208\ 00:08:17.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.260$  We don't have any safety data
- $209\ 00:08:18.260 --> 00:08:20.410$  from past vaccines with this construct.
- 210 00:08:20.410 --> 00:08:22.400 We don't have any efficacy data.
- 211 00:08:22.400 --> 00:08:24.700 So, it's sort of an open question in the field
- $212\ 00:08:24.700 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.850$  as to how well these things are gonna work.
- $213\ 00{:}08{:}27.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30.117$  So moving to sort of more classical, constructive vaccines
- 214 00:08:30.117 --> 00:08:32.680 and viral vector vaccines.
- 215 00:08:32.680 --> 00:08:34.150 So again, the right side of this picture
- $216\ 00:08:34.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.070$  is exactly the same.
- $217\ 00{:}08{:}35.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}38.110$  The story is how do we get an APC the right antigen?
- $218\ 00:08:38.110 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.390$  How do we show an APC a little bit of the spike protein?
- 219 00:08:41.390 --> 00:08:45.482 So a viral vector vaccine, right?
- 220 00:08:45.482 --> 00:08:48.960 Is going to take a different virus and splice

- 221 00:08:48.960 --> 00:08:52.130 a little bit of SARS-CoV-2 into that virus, okay.
- $222\ 00{:}08{:}52.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55.700$  So for example, AstraZeneca, that's the Oxford that you may
- $223\ 00:08:55.700 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.470$  have heard of, they take a chimpanzee adenovirus,
- $224\ 00:08:58.470 \dashrightarrow 00:09:02.210$  that's like, it's a virus that causes the common cold
- $225\ 00:09:02.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.250$  in chimpanzees and they splice in a little bit
- $226\ 00:09:04.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.230$  of SARS-CoV-2 into that and so that sort of host virus,
- $227\ 00:09:09.230 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.120$  that adenovirus holds genetic material
- $228\ 00:09:12.120 --> 00:09:15.690$  in fects your cells and your cells then produce the antigen.
- 229 00:09:15.690 --> 00:09:19.790 They produce the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2.
- $230\ 00:09:19.790$  --> 00:09:22.420 So AstraZeneca and Janssen are using this construct again,
- 231 00:09:22.420 --> 00:09:26.350 both with a denoviruses, a very common virus vector.
- $232\ 00:09:26.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.100$  And again, we like these types of vaccines
- 233 00:09:29.100 --> 00:09:31.720 because they're quick to manufacturer,
- $234\ 00:09:31.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.130$  but a challenge of them is that your body
- $235\ 00:09:34.130 --> 00:09:36.740$  can sort of develop separate immune responses
- 236 00:09:36.740 --> 00:09:39.450 against the vector itself, right?
- 237 00:09:39.450 --> 00:09:41.930 So you can develop a separate immune response
- $238\ 00:09:41.930 --> 00:09:44.510$  against say an adenovirus right?
- $239\ 00{:}09{:}44.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}47.360$  Such that your body neutralizes those adenoviruses
- $240\ 00:09:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.910$  before they're able to infect your cells
- $241\ 00:09:49.910 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.720$  and produce the SARS-CoV-2 antigen.
- $242\ 00:09:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.074$  So we do see tendency a kind of faster waning
- $243\ 00:09:55.074 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.470$  vaccine effects with this class of vaccines.

- 244 00:09:58.470 --> 00:10:00.470 So moving on to subunit vaccine.
- $245\ 00:10:00.470 --> 00:10:02.940$  So this is NovaVax and Sanofi's vaccine
- 246 00:10:02.940 --> 00:10:04.800 will be subunit vaccines
- $247\ 00:10:04.800 \dashrightarrow 00:10:06.250$  and this is where I kind of mentioned before
- $248\ 00{:}10{:}06.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09.790$  actually what happens here is these spike proteins
- $249\ 00:10:09.790 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.700$  or whatever the antigen is,
- 250 00:10:11.700 --> 00:10:14.380 is created and purified in a lab.
- $251\ 00:10:14.380 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.010$  So they actually use insect cells
- 252 00:10:17.010 --> 00:10:19.900 that they infect with SARS-CoV-2,
- $253\ 00{:}10{:}19{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23.310$  those insect cells then produce the antigen that's purified
- 254 00:10:23.310 --> 00:10:25.920 and that's what goes into the vaccine
- $255\ 00:10:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.470$  are those protein subunits, right?
- $256\ 00{:}10{:}27.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.800$  So there we're just directly giving you the spike protein
- $257\ 00:10:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.290$  that we've grown outside of the host
- $258\ 00:10:33.290$  --> 00:10:36.950 and that's how we're getting these APCs, those antigens.
- $259\ 00{:}10{:}36.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40.080$  And so this is a commonly used vaccine construct.
- $260\ 00{:}10{:}40.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}42.160$  So the hep B vaccine is highly effective.
- 261 00:10:42.160 --> 00:10:43.890 HPV vaccine is highly effective.
- $262\ 00:10:43.890 --> 00:10:45.987$  That's the construct of these, but the downside of course
- $263~00:10:45.987 \dashrightarrow 00:10:50.580$  to it, so it's a well-trodden way of developing vaccines.
- $264\ 00{:}10{:}50.580 --> 00{:}10{:}52.750$  But the downside is that they're slower to manufacturer.
- $265\ 00{:}10{:}52.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.180$  There's this whole process where we have to cultivate
- $266\ 00:10:55.180 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.180$  and grow these viruses in a lab, we have to purify them,

 $267\ 00:11:00.239 --> 00:11:03.930$  and moreover they often also require an adjuvant.

 $268~00:11:03.930 \dashrightarrow 00:11:06.640$  So that's really just sort of adding something a little bit

 $269\ 00:11:06.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.660$  extra that stimulates a better immune response in your body.

270 00:11:10.660 --> 00:11:12.760 So basically at the site of injection,

271 00:11:12.760 --> 00:11:13.930 it's something that increases

272 00:11:13.930 --> 00:11:15.770 your inflammatory response actually

 $273~00:11:15.770 \dashrightarrow 00:11:17.900$  to kind of stimulate your immune system

 $274\ 00:11:17.900 --> 00:11:19.720$  into recognizing those antigens

 $275\ 00{:}11{:}19.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22.360$  and developing an immune response against them.

 $276\ 00:11:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.360$  So there's subunit vaccines.

 $277\ 00{:}11{:}24.360$  -->  $00{:}11{:}27.447$  So the fourth class here is a weak-ened/inactivated vaccine.

 $278\ 00:11:27.447 --> 00:11:30.240$  And so this is, I think, what most people like what

 $279\ 00{:}11{:}30.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.980$  my grandparents probably think all vaccines are,

 $280\ 00:11:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.270$  is basically we take a pathogen

 $281\ 00{:}11{:}35.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}38.530$  and we weaken it in some way, or we kill it, right?

282 00:11:38.530 --> 00:11:40.180 And then that's the construct of the vaccine

283 00:11:40.180 --> 00:11:42.130 and that's what's injected into you.

 $284\ 00:11:42.130 --> 00:11:45.440$  And we go through this similar process there

285 00:11:45.440 --> 00:11:47.280 that literally mimics natural infection, right?

 $286\ 00{:}11{:}47.280 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}11{:}51.230$  Where your cells are infected by this weakened form

287 00:11:51.230 --> 00:11:53.070 of the virus, the virus replicates,

 $288\ 00:11:53.070 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.810$  and that's how we get antigens to the APCs.

289 00:11:55.810 --> 00:11:57.540 So this is the construct used in of course

290 00:11:57.540 --> 00:12:01.400 some classic vaccines like MMR, polio vaccine,

291 00:12:01.400 --> 00:12:02.970 but again, it's slower manufacturing, right?

- $292\ 00:12:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.490$  Because we have to cultivate the virus
- $293\ 00:12:04.490 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.280$  in the lab and then it also requires adjuvants.
- 294 00:12:07.280 --> 00:12:10.110 So I don't think there's currently any plans
- $295\ 00:12:10.110 --> 00:12:12.150$  to have US phase three trials
- $296~00{:}12{:}12.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.480$  of weaken inactivated vaccines, but there are in China.
- 297 00:12:15.480 --> 00:12:17.383 So Sinopharm and Sinovac vaccines
- 298 00:12:17.383 --> 00:12:19.033 were using this construct.
- 299 00:12:20.554 --> 00:12:23.630 So that's just a bit of a background in immunology
- $300\ 00:12:23.630 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.460$  and how all this works and how we think about preventing
- $301~00{:}12{:}26.460$  -->  $00{:}12{:}29.460$  in fection with SARS-CoV-2 and hopefully preventing
- $302\ 00:12:29.460 --> 00:12:32.090$  clinical disease COVID-19 disease.
- $303\ 00:12:32.090 --> 00:12:33.740$  So now we're gonna segue to talk a little bit
- 304 00:12:33.740 --> 00:12:35.590 about the vaccine development process, right?
- $305\ 00:12:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.510$  'Cause this has all happened extremely fast.
- $306\ 00:12:37.510 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.340$  So let's talk about sort of the process whereby
- $307\ 00:12:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.310$  vaccine products are typically brought to market, right.
- $308\ 00:12:43.310 --> 00:12:44.810$  And what looks a little bit different
- $309\ 00{:}12{:}44.810 \longrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49.080$  about the COVID-19 vaccine development process?
- $310~00:12:49.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.350$  So this is a figure from a nice New England journal paper
- $311\ 00:12:52.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.650$  that's referenced at the bottom
- $312\ 00{:}12{:}53.650 --> 00{:}12{:}55.560$  that's just talking about sort of what's different
- $313\ 00:12:55.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.730$  this go around in terms of how are we accelerating
- $314\ 00:12:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.730$  the vaccine development process.
- 315 00:12:59.730 --> 00:13:01.120 And so I think as biostatisticians,

- 316 00:13:01.120 --> 00:13:04.101 anyone who works on clinical trials is fairly familiar
- $317\ 00:13:04.101 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.890$  with the traditional paradigm
- 318 00:13:05.890 --> 00:13:08.350 for bringing products to market, right.
- $319\ 00:13:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.020$  It involves sort of a lot of R&D
- $320\ 00:13:11.020 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.900$  in the lab, preclinical work
- $321\ 00{:}13{:}12.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15.820$  and then you start doing human trials in phase one,
- 322 00:13:15.820 --> 00:13:19.380 these are small dose finding safety trials,
- $323\ 00:13:19.380 --> 00:13:20.780$  checking whether these vaccines
- 324 00:13:20.780 --> 00:13:22.940 generate any immune response.
- $325\ 00{:}13{:}22.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.340$  And then what we'll often do is in vaccine trials
- $326\ 00:13:25.340 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.100$  is run a small randomized trial.
- 327 00:13:27.100 --> 00:13:28.580 That's a phase two trial, right?
- 328 00:13:28.580 --> 00:13:31.060 We're we'll have a placebo control,
- $329\ 00{:}13{:}31.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33.855$  maybe pick out a particularly high risk population
- $330\ 00:13:33.855 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.280$  and start to see if we're getting
- 331 00:13:35.280 --> 00:13:37.100 any efficacy signal, right?
- 332 00:13:37.100 --> 00:13:38.970 And this is a very deliberate process, right?
- $333\ 00{:}13{:}38.970 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}13{:}41.000$  Phase one typically advances very slowly.
- $334~00{:}13{:}41.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42.380$  We have lots of safety concerns.
- $335\ 00:13:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.420$  Phase two, we think very hard about whether the efficacy
- $336\ 00{:}13{:}45.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47.750$  signal was really worth it to advance a candidate to
- $337\ 00{:}13{:}47.750 --> 00{:}13{:}50.800$  phase three and it's a very deliberate process, right?
- 338 00:13:50.800 --> 00:13:53.010 To get to this phase three licensure trial, right?
- $339\ 00:13:53.010 --> 00:13:55.560$  So the phase three trial is the big one involving
- $340\ 00:13:55.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.530$  the most participants.
- 341 00:13:56.530 --> 00:13:59.900 It's a randomized controlled trial, right?

- $342\ 00{:}13{:}59{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02{.}060$  Enrolling many, many subjects that's well powered
- $343\ 00{:}14{:}02.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04.460$  to detect ethicacy signals and based on the results
- $344\ 00:14:04.460 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.900$  of that phase three trial and safety data
- $345\ 00:14:06.900 --> 00:14:08.260$  that's been accumulated throughout
- 346 00:14:08.260 --> 00:14:09.830 this whole process, right.
- $347\ 00{:}14{:}09.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13.690$  We're able to provide licensure ideally for a product.
- $348\ 00{:}14{:}13.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}16.510$  And so that's sort of the clinical development process,
- $349\ 00:14:16.510 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.760$  but also in the context of COVID vaccines
- 350 00:14:18.760 --> 00:14:19.640 it's important to think about
- 351 00:14:19.640 --> 00:14:21.377 the manufacturing process, right.
- $352\ 00:14:21.377 --> 00:14:23.050$  And how that looks a little bit different.
- $353\ 00{:}14{:}23.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}27.010$  So typically right, companies are very sort of hesitant
- $354\ 00{:}14{:}27.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}30.640$  to scale up manufacturing before they know that they have
- $355\ 00:14:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.980$  a product that will be licensed, right.
- $356\ 00{:}14{:}31.980 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}14{:}34.040$  Which makes sense, you know, they're sort of risk averse.
- $357~00{:}14{:}34.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}35.710$  We don't want to start manufacturing a product
- $358\ 00:14:35.710 --> 00:14:38.780$  that may ultimately be shot down by the FDA.
- 359 00:14:38.780 --> 00:14:40.320 So really large scale manufacturing
- $360\ 00:14:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.670$  is not happening until after product licensure.
- 361 00:14:43.670 --> 00:14:45.010 So what's happening with COVID vaccine
- $362\ 00:14:45.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.620$  is basically this whole long deliberate timeline
- $363\ 00:14:48.620 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.650$  is being compressed into a shorter time period.
- $364\ 00:14:51.650 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.370$  And so how do we do that?
- $365\ 00{:}14{:}53.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55.680$  Well, basically what happens is we've collapsed

- 366 00:14:55.680 --> 00:14:57.650 the phase one and phase two trials, right?
- $367\ 00:14:57.650 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.790$  So we're doing small safety studies.
- $368\ 00:14:59.790 --> 00:15:01.320$  We're checking whether these vaccines
- 369 00:15:01.320 --> 00:15:02.840 are generating immune responses,
- $370\ 00:15:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.956$  but we're really not doing that smaller efficacy study
- $371\ 00:15:05.956 --> 00:15:10.150$  that is typical of vaccine development.
- $372\ 00:15:10.150 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.710$  And so we're collapsing the phase one and two process,
- $373\ 00{:}15{:}12.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14.770$  the phase three process is where we're at, right.
- 374 00:15:14.770 --> 00:15:16.420 We're doing these large scale trials, right?
- $375~00:15:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.607$  Because we need robust efficacy data
- $376\ 00:15:18.607 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.520$  and we need robust safety data to gain licensure,
- $377\ 00:15:21.520 --> 00:15:23.870$  but a big thing that has changed, so the clinical process
- $378\ 00:15:23.870 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.770$  yeah a little bit compressed, but mostly the same,
- $379\ 00:15:26.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.740$  the big thing that's changed
- 380 00:15:27.740 --> 00:15:29.820 is the manufacturing process, right.
- $381~00{:}15{:}29.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.250$  Is we wanna make sure that once a vaccine is licensed
- $382\ 00:15:33.250 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.500$  and is proven to be safe and effective that we're able
- $383\ 00:15:36.500 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.530$  to start distributing that vaccine immediately.
- $384~00{:}15{:}38.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40.830$  So that means that manufacturing needs to start ramping
- $385\ 00:15:40.830 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.841$  up right before we ever have a signal of efficacy
- $386\ 00:15:44.841 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.490$  and that's a huge risk for companies to take.
- $387\ 00{:}15{:}47.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51.190$  So, I'll talk in a couple of slides about sort of how
- $388\ 00:15:51.190 --> 00:15:53.630$  the government has come in to try to remove
- $389\ 00:15:53.630 --> 00:15:56.180$  some of that risk from these companies

- 390~00:15:56.180 --> 00:15:58.670 and then the next slide I think is just showing sort of
- 391 00:15:58.670 --> 00:16:00.610 that it's really impressive that we're even talking
- $392\ 00{:}16{:}00.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.770$  about potentially having a COVID vaccine available this year
- $393\ 00:16:04.770 --> 00:16:07.630$  or early next year, just given the timelines
- $394\ 00:16:07.630 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.537$  that are required to bring effective vaccines to market.
- 395 00:16:10.537 --> 00:16:12.600 And so here's just a few, you know,
- $396\ 00:16:12.600 --> 00:16:14.160\ polio,\ measles,\ chickenpox,\ mumps,$
- $397\ 00:16:14.160 --> 00:16:18.040$  all multiple years of development for these vaccines,
- 398 00:16:18.040 --> 00:16:19.460 you could add malaria on this list.
- $399\ 00:16:19.460 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.490$  It took about 30 years
- $400\ 00{:}16{:}20.490 --> 00{:}16{:}24.210$  to get a partially effective malaria vaccine to market.
- $401\ 00:16:24.210 --> 00:16:26.373$  So this is typically a very long process, right?
- $402\ 00:16:26.373 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.180$  And for COVID, we're looking at hopefully doing this
- $403\ 00:16:29.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.376$  in just under a year or two.
- $404~00{:}16{:}31.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34.520$  So how is the US government playing a role in this?
- 405~00:16:34.520 --> 00:16:37.050 Well, it's through this program that you may have heard of
- 406 00:16:37.050 --> 00:16:39.020 called Operation Warp Speed,
- $407\ 00{:}16{:}39.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}43.840$  which is this huge convoluted mess of an amalgamation
- $408\ 00:16:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.350$  of programs across the government
- $409\ 00{:}16{:}45{:}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49{:}810$  from DOD to many branches of NIH, BARDA, NIAID,
- $410\ 00:16:49.810 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.470$  so it's sort of all over the place.
- $411\ 00:16:51.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.490$  And this is really just the same figure
- $412\ 00:16:54.490 --> 00:16:56.946$  that I showed you from the New England journal paper.

- $413\ 00{:}16{:}56.946 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}17{:}00.940$  Just maybe a slightly more confusing
- 414 00:17:00.940 --> 00:17:02.770 if you ask me, I don't think Edward Tufte,
- $415\ 00:17:02.770 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.630$  he would be a big fan of graphic
- $416\ 00:17:04.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.000$  but the point here I want to mention
- $417\ 00:17:07.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.500$  is how is the government responding
- 418 00:17:09.500 --> 00:17:10.990 to COVID vaccine development?
- 419 00:17:10.990 --> 00:17:12.650 How are they contributing to that process?
- $420\ 00{:}17{:}12.650 \to 00{:}17{:}14.640$  Well, there's really two ways that they've offered
- $421\ 00:17:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.590$  to accelerate the process.
- 422 00:17:16.590 --> 00:17:18.910 The first is through funding
- 423 00:17:18.910 --> 00:17:21.140 of phase three clinical trials, right?
- $424\ 00:17:21.140 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.750$  So a number of companies, six of the major companies,
- $425\ 00{:}17{:}23.750$  -->  $00{:}17{:}25.960$  basically every company that's running a phase three trial
- $426\ 00{:}17{:}25.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29.630$  in the US besides Pfizer that you've heard about
- $427\ 00:17:29.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.640$  is contracting with BARDA.
- $428\ 00:17:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.570$  That's an arm of the NIH,
- 429 00:17:33.570 --> 00:17:36.060 they're contracting with the government
- $430\ 00:17:36.060 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.580$  to have the government fund their phase three trials.
- $431\ 00{:}17{:}38.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40.450$  So it's a joint agreement between the government
- $432\ 00:17:40.450 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.140$  and these companies where the government,
- 433 00:17:42.140 --> 00:17:44.930 you the taxpayer, right, are paying for these
- $434\ 00{:}17{:}44.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48.550$  phase three trials that will eventually lead to licensure.
- $435\ 00:17:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.300$  So that's the first way that the government
- $436\ 00:17:50.300 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.464$  is sort of throwing money at this problem.
- $437\ 00:17:52.464 --> 00:17:55.850$  It's through design and paying for these phase three trials.

- 438 00:17:55.850 --> 00:17:57.640 The second way is that they're paying
- 439 00:17:57.640 --> 00:17:58.730 for manufacturing, right?
- $440\ 00{:}17{:}58.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}01.120$  They're removing that risk for these companies
- $441\ 00{:}18{:}01.120 --> 00{:}18{:}03.810$  by basically committing to buy a certain number of doses
- $442\ 00:18:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.810$  before we ever have any efficacy data.
- $443\ 00:18:05.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.025$  So we're in the hole basically to all of these companies
- $444\ 00:18:08.025 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.540$  for a fixed number of doses right.
- $445\ 00:18:10.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.900$  But that motivates the companies then to scale up
- 446 00:18:12.900 --> 00:18:14.813 their manufacturing ahead of the time
- 447 00:18:14.813 --> 00:18:16.693 that efficacy data are available.
- $448\ 00:18:17.527 --> 00:18:19.510$  And that type of agreement has been entered
- $449\ 00:18:19.510 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.900$  into with Pfizer as well.
- $450\ 00:18:20.900 --> 00:18:24.460$  So all of these companies that OWS Operation Warp Speed
- $451\ 00:18:24.460 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.550$  is running the phase three trials for
- $452\ 00:18:26.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.980$  also have this manufacturing agreement.
- $453\ 00{:}18{:}28.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31.380$  Pfizer has that manufacturing agreement as well.
- 454~00:18:33.130 --> 00:18:37.730 So what role have I played in any of this big messy thing?
- $455\ 00:18:37.730 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.580$  So I work with a great group of scientists
- $456\ 00:18:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.520$  in the COVID-19 Prevention Network.
- $457\ 00:18:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.450$  So this was a clinical trials network established
- 45800:18:45.450 --> 00:18:48.056 by National Institute of Allergies and Infectious Disease
- 459 00:18:48.056 --> 00:18:50.780 and NIAID so that's an arm of NIH,
- $460~00:18:50.780 \dashrightarrow 00:18:54.060$  and it's basically anyone who works in clinical trials
- 461 00:18:54.060 --> 00:18:55.010 is fairly familiar
- 462 00:18:55.010 --> 00:18:56.840 with these clinical trials networks, right?

- $463\ 00:18:56.840 --> 00:19:00.700$  It's an amalgamation of researchers and study sites,
- $464\ 00:19:00.700 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.390$  laboratories, people who focus on recruitment and retention
- 465 00:19:04.390 --> 00:19:06.720 of trial participants, statisticians.
- 466 00:19:06.720 --> 00:19:08.750 So it's researchers who are really experts
- 467 00:19:08.750 --> 00:19:10.270 in running clinical trials,
- 468 00:19:10.270 --> 00:19:12.290 designing clinical trials
- $469\ 00:19:12.290 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.070$  and ensuring their robust conduct.
- $470~00{:}19{:}15.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}18.930$  So the CoVPN was formed by basically leveraging
- 471 00:19:18.930 --> 00:19:20.590 four existing clinical trials networks.
- 472 00:19:20.590 --> 00:19:21.770 One of which I was a part of,
- 473 00:19:21.770 --> 00:19:23.820 which is the HIV vaccine trials network.
- $474~00:19:23.820 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.040$  And so from our group, we've really brought a great group
- $475\ 00{:}19{:}27.040 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}19{:}29.700$  of statisticians, many of whom are at the Fred Hutch
- $476\ 00:19:29.700 --> 00:19:34.480$  in Seattle as well as great groups of laboratories at U Dub.
- $477\ 00:19:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.810$  And so what are the roles
- $478\ 00:19:35.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.530$  that we're playing in these trials?
- $479\ 00:19:37.530 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.117$  So in our statistical group,
- $480\ 00{:}19{:}40.117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}44.330$  there's a couple of statisticians who are designated
- $481\ 00:19:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.320$  as like CoVPN representatives
- $482\ 00:19:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.880$  for each of these phase three trials.
- $483\ 00:19:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.680$  So I sit on calls with these trials and advise
- $484\ 00:19:52.680 --> 00:19:54.950$  on their design and analysis approaches
- $485~00:19:54.950 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.610$  for their efficacy monitoring, for their safety monitoring.
- $486~00{:}19{:}57.610$  -->  $00{:}20{:}01.114$  We help them address DSMB and FDA comments
- 487 00:20:01.114 --> 00:20:03.660 and sort of that's all happening in conjunction

- 488 00:20:03.660 --> 00:20:06.250 with both government statisticians, right.
- 489 00:20:06.250 --> 00:20:09.170 Representatives of BARDA and NIAID
- $490\ 00:20:10.010 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.930$  as well as company statisticians.
- 491 00:20:11.930 --> 00:20:14.470 And so we get on these calls and, you know,
- 492 00:20:14.470 --> 00:20:16.450 nerd out over clinical trials,
- 493~00:20:16.450 --> 00:20:20.630 statistical decision-making, and it's a good old time.
- $494\ 00:20:20.630 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.660$  Another aspect that we really contribute a lot on,
- $495~00{:}20{:}23.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.170$  or that CoVPN has sort of been tasked with taking
- $496\ 00{:}20{:}26.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28.870$  the lead on is the development of immune correlates.
- 497 00:20:28.870 --> 00:20:30.590 And so that's the part of my talk
- $498\ 00:20:30.590 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.100$  where I'll get a little bit into statistics
- $499\ 00:20:32.100 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.060$  and talking about what immune correlates are,
- $500~00:20:34.060 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.720$  some of the types of analytic approaches
- $501\ 00:20:35.720$  --> 00:20:38.253 we use to study those and the idea of immune correlates
- $502\ 00:20:38.253 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.880$  just to give you a teaser
- 503 00:20:39.880 --> 00:20:41.710 so you don't, you know, sign off Zoom early.
- $504\ 00:20:41.710 --> 00:20:45.430$  So immune correlates are really the idea there is
- $505~00{:}20{:}45.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}48.360$  we're looking for immune responses that are predictive
- 506 00:20:48.360 --> 00:20:51.550 of the vaccines working, right.
- $507~00{:}20{:}51.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54.270$  So what we'd really like to be able to do is understand,
- 508 00:20:54.270 --> 00:20:56.040 okay, if we're able to generate this level
- 509 00:20:56.040 --> 00:20:57.810 of neutralizing antibody,
- $510~00:20:57.810 \dashrightarrow 00:21:00.174$  then that will lead to this level of protective effect
- 511 00:21:00.174 --> 00:21:01.810 of the vaccine, right?

- 512 00:21:01.810 --> 00:21:03.960 So that's the whole goal there is identifying
- $513\ 00:21:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.441$  what are these immune responses that are
- 514 00:21:05.441 --> 00:21:08.443 responsible for providing protection?
- $515~00{:}21{:}08.443 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11.410$  Okay so I'm gonna walk through just a few of the design
- $516\ 00:21:11.410 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.243$  and analysis questions.
- 517 00:21:12.243 --> 00:21:14.160 And so these are things that have come up
- $518\ 00:21:14.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.990$  as we've worked with these company statisticians,
- $519\ 00:21:15.990 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.630$  as we thought about sort of the whole OWS vaccine program,
- $520\ 00:21:19.630 -> 00:21:21.860$  what are some of the issues that statisticians
- $521~00{:}21{:}21.860 --> 00{:}21{:}24.120$  are kicking around and people who have worked
- 522 00:21:24.120 --> 00:21:24.990 on clinical trials, right,
- $523\ 00:21:24.990 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.140$  a lot of these issues aren't gonna be new
- $524~00{:}21{:}27.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30.330$  and one thing that I think is sort of interesting about this
- $525\ 00{:}21{:}30.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33.670$  whole pandemic and operating as a public health professional
- $526\ 00:21:33.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.974$  in this and a clinical trial statistician in particular,
- $527\ 00:21:36.974 --> 00:21:39.130$  is that a lot of things that we take for granted
- 528 00:21:39.130 --> 00:21:42.210 as scientists are either very confusing
- $529\ 00:21:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.947$  or sort of counterintuitive for a lot of the lay public.
- $530~00{:}21{:}44.947 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.014$  And so it's been sort of interesting to have that laid bare.
- $531\ 00:21:48.014 --> 00:21:49.810$  In some of these issues, some of these things
- $532\ 00{:}21{:}49.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52.620$  that we think are no-brainers like doing interim analysis
- 533 00:21:52.620 --> 00:21:55.760 for example are kind of highly controversial
- 534 00:21:55.760 --> 00:21:57.060 and have ended up being, you know,
- $535\ 00:21:57.060 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.350$  sort of areas of huge disputes.

- $536~00{:}21{:}59.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}01.380$  And so I just want to run through some of these issues
- $537\ 00:22:01.380 --> 00:22:03.730$  that I think are quite fascinating, a lot of which,
- 538 00:22:03.730 --> 00:22:05.880 you know, really don't have a correct answer
- $539\ 00:22:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.330$  and they're really just sort of food for thought
- $540\ 00:22:07.330 --> 00:22:09.153$  the types of things that we're thinking about
- $541\ 00:22:09.153 --> 00:22:11.460$  when we're designing these trials.
- $542~00{:}22{:}11.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15.620$  So I'll start by just giving a sort of more specific idea
- $543\ 00{:}22{:}15.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}17.870$  of what these trials look like and how they're conducted
- $544~00{:}22{:}17.870 --> 00{:}22{:}19.900$  and I've picked Astra Zeneca because that's the one
- $545\ 00:22:19.900 \dashrightarrow 00:22:22.600$  I've worked on for the longest and most closely,
- $546~00:22:22.600 \dashrightarrow 00:22:25.886$  but all of the trials sort of follow this similar design.
- $547\ 00:22:25.886 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.080$  And so the first thing I'll note
- $548~00{:}22{:}27.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28.660$  is that you can read these trial protocols.
- $549~00{:}22{:}28.660 \rightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.230$  So one of the interesting things that's happened
- $550\ 00:22:31.230 --> 00:22:33.370$  in this COVID-19 development processes
- $551\ 00{:}22{:}33.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}36.400$  is there was a huge public push led by like Eric Topol
- $552\ 00:22:36.400 -> 00:22:39.420$  and others to have the protocols of these trials
- $553~00{:}22{:}39.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42.920$  made public, which when it happened was I guess
- 554 00:22:42.920 --> 00:22:45.220 when that push started happening, you know,
- 555 00:22:45.220 --> 00:22:46.660 I emailed all my colleagues and said,
- $556\ 00:22:46.660 --> 00:22:49.860$  really do we not usually make protocols public?
- $557~00{:}22{:}49.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51.490$  And that was just sort of interesting disconnect
- $558~00{:}22{:}51.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53.860$  for me as an academic who's used to sort of everything

 $559~00:22:53.860 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.920$  being open science and that's a no brainer right.

560 00:22:56.920 --> 00:22:58.050 Working in this setting, right,

 $561~00{:}22{:}58.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00.489$  where these protocols are really seen as trade secrets

 $562\ 00:23:00.489 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.940$  for pharmaceutical companies.

 $563~00{:}23{:}01.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}04.790$  So it's really unusual that actually these protocols

 $564\ 00:23:04.790 --> 00:23:06.300$  for clinical trials have been made public.

 $565~00{:}23{:}06.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}09.150$  So it's sort of neat, but one of the things that happened

 $566\ 00:23:09.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.010$  is all of these protocols went public and reporters

567 00:23:12.010 --> 00:23:13.380 got their hands on them and said, wow,

568 00:23:13.380 --> 00:23:15.440 these are really dense documents, right?

 $569\ 00:23:15.440 --> 00:23:17.628$  If you've ever looked at the clinical trial protocol.

 $570~00{:}23{:}17.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}21.460$  it's like a hundred pages of very specific definitions

 $571\ 00{:}23{:}21.460 \rightarrow 00{:}23{:}23.720$  and safety monitoring and what symptoms lists

572 00:23:23.720 --> 00:23:25.840 you're gonna use and what surveys

 $573\ 00:23:25.840 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.673$  you're gonna give to people.

 $574\ 00:23:26.673 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.350$  So they're very sort of detailed documents

 $575~00{:}23{:}28.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31.810$  that are kind of hard for the public to parse.

 $576~00{:}23{:}31.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}34.430$  So it's been sort of a be careful what you wish for thing

 $577\ 00:23:34.430 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.530$  in terms of releasing these protocols, but that's an aside.

578~00:23:37.530 --> 00:23:40.030 So let's talk about actually what these trials look like.

579 00:23:40.030 --> 00:23:41.560 So here's a schematic, and again,

580 00:23:41.560 --> 00:23:43.750 this is AstraZeneca in particular,

 $581\ 00{:}23{:}43.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}47.230$  but this is basically the design of most of these trials

- 582 00:23:47.230 --> 00:23:48.390 will look something like this.
- $583\ 00:23:48.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.020$  So who is the population?
- $584\ 00:23:50.020$  --> 00:23:52.840 Most of these trials are gonna be primarily in adults.
- 585~00:23:52.840 --> 00:23:54.520~I think Pfizer has now started
- $586\ 00:23:54.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.930$  to talk about including children.
- 587 00:23:56.930 --> 00:23:58.684 I'm not exactly sure where that's happening,
- $588\ 00:23:58.684 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.952$  but adults for the most part,
- $589\ 00:24:00.952 --> 00:24:04.810$  these are mostly healthy individuals
- 590 00:24:04.810 --> 00:24:07.310 that don't have, you know, chronic diseases
- $591\ 00:24:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.970$  that are at risk or high risk of death.
- $592~00{:}24{:}09.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11.890$  And we're really looking at targeting individuals
- $593~00{:}24{:}11.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15.300$  who are at an increased risk for SARS-CoV-2 acquisition
- 594 00:24:15.300 --> 00:24:17.150 and severe COVID disease
- $595\ 00:24:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.100$  and so the idea there is number one
- 596 00:24:19.100 --> 00:24:20.420 these are the people that are bearing
- 597 00:24:20.420 --> 00:24:22.520 the brunt of the pandemic, right?
- $598~00{:}24{:}22.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25.500$  So we want to be able to get a product to those people
- $599\ 00:24:25.500 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.520$  as fast as possible.
- 600~00:24:26.520 -->  $00:24:28.603~\mathrm{But}$  number two also, right, that means that we'll accrure
- $601\ 00{:}24{:}28.603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32.070$  from a sort of cold hearted and statistician point of view
- $602\ 00:24:32.070 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.390$  that means we'll accrue end points faster.
- $603\ 00:24:34.390 --> 00:24:37.030$  We'll observe more cases of COVID-19 disease
- $604\ 00{:}24{:}37.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39.550$  and potentially get an efficacy signal a little bit faster.
- $605\ 00:24:39.550 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.500$  So there's a lot of interest in sort of recruiting
- $606~00{:}24{:}42.500$  -->  $00{:}24{:}45.050$  and retaining individuals at high risk for COVID-19.

- $607~00{:}24{:}45.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47.510$  So you can go onto the COVID-19 prevention trials network
- 608 00:24:47.510 --> 00:24:49.050 and fill out a survey, right.
- 609 00:24:49.050 --> 00:24:50.500 Then we'll basically under the hood
- $610\ 00:24:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.530$  assess your risk for COVID-19
- 611 00:24:52.530 --> 00:24:53.740 and if you're found to be at high risk,
- $612~00{:}24{:}53.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}55.400$ we'll aggressively email you and try to get you
- $613\ 00:24:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.580$  enrolled in one of these trials.
- 614 00:24:56.580 --> 00:24:57.413 If you're at low risk,
- 615 00:24:57.413 --> 00:24:59.040 we'll say, thanks for taking the survey,
- 616 00:24:59.040 --> 00:25:00.610 we'll be in touch and likely
- $617\ 00:25:00.610 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.474$  you won't hear from us anytime soon.
- $618\ 00:25:03.474 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.390$  Okay so that's the trial population.
- 619 00:25:05.390 --> 00:25:07.160 So how does the actual trial conduct look?
- $620\ 00:25:07.160 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.830$  So there's kind of a mixture here.
- $621~00{:}25{:}09.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.630$  Astra Zeneca is using a two to one randomization scheme.
- $622~00{:}25{:}12.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}15.928$  So you have two chances of getting the active vaccine
- $623\ 00:25:15.928 --> 00:25:18.190$  versus one chance of getting a placebo.
- $624~00{:}25{:}18.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21.500$  And in this case, it's a true place bo, just a saline dose
- $625\ 00{:}25{:}21.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25.570$  and then most of the vaccines, most all with Janssen
- $626\ 00:25:25.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.990$  being the accepted are two dose vaccines.
- 627 00:25:27.990 --> 00:25:29.770 So you receive the first dose at day one
- $628\ 00:25:29.770 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.270$  and the second dose about a month later.
- $629\ 00{:}25{:}32.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34.110$  And in the interim, we take a couple of measurements.
- $630\ 00:25:34.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.710$  We have a phone call to assess reactogenicity right.
- $631\ 00{:}25{:}37.964 \operatorname{--}> 00{:}25{:}41.080$  Does your arm hurt, or have you experienced any adverse side

- $632\ 00:25:41.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.010$  effects of the first dose of vaccine?
- $633\ 00{:}25{:}44.010$  -->  $00{:}25{:}46.240$  And then there's also an immune response measurement
- $634\ 00:25:46.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.550$  that happens after a couple of days.
- $635\ 00:25:47.550 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.020$  So we get an early signal
- $636~00{:}25{:}49.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51.247$  of how immunogenetic these vaccines are.
- $637~00{:}25{:}51.247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}53.310$  And so then individuals come in for their second dose
- 638 00:25:53.310 --> 00:25:55.100 of vaccine and it's a similar story, right?
- $639\ 00:25:55.100 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.690$  Did you have any reactions?
- $640\ 00:25:56.690 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.440$  We measure your immune response and after that,
- $641~00:25:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.000$  that's sort of when the clock starts for active follow-ups.
- 642 00:26:02.000 --> 00:26:06.193 So this day 57, that's two weeks roughly after,
- 643 00:26:07.450 --> 00:26:08.440 am I doing that math right?
- $644~00{:}26{:}08.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11.810$  Well, it looks like roughly two weeks after the second dose
- 645 00:26:11.810 --> 00:26:13.810 of the vaccine is typically when this clock
- $646~00{:}26{:}13.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.690$  is gonna start and we're gonna start counting COVID events.
- $647~00{:}26{:}16.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}21.050$  And then it's sort of just the standard sort of game we play
- 648 00:26:21.050 --> 00:26:21.883 in clinical trials.
- $649\ 00:26:21.883 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.310$  We wait for events to accrue.
- $650\ 00:26:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.930$  We have certain monitoring plan
- $651\ 00:26:24.930 --> 00:26:26.840$  for when we're gonna check for efficacy
- $652\ 00:26:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.020$  and we'll talk about some of that.
- $653~00{:}26{:}28.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30.350$  So, I just want to note that there's sort of two ways
- $654\ 00:26:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.590$  that we're ascertaining events
- 655 00:26:31.590 --> 00:26:33.090 that are happening here, right?
- $656\ 00:26:33.090 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.840$  The first is passive monitoring.

- $657\ 00{:}26{:}34.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37.030$  What that means is we basically wait for individuals
- 658 00:26:37.030 --> 00:26:39.230 to present with symptoms of COVID 19, right?
- 659 00:26:39.230 --> 00:26:41.400 So you get a cough, you lose taste, right?
- 660 00:26:41.400 --> 00:26:44.810 You call the study site, right?
- $661\ 00:26:44.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.810$  So I am having these symptoms.
- $662\ 00:26:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.910$  They say, come on in.
- $663\ 00{:}26{:}46{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49{.}642$  You get a PCR test to see whether you're infected.
- $664\ 00:26:49.642 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.310$  And in that case, you would count
- 665 00:26:51.310 --> 00:26:52.900 as a COVID-19 endpoint, right?
- $666~00{:}26{:}52.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}55.700$  If you check off some check boxes for symptoms
- $667\ 00{:}26{:}55.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58.570$  with COVID-19 disease, you have a PCR positive test.
- $668\ 00:26:58.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.100\ You'd\ go\ down\ as\ a\ COVID\ 19\ endpoint.$
- $669\ 00{:}27{:}01.100$  -->  $00{:}27{:}04.000$  There's also these sort of active follow-up visits.
- $670\ 00:27:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.559$  So these like day 90, day, 180 and day 360,
- $671\ 00:27:07.559 --> 00:27:10.580$  and at those visits we'll do a serology check.
- $672~00{:}27{:}10.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12.560$  And what that means is we basically take a blood draw
- $673\ 00:27:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.670$  and we measure whether you have antibodies
- $674\ 00{:}27{:}15.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}18.640$  against SARS-CoV-2, right, antibodies that are distinct
- 675 00:27:18.640 --> 00:27:19.970 from the antibodies that are generated
- 676 00:27:19.970 --> 00:27:21.110 in response to the vaccine.
- $677\ 00{:}27{:}21.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}24.130$  So we're basically able to tell whether you were infected
- 678 00:27:24.130 --> 00:27:26.270 in this sort of interim period,
- $679\ 00:27:26.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.740$  when you show up for these visits.
- 680 00:27:28.740 --> 00:27:30.290 So that's active follow up
- $681\ 00:27:30.290 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.270$  and so there you're gonna be able

- 682 00:27:31.270 --> 00:27:33.390 to pick up sort of asymptomatic cases, right?
- $683\ 00:27:33.390$  --> 00:27:35.940 'Cause if you never have symptoms, you'll never come in
- $684\ 00:27:35.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.040$  and be captured by passive followup.
- $685\ 00:27:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.070$  So we have to wait for these set clinic visits
- $686\ 00:27:40.070 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.720$  to do the serology testing,
- $687\ 00:27:41.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.820$  to ascertain it asymptomatic cases.
- $688\ 00:27:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.010$  And so this is gonna actually play a role
- $689\ 00:27:46.010 --> 00:27:47.600$  in a little bit, when I started talking about, you know,
- $690\ 00:27:47.600 --> 00:27:50.110$  what are the end points that we're thinking about measuring?
- $691\ 00:27:50.110 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.530$  Like, what do we want to know how well
- 692 00:27:51.530 --> 00:27:53.270 the vaccine works at preventing?
- $693\ 00:27:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.830$  Is it asymptomatic infection?
- $694\ 00:27:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.990$  Is it disease?
- $695\ 00:27:55.990 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.470$  Is it severe disease and so forth?
- $696\ 00{:}27{:}57.470 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}27{:}58.870$  So we'll talk through some of those issues,
- $697\ 00:27:58.870 --> 00:28:01.720$  but just want to note already that the design has started
- $698\ 00{:}28{:}01.720 {\:{\mbox{--}}}\!> 00{:}28{:}04.350$  to inform some of the challenges that we might see
- $699\ 00:28:04.350$  --> 00:28:06.510 when we want to talk about how well the vaccine works
- $700\ 00:28:06.510 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.113$  against certain forms of infection and disease.
- $701~00{:}28{:}10.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.970$  And so I think if you read the new spaper and you'll see
- $702\ 00:28:12.970 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.290$  the term vaccine efficacy tossed around a lot.
- 703 00:28:15.290 --> 00:28:16.830 So the first thing I want to talk about is right,
- 704 00:28:16.830 --> 00:28:19.080 what is the primary hypothesis
- $705\ 00:28:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.530$  that these trials are trying to test?
- $706\ 00:28:20.530 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.510$  And what is the parameter?

707 00:28:22.510 --> 00:28:24.820 What is the estimate, right, that they're going after

 $708\ 00:28:24.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.550$  in these trials and for whatever reason

 $709~00{:}28{:}26.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28.800$  nobody consulted me when they decided that VE

 $710\ 00:28:28.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.933$  would be measured in this way.

 $711\ 00:28:31.782 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.220$  But for whatever reason, we studied this that we quantify

712 00:28:35.220 --> 00:28:37.180 the efficacy of a vaccine in a sort of weird way.

713 00:28:37.180 --> 00:28:40.300 So a vaccine efficacy, we describe as the percent reduction

714 00:28:40.300 --> 00:28:43.040 in relative risk comparing vaccine to placebo.

 $715\ 00:28:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.060$  So it's this one minus a risk ratio.

716 00:28:46.060 --> 00:28:49.140 There's a one minus a risk ratio where you take the risk

 $717\ 00:28:49.140 --> 00:28:51.300$  in the vaccine and the numerator and the risk

 $718\ 00:28:51.300 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.410$  in the placebo and the denominator.

 $719\ 00:28:53.410 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.243$  So, I mean,

 $720\ 00:28:54.243 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.940$  we can just play a quick little intuitive game, right?

 $721\ 00:28:55.940 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.280$  How do we get a VE close

722 00:28:57.280 --> 00:28:59.650 to one that would be a perfect vaccine?

 $723\ 00:28:59.650 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.720$  Well, we would make the risk

724 00:29:00.720 --> 00:29:02.840 in the vaccine close to zero, right?

 $725\ 00:29:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.780$  So that sorta makes sense.

726 00:29:03.780 --> 00:29:05.640 If you have a perfectly effective vaccine,

 $727\ 00:29:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.350$  there'll be no risk of infection and or disease

 $728\ 00:29:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.310$  amongst the vaccinated.

 $729\ 00:29:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.210$  So you would get VE close to one.

730 00:29:11.210 --> 00:29:13.498 But on the other hand, how do we make VE zero?

731 00:29:13.498 --> 00:29:15.660 Well, we would take the risk in the vaccine

732 00:29:15.660 --> 00:29:18.050 and set it equal to the risk in the placebo, right.

 $733\ 00:29:18.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.680$  In which case basically saying the vaccine's not doing

 $734\ 00:29:20.680 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.620$  anything and then on the other hand,

735 00:29:22.620 --> 00:29:23.860 a VE is negative, right?

736 00:29:23.860 --> 00:29:26.040 That's indicating that there's actually higher risk

 $737\ 00:29:26.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.890$  in the vaccine.

738 00:29:27.890 --> 00:29:30.840 So just to give you sort of a few reference points, right?

739 00:29:30.840 --> 00:29:34.200 So that VE of one is perfect, VE of zero is nothing

 $740\ 00:29:34.200 --> 00:29:37.590$  and what we're really hoping for with these COVID trials

 $741\ 00:29:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.730$  is a VE of at least 50%.

 $742\ 00:29:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.460$  And that's sort of the cutoff that FDA guidance

 $743\ 00{:}29{:}42.460 {\:\raisebox{---}{\text{---}}}> 00{:}29{:}45.590$  has stipulated is that you need to show a point estimate

744 00:29:45.590 --> 00:29:48.050 of VE for your primary end point.

 $745\ 00{:}29{:}48.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}50.040$  And again, we'll talk about what these primary end points

 $746~00{:}29{:}50.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}52.620$  are but we need a VE against a primary end point

 $747\ 00:29:52.620 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.147$  of at least 50%

 $748\ 00:29:54.147$  --> 00:29:59.147 and we need to definitively rule out the possibility

 $749\ 00:29:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.250$  that the vaccine efficacy is less than 30%.

 $750~00{:}30{:}02.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}04.500$  So basically we have to reject the null hypothesis

751 00:30:04.500 --> 00:30:07.710 that VE is less than 30% along with having a point

 $752\ 00:30:07.710 --> 00:30:10.760$  estimate of VE being greater than 50%, right.

 $753\ 00:30:10.760 --> 00:30:13.273$  And we need to do that while controlling type one error

 $754\ 00:30:13.273 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.863$  at two and a half percent.

755 00:30:15.820 --> 00:30:18.620 Okay and so here, just one final note,

 $756\ 00:30:18.620 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.990$  since this is a statistic talk,

757 00:30:19.990 --> 00:30:21.210 I'll talk a little bit more

758 00:30:21.210 --> 00:30:23.110 about what I mean by risk, right?

759 00:30:23.110 --> 00:30:25.730 So risk here can be quantified in a number of ways

 $760\ 00:30:25.730 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.563$  and it often is.

 $761\ 00:30:26.563 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.920$  So we can quantify this using hazards, for example,

762 00:30:28.920 --> 00:30:31.210 like you can imagine fitting a Cox model, right.

763 00:30:31.210 --> 00:30:32.580 A proportional hazards model, right.

764 00:30:32.580 --> 00:30:34.720 That only adjusts for vaccine, right.

 $765\ 00:30:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.880$  And presenting like one minus a hazard ratio

 $766\ 00{:}30{:}36.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}39.250$  from a Cox model, that's something that's commonly done.

 $767\ 00:30:39.250 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.430$  You can also think about cumulative incidents, right?

768 00:30:41.430 --> 00:30:42.720 So like mapping,

769 00:30:42.720 --> 00:30:45.980 maybe one minus a survival probability as a way

770 00:30:45.980 --> 00:30:49.140 of quantifying risk, incidents rate ratios.

 $771\ 00:30:49.140 --> 00:30:51.990$  So they're all sort of used for different vaccines.

 $772\ 00:30:51.990 --> 00:30:54.530$  And usually we like to sort of argue

773 00:30:54.530 --> 00:30:55.890 about which one of these is better

 $774\ 00:30:55.890 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.390$  and I've thought a lot about that in my career.

 $775\ 00:30:58.390$  --> 00:31:00.140 And in this setting, it turns out because COVID

 $776\ 00{:}31{:}00.140 --> 00{:}31{:}02.930$  is such a rare event that all of these ways of quantifying

 $777\ 00:31:02.930 --> 00:31:05.220$  rates are basically the same and you end up

 $778\ 00:31:05.220 --> 00:31:07.960$  with almost identical operating characteristics of a trial.

779 00:31:07.960 --> 00:31:09.840 So it's really not worth sort of losing sleep over

780 00:31:09.840 --> 00:31:12.107 whether we're talking about VE in terms of hazard

 $781\ 00:31:12.107 --> 00:31:14.563$  or incidents or incidents rate and so forth.

782 00:31:16.470 --> 00:31:18.830 So how are folks going about estimating this VE?

 $783~00{:}31{:}18.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}21.950$  Here's just a quick table of the four most advanced

784 00:31:21.950 --> 00:31:22.783 phase three trials,

 $785\ 00:31:22.783 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.330$  the ones that have released their protocols at least.

786 00:31:25.330 --> 00:31:28.200 So we see for Moderna, AstraZeneca, and Janssen,

 $787\ 00:31:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.800$  they're using pretty kind of the standard approaches.

788 00:31:30.800 --> 00:31:33.140 Moderna a Cox model as I describe,

789 00:31:33.140 --> 00:31:35.170 AstraZeneca a Poisson regression model,

790 00:31:35.170 --> 00:31:37.810 it's like, okay, that's basically a Cox model,

791 00:31:37.810 --> 00:31:40.660 and then Janssen is using a sort of exact binomial test

 $792\ 00:31:40.660 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.681$  with this sequential probability ratio rest.

793 00:31:43.681 --> 00:31:46.320 Pfizer is a little bit of the oddball.

 $794~00:31:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.030$  So they have stipulated a bayesian approach

795 00:31:49.030 --> 00:31:52.770 wherein they're basically specifying a prior

796 00:31:52.770 --> 00:31:55.290 for vaccine efficacy and are using sort of

797 00:31:55.290 --> 00:31:57.880 a beta-binomial bayesian approach to evaluate

 $798\ 00:31:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.840$  the posterior probability of the vaccine efficacy

 $799\ 00:31:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.970$  is greater than 30% and so at the end of the day,

 $800\ 00:32:03.970 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.530$  there's four different statistical methods here.

- $801\ 00:32:05.530$  --> 00:32:08.850 Again, if you do a simulation study with parameters
- $802\ 00:32:08.850 --> 00:32:10.760$  that are approximately similar to what we expect to see
- 803 00:32:10.760 --> 00:32:12.010 in these COVID trials,
- 804 00:32:12.010 --> 00:32:13.930 you're really not gonna see much difference in terms
- $805\ 00:32:13.930 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.740$  of operating characteristics across these.
- $806\ 00:32:15.740 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.850$  So it's interesting to notice that assertions
- 807 00:32:17.850 --> 00:32:19.410 that there's these different approaches,
- $808\ 00:32:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.243$  but at the end of the day,
- 809 00:32:20.243 --> 00:32:22.440 we're basically talking about how many vaccinated people
- 810 00:32:22.440 --> 00:32:25.080 get infected, how many place be people got infected,
- 811 00:32:25.080 --> 00:32:27.410 and almost all of these methods are gonna yield
- $812\ 00:32:27.410 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.010$  very similar inference.
- $813\ 00:32:29.010 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.500$  When it comes down to brass tacks,
- 814 00:32:30.500 --> 00:32:33.190 how many numbers fall into those categories?
- 815 00:32:33.190 --> 00:32:35.710 So that's a little bit about sort of
- $816\ 00:32:35.710 --> 00:32:38.080$  how we quantify VE in these settings
- 817 00:32:38.080 --> 00:32:39.920 but one of the big things I haven't described yet
- 818 00:32:39.920 --> 00:32:41.880 is VE against what, right?
- $819\ 00:32:41.880 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.497$  What is the end point that we're measuring here?
- $820\ 00{:}32{:}43.497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47.280$  And so here's a figure from a paper we just had come out
- 821 00:32:47.280 --> 00:32:50.040 in Annals of Internal Medicine, the link's here.
- $822\ 00:32:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.080$  So this is where we were spending a lot of time,
- $823\ 00{:}32{:}52.080$  -->  $00{:}32{:}54.230$  you know, earlier this summer, thinking about.

824 00:32:54.230 --> 00:32:55.920 you know, what's the right end point,

 $825\ 00{:}32{:}55.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}57.900$  what's the right end point for a primary analysis

 $826\ 00:32:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.257$  of the clinical trial.

 $827\ 00:32:59.257 --> 00:33:02.530$  And it's complicated for something like SARS-CoV-2, right?

 $828\ 00:33:02.530 --> 00:33:03.733$  Because we know we can start up here

829 00:33:03.733 --> 00:33:07.030 with the SARS-CoV-2 infection, right?

 $830\ 00{:}33{:}07.030 \longrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08.590$  That's sort of the base, you can become infected

831 00:33:08.590 --> 00:33:10.930 and then a number of things can happen, right?

 $832\ 00{:}33{:}10.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.270$  You can go on to be infected but develop no symptoms.

 $833\ 00:33:14.270 --> 00:33:16.750$  So we would call that an asymptomatic infection,

834 00:33:16.750 --> 00:33:18.410 or you can develop symptoms, right.

835 00:33:18.410 --> 00:33:19.700 In which case we don't call you

836 00:33:19.700 --> 00:33:21.300 a SAR-CoV-2 infection anymore,

 $837\ 00:33:21.300 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.880$  we call you a COVID-19 disease endpoint.

 $838\ 00{:}33{:}24.880$  -->  $00{:}33{:}28.480$  You have a clinical manifestation of your infection.

 $839\ 00:33:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.640$  But even beyond that, right,

840 00:33:29.640 --> 00:33:31.220 amongst people who exhibit symptoms

841 00:33:31.220  $\rightarrow$  00:33:34.380 some of them, maybe many of them are quite mild, right.

 $842\ 00{:}33{:}34.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37.580$  So we have this kind of category of non-severe COVID,

 $843\ 00{:}33{:}37.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}40.730$  whereas others we know that are extremely adversely

 $844\ 00:33:40.730$  --> 00:33:45.330 impacted by infection and end up with severe COVID disease.

 $845\ 00:33:45.330 --> 00:33:48.740$  So you have all of these choices of sort of

 $846\ 00:33:48.740 --> 00:33:50.820$  which end points you might want to talk about

847 00:33:50.820 --> 00:33:53.050 and so I'll kind of walk through some what I see

 $848\ 00:33:53.050 \dashrightarrow > 00:33:56.360$  as the positives and negatives of this and then I'll also

 $849\ 00:33:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.820$  talk about this burden of disease

850 00:33:57.820 --> 00:34:00.907 very briefly end point that we've put together

 $851\ 00:34:00.907 --> 00:34:02.780$  and so that's kind of a composite end point

 $852\ 00{:}34{:}02.780 --> 00{:}34{:}05.000$  that we've suggested that could kind of bring all

 $853\ 00:34:05.000 --> 00:34:07.170$  of these different end points together.

 $854~00{:}34{:}07.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}09.360$  Okay so starting with SARS-CoV-2 infection, right?

 $855\ 00:34:09.360 \dashrightarrow 00:34:12.390$  Why might we like any sort of any infection, right.

856 00:34:12.390 --> 00:34:14.020 Asymptomatic, symptomatic don't care,

857 00:34:14.020 --> 00:34:16.730 let's count any infection as an event

 $858~00:34:16.730 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.660$  and measure VE against preventing infection.

859 00:34:19.660 --> 00:34:21.620 Okay and so that's definitely relevant, right.

 $860\ 00:34:21.620 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.940$  It's relevant the context of a pandemic.

861 00:34:23.940 --> 00:34:24.930 We're preventing infections,

 $862\ 00:34:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.520$  we're preventing spread of the disease,

 $863\ 00:34:26.520 --> 00:34:29.967$  we're bringing our knot down, we're impacting the pandemic.

 $864\ 00:34:29.967$  --> 00:34:33.080 And moreover, we're going to see many more infections

 $865\ 00:34:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.580$  than we will cases of symptomatic disease.

 $866\ 00:34:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.220$  We know that many people who were infected

 $867\ 00:34:37.220 --> 00:34:39.110$  never go on to develop symptoms

 $868\ 00{:}34{:}39.110 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}34{:}42.310$  so thinking about having an answer faster, right.

869 00:34:42.310 --> 00:34:44.469 SARS-CoV-2 infection is a nice endpoint,

 $870\ 00:34:44.469 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.780$  but then the question is,

871 00:34:45.780 --> 00:34:47.430 is it a clinically relevant endpoint?

 $872\ 00:34:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.085$  So it's really not describing an impact on patients at all.

 $873\ 00{:}34{:}52.085 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}34{:}55.510$  So we could kind of question its relevance

 $874\ 00:34:55.510 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.940$  from that perspective.

 $875\ 00{:}34{:}56.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58.710$  The other thing, right, is that we remember going back

 $876\ 00{:}34{:}58.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}01.080$  to the study design, we're only able to ascertain

 $877\ 00{:}35{:}01.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05.270$  asymptomatic infections sort of very coarsely in time

 $878\ 00:35:05.270 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.930$  and moreover you have this phenomenon that happens

 $879\ 00:35:08.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.160$  is that when you're testing many, many individuals, right.

880 00:35:12.160 --> 00:35:13.587 It's sort of the classic biostat

 $881\ 00:35:13.587 --> 00:35:15.530$  one-on-one problem that we give people, right.

 $882\ 00:35:15.530 --> 00:35:18.870$  You're testing many individuals, but the prevalence is low.

 $883\ 00:35:18.870 --> 00:35:22.470$  So even if you have high sensitivity and high specificity,

 $884\ 00:35:22.470 --> 00:35:24.620$  you could end up with low positive predictive value.

 $885\ 00{:}35{:}24.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}28.480$  And the effect of that when you come to the time to analyze

 $886\ 00:35:28.480$  --> 00:35:31.320 the data is that you'll be biasing VE towards the knoll.

887 00:35:31.320 --> 00:35:35.065 So it's actually, while it seems like maybe a nice end point

888 00:35:35.065 --> 00:35:36.920 from the perspective of observing many infections,

 $889\ 00:35:36.920 --> 00:35:40.343$  it's a very challenging endpoint to analyze quantitatively.

 $890\ 00:35:41.190 --> 00:35:43.260$  So moving down we could talk about COVID.

891 00:35:43.260 --> 00:35:45.690 So again, COVID is just infection,

 $892~00{:}35{:}45.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}48.880$  PCR confirmed infection with clinical symptoms.

 $893~00{:}35{:}48.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50.770$  So that's of course more clinically relevant, right.

894 00:35:50.770 --> 00:35:52.560 Because we're starting to talk about

 $895\ 00:35:52.560 --> 00:35:56.830$  an impact, excuse me, the endpoint that impacts patients.

896~00:35:56.830 --> 00:36:00.090 All right so that's more clinically relevant and moreover

 $897~00{:}36{:}00.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}03.130$  we'll expect to have a reasonable number of cases, right.

898 00:36:03.130 --> 00:36:06.410 By including more mild cases, for example,

 $899\ 00:36:06.410 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.590$  in this endpoint definition.

 $900\ 00:36:08.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.360$  But then on the other side of that coin

901 00:36:10.360  $\rightarrow$  00:36:12.280 is it really that clinically relevant

902 00:36:12.280 --> 00:36:14.540 if we're just talking about mild symptoms?

903 00:36:14.540 --> 00:36:16.010 We're talking about a disease where you get it

 $904\ 00:36:16.010 --> 00:36:17.930$  and you end up with a little cough for a couple of weeks

 $905\ 00:36:17.930 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.350$  and that's it.

906 00:36:19.350 --> 00:36:22.020 So then maybe you suggest using severe COVID right.

 $907\ 00:36:22.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.940$  That's the most clinically relevant one.

 $908~00:36:23.940 \dashrightarrow 00:36:26.420$  We want to be protecting the most vulnerable individuals

909 00:36:26.420 --> 00:36:28.480 so we should be quantifying how well our vaccines

910 00:36:28.480 --> 00:36:33.130 work towards preventing those most severe end points.

911 00:36:33.130 --> 00:36:34.990 And so most clinically relevant,

912 00:36:34.990 --> 00:36:36.930 and also there's sort of a long history

913 00:36:36.930 --> 00:36:40.000 of vaccine development where really we see the best VE

914 00:36:40.000 --> 00:36:42.890 against severe cases of disease.

915 00:36:42.890 --> 00:36:44.740 So that's really where we expect the vaccines

- 916 00:36:44.740  $\rightarrow$  00:36:47.150 to have the most impact is maybe we are not preventing
- 917 00:36:47.150 --> 00:36:50.580 you from being infected but we're less ening the symptoms
- 918  $00:36:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.410$  once you become infected.
- 919 00:36:52.410 --> 00:36:54.880 So we're not totally blocking transmission
- $920\ 00:36:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.730$  but we're making a clinical impact on disease
- $921\ 00:36:56.730 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.750$  and that's sort of been seen
- 922 00:36:57.750 --> 00:37:00.280 for a number of vaccines in the past.
- 923 00:37:00.280 --> 00:37:01.670 The downside of this end point of course
- 924 00:37:01.670 --> 00:37:04.150 is that there's very few cases expected to be observed.
- 925 00:37:04.150 --> 00:37:05.350 So amongst all infections,
- 926 00:37:05.350 --> 00:37:07.420 only a fraction have any symptoms.
- 927 00:37:07.420 --> 00:37:08.640 Amongst those with any symptoms,
- 928 00:37:08.640 --> 00:37:10.330 only a fraction develops severe symptoms.
- 929 00:37:10.330 --> 00:37:13.040 So we're really whittling away the number of end points.
- 930  $00:37:13.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.500$  So we need to do larger trials
- 931 00:37:14.500 --> 00:37:17.703 or have longer follow-up to evaluate this endpoint.
- 932 00:37:18.890 --> 00:37:21.390 And so in that paper, I'm sort of pressed for time
- 933 00:37:21.390 --> 00:37:23.700 so I won't spend too much time talking about this,
- 934 00:37:23.700 --> 00:37:26.280 we also proposed this burden of disease measure
- 935 00:37:26.280 --> 00:37:29.350 where you're sort of scoring these these outcomes, right?
- 936  $00:37:29.350 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.030$  So maybe you would get a score of zero
- 937 00:37:31.030 --> 00:37:32.710 if you're an asymptomatic infection
- 938 00:37:32.710 --> 00:37:35.780 'cause it's really no burden on you as a patient, right?
- 939 00:37:35.780 --> 00:37:37.370 You don't have any symptoms.

- 940 00:37:37.370 --> 00:37:39.490 And then we're sort of assigning arbitrarily
- 941 00:37:39.490 --> 00:37:42.020 a score of one for non severe COVID so that's like
- 942 00:37:42.020 --> 00:37:44.610 mild cases of COVID and a score of two
- 943 00:37:44.610 --> 00:37:47.990 for severe cases of COVID and this end point actually
- $944\ 00:37:47.990 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.680$  has some nice operating characteristics we think,
- 945 00:37:50.680 --> 00:37:53.160 but of course it's subject to controversy, anytime you start
- 946 00:37:53.160 --> 00:37:57.370 talking about an ordinal scoring system, right,
- 947 00:37:57.370 --> 00:37:59.450 you start to raise questions about how you're assigning
- 948 00:37:59.450 --> 00:38:01.200 the burden of disease score, right?
- $949\ 00:38:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.430$  Why should severe cases be a two
- $950\ 00:38:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.620$  versus a three versus a five and so forth?
- 951 00:38:05.620 --> 00:38:07.460 So you can kind of get bogged down
- $952\ 00:38:07.460 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.193$  in some of the specifics of that.
- 953 00:38:10.220 --> 00:38:11.820 So what has FDA said about this?
- $954\ 00:38:11.820 --> 00:38:14.600$  So FDA guidance documents states that either
- $955~00{:}38{:}14.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}17.830$  the COVID end point or SARS-CoV-2 infection
- $956\ 00:38:17.830 --> 00:38:19.310$  is an acceptable primary endpoint
- $957~00{:}38{:}19.310$  -->  $00{:}38{:}22.180$  and then somewhat ironically OWS has been telling companies
- $958\ 00:38:22.180 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.950$  that infection alone is not acceptable
- 959 00:38:23.950  $\rightarrow$  00:38:24.870 as a primary end point.
- $960~00{:}38{:}24.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}27.590$  So we had one company that was interested in including
- 961 00:38:27.590 --> 00:38:31.150 that as co-primary and for whatever reason we told them
- 962 00:38:31.150 --> 00:38:36.061 please don't do that, and then beyond that so COVID
- $963\ 00:38:36.061 --> 00:38:38.570$  has sort of won out as the end point of choice.

 $964~00{:}38{:}38.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}41.620$  But beyond that FDA guidance states that companies should

965 00:38:41.620 --> 00:38:44.060 consider powering efficacy trials

 $966\ 00{:}38{:}44.060$  -->  $00{:}38{:}48.230$  for the severe COVID endpoint as a co-primary or at least

 $967\ 00:38:48.230 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.510$  as a key secondary endpoint in the trial.

968 00:38:50.510 --> 00:38:53.690 And so so far only Janssen has taken them up on that offer

969 00:38:53.690 --> 00:38:55.800 of making severe COVID primary.

 $970~00{:}38{:}55.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}58.010$  And that's why, if you look at the number of individuals

971 00:38:58.010 --> 00:38:59.290 that are planning to enroll in their trial,

972 00:38:59.290 --> 00:39:02.530 it's twice as many as any of the other OWS trials.

973 00:39:02.530 --> 00:39:04.443 So like AstraZeneca is planning for 30,000,

 $974\ 00:39:04.443 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.730$  Janssen is planning for 60,000 in their trial.

 $975\ 00{:}39{:}07.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}10.480$  And that's the power, to see more cases of severe disease

 $976\ 00:39:10.480 --> 00:39:14.193$  to be sufficiently powered to detect VE against that.

 $977\ 00:39:15.100 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.337$  So this is a controversial slide.

978 00:39:17.337 --> 00:39:20.330 Or this is virtual topic I found,

979 00:39:20.330 --> 00:39:22.480 again, something that clinical trials statisticians

 $980\ 00:39:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.570$  sort of take for granted is doing interim analyses, right?

 $981\ 00:39:25.570 \dashrightarrow 00:39:27.990$  If the treatment is working and we have enough evidence

982 00:39:27.990 --> 00:39:29.430 to claim that a treatment is working,

983 00:39:29.430 --> 00:39:31.420 we'd like to stop that trial early

984 00:39:31.420 --> 00:39:32.990 to get that treatment to patients, right.

 $985\ 00:39:32.990 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.830$  One would think that that's true here

 $986\ 00:39:34.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.340$  and so many of these trials

987 00:39:36.340 --> 00:39:40.180 were designed with aggressive sort of interim looks, right?

 $988\ 00:39:40.180 --> 00:39:41.460$  Because we're in the middle of the pandemic

 $989\ 00:39:41.460 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.070$  and we'd like to get a vaccine to individuals

990 00:39:44.070 --> 00:39:44.903 as quickly as possible.

991 00:39:44.903 --> 00:39:47.108 So I have a table, we won't go through it all here,

992 00:39:47.108 --> 00:39:50.370 just sort of the planned interim analysis

993 00:39:50.370 --> 00:39:52.010 for these different trials.

994 00:39:52.010 --> 00:39:55.710 I would say Pfizer seems to be the most aggressive so far.

995 00:39:55.710 --> 00:39:59.680 They have five interim looks or four interim looks

996 00:39:59.680 --> 00:40:01.770 and a final look at their data, right?

997 00:40:01.770 --> 00:40:03.340 So that's fairly aggressive.

998 00:40:03.340 --> 00:40:06.540 OWS again, the trials that we're running,

999 00:40:06.540 --> 00:40:08.850 we're really encouraging companies to be a bit

 $1000\ 00:40:08.850 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.830$  more conservative in the approach to this

 $1001\ 00:40:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.740$  and only maybe two or three

 $1002\ 00:40:12.740 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.290$  and so you see what's been adopted

 $1003\ 00:40:14.290 --> 00:40:17.110$  by Moderna and AstraZeneca

 $1004\ 00{:}40{:}17.110$  -->  $00{:}40{:}19.470$  and so this was really a big point of contention

 $1005\ 00{:}40{:}19.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}22.270$  I think when these protocols were made public is this idea

 $1006\ 00{:}40{:}22.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}25.390$  that like, can you really know that a vaccine works

1007 00:40:25.390 --> 00:40:27.410 based on 32 data points, right?

 $1008\ 00{:}40{:}27.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.370$  We're talking about a vaccine that's going to be given

 $1009\ 00:40:30.370 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.890$  to billions of people around

 $1010\ 00:40:31.890 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.280$  the world based on these results

 $1011\ 00:40:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.720$  and you're gonna do that based

 $1012\ 00:40:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.280$  on the results in 32 individuals?

 $1013\ 00:40:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.720$  And like, so I can stare at the math and say that like, yes,

 $1014\ 00:40:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.420$  that appropriately controls type one error and so forth,

 $1015\ 00{:}40{:}42.420 --> 00{:}40{:}44.780$  but it still makes me just feel a little bit uncomfortable.

 $1016~00{:}40{:}44.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}47.500$  There's a bit of dissonance between sort of my life

 $1017\ 00:40:47.500 --> 00:40:50.210$  as a statistician and just me being a human

 $1018~00{:}40{:}50.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}52.130$  and saying 32 data points is probably not enough

1019 00:40:52.130 --> 00:40:54.200 to decide to vaccinate billions of people.

1020 00:40:54.200 --> 00:40:56.509 And so a lot of people I think sort of shared

 $1021\ 00{:}40{:}56.509 {\:\hbox{--}}{>} 00{:}41{:}00.880$  that viewpoint and in response FDA has now been sort of

 $1022\ 00:41:00.880 --> 00:41:05.630$  backpedaling in a way and asking companies to provide more

 $1023\ 00{:}41{:}05.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10.020$  data in order to grant an emergency authorization

 $1024\ 00:41:10.020 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.853$  for their vaccine.

 $1025\ 00:41:10.853 --> 00:41:14.490$  So this EUA mechanism that FDA has of approving vaccines.

1026 00:41:14.490 --> 00:41:16.730 And so in addition to an efficacy signal,

 $1027\ 00{:}41{:}16.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}19.840$  now companies also are gonna be required, I think,

 $1028\ 00:41:19.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.650$  and this is sort of still a moving target so this is maybe

 $1029\ 00{:}41{:}22.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}25.930$  like data news at this point but I think prior to offering

 $1030~00{:}41{:}25{.}930$  -->  $00{:}41{:}29.260$  an EUA, FDA has now said that companies need to have 50%

 $1031\ 00{:}41{:}29.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}32.511$  of participants complete at least two months of follow-up

 $1032\ 00{:}41{:}32.511$  -->  $00{:}41{:}36.151$  for safety signals and that you need to have at least

- $1033\ 00:41:36.151 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.560$  six COVID cases in the oldest age group.
- $1034\ 00{:}41{:}38.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}40.820$  Of course, that's an age group of particular interest
- $1035\ 00:41:40.820 --> 00:41:43.720$  in terms of severe cases and at least five cases
- $1036\ 00:41:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.400$  of severe COVID in the placebo group.
- $1037\ 00:41:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.830$  So they want to be able to see some data,
- 1038 00:41:47.830 --> 00:41:50.090 even if you're not specifying severe COVID
- 1039 00:41:50.090 --> 00:41:51.100 as a primary end point,
- $1040\ 00:41:51.100 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.800$  they want to be able to see some data,
- 1041 00:41:52.800 --> 00:41:54.500 some signal of efficacy against that
- $1042\ 00:41:54.500 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.913$  in order to grant licensure.
- $1043\ 00:41:56.770 --> 00:42:00.539$  So I'll sort of, I won't go through this slide.
- 1044 00:42:00.539 --> 00:42:01.960 It's just to say that like,
- 1045 00:42:01.960 --> 00:42:03.980 sort of when Pfizer released their protocol,
- 1046 00:42:03.980 --> 00:42:06.410 everyone was like, ooh a bayesian analysis
- 1047 00:42:06.410 --> 00:42:08.760 and got very sort of skeptical, right?
- $1048~00{:}42{:}08.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10.530$  Because the Pfizer CEO has been out there
- $1049~00{:}42{:}10.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}12.510$  sort of chest thumping and saying they're gonna have
- $1050\ 00:42:12.510 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.040$  a vaccine before the election and so forth
- $1051\ 00{:}42{:}15.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16.620$  and then they came out with this bayesian design
- $1052\ 00{:}42{:}16.620 --> 00{:}42{:}18.990$  that was a little atypical and so every body was asking
- $1053\ 00:42:18.990 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.210$  the question, well, are they trying to hide something?
- 1054~00:42:21.210 --> 00:42:22.670 So I sort of did a quick analysis
- $1055\ 00{:}42{:}22.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}25.120$  and found that really it doesn't look that different
- $1056\ 00{:}42{:}25.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}28.080$  than a classic kind of post hoc monitored design.
- $1057\ 00:42:28.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.550$  And if you want to read more about that,

- $1058~00{:}42{:}29.550 --> 00{:}42{:}32.919$  I have some slides up on my Git Hub about it.
- $1059\ 00:42:32.919 --> 00:42:35.870$  So let's see, I'm running low on time
- 1060 00:42:35.870 --> 00:42:38.760 so I'm gonna skip over sort of the question
- 1061 00:42:38.760 --> 00:42:40.830 of what happens if efficacy is declared early.
- $1062\ 00:42:40.830 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.330$  So I have some reasons that we should be excited, right?
- $1063\ 00{:}42{:}43.330 --> 00{:}42{:}45.537$  If one of these trials stops earlier, I can get a vaccine.
- 1064 00:42:45.537 --> 00:42:48.460 There's good data that the vaccine works
- $1065\ 00:42:48.460 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.790$  and that's nice.
- $1066\ 00{:}42{:}49.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52.310$  I'd like to go back to something resembling normal
- 1067 00:42:52.310 --> 00:42:53.750 as I'm sure you all would,
- $1068\ 00:42:53.750 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.620$  but of course there's reasons to be concerned, right?
- 1069 00:42:55.620 --> 00:42:58.340 If efficacy is declared early in particular,
- 1070 00:42:58.340 --> 00:43:00.630 if that means that blinded follow-up
- 1071 00:43:00.630 --> 00:43:01.840 in a study stops, right?
- $1072\ 00:43:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.910$  Because that means we have no way
- $1073\ 00:43:02.910 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.180$  to assess how durable the vaccine is.
- $1074\ 00:43:05.180 --> 00:43:06.700$  We won't be able to assess VE
- $1075\ 00:43:06.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.490$  and key subgroups that we care about.
- $1076\ 00:43:09.490 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.130$  We might not be able to assess VE
- 1077 00:43:11.130 --> 00:43:13.390 formally against severe end points.
- $1078\ 00:43:13.390 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.260$  So there's real sort of concerns
- $1079\ 00:43:15.260 --> 00:43:16.940$  about stopping these trials too early,
- 1080 00:43:16.940 --> 00:43:18.210 and what the implications of that
- $1081\ 00:43:18.210 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.138$  are both for evaluating the vaccine in question,
- $1082\ 00:43:21.138 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.040$  but as well as how it impacts
- 1083 00:43:23.040 --> 00:43:25.190 the other clinical trials that are ongoing.
- 1084 00:43:26.120 --> 00:43:28.700 And of course in the current political climate,

- $1085\ 00:43:28.700 --> 00:43:30.710$  everybody's very concerned about the role
- $1086\ 00:43:30.710 --> 00:43:33.040$  political pressure might play in all of this.
- $1087\ 00{:}43{:}33.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37.466$  So yeah, so it's kind of a double-edged sword in some sense
- $1088\ 00:43:37.466 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.760$  in terms of what happens if efficacy is declared early,
- $1089\ 00:43:41.760 --> 00:43:43.190$  but I want to save just a few minutes
- $1090\ 00{:}43{:}43.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}44.980$  to talk about vaccine correlates 'cause I promised
- $1091~00{:}43{:}44.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47.170$  that I would show you some math and prove to you
- $1092\ 00:43:47.170 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.320$  that I'm a real statistician.
- $1093\ 00:43:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.800$  So let's do a little bit of that.
- 1094 00:43:50.800 --> 00:43:52.520 So again, we're kind of shifting gears here.
- $1095\ 00:43:52.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.650$  So that's the end of sort of talking about the primary
- $1096\ 00:43:54.650 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.290$  analysis of these trials,
- 1097 00:43:56.290 --> 00:43:58.380 what's gonna lead to their licensure.
- 1098 00:43:58.380 --> 00:44:00.190 And the correlates of protection
- 1099 00:44:00.190 --> 00:44:02.300 is sort of a key secondary analysis
- 1100 00:44:02.300 --> 00:44:04.220 and so why is it so important
- $1101\ 00{:}44{:}04.220$  -->  $00{:}44{:}07.330$  that we're able to establish correlates of protection?
- 1102 00:44:07.330 --> 00:44:08.510 Well, because it's gonna speed up
- $1103\ 00:44:08.510 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.640$  the whole vaccine development process.
- $1104\ 00:44:11.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.210$  So again, a correlative protection is really just,
- $1105\ 00:44:14.210 --> 00:44:17.530$  it's an immune response and really an assay
- $1106\ 00{:}44{:}17.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}20.150$  to measure that immune response that's been validated
- $1107\ 00:44:20.150 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.710$  to reliably predict vaccine efficacy.
- $1108\ 00:44:22.710 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.130$  So why is that so important?
- 1109 00:44:25.130 --> 00:44:27.750 Well, basically what we're hoping to achieve

- $1110\ 00:44:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.240$  is the establishment of a surrogate
- 1111 00:44:29.240 --> 00:44:32.020 endpoint for COVID disease right?
- $1112\ 00:44:32.020 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.350$  So I've sort of mentioned the numbers that we're talking
- 1113 00:44:34.350 --> 00:44:36.120 about in these phase three trials,
- $1114\ 00:44:36.120 --> 00:44:39.640$  enrolling  $30,\!000$  participants,  $60,\!000$  participants
- $1115\ 00:44:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.743$  and ending up with one or two years of followup, right.
- $1116\ 00{:}44{:}41.743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}44.130$  Just to be able to answer the primary question, right.
- $1117\ 00:44:44.130$  --> 00:44:47.730 Does the vaccine prevent infection and/or disease?
- $1118\ 00:44:47.730 --> 00:44:50.070$  So that's a huge, expensive clinical trial.
- $1119\ 00:44:50.070 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.320$  It takes a long time to get an answer
- $1120\ 00{:}44{:}52.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}56.080$  and so it would be very nice if all we had to do right
- $1121\ 00{:}44{:}56.080 {\: \hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}44{:}58.960$  was give people the doses of vaccine that they need,
- $1122\ 00{:}44{:}58.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}02.180$  wait two weeks and measure their immune response
- $1123\ 00{:}45{:}02.180 \to 00{:}45{:}04.540$  and understand does that vaccine work or not
- $1124\ 00{:}45{:}04.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}07.385$  That would be a much faster vaccine development process
- $1125\ 00:45:07.385 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.900$  than where we're currently at
- $1126\ 00:45:08.900 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.130$  in having to run these enormous phase three trials.
- $1127\ 00{:}45{:}11.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}14.460$  So it's valuable for establishing a surrogate endpoint.
- 1128 00:45:14.460 --> 00:45:17.480 It's also valuable for accelerating approval
- $1129\ 00:45:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.810$  of vaccines that have been licensed in certain populations,
- $1130\ 00:45:21.810 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.643$  but not others.

- $1131\ 00{:}45{:}22.643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.100$  For example, I mentioned that these phase three trials
- $1132\ 00:45:25.100 --> 00:45:26.720$  are mostly being conducted in adults.
- $1133\ 00:45:26.720 --> 00:45:30.000$  Well, what if we want to also obtain licensure for use
- $1134\ 00:45:30.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.870$  of this vaccine in children?
- $1135\ 00{:}45{:}31.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}34.260$  Well, if we had an established immune correlate
- $1136\ 00:45:34.260 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.093$  we wouldn't have to do
- 1137 00:45:35.093 --> 00:45:37.050 a large randomized trial in children.
- $1138\ 00:45:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.290$  We could do it just a small immunogenicity study
- 1139 00:45:39.290 --> 00:45:42.137 and use the correlates results to bridge the VE
- $1140\ 00{:}45{:}42.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}44.587$  that we observed from the phase three trial.
- $1141\ 00{:}45{:}44.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}47.280$  That's the immune response that we've observed
- $1142\ 00{:}45{:}47.280 {\: -->\:} 00{:}45{:}49.260$  in these children or pregnant women for example are
- 1143 00:45:49.260 --> 00:45:51.210 another key population they're being
- $1144\ 00:45:51.210 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.420$  excluded from these phase three trials
- $1145\ 00:45:53.420 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.440$  but we'd like to understand if these vaccines
- $1146\ 00:45:55.440 --> 00:45:58.650$  are safe and effective in those women as well.
- 1147 00:45:58.650 --> 00:46:01.130 So really this is one of the key goals
- 1148 00:46:01.130 --> 00:46:04.900 of this whole OWS program and the key role
- $1149~00{:}46{:}04.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}07.470$  that we're playing in the CoVPN is developing
- $1150\ 00{:}46{:}08.322 \dashrightarrow > 00{:}46{:}11.940$  the sampling plan and the statistical analysis plan
- $1151\ 00:46:11.940 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.090$  for the immune correlate studies
- $1152\ 00{:}46{:}14.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}16.620$  and so it's just a little bit of the statistical issues
- 1153 00:46:16.620 --> 00:46:20.140 that we're dealing with in these trials, right,
- $1154\ 00:46:20.140 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.140$  is that sort of running assays

- $1155\ 00:46:22.140 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.210$  so running these immuno assays on 30,000,60,000 individuals
- $1156\ 00{:}46{:}26.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}29.900$  takes a long time, it's expensive, and as it turns out,
- $1157\ 00{:}46{:}29.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}33.820$  it's really overkill in terms of statistical power.
- $1158\ 00:46:33.820 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.310$  So we can actually be a little bit more
- $1159\ 00{:}46{:}35{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39{.}990$  clever about how we design these correlate studies in order
- $1160\ 00:46:39.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.850$  to get answers faster and more cheaply.
- $1161\ 00:46:41.850 --> 00:46:45.070$  So the way we do this is we use a case cohort design.
- $1162\ 00{:}46{:}45.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}46.690$  So we're not gonna measure immune responses
- 1163 00:46:46.690 --> 00:46:47.860 in all trial participants,
- $1164\ 00{:}46{:}47.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}49.800$  we're gonna measure them in a sub cohort
- 1165 00:46:49.800 --> 00:46:51.280 and that sub cohort will consist
- $1166\ 00:46:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.720$  of a stratified random sub cohort.
- $1167\ 00{:}46{:}53.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56.100$  So we're gonna be sampling individuals randomly
- $1168\ 00:46:56.100 --> 00:46:58.370$  based on their baseline infection status.
- $1169~00{:}46{:}58.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}01.140$  Were you infected with SARS-CoV-2 in the past?
- $1170\ 00{:}47{:}01.140 --> 00{:}47{:}05.387$  Based on your race, ethnicity, and based on age.
- $1171\ 00:47:06.780 --> 00:47:08.760$  And so based on that, we'll take a random draw
- $1172\ 00:47:08.760 --> 00:47:12.133$  of the trial population, about 1600 individuals,
- $1173\ 00{:}47{:}13.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}18.160$  excuse me and everyone so I should mention right
- $1174\ 00:47:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.000$  in the trial design everybody is having their blood drawn.
- $1175\ 00{:}47{:}22.000 \rightarrow 00{:}47{:}23.690$  And right now we're talking about whose blood

 $1176\ 00:47:23.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.500$  are we gonna use to measure these immune responses?

 $1177\ 00{:}47{:}26.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}28.930$  So we're gonna measure it in a random sample

 $1178\ 00{:}47{:}28.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}31.410$  and then we're gonna wait until the trial is over

 $1179\ 00:47:31.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.870$  or until one of these interim analysis concludes efficacy

 $1180\ 00:47:34.870 --> 00:47:37.910$  and we're gonna measure immune responses

 $1181\ 00:47:37.910 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.240$  in all of the end points, right?

 $1182\ 00:47:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.890$  Remember that like power in these analyses is drive

 $1183\ 00:47:41.890 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.620$  by the individuals in which we observe endpoints.

 $1184\ 00{:}47{:}45.620 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}47{:}47.180$  So we're gonna make sure we get immune responses

 $1185\ 00:47:47.180 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.310$  in all the end point data, as in addition

 $1186\ 00{:}47{:}49.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}52.690$  to this random sub cohort and it turns out that that's about

 $1187\ 00{:}47{:}52.690 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}47{:}56.920$  as statistically efficient as running the immune assays

1188 00:47:56.920 --> 00:47:58.890 on all 30,000 individuals in the trial.

 $1189\ 00{:}47{:}58.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}01.120$  So this is this kind of classic case cohort design

 $1190\ 00{:}48{:}01.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}04.000$  that Ross Prentice has been writing about for years

 $1191\ 00:48:04.000 \dashrightarrow 00:48:06.160$  that Norman Breslow did some sort of pioneering work

 $1192~00{:}48{:}06.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}10.510$  on in the 2000s and I'll just talk a little bit about sort

 $1193\ 00{:}48{:}10.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}13.280$  of how this complicates our life as statisticians

 $1194\ 00{:}48{:}13.280 \to 00{:}48{:}16.040$  and then maybe we'll leave a few minutes for questions.

 $1195\ 00:48:16.040 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.610$  So here's the math, we made it.

- $1196~00{:}48{:}17.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19.530$  Well, the moment you've all been waiting for it
- $1197\ 00:48:19.530 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.880$  to see some math.
- 1198 00:48:20.880 --> 00:48:23.070 So just introducing, you know,
- 1199 00:48:23.070 --> 00:48:26.000 why is this sampling design challenging
- $1200\ 00:48:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.740$  from a perspective of generating estimators, right?
- $1201\ 00{:}48{:}28.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}31.160$  Well, we can sort of immediately see that this isn't
- $1202\ 00{:}48{:}31.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}34.790$  a totally random sample of the trial population, right?
- $1203\ 00{:}48{:}34.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}38.290$  In particular we've over-sampled the individuals who end up
- 1204 00:48:38.290 --> 00:48:42.150 getting diseased and it's fairly obvious
- $1205\ 00:48:42.150 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.900$  that those individuals have potential to be very different
- $1206\ 00:48:44.900 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.200$  than a randomly selected individual in the population.
- $1207\ 00:48:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.620$  So we have a bias sub sample.
- $1208\ 00:48:48.620 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.950$  So we need some statistical methodology to try to back out,
- 1209 00:48:51.950 --> 00:48:53.520 you know, whatever this parameter is.
- $1210\ 00:48:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.150$  We want to be estimating it in the whole trial population,
- 1211 00:48:56.150 --> 00:48:58.560 not just in this biased sub samples.
- 1212 00:48:58.560 --> 00:49:00.200 So how do we do that?
- 1213 00:49:00.200 --> 00:49:02.100 So just a quick notation here,
- 1214 00:49:02.100 --> 00:49:04.140 let's call W baseline covariates,
- 1215 00:49:04.140 --> 00:49:06.830 A is a binary vaccine assignment,
- $1216~00{:}49{:}06.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}11.340~\mathrm{Y}$  is your binary COVID endpoint for example
- $1217\ 00{:}49{:}11.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}13.360$  and then we'll introduce this sort of indicators.
- $1218\ 00{:}49{:}13.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17.570$  Delta is one, if you're selected into this immune response

- $1219\ 00:49:17.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.050$  sub cohort, either because you were a case,
- $1220\ 00{:}49{:}20.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}23.190$  you were an end point or because you were randomly selected
- $1221\ 00:49:23.190 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.600$  into the cohort.
- 1222 00:49:24.600 --> 00:49:27.053 And then we'll call S your immune response.
- $1223\ 00{:}49{:}27.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}30.870$  And then we'll just say, we'll represent this as Delta S,
- $1224\ 00{:}49{:}30.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}32.800$  which just means we'll arbitrarily set every body
- $1225\ 00:49:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.930$  who's not in our sub cohorts immune response to be zero,
- 1226 00:49:35.930 --> 00:49:38.170 that's arbitrary doesn't really matter.
- $1227\ 00:49:38.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.530$  So let's talk about how estimation would happen.
- 1228 00:49:40.530 --> 00:49:42.980 So let's pick a very simple parameter, right?
- $1229\ 00{:}49{:}42.980 \to 00{:}49{:}45.110$  Let's just say that we want to know what's the overall
- $1230\ 00:49:45.110 --> 00:49:47.230$  immune response in the whole population,
- 1231 00:49:47.230 --> 00:49:49.630 not a particularly interesting parameter
- 1232 00:49:49.630 --> 00:49:51.200 for actually measuring correlates,
- $1233\ 00{:}49{:}51.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}53.660$  but just to motivate the types of statistical approaches
- $1234\ 00:49:53.660 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.090$  that we use in these settings.
- $1235\ 00:49:56.090 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.920$  So how can we control for the bias of the sampling design?
- $1236\ 00:49:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.730$  Well, one of the most straightforward ways
- $1237\ 00:50:00.730 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.050$  is to use the tried and true
- $1238\ 00{:}50{:}02.050$  -->  $00{:}50{:}04.810$  Horvitz-Thompson or IPTW estimator, right.
- 1239 00:50:04.810 --> 00:50:07.830 Where we're just taking basically a sample mean
- $1240\ 00{:}50{:}07.830 {\: -->\:} 00{:}50{:}11.200$  but all our observations are sort of inverse weighted
- $1241\ 00:50:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.920$  by their probability of being sampled into this sub cohort.

- $1242\ 00:50:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.997$  And so that's, IPTW estimator if you're in causal inference,
- 1243 00:50:17.997 --> 00:50:19.460 you're very familiar with this.
- 1244 00:50:19.460 --> 00:50:20.710 If you're in survey sampling,
- $1245\ 00:50:20.710 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.030$  very familiar with this.
- $1246\ 00:50:22.030 --> 00:50:26.850$  Very classical way of adjusting for this selection bias.
- 1247 00:50:26.850 --> 00:50:28.000 It turns out that there's ways
- $1248\ 00:50:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.830$  that we can be more efficient in doing this.
- $1249\ 00{:}50{:}29.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}33.086$  We can use augmented estimators, AIPTW estimators.
- $1250\ 00{:}50{:}33.086 --> 00{:}50{:}36.683$  And the key idea there is that we take the IPTW estimator
- 1251 00:50:36.683 --> 00:50:39.180 and we add a little bit of something to it
- $1252\ 00:50:39.180 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.850$  and the key thing is that that little bit
- $1253\ 00{:}50{:}40.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}45.850$  of something involves a regression of S the immune response
- $1254\ 00{:}50{:}45.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}49.970$  onto the covariates that were used to sample individuals
- $1255\ 00:50:49.970 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.140$  into the sub cohort.
- $1256\ 00:50:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.670$  And so what's the intuition as
- $1257\ 00:50:53.670 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.700$  to why this is more efficient?
- $1258\ 00{:}50{:}55.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}59.050$  Well, you can imagine what if we had a perfect predictor
- 1259 00:50:59.050 --> 00:51:01.160 of S measured at baseline, right?
- $1260\ 00:51:01.160 --> 00:51:04.940$  Then this regression here is essentially imputing
- $1261\ 00:51:04.940 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.360$  the correct value of S
- $1262\ 00:51:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.860$  for every single person in the population.
- 1263 00:51:08.860 --> 00:51:11.480 So it's kind of like we're getting more data
- 1264 00:51:11.480 --> 00:51:14.710 in some sense, and the nice thing about
- $1265\ 00:51:14.710 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.580$  these approaches, these AIPTW approaches
- 1266 00:51:16.580 --> 00:51:18.440 is that they're double robust and so again,

- $1267\ 00:51:18.440 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.100$  if you work in causal inference a very familiar idea,
- 1268 00:51:21.100 --> 00:51:22.630 and it turns out because we know
- 1269 00:51:22.630 --> 00:51:25.000 the sampling probability by design,
- $1270\ 00:51:25.000 --> 00:51:28.230$  this regression doesn't have to be consistently estimated
- $1271\ 00:51:28.230 --> 00:51:29.840$  in order to obtain a consistent estimate
- $1272\ 00:51:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.730$  of the parameter measures.
- $1273\ 00:51:30.730 \dashrightarrow 00:51:32.960$  So it's this really nice sort of double robustness property
- $1274\ 00:51:32.960 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.930$  that says, yeah, you might be turned off
- 1275 00:51:34.930 --> 00:51:36.110 from this augmented estimator
- $1276\ 00:51:36.110 --> 00:51:37.740$  because you have to do a little bit of extra work,
- 1277 00:51:37.740 --> 00:51:40.300 you have to fit a regression model say,
- $1278\ 00:51:40.300 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.900$  and maybe you're worried about missspecifying
- $1279\ 00{:}51{:}41.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}44.220$  that regression well it turns out that because the sampling
- 1280 00:51:44.220 --> 00:51:45.800 probabilities are known by design,
- 1281 00:51:45.800 --> 00:51:47.430 you don't have to concern yourself with that.
- $1282\ 00{:}51{:}47.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}50.450$  So it turns out you can use any old regression estimator
- $1283\ 00{:}51{:}50.450 --> 00{:}51{:}52.540$  here and still end up with a consistent estimate
- $1284\ 00:51:52.540 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.240$  of the parameter of interest.
- $1285\ 00:51:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.290$  And so we're applying this
- $1286\ 00:51:55.290 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.100$  to much more interesting parameters.
- 1287 00:51:57.100 --> 00:51:58.520 So we had a paper come out recently
- $1288\ 00:51:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.920$  in biometrics that's linked here
- $1289\ 00:52:00.920 \dashrightarrow 00:52:03.210$  where we're starting to study a sort of causal inference
- $1290\ 00:52:03.210 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.650$  flavored parameters in this context,
- 1291 00:52:05.650 --> 00:52:07.770 things that we can really use to pin down,

- $1292\ 00:52:07.770 --> 00:52:10.082$  you know, mechanisms of these vaccines working.
- $1293\ 00:52:10.082 \dashrightarrow 00:52:12.626$  So, in this case, we're studying sort of the effect
- $1294\ 00:52:12.626 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.010$  of a stochastic intervention, we call it.
- 1295 00:52:16.010 --> 00:52:17.980 So it's sort of saying what would happen
- 1296 00:52:17.980 --> 00:52:19.830 if we took everybody's immune response,
- $1297\ 00:52:19.830 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.420$  this particular immune response that we observed,
- $1298\ 00:52:22.420 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.520$  and we shifted it up just a little bit
- 1299 00:52:24.520 --> 00:52:26.470 or we shifted it down just a little bit.
- $1300\ 00{:}52{:}26.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}29.580$  How would that impact the risk of disease amongst
- 1301 00:52:29.580 --> 00:52:30.413 the vaccinated individuals?
- $1302\ 00:52:30.413 --> 00:52:33.770$  So that's what this big, gnarly parameter is right here.
- 1303 00:52:33.770 --> 00:52:35.240 And so you ended up looking at a plot
- $1304\ 00:52:35.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.110$  that's kind of like this.
- $1305\ 00:52:36.110 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.540$  So this is from an HIV vaccine trial.
- $1306\ 00{:}52{:}38.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41.770$  So at zero we're saying that's just the observed risk
- 1307 00:52:41.770 --> 00:52:44.160 of the trial and as we move left we're saying,
- $1308\ 00:52:44.160 --> 00:52:47.307$  what would the risk be if we decreased your immune response?
- $1309\ 00:52:47.307 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.810$  And so we can see in this example,
- $1310\ 00:52:48.810 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.770$  we found that the risk would be increasing, right.
- 1311 00:52:51.770 --> 00:52:53.360 And then if we're moving to the right
- $1312\ 00:52:53.360 --> 00:52:56.587$  is what would happen if we increase your immune response.
- 1313 00:52:56.587 --> 00:52:58.530 And so we're kind of getting at something
- $1314\ 00:52:58.530 --> 00:53:02.670$  that's like a controlled effects mediation type parameter

- $1315\ 00:53:02.670 --> 00:53:06.210$  with this approach and so we're working out some
- $1316\ 00:53:06.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.370$  of the details of the correlates plan currently
- $1317\ 00:53:10.370 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.460$  and so when that's done
- $1318\ 00:53:11.460 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.070$  we'll have it available for public comment.
- 1319 00:53:13.070 --> 00:53:14.490 And again, we're academics, right?
- $1320\ 00:53:14.490 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.350$  So we'll do it all open science.
- $1321\ 00:53:16.350$  --> 00:53:18.270 And then I'll just say like two words of conclusion
- $1322\ 00{:}53{:}18.270 --> 00{:}53{:}20.690$  and I'll shut up and leave some time for questions.
- $1323\ 00:53:20.690 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.970$  So there's been a big concern
- $1324\ 00{:}53{:}22.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}26.000$  in the current political climate that we're gonna sneak
- $1325\ 00{:}53{:}26.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}28.113$  something through, that something's gonna be approved
- $1326\ 00{:}53{:}28.113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}32.018$  without sort of the standard amount of evidence
- 1327 00:53:32.018 --> 00:53:33.113 that would be required, right.
- $1328\ 00:53:33.113 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.010$  That there's political interference at the FDA
- $1329\ 00:53:36.010 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.560$  and from where I sit, you know,
- $1330\ 00:53:38.560 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.520\ I$  can say that the science behind the vaccine
- $1331\ 00{:}53{:}40.520$  -->  $00{:}53{:}43.059$  development program for COVID is extremely rigorous.
- $1332\ 00{:}53{:}43.059 {\longrightarrow} 00{:}53{:}45.900$  These are exactly the type of people who you would want
- $1333\ 00:53:45.900 --> 00:53:47.969$  in charge of this decision making process
- $1334\ 00{:}53{:}47.969 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}51.270$  and the type of people that will raise red flags
- $1335\ 00:53:51.270 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.190$  as soon as sort of the process goes off the rails.
- $1336\ 00{:}53{:}54.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}56.870$  So right now I feel good about where things stand.
- $1337\ 00:53:56.870 --> 00:54:00.376$  Of course, I watch presidential debates and hear, you know,

- $1338\ 00:54:00.376 --> 00:54:03.620$  garbage science coming out and I get a little bit concerned,
- $1339\ 00:54:03.620 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.010$  but from where I sit right now,
- 1340 00:54:05.010 --> 00:54:07.040 everything's looking pretty good.
- $1341\ 00{:}54{:}07.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}09.075$  So overall, I'd say that the increased transparency
- $1342\ 00:54:09.075 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.960$  by releasing these protocols
- $1343\ 00:54:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.490$  has been good for scientists and consumers.
- 1344 00:54:13.490 --> 00:54:15.110 We want to bring vaccines to market,
- $1345\ 00:54:15.110 --> 00:54:16.910$  but we also want people to trust those vaccine
- $1346\ 00:54:16.910 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.480$  so increasing transparency in whatever way we can is great.
- $1347\ 00:54:20.480 --> 00:54:23.050$  And then finally, the final point is that a lot of these
- $1348\ 00:54:23.050 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.040$  issues that I've talked about,
- $1349\ 00:54:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.580$  how do we do interim monitoring, right?
- 1350 00:54:25.580 --> 00:54:28.030 What's the right end point to be studying?
- 1351 00:54:28.030 --> 00:54:29.590 What's the right S demand, right?
- $1352\ 00:54:29.590 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.580$  These are really hard decisions
- $1353\ 00:54:31.580 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.230$  and there are no right answers.
- $1354\ 00:54:34.230 --> 00:54:36.650$  And so one of the things that's been a little bit
- 1355 00:54:36.650 --> 00:54:39.610 disconcerting or disheartening to me
- $1356\ 00:54:39.610 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.530$  is the extent to which in the pandemic era,
- $1357\ 00{:}54{:}42.530 {\: -->}\ 00{:}54{:}45.860$ academic debates have been made very much public
- $1358\ 00:54:45.860 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.020$  and I'm not against academic debates.
- $1359\ 00{:}54{:}49.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52.080$  It's just that most individuals aren't used to seeing them.
- $1360\ 00:54:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.330$  And so what I'm worried is happening is that people
- $1361\ 00{:}54{:}55{.}330 {\: -->\:} 00{:}54{:}59{.}760$  see high profile academics debating these challenging
- $1362\ 00:54:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.870$  problems where there's no real right answer.

 $1363\ 00:55:01.870 \dashrightarrow 00:55:03.390$  And they're saying, well, these guys don't know

 $1364\ 00:55:03.390 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.850$  what they're talking about.

 $1365\ 00{:}55{:}04.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}07.810$  So I think as a cademics and public health professionals

 $1366\ 00:55:07.810 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.920$  in this pandemic, one thing that we can do

 $1367\ 00:55:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.344$  is just to be very careful in how we're presenting,

1368 00:55:12.344 --> 00:55:15.060 you know, the science that we're doing

 $1369\ 00:55:15.060 --> 00:55:16.890$  and acknowledge when there's not a right answer,

1370 00:55:16.890 --> 00:55:18.590 that you're presenting your opinion.

1371 00:55:18.590 --> 00:55:20.850 And that there is some validity, right?

1372 00:55:20.850 --> 00:55:23.420 That this is very gray, unfortunately,

 $1373\ 00:55:23.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.260$  that there's nothing black and white here.

 $1374\ 00:55:25.260 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.640$  So maybe that's a controversial statement to end on,

1375 00:55:27.640 --> 00:55:29.940 but I'll end there and then thanks again to Fan

 $1376\ 00:55:29.940 --> 00:55:31.527$  for giving me the opportunity to talk

 $1377~00{:}55{:}31.527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}34.840$  and I'm happy to take questions as there's time.

1378 00:55:34.840 --> 00:55:36.430 I don't have anything scheduled after this,

 $1379\ 00:55:36.430 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.200$  so I can stay a few minutes over as would be helpful.

 $1380\ 00:55:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.183$  So thanks again.

1381 00:55:41.386 --> 00:55:43.730 - [Fan] Thank you David for this very nice talk.

 $1382\ 00:55:43.730 --> 00:55:46.460$  I think we do have three to four minutes for questions

 $1383\ 00:55:47.383 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.423$  from the audience, if there's any.

1384 00:55:53.890 --> 00:55:55.390 - [Woman] Hi David, I have a question

 $1385\ 00{:}55{:}55{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}00{.}100$  'cause right now for COVID situation and because of the time

 $1386\ 00:56:00.100 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.720$  and the faster progress of the disease

1387 00:56:03.720 --> 00:56:07.870 and it's a hard to keep the standard method,

 $1388\ 00:56:07.870$  --> 00:56:12.870 but do you have other proofed vaccine for other disease

 $1389\ 00{:}56{:}13.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}18.890$  and have a quick trial have a similar way as COVID

 $1390\ 00:56:19.450 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.590$  and apply the method you're using right now

1391 00:56:23.590 --> 00:56:26.910 and we have standard results already

 $1392\,00:56:26.910\,-->00:56:31.840$  and then compare to see how good the current method is.

1393 00:56:31.840 --> 00:56:33.533 So that's my question.

 $1394\ 00:56:35.110 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.217$  - [David] Yeah it's an interesting question.

 $1395\ 00:56:37.217 --> 00:56:39.320$  So let me try to restate, so you're saying,

 $1396~00{:}56{:}39.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}42.090$  are there any lessons from vaccine development

 $1397\ 00:56:42.090 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.136$  that we can try to draw from here

 $1398~00{:}56{:}44.136$  -->  $00{:}56{:}47.590$  to evaluate our methodology, whether it work?

1399 00:56:47.590 --> 00:56:50.933 - [Woman] Yes, from other vaccines.

 $1400\ 00:56:51.840 --> 00:56:54.920$  - [David] So I guess what I would say is that at this stage,

 $1401\ 00{:}56{:}54.920 {\: -->\:} 00{:}56{:}58.280$  in phase three vaccines, these phase three trials

 $1402\ 00:56:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.820$  look completely normal.

 $1403\ 00:56:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.680$  So I would say the process of getting to the phase three

 $1404\ 00{:}57{:}02.680 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}57{:}04.920$  looked very different and much more accelerated

 $1405\ 00:57:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.170$  in terms of kind of squashing together

 $1406\ 00:57:07.170 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.300$  phase one and phase two in terms of the manufacturing,

 $1407\ 00:57:11.300 --> 00:57:13.410$  but in terms of what's happening in a phase three trial,

 $1408\ 00:57:13.410 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.760$  this is probably the phase three trial

- $1409\ 00:57:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.220$  that would be done outside of the setting of a pandemic.
- $1410\ 00:57:18.220 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.220$  Maybe the interim analysis would be a little bit
- $1411\ 00:57:20.220 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.390$  less aggressive for some of these companies, but really,
- $1412\ 00:57:23.390 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.614\ I$  think the approaches that the companies are taking
- $1413\ 00:57:26.614$  --> 00:57:30.903 would be fairly standard even in any other vaccine context.
- 1414 00:57:34.831 --> 00:57:36.842 -Woman Yeah. I mean, even though
- 1415 00:57:36.842 --> 00:57:39.913 for the established vaccine,
- $1416\ 00:57:40.820 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.260$  there could be some field trial
- $1417\ 00:57:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.150$  and that they also went through a phase three,
- 1418 00:57:47.150 --> 00:57:50.540 but you can do the similar thing to enhance,
- $1419\ 00{:}57{:}50.540 {\: -->\:} 00{:}57{:}55.000$  to see whether it is possible to pass the current protocol
- $1420\ 00:57:56.512 --> 00:57:59.473$  and become some sort of false positive.
- $1421\ 00:58:02.350 --> 00:58:05.543$  [David] Yeah and, you know, I think speaking,
- 1422 00:58:07.930 --> 00:58:09.320 I mean, speaking of failed vaccines,
- $1423\ 00{:}58{:}09.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}11.497$  as someone who works in HIV vaccines,
- $1424\ 00:58:11.497 --> 00:58:14.700$  we're very familiar with failure and learning from that.
- $1425\ 00:58:14.700 --> 00:58:17.497$  So again, I think the people who are running these trials
- $1426\ 00:58:17.497 \dashrightarrow 00:58:20.130$  are sort of the right people in terms of looking out
- $1427\ 00:58:20.130 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.430$  for these false positive signals and so forth.
- 1428 00:58:24.132 --> 00:58:25.132 [Woman] Thank you.
- $1429\ 00:58:26.513 --> 00:58:29.580$  [Fan] So I think we are just about the time
- $1430\ 00:58:29.580 --> 00:58:32.040$  and I'm sure that David is happy
- $1431\ 00:58:32.040 --> 00:58:35.030$  to take your questions afterwards by email.

1432 00:58:35.030 --> 00:58:37.150 So I'll thank David more time.

1433 00:58:37.150 --> 00:58:39.010 Again, thank you for sharing with us

 $1434\ 00:58:39.010 \dashrightarrow 00:58:41.223$  and we'll see everyone again next week.

1435 00:58:43.070 --> 00:58:44.063 - [David] Thanks everybody.