## WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:07:21.6430000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00:00:02.590 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.012$  So, so first it is my honor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

00:00:05.012 --> 00:00:07.469 to today to welcome Doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00:00:07.470 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.918$  She only as our summer speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00:00:09.920 \dashrightarrow 00:00:13.168$  Xihong Lin is a professor from Harvard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00:00:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.606$  jointly appointed by both as deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00:00:15.610 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.298$  Annabelle Slash Department and she has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00{:}00{:}18.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}20.513$  received broad recognition and many

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00:00:20.513 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.012$  awards for her great contribution to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00{:}00{:}23.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.803$  field and her research has covered so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00:00:25.803 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.228$  many different topics ranging from Mr

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00:00:28.228 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.268$  Logical work including hypothesis testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00:00:30.270 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.491$  had dimension statistics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00:00:31.491 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.119$  and color inference tools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00:00:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.008$  data applications.

 $00{:}00{:}34.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}35.784$  And the computational statistics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00:00:35.784 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.560$  such as Statical Genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

00:00:37.560 --> 00:00:38.922 Scalable statical inference

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00{:}00{:}38.922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41.192$  as well as applications with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00{:}00{:}41.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42.879$  epidemiological and health data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00:00:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.981$  So today she will share with us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

00:00:45.981 --> 00:00:48.583 her work on analyzing large scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00{:}00{:}48.583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}50.115$  coordinating databases from both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00{:}00{:}50.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}53.157$  China and the US and provide several

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00{:}00{:}53.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.277$  takeaways and discuss priorities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

00:00:55.280 --> 00:00:56.609 It's Insp endemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00:00:56.609 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.596$  so I will not occupy everyones time for more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00{:}01{:}00.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.198$  I will hand it over to see home from here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8102888

 $00:01:05.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.520$  So should we start

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414724

 $00:01:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.210$  alright? Thank you laying so

 $00:01:08.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.270$  much and for inviting me and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414724

 $00:01:10.270 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.800$  for the very nice introduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414724

 $00{:}01{:}11.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.109$  and so I will share my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414724

 $00:01:14.110 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.420$  1st oh, I cannot share the screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414724

 $00:01:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.740$  I have just met

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414724

 $00{:}01{:}17.740 --> 00{:}01{:}19.726$ your Co host so you should

NOTE Confidence: 0.8414724

 $00:01:19.726 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.700$  be able to get it now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88025904

 $00:01:24.620 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.276$  Can you can you share your

NOTE Confidence: 0.88025904

 $00{:}01{:}26.280 \to 00{:}01{:}28.500$  screen I can do now? Thank you thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

00:01:33.320 --> 00:01:35.486 Alright, can you see my screen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

00:01:35.490 --> 00:01:38.093 Yes. Cool excellent alright?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:01:38.093 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.261$  So I'll share with you some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:01:42.261 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.653$  work and we have been doing last year

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:01:45.653 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.883$  on the Covic 19 so this is the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:01:49.883 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.410$  just downloaded earlier this this week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:01:52.410 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.312$  So as you can see that the right now

 $00:01:56.312 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.662$  they're over 110,000,000 cases in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:01:58.662 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.386$  US and also 2.4 two point 4,000,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:02.386 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.081$  deaths were 110,000,000 cases and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:05.090 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.582$  2.4 million deaths worldwide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00{:}02{:}06.582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10.217$  So if you look at the curve on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:10.220 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.103$  that is the case curve and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:12.103 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.560$  a few selective countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.970$  So as you can see for both the UK and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

00:02:16.037 --> 00:02:18.361 also United States and the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

00:02:18.361 --> 00:02:20.858 cases had been going down in January,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:20.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.678$  so that is a good sign.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.724$  And also Israel as you know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00{:}02{:}24.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.901$  Israel has been a really leader in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:26.901 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.761$  a vaccination and so their cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:28.825 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.589$  have been going down as well.

00:02:30.590 --> 00:02:32.942 But if you look at Africa I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:32.942 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.724$  the number this particular country

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:34.724 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.342$  you can see the number of cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:37.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.176$  Has been going up likely due to the new

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:40.176 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.325$  violence in Africa and on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:42.330 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.129$  That is the case curve with the desk curve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:45.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.300$  You can see the patterns pretty similar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:47.300 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.154$  especially you can see for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00{:}02{:}49.154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}50.756$  African country and the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:50.756 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.586$  of deaths has going up quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:52.590 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.060$  so that is really worrisome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:55.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.400$  So here's a talk outline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:02:57.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.830$  so I'll start talking about the covid

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00{:}03{:}00.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}03.479$  transmission intervention and using the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:03:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.288$  and then they turn talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:03:06.288 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.160$  the USN word data.

 $00:03:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.500$  Then I'll talk about epidemiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00{:}03{:}10.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11.904$  characteristics of Mackovic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:03:11.910 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.238$  Then I'll talk about the 221

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00{:}03{:}14.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.407$  playbooks and also the defining

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:03:16.407 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.567$  challenges in particular about

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

00:03:18.567 --> 00:03:21.267 the vaccine rollout and uptake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:03:21.270 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.294$  Our focus more on the uptake and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:03:24.294 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.898$  also how can we do scalable testing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:03:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.160$  And in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00{:}03{:}29.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}32.100$  I talk about this support design and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:03:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.155$  we call the hypergraph we called hyper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

00:03:35.160 --> 00:03:39.030 That is based on the hypergraph

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00{:}03{:}39.030 --> 00{:}03{:}39.675 \ {\rm factorization}.$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:03:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.319$  So I started working on the Covic

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:03:42.319 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.482$  19 research mainly by coincidence

 $00:03:44.482 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.916$  and last February.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:03:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.925$  So my post,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00{:}03{:}46.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}48.600$ our former postdoc column one

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00{:}03{:}48.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.966$  he is currently is professor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:03:50.966 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.402$  school public Health at Wild on

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

00:03:53.476 --> 00:03:55.900 Science and Technology University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:03:55.900 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.980$  which is located in Wuhan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:03:57.980 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.644$  and so last February,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:03:59.644 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.308$  'cause Wuhan was epicenter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:04:01.310 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.082$  So I wrote him a message asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

00:04:04.082 --> 00:04:07.104 how and he and his family were

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:04:07.104 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.708$  doing and he told me that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:04:09.710 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.675$  He and his colleagues were

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

00:04:11.675 --> 00:04:13.247 analyzing the Wuhan data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:04:13.250 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.778$  then at that time there was already one case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:04:16.780 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.924$  and in Seattle and one case in Boston.

 $00:04:19.930 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.108$  So I sense that the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

00:04:23.108 --> 00:04:25.270 virus might spread and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

00:04:25.270 --> 00:04:28.140 I decided to join them and working

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:04:28.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.901$  on analyzing the Wuhan data so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:04:30.901 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.628$  work the we work for several weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

00:04:33.628 --> 00:04:35.998 and in February especially child

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:04:35.998 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.274$  when his Cody worked really hard and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

00:04:39.274 --> 00:04:42.480 then finish this preprint and we post

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00{:}04{:}42.571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.611$  the preprint on March 6 and so then

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

00:04:45.611 --> 00:04:48.494 with the hope that the findings we

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:04:48.494 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.404$  want we want to share their findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:04:51.404 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.463$  with the US and also other country

NOTE Confidence: 0.92059565

 $00:04:54.463 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.507$  as soon as possible to help them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7997775

00:04:57.510 --> 00:04:58.599 The other countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7997775

 $00{:}04{:}58.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01.663$  and so I I did not expect this

 $00:05:01.663 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.873$  preprint attract lots of attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.7997775

00:05:03.873 --> 00:05:07.108 that you can see the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7997775

00:05:07.108 --> 00:05:08.956 abstract view and download,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7997775

 $00:05:08.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.080$  and also there lots of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:05:13.390 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.690$  A lot of free trade and also the news outlet

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:05:16.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.998$  coverage and also the policy documentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00{:}05{:}20.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22.905$  And after I twittered this paper in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

00:05:22.905 --> 00:05:25.931 the Twitter and so then this paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00{:}05{:}25.931 \to 00{:}05{:}29.325$  preprint cover too much stuff and so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

00:05:29.325 --> 00:05:32.006 decided to split the paper into split

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00{:}05{:}32.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34.862$  the preprint into two paper and one

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:05:34.862 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.760$  was published in JAMA and last April.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

00:05:37.760 --> 00:05:40.045 Another one was published in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:05:40.045 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.157$  Nature last summer and so this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:05:43.157 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.726$  on the JAMA paper was led by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:05:45.730 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.710$  I'm Pan and a towel,

 $00{:}05{:}47.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.086$  and here they both were addressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00{:}05{:}50.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}51.674$  pH alarm and country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:05:51.674 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.050$  Who is the Dean of school?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:05:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.410$  Public health as well doing science

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00{:}05{:}57.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00.173$  and technology University and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

00:06:00.173 --> 00:06:02.759 Nature paper was led by Charlo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:06:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.884$  And then emerge after the preprint

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:06:04.884 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.910$  was posted them in Twitter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00{:}06{:}06{:}06{:}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10.294$  And then I got quite a few interview request.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

00:06:10.300 --> 00:06:11.708 But as a station,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

00:06:11.708 --> 00:06:13.468 my first reaction was turned

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:06:13.468 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.200$  down all the interviews,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:06:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.839$  so I turned on all the interviews

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

00:06:17.839 --> 00:06:20.074 and in March, and then April,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:06:20.074 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.500$  I decided that probably is not a good idea.

 $00:06:23.500 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.544$  It's good to talk with the media

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:06:25.544 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.004$  and then they can understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

00:06:28.004 --> 00:06:29.525 scientific funding correctly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:06:29.530 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.138$  Then that will help,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:06:31.138 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.148$  and the combat the Covic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:06:33.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.982$  And so I decided to accept the interviews

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:06:35.982 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.853$  and so you can see there's a quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:06:38.853 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.117$  few coverage of the findings and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00{:}06{:}41.117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}44.309$  also the interviews and in the US medias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:06:44.310 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.662$  And also in the UK medium and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:06:46.662 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.160$  also in scientific journals

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:06:48.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.260$  such as Nature and Science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:06:50.260 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.018$  And the one thing I I learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:06:53.018 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.149$  was that 'cause when as a faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

00:06:56.149 --> 00:06:58.005 member in Academic Institute

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:06:58.005 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.779$  and we were not trained to.

 $00{:}07{:}00.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03.868$  Speak to the media and so to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00{:}07{:}03.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.458$  scientific communication on the two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:07:05.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.620$  The general public is very important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:07:07.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.744$  so it's important to have more

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:07:09.744 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.580$  training and in this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:07:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.770$  Another thing I learned was is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:07:13.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.712$  important that speak in simple

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:07:15.712 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.148$  language and without the jargon and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:07:18.148 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.590$  so general public could understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:07:20.590 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.446$  And then I testified the in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

00:07:23.446 --> 00:07:25.247 science and Technology University

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

00:07:25.247 --> 00:07:27.243 \$0.10 at Technology Committee

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

00:07:27.243 --> 00:07:30.040 of UK Parliament on April 17.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:07:30.040 \dashrightarrow 00:07:32.668$  And so this sense technology Committee

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:07:32.668 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.411$  has about 8 to 10 Parliament

 $00:07:35.411 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.135$  members like a senators on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

00:07:38.140 --> 00:07:40.975 And then they later on they also

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00{:}07{:}40.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.090$  invited few other witnesses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:07:43.090 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.434$  And then they wrote a letter to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:07:46.434 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.327$  Prime Minister Johnson and they make

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:07:49.327 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.285$  a 10 recommendations in their letter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00{:}07{:}52.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.394$  Under so so then I I was honored

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:07:55.394 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.887$  that several of my recommendation

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00{:}07{:}57.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.602$  we included in their recommendation

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:08:00.602 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.987$  in the recommendation they made it

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00{:}08{:}03.987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07.299$  to the Prime Prime Minister Johnson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

00:08:07.300 --> 00:08:08.392 And then, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:08:08.392 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.597$  this last month and as a one year

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:08:11.597 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.981$  anniversary of the colic outbreak and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:08:14.981 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.869$  nature published their third editorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:08:17.869 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.187$  and focusing on the major findings,

 $00:08:21.190 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.670$  a key finding from ideology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:08:23.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.698$  And so we will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

00:08:25.698 --> 00:08:29.484 We will honor that our paper was

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:08:29.484 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.234$  featured on the in this editorial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:08:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.568$  So let me first talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:08:35.568 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.732$  the Wuhan analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:08:36.740 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.276$  Then we talk about the US and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:08:39.276 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.857$  countries and the 1st I'll introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:08:41.857 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.733$  this effective reproductive number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

 $00:08:43.740 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.847$  So this concept,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83434194

00:08:44.847 --> 00:08:47.430 our value is right now and everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00{:}08{:}47.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}49.975$  understand what that is and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00{:}08{:}49.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51.619$  that measures average number

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00{:}08{:}51.696 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{--}}} 00{:}08{:}53.856$  of infected people by one case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:08:53.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.508$  So you can see on the right if

00:08:56.508 --> 00:08:59.005 Artie called before that means one

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00{:}08{:}59.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01.639$  person could affect to four people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:09:01.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.810$  That means the virus spread.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:09:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.110$  So therefore, to in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:09:05.110 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.150$  to control the pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:09:06.150 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.580$  the army to be less than one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:09:09.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.625$  And so here's a woman analysis under.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:09:12.630 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.536$  So we found the two major features of Kovik.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:09:16.540 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.150$  The first feature is the virus

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:09:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.455$  is highly transmissible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:09:20.460 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.070$  and so the before January 23rd,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:09:23.070 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.240$  and there was no intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:09:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.880$  As you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

00:09:26.880 --> 00:09:30.459 the number of cases had went up quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:09:30.460 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.904$  exponentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:09:30.904 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.012$  and so the first case was reported

 $00:09:34.012 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.244$  on the in this orphan and seafood

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00{:}09{:}37.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}39.504$  market and this seafood market

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

00:09:39.587 --> 00:09:41.647 was closed on January 1st.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

00:09:41.650 --> 00:09:44.786 Under then on March on January 23rd,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:09:44.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.370$  and that was a lunch of the lock down and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:09:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.592$  As you can see that before the lock down

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:09:53.592 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.799$  the estimated RTT values is about 3.5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00{:}09{:}56.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.104$  so that means each purse case could be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:10:00.110 \dashrightarrow 00:10:03.809$  Like 3.5 people and so that is not good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:10:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.393$  So that means this is really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:10:06.393 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.009$  disease is very transmissible

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00{:}10{:}08.009 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10.970$  and then after the lock down and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00{:}10{:}10{:}10{.}970 \longrightarrow 00{:}10{:}13{.}171$  with the social distancing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00{:}10{:}13.171 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}16.132$  really how the RT value dropped to

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:10:16.140 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.606$  a little over 1.2 and then.

 $00:10:18.610 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.658$  But it's not good enough and so then

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00{:}10{:}21.658 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}10{:}24.871$  after February 2nd and that was a lunch

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:10:24.871 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.649$  of the centralized isolation and quarantine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:10:27.650 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.908$  So they basically the city build.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:10:30.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.364$  Two new hospital field Hospital and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

00:10:33.364 --> 00:10:35.527 also 16 field hospitals converted

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:10:35.527 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.782$  from the stadium and expectation

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:10:37.782 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.586$  Center and after that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:10:39.590 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.710$  And then you can see the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

00:10:42.710 --> 00:10:46.079 cases drop down very quickly and the RT

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00{:}10{:}46.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49.744$  values and by March 8th was about .27

NOTE Confidence: 0.845761500000001

 $00:10:49.744 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.606$  and then the pandemic was controlled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00{:}10{:}55.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57.945$  And so to estimate those RT values.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:10:57.950 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.100$  So basically the model we use is a person

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:11:01.100 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.807$  partial differential equation model

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:11:02.807 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.240$  and so this captured by the left here.

 $00:11:06.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.795$  Then you can see here is a from them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00{:}11{:}09.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12.313$  So here this is the symptom onset

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:11:12.313 --> 00:11:14.396 and between exposure and symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:11:14.396 --> 00:11:16.044 answer discord, incubation period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:11:16.044 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.411$  So generally this is about 5 days and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:11:19.411 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.039$  from the exposure to presymptomatic onsite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:11:22.040 --> 00:11:24.080 This so this part period

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:11:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.304$  called latent period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00{:}11{:}25.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28.243$  So that means a patient is infected

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:11:28.243 --> 00:11:31.918 and but the person is not transparent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:11:31.920 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.358$  doesn't transmit the disease, and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:11:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.130$  Between the pre symptomatic period

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00{:}11{:}37.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39.350$ 2 symptomatic period so this period,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:11:39.350 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.106$  the person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:11:40.106 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.374$  even though a person doesn't have

00:11:42.374 --> 00:11:44.438 a symptom and but very load,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:11:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.066$  is high enough and then the person

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:11:48.066 --> 00:11:49.102 could become.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:11:49.110 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.042$  Infectious and so this period is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:11:51.042 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.852$  about two days between presymptomatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:11:52.852 --> 00:11:54.680 two symptomatic and between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:11:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.965$  exposure to pre symptomatic period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:11:56.970 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.940$  This is about 3 days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00{:}11{:}58.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01.558$  and so we built in those components

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:12:01.558 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.260$  and in the model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:12:03.260 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.220$  and so we introduce this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:12:05.220 --> 00:12:06.004 presymptomatic compartment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:12:06.010 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.882$  and also because at that time the testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:12:08.882 --> 00:12:11.509 case will not that widely available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00{:}12{:}11.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}13.988$  and so therefore there were a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:12:13.988 --> 00:12:16.230 of cases which were uncertain,

 $00:12:16.230 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.520$  and so therefore we built in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:12:19.520 --> 00:12:20.394 Unstained components,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:12:20.394 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.453$  the observed data here those are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:12:23.453 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.520$  observed data and then those are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:12:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.858$  Then we construct all those components and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:12:27.858 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.718$  drew the deep partial differential equation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:12:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.940$  So this is isolation component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:12:33.940 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.346$  And so so here the we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:12:36.346 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.640$  after you feed this model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:12:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.704$  one can construct the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00{:}12{:}40.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42.768$  reproductive number or value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:12:42.770 --> 00:12:43.498 So basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00{:}12{:}43.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.590$  as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:12:44.590 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.866$  the datas are here and those are the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:12:47.870 --> 00:12:49.988 The rest part are basically coming

 $00:12:49.988 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.400$  from the partial differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00{:}12{:}51.463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53.671$  equations and then we fit the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:12:53.671 --> 00:12:54.775 partial differential equation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:12:54.780 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.216$  Apostle model and using MCMC and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00{:}12{:}57.216 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}59.560$  the Gulf Coast parameter estimate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:12:59.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.936$  And so here you can see that before

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:13:02.936 --> 00:13:05.088 the intervention on our journey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:13:05.090 --> 00:13:07.634 then if there was suppose there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:13:07.634 --> 00:13:08.906 were no intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:13:08.910 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.941$  then this will the blue curve will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00{:}13{:}11.941 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}14.556$  be the predicted number of infected

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00{:}13{:}14.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.265$  cases and so you can see that 170% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:13:18.265 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.390$  the Wuhan population were infected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:13:20.390 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.445$  That will reach the natural

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:13:22.445 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.449$  herd immunity and so now has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:13:25.449 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.185$  10 million population size.

 $00:13:27.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.046$  Then that means 7,000,000 people need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:13:30.050 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.276$  Need to be infected in order to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:13:32.276 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.280$  reach the herd immunity and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:13:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.555$  this is not a good strategy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:13:36.630 --> 00:13:39.248 many old people will die and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:13:39.248 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.074$  sudden after supposed when user,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:13:41.074 --> 00:13:42.834 social distancing and lock down

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00{:}13{:}42.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}45.625$  and so you can see from Wuhan the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:13:45.625 --> 00:13:47.693 number of cases still going up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00{:}13{:}47.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50.017$  but not as fast as the without

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:13:50.017 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.572$  intervention but after the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:13:51.572 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.777$  Centralized isolation quality on top

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:13:53.777 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.432$  of the social distancing and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:13:56.432 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.365$  number of cases went down very quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:13:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.198$  And so this is what what they

 $00:14:02.198 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.020$  did in one hand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00{:}14{:}04.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.750$  So if the case subject was tested

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:14:06.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.352$  positive and this person to patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:14:09.352 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.052$  was admitted to the field hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:14:12.060 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.220$  and so this is different from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

 $00:14:14.220 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.327$  the US in the sense that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8317293

00:14:17.327 --> 00:14:19.691 last spring and US also build

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

00:14:19.777 --> 00:14:21.790 multiple field hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

 $00{:}14{:}21.790 \to 00{:}14{:}25.166$  but they only admit as a severe diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

 $00:14:25.170 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.320$  so amount and diseases cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

 $00:14:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.470$  they were isolated at home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

 $00:14:29.470 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.278$  And so that these notes are isolation at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

 $00:14:32.278 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.259$  home could still infect the family members.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

00:14:35.260 --> 00:14:38.275 And but in Wuhan all the mild cases and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

00:14:38.275 --> 00:14:41.048 were admitted to the field hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

 $00:14:41.050 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.554$  so they were monitored.

 $00:14:42.554 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.810$  If anybody became severe and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

00:14:44.879 --> 00:14:47.225 patient was transferred to the ICU,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

 $00:14:47.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.498$  the regular hospital and for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

00:14:49.498 --> 00:14:52.627 people who had a symptom and but who?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

 $00:14:52.630 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.988$  Because at that time there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

 $00:14:54.988 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.320$  not enough testing kits and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

 $00:14:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.378$  they were quitting the odds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

00:14:59.378 --> 00:15:01.638 hotels and will University dorm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

00:15:01.640 --> 00:15:04.576 If so, all the children and stay with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

 $00:15:04.576 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.490$  the parents so the family were together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

00:15:07.490 --> 00:15:09.680 If anybody became a test positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

 $00{:}15{:}09.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.035$  and the person was transferred to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

 $00:15:12.035 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.507$  the field hospital in two weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

 $00:15:14.510 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.808$  if a person was tested negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

 $00:15:16.808 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.800$  and the person went home,

 $00:15:18.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.775$  and similarly for close contact and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435579

 $00{:}15{:}21.775 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}15{:}24.257$  were quarantined as a hotel as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85004455

 $00:15:24.930 \dashrightarrow 00:15:27.954$  I see how can I ask a very basic question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85004455

00:15:27.954 --> 00:15:30.370 If I look at the Group One Group

NOTE Confidence: 0.85004455

 $00:15:30.370 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.880$  two Group One is confirmed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85004455

00:15:31.880 --> 00:15:33.812 I guess if it means if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85004455

 $00{:}15{:}33.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35.521$  perform some kind of PCR test

NOTE Confidence: 0.85004455

00:15:35.521 --> 00:15:37.411 you are positive and Group 2 is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85004455

 $00{:}15{:}37.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39.430$  with symptom but not confirmed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85004455

 $00:15:39.430 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.236$  Can it go the other way?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85004455

 $00:15:41.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.750$  Can you first be confirmed

NOTE Confidence: 0.85004455

00:15:42.750 --> 00:15:43.958 but with no symptoms?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75610137

 $00:15:44.980 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.404$  Oh yeah, I think there were cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75610137

 $00:15:49.410 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.110$  Possible that would have had

NOTE Confidence: 0.75610137

00:15:51.110 --> 00:15:52.810 no symptoms but test positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75610137

 $00:15:52.810 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.470$  but at that time because they were

00:15:55.470 --> 00:15:57.607 not enough testing kids so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.75610137

00:15:57.607 --> 00:15:59.763 of the cases and who were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.75610137

 $00:15:59.838 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.987$  to be tested at the same time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75610137

 $00:16:01.990 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.030$  so that's why there were lots

NOTE Confidence: 0.75610137

 $00:16:04.030 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.050$  of undetected cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75610137

 $00:16:05.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.070$  I said thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

00:16:09.190 --> 00:16:11.280 And so this strategy worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:16:11.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.952$  quite well in Wuhan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:16:12.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.586$  So in less than two months they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:16:16.586 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.944$  reach 0 confirmed case and then by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:16:19.944 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.650$  March 18 and by April 8 and after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00{:}16{:}23.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.086$  To confirm the case for three weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00{:}16{:}26.086 \to 00{:}16{:}28.509$  and then the city was reopened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:16:28.510 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.072$  So it's a whole thing only took

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

00:16:31.072 --> 00:16:33.919 in two months and so the first

 $00:16:33.919 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.999$  take home take home messages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00{:}16{:}36.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}37.905$  the social distancing and centralized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:16:37.905 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.429$  isolation quarantine were critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:16:39.429 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.119$  for controlling the outbreak,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:16:41.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.215$  so using the social distancing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:16:43.215 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.221$  alone that help but was not good

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

00:16:46.221 --> 00:16:48.693 enough so that helped make our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:16:48.693 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.932$  reduce around 1:00 and but did

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:16:50.932 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.457$  not bend the curve and the reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:16:53.457 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.076$  is the there were lots of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00{:}16{:}57.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58.892$  Community transmissions on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:16:58.892 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.157$  social distancing help block the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00{:}17{:}01.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03.207$  community transmission that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:17:03.207 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.243$  between household transmission but

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:17:05.243 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.400$  within family transmission and cost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00{:}17{:}07.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.276$  Place transmission with common

 $00:17:09.276 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.090$  and so so the social distance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

00:17:12.090 --> 00:17:15.834 Distancing does not help block that and so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:17:15.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.140$  especially with how many families are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

00:17:19.140 --> 00:17:21.803 multi generation families and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:17:21.803 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.442$  live in apartment so it compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:17:24.442 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.668$  US as even harder under to isolate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:17:27.670 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.360$  At home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

00:17:29.360 --> 00:17:31.478 And the idea that centralized isolation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:17:31.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.198$  creating to social distancing that help

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:17:34.198 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.500$  bend the curve and stop the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00{:}17{:}37.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39.612$  And so we validate those findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:17:39.612 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.839$  and in other countries last spring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:17:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.460$  So if you look at the curve on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00{:}17{:}45.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}47.270$  that is a Italy data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

00:17:47.270 --> 00:17:49.982 So you can see that Italy also did

 $00:17:49.982 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.215$  the social distancing that help reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:17:52.215 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.234$  the R and the R curve lingered around

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:17:55.234 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.464$  one for over a month and did not bend

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

00:17:58.464 --> 00:18:01.136 the curve but and also on the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:01.136 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.557$  that Germany data in the spring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.690$  The same thing under the curve did not band.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.600$  And the second feature from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:10.600 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.947$  analyzing the Wuhan data is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00{:}18{:}12.947 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}15.149$  Covic is highly converged on the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:15.150 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.430$  so we estimated about 87% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

00:18:17.430 --> 00:18:18.950 the cases were undetected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:18.950 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.470$  So in other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:20.470 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.370$  the detected cases was only

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:22.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.270$  the tip of the iceberg,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:24.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.519$  and so you can see that on the on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:27.519 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.909$  the left and the right bars are

 $00:18:30.909 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.839$  the detected cases and then the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:33.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.306$  Yellow bars also uncertain the cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:36.310 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.271$  so we estimated on the we estimate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:39.271 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.728$  entertainment rate and so we estimated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:41.728 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.530$  about 87% of the cases were uncertain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:44.530 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.372$  and many of those cases were asymptomatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:47.372 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.050$  or mildly symptomatic cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:49.050 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.605$  By adding the yellow and red that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00{:}18{:}51.605 \longrightarrow 00{:}18{:}54.172$  can give us a prevalence estimate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:54.172 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.966$  that is about 2.5% in one hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:56.966 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.150$  and so this is similar to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:18:59.227 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.197$  theological studies based on antibodies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:19:02.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.480$  and that was about 3%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00{:}19{:}04.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07.198$  And then US result very similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:19:07.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.798$  the CDC did theological study last

 $00:19:09.798 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.569$  year and then the estimated about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:19:12.569 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.419$  862 twenty times the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:19:15.419 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.620$  cases were six to twenty time of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223038

 $00:19:18.620 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.785$  the cases which were reported.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:19:22.980 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.535$  And also those undetected tasted

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00{:}19{:}24.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26.523$  post a high risk of resurgence

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:19:26.523 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.368$  if one reopened too early,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:19:28.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.568$  lifting the controls, and so we estimate

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00{:}19{:}30.568 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}19{:}32.419$  the probability of the researchers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:19:32.420 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.292$  Think about this is the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00{:}19{:}34.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36.800$  day and one has a confirmed case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:19:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.480$  When has a confirmed case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:19:38.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.382$  It doesn't mean there is no

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:19:40.382 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.425$  case at all because there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:19:42.425 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.549$  still a lot of undetected cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:19:44.550 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.636$  And suppose when we open in 14

 $00:19:46.636 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.687$  days by lifting all the control

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00{:}19{:}48.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.865$  measures and the first strategy is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

00:19:50.865 --> 00:19:53.109 after the first day observing the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

00:19:53.110 --> 00:19:55.200 Zero confirmed Case No matter

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:19:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.034$  whether the second day has the case

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:19:58.034 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.358$  or not and when to reopen info.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:20:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.896$  This and the second strategy is one has

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:20:03.896 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.559$  a confirmed 0 case for 14 consecutive days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:20:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.520$  That basically means 000 our

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:20:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.480$  way through and what is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

00:20:13.480 --> 00:20:14.890 Research is probability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:20:14.890 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.060$  So that is what we found that if one

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00{:}20{:}19.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}22.196$  reopen in 14 days after the first day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:20:22.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.175$  observe 0 case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:20:23.175 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.238$  So that means it can be zero and 120

00:20:26.238 --> 00:20:28.723 again in this type of situation then

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00{:}20{:}28.723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}31.367$  the researchers probability is 97%

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:20:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.848$  and if one observes the zero case

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:20:33.848 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.204$  for 14 consecutive days and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:20:36.204 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.244$  the resurgence probability is 32%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:20:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.140$  So what is tell us is we need to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

00:20:41.222 --> 00:20:44.360 management and don't reopen too early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:20:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.460$  So this is happened last.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00{:}20{:}46.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}48.724$  May and many of the state in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:20:48.724 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.877$  South do it reopened too early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:20:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.386$  Then we saw those cases are searched

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:20:53.386 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.417$  in the in the summer in the South.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:20:56.420 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.138$  So what's the take home away?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:20:59.140 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.940$  Take a take away message on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:21:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.478$  number 2 is to control the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:21:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.970$  A single control measures not enough

00:21:07.970 --> 00:21:10.919 money to use multiple control measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:21:10.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.732$  and including the mask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

00:21:12.732 --> 00:21:14.544 wearing social distancing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:21:14.544 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.450$  massive testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

00:21:15.450 --> 00:21:17.700 contact tracing and also supported

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00{:}21{:}17.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}19.950$  isolation and quarantines and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:21:20.016 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.686$  effective treatment and also the vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:21:22.690 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.525$  And so the in the JAMA paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:21:25.525 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.309$  we call it multi faceted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00{:}21{:}28.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30.734$  Intervention and then later on in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00{:}21{:}30.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33.809$  the summer and people give it a nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00{:}21{:}33.809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36.400$  name and called the Swiss cheese model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00{:}21{:}36.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}39.586$  So that is a nice name and so the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:21:39.586 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.090$  challenge is we we know those

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:21:42.090 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.326$  in control measures,

 $00:21:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.210$  but it's difficult to implement

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00{:}21{:}45.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}47.546$  those control measures and also keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:21:47.546 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.486$  high compliance in many countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:21:49.490 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.415$  So the defining challenges the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

00:21:51.415 --> 00:21:52.570 public house control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:21:52.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.158$  measure implementation and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00{:}21{:}54.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}56.540$  keep up with the compliance and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:21:56.605 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.560$  also the vaccine definitely is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:21:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.360$  Really critical and we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:22:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.943$  We know that by now there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00{:}22{:}03.943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}05.510$  two successful ovac seen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:22:05.510 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.518$  one in US1 is the face by Pfizer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:22:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.782$  the other is more donor under

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:22:10.782 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.290$  the efficacy is 95%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:22:12.290 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.802$  This really really amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:22:13.802 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.558$  scientific advance.

 $00:22:14.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.520$  Developing the vaccine in such a short time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:22:19.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.220$  Under so we also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

00:22:22.220 --> 00:22:24.728 Last spring we also developed a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:22:24.728 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.356$  website on that help estimate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:22:27.356 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.960$  RT value as a different resolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:22:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.849$  at the for different countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:22:32.850 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.034$  States and counties and so you can see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:22:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.897$  But we copies are key curve and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:22:41.897 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.550$  for a different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00{:}22{:}43.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}46.208$  Reach reaches and so this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

00:22:46.208 --> 00:22:49.244 was led by Andy Xu, my student,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84831905

 $00:22:49.244 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.866$  and she lucky there my poster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:22:51.870 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.230$  And so this website was featured on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:22:55.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.739$  the in Nature Article last summer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:22:58.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.666$  So how do we fit this model?

 $00:23:01.670 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.006$  So because there are lots of data points,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00{:}23{:}05.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07.962$  so we want to estimate the curve so

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:23:07.962 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.552$  therefore instead of using the partial

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:23:10.552 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.777$  differential equation model and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:23:12.777 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.425$  extended this epidemic model which was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

00:23:15.425 --> 00:23:17.858 originally proposed by query in 2013,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:23:17.858 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.322$  and so the model in this type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:23:20.322 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.763$  epidemic model is quite different

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00{:}23{:}22.763 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}24.831$  from the traditional logistics

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:23:24.831 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.330$  traditional statistical model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:23:26.330 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.984$  So we need to build in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

00:23:29.990 --> 00:23:33.090 If the. An infectious component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:23:33.090 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.446$  so here is supposed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:23:34.446 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.239$  Why is the number of cases so think

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:23:37.239 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.612$  about the number of cases and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:23:39.612 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.018$  each day in Connecticut and then

00:23:42.018 --> 00:23:44.374 one first need to calculate this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00{:}23{:}44.374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}47.286$  Lambda T and this Lambda T is called.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:23:47.290 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.742$  Basically calculates the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

00:23:48.742 --> 00:23:50.194 of people at risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:23:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.342$  so that is calculated using the products

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:23:52.342 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.569$  of the serial interval distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:23:54.570 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.412$  Multiply the number of cases in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

00:23:57.412 --> 00:23:59.946 previous period said like 7 days and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00{:}23{:}59.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02.690$  then the Ark is a parameter one moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

00:24:02.690 --> 00:24:04.790 Estimate so in the original model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00{:}24{:}04.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}07.093$  the estimate RT at each time point

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:24:07.093 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.338$  that estimate a lot of parameters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:24:09.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.275$  and then when building this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:24:11.275 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.874$  person model and with Lambda T as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:24:13.874 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.638$  offset and RT as a parameter.

 $00:24:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.985$  But they asked me lots of parameters

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:24:17.985 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.142$  and one also account for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:24:20.142 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.290$  reporting deley by using a lag.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:24:22.290 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.080$  So we what we did here with we try to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00{:}24{:}27.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}28.915$  Accommodate on the Covic features

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

00:24:28.915 --> 00:24:31.745 and so we estimate us zero interval

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

00:24:31.745 --> 00:24:34.050 distribution and from this comma

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

00:24:34.050 --> 00:24:36.221 distribution using the paper in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

00:24:36.221 --> 00:24:38.021 publishing in nature method and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:24:38.021 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.095$  then in order to estimate RT as many

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00{:}24{:}41.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}43.958$  many values and we assume a curve

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00{:}24{:}43.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}46.723$  and the estimate by using a spline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:24:46.730 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.068$  So there are few angle in work

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:24:49.068 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.460$  and so we want to estimate RT as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:24:52.460 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.553$  function but cover it and also the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:24:55.553 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.003$  in the traditional epidemic model.

 $00:24:58.010 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.264$  One assumed answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:24:59.264 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.772$  Him and trade is a constant

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:25:01.772 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.090$  overtime and so the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:25:03.090 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.045$  but in practice the entertainment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:25:05.045 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.609$  rate is not constant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:25:06.610 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.174$  especially when the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:25:08.174 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.129$  of tests are goes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

00:25:10.130 --> 00:25:12.135 What number of positive test

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00{:}25{:}12.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}14.679$  rate goes up and then uncertain

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

00:25:14.679 --> 00:25:17.427 manner it will get better and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00{:}25{:}17.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}19.974$  So we want to answer payment way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:25:19.974 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.768$  be a function of the coverage and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

00:25:22.768 --> 00:25:26.021 also we want to instead of fixing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:25:26.021 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.932$  reporting deal if we want to use the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:25:28.932 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.984$  data to model the reporting deley

 $00:25:30.984 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.430$  and using all those met component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00{:}25{:}33.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.290$  we can estimate the prevalence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:25:35.290 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.378$  So here are some preliminary result and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:25:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.020$  The the code so you this is for the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

00:25:42.091 --> 00:25:44.699 data you can see right now and many

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

00:25:44.699 --> 00:25:47.040 countries a number of cases between

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

00:25:47.040 --> 00:25:49.458 being going down really nicely and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

00:25:49.460 --> 00:25:52.148 so the current USRT value is about .78,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00{:}25{:}52.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54.486$  and so we hear when you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:25:54.486 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.652$  we have this arty curve that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00{:}25{:}56.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}58.527$  expanded so below 1 now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00{:}25{:}58.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}01.284$  And also you can see the number of new

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00{:}26{:}01.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}03.743$  cases have been going down and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.7569009

 $00:26:03.743 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.597$  the number of deaths has been going down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:26:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.424$  But there is a lag between the best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:26:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.324$  Under the case. And also this is

 $00:26:13.324 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.310$  the state level are key value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00{:}26{:}15.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}17.718$  So just give example like for California

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

00:26:17.718 --> 00:26:20.643 you can see that the art in California is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

00:26:20.643 --> 00:26:23.585 about .67 and so does this very nice banded

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:26:23.585 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.018$  curve for the cases and also for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:26:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.070$  So now let me talk about the what are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:26:31.150 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.130$  factors associated with Covic infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00{:}26{:}34.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37.378$  So as we start from the Wuhan data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:26:37.380 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.229$  then I'll move to the US data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:26:40.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.732$  So the data we estimated the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00{:}26{:}42.732 \to 00{:}26{:}45.109$  attack rate on the my age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:26:45.110 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.546$  So you can see that the each

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:26:47.546 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.590$  of the period separately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:26:49.590 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.534$  and so you can see that for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:26:52.534 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.290$  older people that purple and yellow,

 $00:26:55.290 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.266$  and then the tax rate was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:26:58.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.370$  Much higher than the younger people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:27:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.820$  and so this is a good lesson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

00:27:02.820 --> 00:27:04.920 And in the spring last spring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:27:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.020$  then later on, as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:27:07.020 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.324$  like in US and there were more cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

00:27:11.330 --> 00:27:14.116 Elderly cases in the spring and but

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

00:27:14.116 --> 00:27:17.109 then the elderly is become very careful

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00{:}27{:}17.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.683$  and try to protect themselves and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

00:27:19.763 --> 00:27:22.395 most of the cases in the summer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00{:}27{:}22.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25.020$  also in the fall were younger people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:27:25.020 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.996$  And then on the right that shows that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:27:27.996 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.059$  the male and female that you from one

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:27:31.059 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.010$  day to the attack rate was similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:27:34.010 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.454$  But health care worker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:27:35.454 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.620$  the purple bar has much higher

 $00:27:37.695 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.699$  infection rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

00:27:38.700 --> 00:27:40.348 especially before the intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:27:40.348 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.996$  and then after interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

00:27:42.000 --> 00:27:44.550 Acrid among the health care worker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:27:44.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.385$  and was better and so that calls

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:27:47.385 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.007$  for the importance of the PPS

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:27:50.007 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.775$  and before the intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

00:27:51.780 --> 00:27:54.748 People were not aware of the Covic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:27:54.750 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.150$  and so, therefore, is this not many people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:27:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.280$  not many health care workers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:28:00.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.612$  Hard to pee pees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00{:}28{:}01.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05.620$  So I give a talk on the Wuhan finding on

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00{:}28{:}05.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09.210$  the March just before the school public.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:28:09.210 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.562$  Just before Harvard started the spring

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

00:28:11.562 --> 00:28:14.437 break and throw in one of the slides,

 $00:28:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.967$  I showed that the on the day

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

00:28:16.967 --> 00:28:18.560 before the ABC News,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:28:18.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.052$  there's one picture of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:28:20.052 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.544$  the health care workers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:28:21.550 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.958$  And so I showed up there so the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00{:}28{:}23.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26.377$  the the health care worker will

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

00:28:26.377 --> 00:28:28.975 not properly protected in US and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:28:29.056 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.282$  so they had no protection suit

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:28:31.282 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.644$  and no face shell for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:28:33.644 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.829$  And then the infection could

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:28:35.829 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.620$  be go through eyes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:28:37.620 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.818$  I did not realize that those three

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:28:39.818 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.485$  slides on the showing the health care

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00{:}28{:}42.485 \to 00{:}28{:}44.963$  workers not properly protecting US were

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:28:45.029 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.519$  widely distributed during the weekend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:28:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.299$  So the March 13 was a Friday.

00:28:50.300 --> 00:28:53.460 Then on March 16, that was a Monday,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00{:}28{:}53.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56.572$  and so there was a national campaign on

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

00:28:56.572 --> 00:28:59.008 the protection of health care worker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:28:59.010 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.594$  which comprehensive PP is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:29:00.594 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.218$  And so the in short time and there were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:29:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.130$  Over 1.7 million Xan signatures

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:29:07.130 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.458$  and sold in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

00:29:09.460 --> 00:29:12.025 No, the this picture was taken from my talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:29:12.030 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.214$  So during that period I got to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00{:}29{:}14.214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}16.724$  know a lot of health care workers

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:29:16.724 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.621$  and many of them and wrote to me

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:29:19.621 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.933$  and so it's it's kind of like a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:29:21.940 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.164$  Nice to see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

00:29:23.164 --> 00:29:24.796 like a little statistical

NOTE Confidence: 0.83832216

 $00:29:24.796 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.020$  analysis and could

 $00:29:26.098 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.460$  help the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:29:27.460 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.428$  And also in the spring on the so

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00{:}29{:}30.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33.345$  I did something that station are

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:29:33.345 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.451$  supposed to do and so that we spend

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

00:29:37.451 --> 00:29:40.594 quite a bit time how working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

00:29:40.594 --> 00:29:43.390 the state of Massachusetts and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:29:43.390 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.234$  with abroad and so helping shifting

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:29:46.234 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.195$  the PPE under swap on from China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00{:}29{:}49.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}52.854$  And so I was on the state, Massachusetts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:29:52.854 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.120$  The task force in the spring and then like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

00:29:57.120 --> 00:29:59.226 One thing I was really touched

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:29:59.226 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.279$  last spring was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:30:00.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.072$  Many, many peoples and step in to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:30:03.072 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.230$  without asking expecting any credit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:30:05.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.780$  So they really a wonderful experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:30:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.592$  and by working with so many peoples

 $00:30:10.592 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.432$  and who stepped in to help and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:30:13.432 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.580$  like in the screen 'cause there

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

00:30:15.580 --> 00:30:18.056 were not many flight from China to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

00:30:18.056 --> 00:30:20.588 US so was difficult to shift under

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:30:20.588 \dashrightarrow 00:30:22.766$  those medical supplies and two US

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:30:22.766 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.430$  and then so was really wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

00:30:25.430 --> 00:30:28.574 Many people help out and so you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:30:28.574 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.510$  see that there were four flight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:30:31.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.685$  Shifting the usapyon swap watered

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:30:33.685 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.860$  by the state of Massachusetts

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00{:}30{:}35.933 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}38.171$  from Shanghai to Boston under the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

00:30:38.171 --> 00:30:40.859 first was the flight of the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00{:}30{:}40.859 --> 00{:}30{:}42.359 \ {\it flight leaving could own},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:30:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.604$  and because there were not many

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

00:30:44.604 --> 00:30:45.726 commercial flight available

00:30:45.726 --> 00:30:47.589 and travel flight available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:30:47.590 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.292$  so this flight was converted from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00{:}30{:}50.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}53.325$  from the Air Canada Flight and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:30:53.325 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.013$  passenger flight to a charter flight

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:30:56.094 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.934$  and then the picture on the right is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:30:58.934 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.560$  the first flight arriving Boston.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00{:}31{:}02.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}05.675$  And also I the inner spring or we

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:31:05.675 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.716$  launch how we feel up and so this

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

00:31:09.716 --> 00:31:12.326 app collects the information about

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

00:31:12.427 --> 00:31:15.559 the Covic 19 symptoms and behaviors

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00{:}31{:}15.559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}18.153$  and also testing a result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:31:18.153 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.171$  And so this was in collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:31:21.171 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.680$  with some junk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

00:31:22.680 --> 00:31:25.592 Many of you probably know fun by

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

00:31:25.592 --> 00:31:28.523 his work in CRISPR editing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00{:}31{:}28.523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}31.697$  gene editing and CRISPR and also

 $00:31:31.697 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.839$  also banned Superman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:31:33.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.640$  Who is the CEO of country?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:31:35.640 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.150$  So this is really a great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:31:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.464$  Collaboration between academia

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:31:40.464 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.092$  and industry 'cause we are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

00:31:43.092 --> 00:31:45.059 very good at developing up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:31:45.060 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.748$  but people in industry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00{:}31{:}46.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}48.858$  They're much better developing app.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

00:31:48.860 --> 00:31:51.158 So so many volunteer helping with

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00{:}31{:}51.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}54.084$  this how we feel project and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:31:54.084 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.269$  build a nonprofit organization and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:31:56.269 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.939$  with so many volunteers and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00{:}31{:}58.939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}01.513$  this app has over  $750{,}000$  users

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:32:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.630$  and also 50 million responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:32:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.605$  And so I'll present some of those

 $00:32:06.605 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.588$  results and this is the first paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:32:09.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.628$  Out of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:32:10.628 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.620$  how we feel project was published in Nature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:32:13.620 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.888$  Human behavior last summer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:32:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.226$  So here last spring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:32:18.226 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.800$  who were more likely to be tested?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:32:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.924$  And it turns out that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:32:22.924 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.986$  who had symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00{:}32{:}23.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}26.517$  CDC symptoms or health care workers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

00:32:26.517 --> 00:32:28.610 essential workers and people of color,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00{:}32{:}28.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}31.088$  they were more likely to be tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:32:31.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.466$  So that makes sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:32:32.466 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.530$  because in the spring the testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:32:34.600 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.766$  kids were not as widely available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:32:36.770 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.545$  so the vulnerable group should

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

00:32:38.545 --> 00:32:40.320 have priority to be tested.

 $00:32:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.805$  And so this also present analysis challenge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:32:42.810 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.570$  because the people who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

00:32:44.570 --> 00:32:46.900 tested or likely to be sicker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

00:32:46.900 --> 00:32:50.347 And so therefore this is not a random sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:32:50.350 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.968$  so when we studies Association between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:32:52.968 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.939$  factors associated with the infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:32:54.940 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.775$  we have taken into account

NOTE Confidence: 0.89303994

 $00:32:56.775 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.610$  that people who were tested

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:32:58.679 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.231$  was not a random sample and so therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00{:}33{:}01.231 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}04.183$  in the analysis we use the inverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:33:04.183 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.975$  probability weighted procedures and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00{:}33{:}05.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08.350$  to account for the selection bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:33:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.902$  So we found that male with a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00{:}33{:}10.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}13.330$  risk of infection than females.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:33:13.330 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.178$  And also we found that people of color

00:33:16.178 --> 00:33:18.909 were at higher risk of infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:33:18.910 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.045$  And also the essential workers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00{:}33{:}21.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}23.681$  and health care worker and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:33:23.681 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.189$  were at higher risk of infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:33:26.190 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.510$  Also, we found another household

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

00:33:28.510 --> 00:33:30.830 exposures and also community

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:33:30.907 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.087$  exposure are significant risk factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:33:33.087 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.518$  for infection and so you can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00{:}33{:}36.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}38.483$  for the household exposures after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:33:38.483 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.390$  the show is almost 17 for Community

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00{:}33{:}41.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}44.070$  exposures as we show almost three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:33:44.070 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.134$  So So what that mean is we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:33:47.134 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.943$  to break the within household

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:33:49.943 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.178$  and close place transmission and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

00:33:53.180 --> 00:33:55.064 Cluding, the nursing home,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:33:55.064 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.948$  homeless shelters and prisons,

 $00:33:56.950 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.942$  and so and also we need to control

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00{:}33{:}59.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02.344$  the community transmission and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:34:02.344 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.482$  this finding was supported by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:34:05.482 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.592$  Massachusetts data that Massachusetts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:34:07.592 \dashrightarrow 00:34:10.610$  last year reported that almost 90%

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:34:10.610 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.960$  of covid cluster were household.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:34:12.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.570$  So what that mean is household

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:34:15.570 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.140$  transmission is dominant is prevalent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:34:18.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.000$  Dominant lots of transmissions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00{:}34{:}20.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}23.310$  And also we found the most important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00{:}34{:}23.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}26.341$  symptoms and was not the fever and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00{:}34{:}26.341 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}29.218$  cough was lots of peace and smell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:34:29.220 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.362$  So in particular we found out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

00:34:31.362 --> 00:34:33.720 ratio is almost 33 associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:34:33.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.845$  loss of taste and smell.

 $00:34:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.580$  About 40% of those who were past

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:34:38.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.920$  positive had lost of taste buds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:34:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.363$  taste and smell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:34:42.363 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.730$  Among those who are not testing about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:34:45.823 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.526$  6.6% among those who are test negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:34:48.530 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.106$  That was about 5%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:34:50.106 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.524$  So this is an important symptom is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:34:53.524 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.944$  distinguished from the flu symptom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00{:}34{:}55.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58.686$  Then we also build a prediction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:34:58.686 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.430$  model giving there were not enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00{:}35{:}01.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}03.920$  tests available and then can we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:35:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.909$  use the screening on two and two?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00{:}35{:}06.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}09.025$  Predict whether a person is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:35:09.025 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.550$  likely to be infected or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:35:11.550 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.076$  So by using the CDC symptom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:35:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.450$  you can see that the RC

 $00:35:16.450 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.150$  curve is AOC is about 70%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

00:35:19.150 --> 00:35:21.676 Using all the variables and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:35:21.676 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.630$  about 80% if we use a simpler

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

00:35:24.630 --> 00:35:26.740 model only used for variable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

00:35:26.740 --> 00:35:28.396 including the three exposure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:35:28.396 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.390$  variable and also the loss of taste,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:35:31.390 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.845$  smell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

00:35:31.845 --> 00:35:34.120 the symptom variables and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:35:34.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.398$  you can see the AOC is also 80%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:35:37.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.776$  And so this is very simple model but has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:35:39.776 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.099$  very good predictability for infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:35:42.100 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.788$  And when we build this model we use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:35:44.790 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.342$  This actually proves a boost that is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:35:48.342 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.978$  scalable gradient tree boosting method.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:35:50.980 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.193$  So now let me talk about the

 $00:35:54.193 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.740$  defending challenge on the in 2021.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

00:35:56.740 --> 00:36:00.276 So first is the vaccine rollout and optic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:36:00.280 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.495$  so the science was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:36:02.495 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.824$  wonderful last year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:36:03.830 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.320$  so developing the vaccine such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

00:36:06.320 --> 00:36:09.139 short time with such high efficacy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:36:09.140 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.080$  that's really amazing and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:36:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.237$  So the challenge is the vaccination program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

00:36:15.240 --> 00:36:16.148 So basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:36:16.148 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.200$  how can we get the vaccine into people's arm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00{:}36{:}20.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}23.350$  And so so that basically includes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:36:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.230$  distribution and also the administration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

00:36:26.230 --> 00:36:26.704 Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:36:26.704 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.074$  it's important to have equitable

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

00:36:29.074 --> 00:36:30.496 and scalable vaccination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00:36:30.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.865$  and also is important to

 $00:36:32.865 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.284$  overcome vaccine hesitancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8840181

 $00{:}36{:}34.290 --> 00{:}36{:}38.686$  I'm going to focus on this one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:36:38.690 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.268$  And the second defining challenge is

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:36:43.268 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.260$  the massive scalable testing and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

00:36:47.260 --> 00:36:49.918 PCR test yes, a gold standard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:36:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.811$  but it is expensive and to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:36:52.811 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.810$  the massive regular testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:36:54.810 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.612$  So I'm going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00{:}36{:}57.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}59.480$  efficient testing strategy using

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

00:36:59.561 --> 00:37:02.549 the pooled testing and also the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00{:}37{:}02.549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}04.541$  other strategies rapid testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:37:04.550 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.884$  And the third component is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00{:}37{:}06.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}08.440$  implementation and compliance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00{:}37{:}08.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}10.417$  public health control measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:37:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.268$  So if you look at a quick job

 $00:37:13.268 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.203$  of the cases in January is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:37:16.203 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.220$  likely to do to the vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:37:19.220 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.146$  because only less than 10% of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:37:22.146 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.236$  US population had been vaccinated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:37:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.768$  I seem like the last last month and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:37:26.768 \dashrightarrow 00:37:29.063$  the the implementation and compliance

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:37:29.063 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.708$  and control measures and became

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:37:31.708 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.549$  better and people pay more attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00{:}37{:}34.549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37.105$  to the behavior changes so that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:37:37.110 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.550$  Definitely is an important message.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:37:40.550 \dashrightarrow 00:37:44.510$  So let's look at the vaccine rate and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:37:44.510 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.912$  Overlap and this is from the one word data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:37:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.111$  You can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

00:37:49.111 --> 00:37:51.493 Israel is definitely the role model

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:37:51.493 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.430$  and so the right now they have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:37:54.430 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.019$  average 70 doses and per 100 people.

 $00:37:57.020 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.948$  And so after we account that some people

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:37:59.948 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.697$  have two doses on average about 40%

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:38:02.700 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.812$  people in Israel had been vaccinated

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:38:04.812 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.249$  and with so that's really amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:38:07.250 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.896$  And you have this less than 10%

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:38:09.900 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.436$  if on the right you can see we

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:38:12.436 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.959$  have a serious equity issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

00:38:14.960 --> 00:38:16.034 And in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:38:16.034 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.824$  you can see basically nobody

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:38:17.824 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.858$  in Africa has been vaccinated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:38:19.860 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.490$  so that's really not good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00{:}38{:}23.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}25.665$  So the another defining challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:38:25.665 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.535$  vaccine hesitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:38:26.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.150$  So in order to achieve the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

00:38:29.150 --> 00:38:30.890 vaccine induced herd immunity,

 $00:38:30.890 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.500$  we need to overcome vaccine hesitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00{:}38{:}33.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}36.134$  So I'm going to present the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:38:36.134 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.246$  findings and from the how we feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:38:39.246 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.760$  data show McCabe is my Postal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:38:41.760 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.424$  He take a lead in this work in

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:38:45.424 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.930$  collaboration with many colleagues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

00:38:47.930 --> 00:38:50.728 So here is a way, a lunch,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00{:}38{:}50.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}52.972$  the Maxim question in how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

00:38:52.972 --> 00:38:55.308 fill up in early December.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:38:55.310 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.366$  So with here the result of analyzing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

00:38:58.366 --> 00:39:01.050 first month data about 30,000 people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:39:01.050 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.684$  So we develop a partnership with

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00{:}39{:}03.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}06.166$  Kinetica and last spring and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:39:06.166 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.750$  that's why you can see we have more

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:39:08.834 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.299$  respondents and in the kinetica,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:39:11.300 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.350$  and also because the countries

 $00:39:13.350 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.990$  is located in California.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:39:14.990 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.206$  So we had more respondent respondent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

00:39:18.210 --> 00:39:19.132 In California,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

00:39:19.132 --> 00:39:22.820 so if you look at overall vaccine hesitancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:39:22.820 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.742$  hesitancy read,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:39:23.742 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.430$  you can see like thoughts are more hesitant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:39:27.430 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.178$  and so overall the vaccine hasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

00:39:30.178 --> 00:39:33.422 hesitancy rate is about 1818% from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:39:33.422 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.632$  hallway field data and 82% on the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:39:36.632 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.338$  What said they are likely were

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:39:39.338 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.138$  more likely to take the vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:39:42.140 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.490$  So if you look hard, um,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:39:44.490 \dashrightarrow 00:39:47.220$  vaccine hesitancy rate by race and ethnicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:39:47.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.028$  then you can see that people of color

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:39:50.028 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.478$  are much more likely to be vaccine hesitant.

 $00:39:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.551$  So in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:39:54.551 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.780$  if you look at a black for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:39:57.780 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.426$  the vaccine hesitancy is is all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:40:00.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.346$  46%, almost 50% so so compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:40:03.346 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.800$  to white is about 15%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

00:40:05.800 --> 00:40:07.752 but compared to Hispanic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

00:40:07.752 --> 00:40:11.080 about 30% you can see a large

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:40:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.090$  fraction of them are undecided group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

 $00:40:14.090 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.716$  So what that mean is that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.77242935

00:40:17.716 --> 00:40:19.270 community engagement through

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:40:19.368 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.980$  the education of outreach is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

00:40:22.980 --> 00:40:24.680 To overcome vaccine hesitancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:40:24.680 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.805$  so here are the results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:40:26.810 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.589$  Who are more likely to be vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:40:29.589 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.054$  hesitant and so we found the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:40:32.054 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.436$  younger people are more likely to

00:40:34.436 --> 00:40:37.427 be a vaccine hesitant and females,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:40:37.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.992$  and also health care worker essential

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:40:39.992 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.959$  workers and also the people of color.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

00:40:42.960 --> 00:40:43.770 In particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:40:43.770 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.605$  black people are 3.5 times more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

00:40:46.605 --> 00:40:49.326 to be vaccine hesitant than white,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:40:49.330 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.920$  and people with pre existing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:40:51.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.992$  conditions and low income.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:40:54.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.423$  And also rural areas and also the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:40:57.423 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.024$  thoughts and also places with high kufic

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:41:01.024 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.040$  burden and also the people who they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00{:}41{:}05.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}08.888$  So those are more likely to be vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00{:}41{:}08.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}12.004$  hesitant people who wear masks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:41:12.004 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.579$  also use the protective measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

00:41:14.580 --> 00:41:19.748 They are less likely to be vaccine hesitant.

 $00:41:19.750 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.930$  Talk to us in summary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:41:21.930 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.222$  So the the vulnerable group are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

00:41:24.222 --> 00:41:27.159 more likely to be vaccine hesitant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:41:27.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.776$  and so they include people of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:41:29.776 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.520$  color health care worker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:41:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.537$  essential worker and the young people female

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:41:34.537 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.629$  and the regions with high kovik burdens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:41:37.630 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.805$  And also the people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

00:41:39.805 --> 00:41:41.110 pre existing conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:41:41.110 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.038$  parents and low income.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:41:44.040 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.375$  And also people not using

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:41:46.375 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.776$  the protective measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:41:47.780 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.056$  So an Irish last late last year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:41:51.060 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.868$  the lunch, a community engagement alliance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

00:41:53.870 --> 00:41:55.742 And so this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:41:55.742 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.210$  uh,

00:41:56.210 --> 00:41:59.096 involved multiple centers and the one

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:41:59.096 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.338$  of the goal is to do the Community

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:42:03.338 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.113$  engagement to help with participation

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:42:06.113 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.987$  in clinical trial and also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84208

 $00:42:08.990 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.110$  Overcome the vaccine hesitancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:42:13.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.594$  So what this tells us is community

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:42:16.594 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.980$  engagement for vaccination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:42:17.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.746$  of which an education is important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

00:42:20.750 --> 00:42:24.446 so that Pic home number 5 is important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00{:}42{:}24.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}27.117$  remained bigil and to scale up scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:42:27.117 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.999$  up the control measure and vaccination

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:42:29.999 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.759$  by protecting the vulnerable group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:42:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.070$  including the health care workers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

00:42:35.070 --> 00:42:37.380 and essential workers and elderly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:42:37.380 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.228$  And also it's important

 $00:42:39.228 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.538$  to reach the zero kovik.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:42:41.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.940$  So what that mean is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:42:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.404$  We need to be careful and reopen

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00{:}42{:}46.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}49.175$  slowly when the number of cases are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

00:42:49.175 --> 00:42:51.225 sufficiently small and also with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:42:51.225 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.962$  the control measures are so if when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:42:53.962 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.657$  we opened too early and we slipped

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:42:56.657 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.051$  in the control measure like what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

00:42:59.051 --> 00:43:01.600 happened last summer and in the South,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:43:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.071$  and is likely to see the researchers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00{:}43{:}04.071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}06.449$  and also is important to pay

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:43:06.449 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.519$  attention to the long color,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:43:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.656$  the long term effect especially among the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00{:}43{:}11.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.017$  young people and then also the to a build.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

00:43:15.020 --> 00:43:17.948 I've seen uptick and it's important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00{:}43{:}17.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20.440$  to have community engagement and

 $00:43:20.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.730$  outreach and build public trust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:43:22.730 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.678$  So basically, how can we implement the?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:43:26.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.910$  Control measures and also implement

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:43:29.910 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.140$  vaccination and ensure high compliance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:43:33.234 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.378$  is the defining challenge this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:43:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.637$  And the truth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

00:43:37.637 --> 00:43:41.052 the other component is for this year is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

00:43:41.052 --> 00:43:43.810 how can we boost the testing capacity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:43:43.810 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.909$  and buy a cover by doing more test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00{:}43{:}46.910 \longrightarrow 00{:}43{:}49.654$  And so because it's uh if one needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:43:49.654 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.691$  to do the test frequently and to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00{:}43{:}52.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}56.192$  the PCR test is difficult to to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00{:}43{:}56.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}58.577$  that for many institution because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00{:}43{:}58.577 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}01.490$  it's costly and so they put the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:44:01.490 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.110$  testing provide an alternative.

 $00:44:03.110 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.994$  So I'm going to talk about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

00:44:05.994 --> 00:44:07.230 efficient put testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:44:07.230 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.390$  A design using the hyper

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:44:09.390 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.686$  graph factorization first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:44:10.690 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.282$  What is the protesting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:44:12.282 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.548$  The goal is that would put testing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

00:44:15.548 --> 00:44:18.732 to screen a large population with a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:44:18.814 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.916$  tests and giving the limited resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00{:}44{:}21.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}24.902$  So this will help reopen the school

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:44:24.902 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.970$  safely and the simple idea is used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00{:}44{:}27.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}30.130$  This uh document design sofa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

00:44:30.130 --> 00:44:33.000 Suppose we have 100 people and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:44:33.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.830$  do 20 tests and then so we pulled

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00{:}44{:}36.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}39.335$  the people sample into different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

00:44:39.340 --> 00:44:41.545 Pools and suppose there's only one case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:44:41.550 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.748$  and then we test each pool support.

 $00:44:43.750 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.390$  Each pool has a 10 people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00{:}44{:}46.390 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}44{:}49.477$  And then we tested each put do 10 pull

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:44:49.477 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.937$  test and how we found this cool is costing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:44:52.940 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.760$  Then we test every individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:44:54.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.580$  in this pool so in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:44:56.580 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.460$  Therefore instead of doing 100

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:44:58.460 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.096$  test you only do 20 tests and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:45:01.096 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.124$  so this is the basic idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:45:03.130 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.944$  Put testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:45:03.944 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.793$  So what is the limitation of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:45:06.793 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.888$  simple of protesting design?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:45:08.890 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.130$  And so this document design

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

00:45:11.130 --> 00:45:13.370 allow one individual go to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:45:13.458 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.946$  one pool that is Q equal to 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:45:15.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.302$  then cycle through the pool until

 $00:45:18.302 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.870$  all individuals are assigned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:45:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.649$  So if you look at this example

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:45:22.649 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.958$  with eight subjects and six pool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:45:24.960 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.466$  then you can see that we assign

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:45:27.466 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.155$  the face first six subject to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00{:}45{:}30.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}32.447$ 6 four ABCDEF and then recycle

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00{:}45{:}32.529 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}34.869$  the segments and each subject.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:45:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.957$  And do the pull A&B so only one person

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:45:37.957 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.570$  per pool, so this is not optimal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00:45:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.521$  only one pool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238236

 $00{:}45{:}41.521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}43.740$  only one pool per person and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:45:43.809 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.831$  could lead to a non redundancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:45:45.831 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.040$  that also reduce the sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:45:48.040 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.888$  So the question is can we do better?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:45:50.890 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.670$  Can we assign each individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:45:52.670 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.450$  to more than one pool?

 $00:45:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.298$  That basically makes a Q equal to two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

00:45:57.300 --> 00:45:59.060 So let's start from something

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:45:59.060 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.240$  like if there's a safe assign

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:46:01.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.990$  one person to two pools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:46:02.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.810$  So for example I assigned

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:46:04.810 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.266$  the first person to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:46:06.270 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.213$  2A B second person to put a C and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00{:}46{:}09.213 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.208$  third person to pull busy and so on

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:46:12.208 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.909$  and then cycle through the order.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:46:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.605$  So that basically this idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

00:46:16.605 --> 00:46:18.750 assign each person to two pools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:46:18.750 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.070$  What is the problem?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00{:}46{:}20.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}22.484$  The problem is by doing this simple

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:46:22.484 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.674$  way the design is not balanced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:46:24.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.067$  You can see that pull it has

00:46:27.067 --> 00:46:29.217 five subjects and puppy has four

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00{:}46{:}29.217 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31.660$  and pull up as only one subject.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

00:46:31.660 --> 00:46:33.868 Because when when does them the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:46:33.868 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.714$  pulling and by assigning one

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:46:35.714 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.526$  person to more than one pool

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:46:37.526 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.690$  while need to dilute the sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:46:39.690 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.738$  So if one has a different solution for

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:46:42.738 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.060$  different pools that will affect the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

00:46:45.060 --> 00:46:47.010 Accuracy under then the sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:46:47.010 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.618$  So can we do better so that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:46:49.618 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.420$  a basic idea of a more balanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00{:}46{:}52.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}55.170$  design we call the hyper design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:46:55.170 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.900$  So this using the hyper graph factorization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:46:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.620$  So the basic idea is we want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:47:00.620 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.337$  make the spell is that possible?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00{:}47{:}03.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06.772$  So for example like here you can see

 $00:47:06.772 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.774$  that assigned person A to pull a BE

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00{:}47{:}09.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.365$  person B person to pull CD person

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

00:47:12.365 --> 00:47:15.402 3 two pull ENF person four to pull.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

00:47:15.402 --> 00:47:18.930 PNC Person 5 to pull the D&F and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00{:}47{:}19.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22.370$  on and so this. This idea is after you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:47:22.370 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.590$  Each pool has four samples and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:47:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.696$  so you can see for the 1st pool

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:47:27.696 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.616$  and the test is negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00{:}47{:}29.620 \longrightarrow 00{:}47{:}32.294$  The second pull the test is positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:47:32.300 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.967$  3rd pool passes positive and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00{:}47{:}34.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}37.763$  Then afterwards we do the pool testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00{:}47{:}37.763 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40.960$  and then we can decode to see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00{:}47{:}40.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.759$  which person is likely to be a case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:47:43.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.388$  And here you can see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:47:46.390 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.330$  After do the decoding person 3, four,

 $00:47:49.330 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.690$  and seven are likely to be a positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:47:52.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.497$  and then we test each of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:47:55.497 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.729$  individually and find out persons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:47:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.474$  1 Seven are the cases and so why

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:48:00.474 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.610$  it is called hyper graph design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:48:03.610 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.130$  And that because this is related

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:48:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.390$  to the hypergraph,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:48:07.390 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.815$  and in complete awe metrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

00:48:09.815 --> 00:48:13.243 and so you can think about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:48:13.243 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.386$  as the six pools are the six.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00{:}48{:}16.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}18.938$  Vertex is under the edges are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00{:}48{:}18.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}21.748$  people and so ap example like a person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:48:21.750 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.346$  One will assign this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:48:23.346 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.231$  This is edge person one and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

00:48:26.231 --> 00:48:28.673 that's assigned the pool at A&B

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

00:48:28.673 --> 00:48:30.958 and then person two assigned to

00:48:30.958 --> 00:48:33.623 C&D and so so this is so that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:48:33.623 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.538$  why it's called a hypergraph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:48:35.540 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.899$  So basically what we do is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:48:37.899 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.813$  need to assign the individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:48:39.813 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.704$  and in the right sequence to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:48:42.704 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.115$  them as balanced as possible and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:48:45.115 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.467$  not overlap as much as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

00:48:47.467 --> 00:48:50.089 And so by doing this design,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:48:50.090 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.065$  when we kill equal to 216 pool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

00:48:53.070 --> 00:48:54.955 we have 5 factorizations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81509376

 $00:48:54.955 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.840$  so you can see for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00{:}48{:}56.921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}59.999$  each factorization there's no overlap and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00{:}48{:}59.999 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}03.420$  and also between every two consecutive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:49:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.332$  Assignment under then there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:49:05.332 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.722$  is no overlap as well.

 $00:49:07.730 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.250$  And by doing this hypergraph designs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00{:}49{:}10.250 --> 00{:}49{:}13.495$  and so you can see that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:49:13.495 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.233$  have a balanced pool and also is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:49:16.233 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.229$  very easy to implement and so this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:49:19.229 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.235$  Calculator and so and also very easy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:49:22.235 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.199$  to decode and so this is based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:49:26.199 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.064$  the company company Atomic Comics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:49:29.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.562$  Population so we can do this calculations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00{:}49{:}31.562 --> 00{:}49{:}34.099$  and for Q equal two and three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:49:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.606$  but for Q equals greater than three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00{:}49{:}36.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}39.360$  the calculations much more challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:49:39.360 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.540$  And so by doing that then you can see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:49:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.576$  And here we plot out the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00{:}49{:}45.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}47.120$  Efficiency against the prevalence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:49:47.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.430$  so only if the prevalence is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:49:49.500 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.970$  low is worthwhile to do.

00:49:50.970 --> 00:49:53.476 Put testing if the prevalence is high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00{:}49{:}53.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}57.050$  there's no need to do put testing so you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:49:57.132 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.534$  see that doing the hyper design and it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:50:00.540 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.006$  Efficient and then the efficiency is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:50:03.006 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.588$  almost 6 compared to individual design

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:50:05.588 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.612$  and also expect her than a redesign.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:50:08.620 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.840$  That efficiency is 4.6 and when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

00:50:11.840 --> 00:50:14.457 preference become higher and then you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:50:14.457 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.145$  can see that the efficiency goes down

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:50:17.222 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.706$  and then also comparing the hyper

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

00:50:19.706 --> 00:50:22.288 design with a radius and efficient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:50:22.288 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.042$  the sensitivity is pretty similar and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00{:}50{:}25.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}28.035$  also when we have 384 subject per batch

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:50:28.035 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.352$  and you can see that the hyper design

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:50:31.352 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.737$  still outperformed the other design.

00:50:33.740 --> 00:50:36.446 And for the Pytest peoples design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:50:36.450 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.850$  And so it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:50:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.795$  Especially when the prevalence become

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

00:50:40.795 --> 00:50:43.578 higher and then you can see that uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:50:43.580 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.460$  sensitivity almost reach to 0.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:50:46.460 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.322$  And so this also thought we look at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:50:49.322 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.747$  different design in different scenarios,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:50:51.750 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.396$  and we showed that is hyper design

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:50:54.396 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.211$  is optimal and in terms of allocating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

00:50:57.211 --> 00:51:00.035 resources and so here we plot out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00{:}51{:}00.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}02.408$  the X axis is the total number

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:51:02.408 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.224$  of sample collect each day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:51:04.224 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.870$  Suppose each day we collect 3000 samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00{:}51{:}06.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09.048$  Suppose we only have the resources

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:51:09.048 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.030$  to do 12 foot tests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:51:11.030 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.117$  Then you can see that efficiency screening

00:51:14.117 --> 00:51:17.080 capacity using this Q equal to two is 122.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:51:17.080 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.298$  That is much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:51:18.298 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.140$  A better and so so then also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:51:21.239 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.135$  if one has a Q equal to three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:51:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.426$  that means a law allowing assigning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:51:26.426 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.440$  one person to three pools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:51:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.129$  Then in that situation we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:51:32.129 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.129$  use the hypergraph and with the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389234

 $00:51:35.130 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.618$  Those kind of. 20 different hyperedges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

00:51:43.190 --> 00:51:44.456 So in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:51:44.456 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.566$  to scale up widespread testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

00:51:46.570 --> 00:51:49.108 hyper this is based on hypergraph

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00{:}51{:}49.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}50.800$  factor factorization design provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00{:}51{:}50.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}52.946$  efficient pool design to maximize

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

00:51:52.946 --> 00:51:54.610 the balance and efficiency,

 $00:51:54.610 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.354$  and the protesting is useful when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:51:57.354 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.528$  prevalence is low when the preferences highs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

00:52:00.530 --> 00:52:02.650 there's no need for protesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:52:02.650 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.210$  just do the individual testing and we build

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:52:06.210 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.097$  a website that allows the investigator

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00{:}52{:}09.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}12.560$  and the two design their own study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:52:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.297$  And so to combat kovik and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:52:15.297 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.108$  we are really in this together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:52:18.110 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.099$  And so we have to be together

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:52:21.099 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.380$  and be stronger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:52:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.566$  And so it's important to let the data speak

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:52:25.566 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.790$  and also develop evidence based strategy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:52:28.790 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.220$  And we show that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:52:31.220 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.480$  two feature of the Covic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:52:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.620$  One is is highly transmissible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:52:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.188$  second is highly convert.

00:52:37.188 --> 00:52:40.100 And also it's important to remain vigilant

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:52:40.100 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.010$  and to use the multifaceted interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

00:52:43.010 --> 00:52:44.434 And to combat Covid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:52:44.434 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.570$  and so the there are multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:52:46.649 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.789$  defining challenges this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

00:52:48.790 --> 00:52:51.268 One is a Black Max magazine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:52:51.270 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.922$  distribution, uptake and education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:52:52.922 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.400$  The other is a scalable testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:52:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.088$  so we talk about the put testing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:52:58.088 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.716$  so I want to thank the many of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

00:53:01.716 --> 00:53:04.480 collaborators and so who made many

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:53:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.378$  contributions to help with the project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:53:07.380 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.900$  And also there's a quick announcement

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:53:09.900 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.749$  and the cops and less at lunch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:53:12.750 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.418$  This Covic 19 data.

 $00:53:14.418 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.503$  Lisa Weaponer last December and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00{:}53{:}16.503 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}19.321$  so this is every two weeks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:53:19.321 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.569$  on Thursday from 12:00 to 1:00.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:53:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.160$  And so these are we have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

00:53:24.160 --> 00:53:26.694 last few two month. Last month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:53:26.694 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.654$  We have a wonderful speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:53:28.660 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.662$  Great turn out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:53:29.662 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.000$  So those are the speaker in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00{:}53{:}32.077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}34.172$  coming weeks and from Denmark

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:53:34.172 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.775$  Mukherjee who will talk about Covic

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00{:}53{:}36.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}38.910$  in Indian and Harvey Fineberg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

00:53:38.910 --> 00:53:41.668 Many of you know and he's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00{:}53{:}41.668 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}53{:}43.465$  former president of National

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:53:43.465 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.120$  Academy of Medicine and also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

00:53:46.120 --> 00:53:48.164 Jim Young Kim is a former president

NOTE Confidence: 0.81931335

 $00:53:48.164 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.532$  of World Bank and so they're going to

 $00:53:50.532 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.859$  give the next week talks and thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8027339

 $00:53:55.330 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.890$  Thanks young for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8027339

 $00:53:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.840$  wonderful talk is very useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8027339

 $00:53:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.804$  I want to weather the audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.8027339

 $00:54:01.804 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.720$  have any questions for she home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8027339

 $00:54:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.573$  Yeah I have a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8027339

 $00:54:06.573 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.690$  Yes, please song.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8027339

00:54:07.690 --> 00:54:08.800 So I'm wondering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170914

 $00:54:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.026$  will people who are willing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170914

 $00:54:11.026 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.994$  respond to the how we feel study be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8170914

00:54:13.994 --> 00:54:16.220 more likely to have lower hesitancy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.06819177

 $00:54:19.890 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.255$  Um? I would think the how we feel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.06819177

00:54:24.260 --> 00:54:27.448 We study people probably.

NOTE Confidence: 0.06819177

 $00:54:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.330$  I would think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.06819177

 $00:54:29.330 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.680$  probably likely to be true,

 $00:54:31.680 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.865$  and so the how we feel samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.06819177

 $00:54:34.865 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.257$  the because of people use the app,

NOTE Confidence: 0.06819177

 $00:54:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.172$  so at least that they are coping

NOTE Confidence: 0.06819177

 $00:54:41.172 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.361$  aware and they think a quickly is

NOTE Confidence: 0.06819177

00:54:44.361 --> 00:54:47.127 problem and so it's possible that

NOTE Confidence: 0.06819177

00:54:47.217 --> 00:54:50.815 in the national samples when we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.06819177

 $00:54:50.815 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.300$  a more representative samples and

NOTE Confidence: 0.06819177

 $00:54:53.300 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.120$  the hesitancy rate may be higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79592234

00:55:00.920 --> 00:55:02.736 Donna has a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79592234

00:55:02.736 --> 00:55:05.445 Yeah, I see her name was

NOTE Confidence: 0.79592234

00:55:05.445 --> 00:55:07.262 incredible work you've done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79592234

 $00:55:07.262 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.618$  It's just absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.79592234

 $00:55:08.620 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.979$  phenomenal and breathtaking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79592234

 $00:55:09.980 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.339$  How you've addressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.79592234

 $00:55:11.340 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.504$  each issue arising in the kobid epidemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79592234

 $00:55:14.510 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.529$  one by one and come up with such clarity.

 $00:55:19.530 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.500$  To guide us. So my question

NOTE Confidence: 0.79592234

 $00:55:21.500 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.140$  is about the hyper designs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

00:55:23.140 --> 00:55:25.108 I've been aware of pool testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

 $00:55:25.110 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.420$  which I you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

 $00:55:26.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.036$  We all know it's been around for awhile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

00:55:29.040 --> 00:55:31.010 but I'm just wondering, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

 $00:55:31.010 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.631$  is there like a rule of thumb like

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

 $00:55:33.631 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.928$  safe the prevalence rate is like 5%?

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

00:55:35.928 --> 00:55:36.906 How many digit

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

 $00:55:36.910 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.534$  in your graph like how many fewer tests

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

 $00{:}55{:}39.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}42.055$  would you have to use using a hyper

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

 $00:55:42.055 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.130$  design versus like the standard approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

00:55:44.130 --> 00:55:46.746 that you know people would tend to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

00:55:46.750 --> 00:55:49.218 which is to just test everybody. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

00:55:49.218 --> 00:55:53.342 so that is if you can see that from here.

 $00:55:53.342 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.519$  Yeah, it's a little hard to

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

00:55:55.519 --> 00:55:57.334 see it's a little small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

00:55:57.340 --> 00:55:59.724 Oh this hyper yeah I can the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

 $00:55:59.724 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.102$  so you can see the efficiency

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

 $00:56:02.102 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.227$  that is about hyper design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

 $00:56:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.894$  Yes almost six so that means that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

 $00:56:06.894 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.861$  can each task and have 6 people and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

00:56:09.861 --> 00:56:12.533 by individual design so you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

 $00:56:12.533 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.753$  that suppose you have 100 people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

 $00:56:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.660$  This is 96 so 96 / 6 and then then

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

00:56:18.660 --> 00:56:23.110 you can see that that is. How many?

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

 $00:56:23.110 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.926$  How many fewer tasks it less than 20?

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

 $00:56:25.926 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.686$  Yes, I think about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

 $00:56:27.690 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.090$  If you do individual test that is 100.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

 $00:56:32.090 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.990$  What is the?

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

 $00:56:32.990 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.894$  What is the?

 $00:56:33.894 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.400$  I didn't understand really what

NOTE Confidence: 0.851154

 $00:56:35.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.910$  the 96 and the 3:50.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820734000000001

 $00:56:39.700 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.247$  Yeah batch, so there are 96 so in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.820734000000001

 $00{:}56{:}42.247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}44.731$  so if you think about when you win

NOTE Confidence: 0.820734000000001

00:56:44.731 --> 00:56:47.163 you do test and then basically you

NOTE Confidence: 0.820734000000001

00:56:47.163 --> 00:56:49.948 need to layout the sample in a batch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820734000000001

 $00:56:49.948 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.802$  If you think about it already

NOTE Confidence: 0.820734000000001

 $00:56:51.802 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.180$  then think about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820734000000001

 $00:56:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.464$  Basically they have eight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820734000000001

 $00:56:54.464 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.069$  You have to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820734000000001

00:56:56.070 --> 00:56:58.317 The Matrix is 8 by 12 matrix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820734000000001

 $00:56:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.168$  You put all the samples and

NOTE Confidence: 0.820734000000001

00:57:00.168 --> 00:57:02.169 in this 8 by 12 array.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89508015

 $00:57:05.050 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.310$  OK, thank you yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89508015

 $00:57:08.310 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.582$  And also if you look at the capacity

 $00:57:10.582 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.669$  here you can see the capacity is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89508015

00:57:12.669 --> 00:57:14.759 much better so you can see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89508015

 $00:57:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.747$  Suppose I need to test 3000 people a day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89508015

 $00:57:18.750 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.725$  Execution can only afford half 12 tests

NOTE Confidence: 0.89508015

 $00:57:21.725 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.968$  and then you can see the efficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89508015

 $00{:}57{:}24.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}27.190$  Screening capacity is almost 120.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91202694

 $00:57:29.530 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.470$  So that is really good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91202694

00:57:31.470 --> 00:57:33.410 That's very, very good, yeah?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90568674

 $00:57:38.970 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.139$  So I also have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8481457

 $00:57:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.300$  You still have a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.8481457

 $00:57:44.300 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.510$  or say thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8481457

 $00:57:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.660$  OK, so I also have a related question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8481457

 $00:57:49.660 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.090$  So she how you mentioned that before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8481457

 $00:57:53.090 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.025$  A future work you want to perform a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8481457

 $00:57:57.025 \longrightarrow 00:57:58.981$  regarding the reproduction number

NOTE Confidence: 0.8481457

 $00:57:58.981 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.065$  estimation and this intervention

 $00{:}58{:}01.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}03.585$  work is to consider different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8481457

 $00{:}58{:}03.585 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}58{:}06.072$  other covariates when you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8481457

 $00:58:06.072 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.936$  modeling the reproduction rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8481457

 $00:58:07.940 \longrightarrow 00:58:09.140$  So I wonder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8481457

 $00:58:09.140 \longrightarrow 00:58:11.540$  have you also considered like trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8481457

 $00:58:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.018$  to take into consideration different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8481457

 $00.58:14.018 \longrightarrow 00.58:17.018$  type of various the mutation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8481457

 $00{:}58{:}17.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}20.510$  different various and then may be certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8481457

 $00:58:20.510 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.226$  various various high reproduction rate?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8481457

 $00:58:23.226 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.534$  And perhaps others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8481457

 $00:58:24.534 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.406$  This process?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8481457

 $00:58:25.410 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.220$  Yeah, that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00{:}58{:}26.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}29.090$  is excellent suggestions on the so yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:58:29.090 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.276$  if we could have those data will be great

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:58:32.276 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.784$  that we could include those in the model

 $00:58:35.784 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.488$  besides the different type of variance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:58:38.490 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.950$  And also like the vaccination rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:58:40.950 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.214$  That would be a very good variable included.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:58:44.220 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.892$  And so the challenge for us right now is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

00:58:47.900 --> 00:58:50.441 as you know UK has been doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:58:50.441 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.220$  a great job in the sequencing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:58:53.220 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.076$  viral sequencing and so in other words the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

00:58:56.076 --> 00:58:58.310 surveillance and sequencing surveillance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00{:}58{:}58.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}02.107$  But not US, and so we have not doing a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:59:02.107 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.555$  job in sequencing and so so therefore the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00{:}59{:}05.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}09.269$  UK could monitor the new virus and well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:59:09.270 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.204$  but I think with the one of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:59:12.204 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.606$  we need to do this year is to increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:59:15.606 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.938$  the various viral sequencing capacity

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:59:17.938 \longrightarrow 00:59:21.445$  so we could monitor the new variants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:59:21.450 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.915$  So then also make the data available and to

 $00:59:24.915 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.417$  the public and then that can be included.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:59:28.420 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.554$  In the analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:59:29.554 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.684$  So what I've found that last year and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

00:59:32.684 --> 00:59:35.491 during the Covic people were much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:59:35.491 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.718$  willing to share the data computer for an,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:59:38.720 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.236$  though this is really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:59:40.236 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.510$  really wonderful and also the much

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:59:42.585 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.045$  more preprint and compared to before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:59:45.050 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.426$  and that were posted in about

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00:59:47.426 \longrightarrow 00:59:49.010$  archive and made archive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00{:}59{:}49.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}51.040$  and people were really willing

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

00:59:51.040 --> 00:59:53.516 and to share their findings to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $00{:}59{:}53.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}55.736$  the Community as soon as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

00:59:55.740 --> 00:59:57.805 So these are really wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

00:59:57.805 --> 00:59:59.870 spirit about open science and.

 $00:59:59.870 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.696$  And is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82796884

 $01:00:00.696 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.000$  Fired on the by many researchers last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8469001

 $01:00:05.490 \longrightarrow 01:00:07.770$  Thanks, that's really informed him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8360991

 $01:00:10.270 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.450$  Sorry, go ahead who is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8360991

 $01:00:11.450 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.780$  trying to ask a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78519773

01:00:13.780 --> 01:00:19.290 Me, I mean yeah, I see who I have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.78519773

 $01:00:19.290 \longrightarrow 01:00:22.600$  question regarding to this pulling pulling

NOTE Confidence: 0.78519773

01:00:22.600 --> 01:00:26.453 test. You said the pulling test and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78519773

 $01{:}00{:}26.453 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}29.211$  compare with the individual test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78519773

 $01:00:29.211 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.415$  The sensitivities are similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.78519773

 $01{:}00{:}31.415 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}34.170$  and right now I'm thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.78519773

 $01:00:34.170 \longrightarrow 01:00:37.470$  if each individual does sensitivity they

NOTE Confidence: 0.78519773

 $01:00:37.470 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.880$  can be test by individual test one this

NOTE Confidence: 0.78519773

 $01:00:41.880 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.320$  individual mix with five other. Cure

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797129

 $01:00:45.320 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.054$  lung disease samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797129

 $01{:}00{:}47.054 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}49.938$  Basically, the concentration is diluted,

 $01:00:49.938 \longrightarrow 01:00:53.990$  so how does sensitivity will be keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797129

 $01{:}00{:}53.990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}58.610$  the same and how the next ways how

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797129

 $01:00:58.610 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.080$  to compare if there's one positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797129

 $01:01:02.080 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.968$  case with five individual their

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797129

01:01:04.968 --> 01:01:08.438 normal cases an A normal situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797129

01:01:08.438 --> 01:01:11.906 controls and compare with all six.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797129

 $01:01:11.910 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.222$  There just get exposure

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797129

 $01:01:14.222 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.250$  with low concentration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797129

 $01:01:16.250 \longrightarrow 01:01:19.410$  So there will be probably have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333051

 $01:01:19.410 \longrightarrow 01:01:21.522$  some sensitivity issue if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333051

 $01:01:21.522 \longrightarrow 01:01:23.630$  pulling together compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333051

 $01:01:23.630 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.792$  individual tests, then the error for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333051

 $01{:}01{:}26.792 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}29.424$  measurement error testing error for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333051

 $01:01:29.424 \longrightarrow 01:01:32.586$  the two different types of tests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8333051

 $01:01:32.586 \longrightarrow 01:01:35.748$  How did you consider them additional

01:01:35.750 --> 01:01:38.378 to the hyper structured testing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8514964

 $01:01:39.020 \longrightarrow 01:01:41.620$  Yes, I think this is a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8514964

 $01:01:41.620 \longrightarrow 01:01:43.895$  Sorry I did not make that clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8514964

 $01:01:43.900 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.537$  What I meant was that hyper design and are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8514964

01:01:46.537 --> 01:01:49.100 ready that they had a similar sensitivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8514964

 $01:01:49.100 \longrightarrow 01:01:50.720$  but the sensitivity is lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.8514964

 $01:01:50.720 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.016$  than the individual tests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8514964

 $01:01:52.020 \longrightarrow 01:01:56.820$  If you look at the curve in about I see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8514964

 $01:01:56.820 \longrightarrow 01:01:59.116$  At the green and red they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8514964

 $01:01:59.116 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.869$  a similar sensitivity by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8514964

 $01{:}02{:}00.869 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}02.624$  compared to the individual test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8514964

 $01:02:02.630 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.446$  That is, this black line and it has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8514964

 $01:02:05.446 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.504$  higher sensitivity and so then they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8514964

 $01:02:07.504 \longrightarrow 01:02:09.831$  as you are definitely right when one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8514964

01:02:09.831 --> 01:02:12.204 do one month does the pooled testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8514964

 $01:02:12.204 \longrightarrow 01:02:14.600$  because the sample needs to be diluted,

 $01:02:14.600 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.344$  so therefore we need to pay a price

NOTE Confidence: 0.8514964

 $01{:}02{:}17.344 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}20.139$  and then sensitivity will be lower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8514964

 $01:02:20.140 \longrightarrow 01:02:23.510$  Yeah, so the overall one the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80750877

01:02:25.080 --> 01:02:27.004 Pilots large scale testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80750877

 $01{:}02{:}27.004 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}29.890$  We may have more undetectable test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80750877

 $01{:}02{:}29.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}33.262$  Think about if six samples always happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.80750877

01:02:33.262 --> 01:02:37.110 is 1 sample has a positive positive case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80750877

 $01:02:37.110 \longrightarrow 01:02:40.470$  so we may have some testing error

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:02:40.470 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.330$  here. Yeah yeah, so yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:02:43.330 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.305$  Yes, I'm sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:02:44.305 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.240$  Can I just jump in for a second?

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01{:}02{:}47.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}49.718$  So 'cause I think the comparison as

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01{:}02{:}49.718 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}51.854$  you could compare this hyper design

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:02:51.854 \longrightarrow 01:02:53.980$  to just testing everybody or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:02:53.980 \longrightarrow 01:02:56.055$  hyper design to the traditional

 $01:02:56.055 \longrightarrow 01:02:58.130$  pool testing approach where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

01:02:58.205 --> 01:03:00.144 just divide 100 people in each one

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:03:00.144 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.860$  is in a single batch and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:03:02.860 \longrightarrow 01:03:05.343$  she hung what you're saying and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

01:03:05.343 --> 01:03:07.825 makes sense to me intuitively is by

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01{:}03{:}07.825 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}09.600$  repeating people in multiple batches

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:03:09.600 \longrightarrow 01:03:11.730$  were increasing the chances of having

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:03:11.730 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.362$  doubles and triples in the same batch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01{:}03{:}14.362 \longrightarrow 01{:}03{:}17.344$  And then lowering the chance of having

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:03:17.344 \longrightarrow 01:03:20.035$  false negatives as opposed to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

01:03:20.035 --> 01:03:22.925 traditional design where you take the

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

01:03:22.925 --> 01:03:26.698 100 people and they're only in one match.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:03:26.700 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.804$  Is that right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

01:03:27.804 --> 01:03:29.644 Yeah, that's so that's right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:03:29.650 \longrightarrow 01:03:32.212$  So you don't want to put a

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

01:03:32.212 --> 01:03:34.448 hundred 100 people in one batch,

01:03:34.450 --> 01:03:36.290 because if you do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01{:}03{:}36.290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}39.080$  then the sample need to diluted a lot and

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:03:39.080 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.830$  then you will sacrifice the sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:03:41.830 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.044$  So that's why when want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:03:44.044 \longrightarrow 01:03:45.520$  do the optimal design,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:03:45.520 \longrightarrow 01:03:47.860$  want to account for both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:03:47.860 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.823$  balance and also sensitivity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01{:}03{:}49.823 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}52.154$  including both of them and so then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:03:52.160 \longrightarrow 01:03:54.824$  So that's why when we build this when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:03:54.824 \longrightarrow 01:03:57.330$  define this efficient screening capacity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:03:57.330 \longrightarrow 01:03:58.256$  this calculation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01:03:58.256 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.645$  That incorporated sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.852674794

 $01{:}03{:}59.645 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}01.960$  in the calculation as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556556

 $01:04:02.610 \longrightarrow 01:04:03.958$  I see thanks yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8346741

 $01:04:04.700 \longrightarrow 01:04:06.640$  So the the pool design.

01:04:06.640 --> 01:04:09.736 So if you look at the traditional design,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8346741

 $01:04:09.740 \longrightarrow 01:04:12.844$  so here you can see that each person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8346741

 $01:04:12.850 \longrightarrow 01:04:14.071$  the traditional design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8346741

01:04:14.071 --> 01:04:16.106 Basically each person is assigned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8346741

 $01:04:16.106 \longrightarrow 01:04:18.798$  to a single pool and so this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8346741

01:04:18.798 --> 01:04:20.603 is this called document design,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8346741

 $01:04:20.610 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.886$  and so this design so you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8346741

 $01:04:23.886 \longrightarrow 01:04:27.529$  see that the six for six people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8346741

 $01{:}04{:}27.530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}32.100$  In this example, like the person, one and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8346741

 $01:04:32.100 \longrightarrow 01:04:35.076$  Assigned to a person to assign to P,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8346741

 $01{:}04{:}35.080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}37.698$  and so this is not efficient design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8346741

 $01:04:37.700 \longrightarrow 01:04:40.276$  And so if we assign each person to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8346741

 $01:04:40.276 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.270$  multiple pools and after decoding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8346741

 $01:04:42.270 \longrightarrow 01:04:44.405$  that will improve the efficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80364835

 $01:04:46.760 \longrightarrow 01:04:48.375$  So generally the cute does

NOTE Confidence: 0.80364835

 $01:04:48.375 \longrightarrow 01:04:49.990$  should not be too big.

 $01{:}04{:}49.990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}52.566$  So here you can secure equal to 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80364835

 $01{:}04{:}52.570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}54.260$  That means one person assigned

NOTE Confidence: 0.80364835

 $01:04:54.260 \longrightarrow 01:04:56.837$  to one pool to equal to 2 means

NOTE Confidence: 0.80364835

01:04:56.837 --> 01:04:58.741 a person sent to two pools and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80364835

 $01:04:58.809 \longrightarrow 01:05:00.879$  just think about this is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.80364835

 $01:05:00.879 \longrightarrow 01:05:03.133$  interesting and so you can see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80364835

01:05:03.133 --> 01:05:04.879 basically using using the graph and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80364835

 $01{:}05{:}04.879 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}06.973$  the ABCD basically means the pool

NOTE Confidence: 0.80364835

 $01:05:06.973 \longrightarrow 01:05:08.713$  and each edge indicated person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80364835

 $01:05:08.720 \longrightarrow 01:05:10.820$  So you can see this person one

NOTE Confidence: 0.80364835

 $01{:}05{:}10.820 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}13.147$  is assigned to pull A&B and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.80364835

 $01:05:13.147 \longrightarrow 01:05:15.187$  says that's why there's edge here

NOTE Confidence: 0.80364835

 $01{:}05{:}15.253 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}17.119$  and person to assign to C&D.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80364835

 $01:05:17.120 \longrightarrow 01:05:18.620$  So this person too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80364835

 $01:05:18.620 \longrightarrow 01:05:20.120$  And then so on.

 $01:05:23.850 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.740$  Thanks young, I have one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78496486

01:05:25.740 --> 01:05:27.620 I have one last question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78496486

 $01:05:27.620 \longrightarrow 01:05:29.606$  If other people do not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.78496486

 $01:05:29.606 \longrightarrow 01:05:31.869$  more question so I wonder is

NOTE Confidence: 0.78496486

 $01:05:31.869 \longrightarrow 01:05:34.029$  also related to the sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78496486

 $01:05:34.030 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.284$  I wonder how we considered too instead

NOTE Confidence: 0.78496486

 $01:05:36.284 \longrightarrow 01:05:39.017$  of using on the testing directly but

NOTE Confidence: 0.78496486

 $01:05:39.017 \longrightarrow 01:05:41.567$  construct some posterior for each person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78496486

 $01{:}05{:}41.570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}43.078$  Use other covariates including

NOTE Confidence: 0.78496486

 $01:05:43.078 \longrightarrow 01:05:44.209$  your past history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78496486

 $01:05:44.210 \longrightarrow 01:05:48.056$  Whether you have higher risk an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78496486

 $01:05:48.060 \longrightarrow 01:05:50.424$  I wonder if we use such

NOTE Confidence: 0.78496486

 $01:05:50.424 \longrightarrow 01:05:51.606$  personalized information combined

NOTE Confidence: 0.78496486

 $01:05:51.606 \longrightarrow 01:05:53.400$  with this testing results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78496486

 $01:05:53.400 \longrightarrow 01:05:55.460$  can we have better sensitivity?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8241894

 $01:05:56.990 \longrightarrow 01:05:58.592$  Very good question.

01:05:58.592 --> 01:06:01.796 Yeah, I can see the potential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8241894

 $01:06:01.800 \longrightarrow 01:06:05.440$  I can see the potential for doing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8241894

01:06:05.440 --> 01:06:09.717 Yeah, I think they're right now in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8241894

 $01:06:09.717 \longrightarrow 01:06:12.868$  the screening program and the no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8241894

 $01{:}06{:}12.870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}14.930$  Demographic information is collected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8241894

 $01:06:14.930 \longrightarrow 01:06:18.020$  and so only the sample collected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8241894

01:06:18.020 --> 01:06:22.076 So for example, like abroad they.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8241894

 $01:06:22.080 \longrightarrow 01:06:25.040$  But bro, the dead on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8241894

01:06:25.040 --> 01:06:28.112 The spring when we first started

NOTE Confidence: 0.8241894

 $01:06:28.112 \longrightarrow 01:06:31.525$  it was about maybe a 3000 of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8241894

 $01{:}06{:}31.525 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}34.472$  sample per day and so right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8241894

01:06:34.480 --> 01:06:35.893 As you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8241894

 $01:06:35.893 \dashrightarrow 01:06:39.223$  broad cover almost 9025% of the testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8241894

 $01:06:39.223 \longrightarrow 01:06:42.590$  and in the New England areas almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.8241894

 $01:06:42.689 \longrightarrow 01:06:45.923$  cover like 3,000,000 and test and so

 $01:06:45.923 \longrightarrow 01:06:49.579$  the event of data were lots of data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8241894

 $01:06:49.580 \longrightarrow 01:06:52.720$  Sand were collected and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8241894

 $01:06:52.720 \longrightarrow 01:06:53.368$  In the testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8241894

 $01:06:53.368 \longrightarrow 01:06:55.330$  but those data cannot be used for research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82315105

 $01:06:59.530 \longrightarrow 01:07:02.236$  Thanks, I don't know if the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82315105

 $01:07:02.236 \longrightarrow 01:07:04.040$  audience have further question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82315105

 $01:07:04.040 \longrightarrow 01:07:07.648$  Maybe you can also email see how afterwards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82315105

01:07:07.650 --> 01:07:10.807 So we're running a little bit overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82315105

 $01:07:10.810 \longrightarrow 01:07:13.510$  but it's very. This wonderful talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82315105

 $01:07:13.510 \longrightarrow 01:07:16.216$  Can we have learned so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.82315105

01:07:16.216 --> 01:07:18.020 from Seahawks services talk?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82315105

 $01:07:18.020 \longrightarrow 01:07:19.828$  Thank you again. Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.82315105

 $01:07:19.830 \longrightarrow 01:07:21.642$  you very much.