WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:07:19.840" NOTE Confidence: 0.9689161

00:00:06.000 --> 00:00:07.460 Alright. Welcome, everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92498195

 $00:00:07.919 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.599$ This is Milo Shares Breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.92498195

 $00{:}00{:}09.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}11.039$ Cancer Awareness Month. This is

NOTE Confidence: 0.92498195

00:00:11.039 --> 00:00:12.500 the first of three presentations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9200714

 $00:00:13.525 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.985$ and this one is called

NOTE Confidence: 0.9200714

00:00:15.045 --> 00:00:17.545 understanding breast health, screening genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.9200714

 $00:00:17.685 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.585$ and prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9645146

 $00:00:19.925 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.125$ And I'm John Lewin. I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9645146

00:00:21.125 --> 00:00:22.825 the moderator for this session,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996225

 $00:00:23.525 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.665$ and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9976756

 $00{:}00{:}26.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.505 \text{ three}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9662023

00:00:30.560 --> 00:00:31.060 experts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8134527

 $00:00:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.600$ So we have doctor Pris

NOTE Confidence: 0.8134527

00:00:41.600 --> 00:00:42.100 Salafi,

 $00:00:42.455 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.575$ who's my colleague in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97221607

 $00:00:43.575 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.115$ department of radiology and biomedical

NOTE Confidence: 0.97221607

 $00:00:45.255 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.755$ imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99881667

 $00:00:46.614 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.895$ and she will be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.99881667

 $00:00:47.895 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.395$ about

NOTE Confidence: 0.79008615

 $00:00:49.095 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.595$ screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9278706

00:00:50.055 --> 00:00:51.354 and Tracy Battaglia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99708635

 $00{:}00{:}52.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}53.595$ who is professor of medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.95546746

 $00{:}00{:}54.215 \to 00{:}00{:}56.215$ and associate director community outreach

NOTE Confidence: 0.95546746

00:00:56.215 --> 00:00:57.335 and engagement for the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.95546746

 $00{:}00{:}57.335 --> 00{:}00{:}58.235 \ {\rm Cancer} \ {\rm Center},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9379923

 $00:00:59.309 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.370$ and Linda Erwin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8956978

 $00:01:00.910 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.510$ who's associate dean of research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8956978

00:01:02.510 --> 00:01:04.670 and Susan Dwight Bliss professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8956978

 $00:01:04.670 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.330$ of epidemiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.974626

 $00:01:06.990 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.590$ deputy director of the Yale

 $00:01:08.590 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.950$ Cancer Center, who will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.974626

 $00:01:09.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.009$ talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.99872816

 $00:01:11.310 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.130$ risk modification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9579723

 $00{:}01{:}13.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}15.555$ And so without any further

NOTE Confidence: 0.9579723

00:01:15.555 --> 00:01:17.155 ado, I will stop sharing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9579723

 $00:01:17.155 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.535$ my screen, and doctor Lottie

NOTE Confidence: 0.9579723

 $00:01:18.755 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.895$ can start her presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990747

 $00:01:25.075 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.575$ Okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99957633

00:01:30.600 --> 00:01:31.960 I hope everyone is having

NOTE Confidence: 0.99957633

 $00:01:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.940$ a good evening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97888434

 $00:01:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.080$ I'm gonna briefly talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.97888434

 $00:01:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.660$ breast cancer, current screening recommendations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94527376

 $00{:}01{:}42.685 --> 00{:}01{:}44.125$ We all are aware or

NOTE Confidence: 0.94527376

 $00:01:44.125 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.745$ have heard of the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.90711194

 $00:01:46.045 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.545$ Preventative

 $00:01:47.084 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.585$ Services

NOTE Confidence: 0.8734088

 $00:01:47.885 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.785$ Task Force.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9769033

 $00:01:49.725 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.245$ This is a task force

NOTE Confidence: 0.9769033

 $00:01:51.245 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.305$ that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99978137

 $00:01:53.485 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.945$ assigned by the government

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992325

 $00:01:55.245 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.170$ to look at medical data

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992325

 $00:01:58.229 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.290$ and make recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.99942887

 $00:01:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.490$ for screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.927843

 $00:02:00.869 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.369$ different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99990094

 $00:02:01.909 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.810$ types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80713016

 $00:02:04.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.570$ diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97713506

 $00:02:05.190 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.430$ But specific to breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.97713506

 $00:02:07.430 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.510$ screening, they just modified their

NOTE Confidence: 0.97713506

 $00:02:09.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.010$ recommendation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9577225

 $00:02:11.395 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.014$ recently last year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9942133

00:02:13.395 --> 00:02:15.635 and they lowered the start

 $00:02:15.635 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.775$ age for screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98700565

00:02:17.235 --> 00:02:19.315 Now they recommend women aged

NOTE Confidence: 0.98700565

 $00:02:19.315 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.315$ forty to seventy four get

NOTE Confidence: 0.98700565

00:02:21.315 --> 00:02:23.794 screened. However, their recommendation says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955578

 $00:02:24.195 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.255$ every two years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9958671

00:02:25.770 --> 00:02:27.930 And, women seventy five years

NOTE Confidence: 0.9958671

 $00:02:27.930 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.590$ or older,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9744242

 $00:02:29.370 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.750$ they said that there isn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9744242

 $00:02:30.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.310$ sufficient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99419886

 $00{:}02{:}32.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34.410$ evidence to assess the balance

NOTE Confidence: 0.99419886

 $00:02:34.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.610$ of benefits and harms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99419886

 $00:02:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.550$ screening mammography in this population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9480716

 $00{:}02{:}38.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}40.010$ They also recommended that women

NOTE Confidence: 0.9480716

 $00:02:40.010 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.230$ with dense breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97272134

00:02:42.465 --> 00:02:44.145 that there isn't enough evidence

 $00:02:44.145 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.345$ to assess the balance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97272134

 $00:02:45.345 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.485$ benefits and harms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98868084 00:02:47.264 --> 00:02:47.764 Now

NOTE Confidence: 0.9967633

 $00:02:48.145 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.585$ we can talk about an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9967633

 $00:02:49.585 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.185$ hour about the data they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9967633

 $00:02:51.185 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.485$ looked at and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9567433

 $00{:}02{:}54.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55.919$ what they considered harms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9567433

 $00:02:55.919 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.520$ benefits. But one thing they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9567433

 $00{:}02{:}57.520 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}02{:}59.460$ considered a harm of screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.9567433

 $00:02:59.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.000$ was anxiety of women and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9567433

 $00{:}03{:}02.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}03.860$ potential callback rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.990177

 $00:03:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.480$ Briefly, I just wanna say

NOTE Confidence: 0.990177

 $00:03:06.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.300$ that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9526587

 $00:03:07.965 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.805$ screening mammography is the standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.9526587

 $00:03:09.805 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.245$ of care, and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9526587

 $00:03:11.245 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.925$ going back decades, and we

 $00:03:12.925 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.325$ have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99883276

 $00{:}03{:}14.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.845$ extensive research proving that screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.99883276

 $00:03:16.845 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.345$ mammography

NOTE Confidence: 0.9438533

 $00:03:18.045 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.025$ saves lives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9656664

00:03:19.805 --> 00:03:21.325 Women who had a screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.9656664

 $00{:}03{:}21.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}23.165$ mammogram compared to women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9656664

00:03:23.165 --> 00:03:25.620 didn't definitely live longer, and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9656664

 $00:03:25.860 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.080$ that's how we know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935157

00:03:28.660 --> 00:03:30.200 Everything else, ultrasound

NOTE Confidence: 0.9684024

 $00:03:30.500 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.239$ and MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.95643955

 $00:03:31.700 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.260$ and other modalities that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.95643955

 $00{:}03{:}34.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}35.620$ will talk about, like contrast

NOTE Confidence: 0.95643955

00:03:35.620 --> 00:03:36.599 enhanced mammography,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664041

00:03:37.115 --> 00:03:38.395 we don't have enough data

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664041

 $00:03:38.395 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.075$ to know decades worth of

 $00:03:40.075 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.595$ data to know if these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664041

 $00:03:41.595 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.335$ are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9512812

00:03:43.275 --> 00:03:45.595 making women die less of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9512812

 $00:03:45.595 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.495$ a breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8986184

 $00:03:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.190$ So current

NOTE Confidence: 0.91828907

 $00:03:50.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.230$ guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9700121

 $00:03:51.850 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.690$ this is a table that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9700121

 $00:03:53.690 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.290$ is talking about the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9700121

 $00{:}03{:}55.290 \to 00{:}03{:}57.770$ societies, medical societies talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9700121

 $00:03:57.770 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.830$ screening guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9921256

 $00{:}04{:}00.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01.370$ I talked about the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786743

 $00{:}04{:}01.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03.210$ task force. It says start

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786743

 $00:04:03.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.910$ at forty every two years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89151824

 $00:04:05.235 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.155$ And then different societies like

NOTE Confidence: 0.89151824

 $00:04:07.155 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.935$ ACOG is the OB GYN,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9451038

 $00:04:09.235 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.875$ society, American Cancer Society, American

00:04:11.875 --> 00:04:12.935 Medical Association,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964468

 $00{:}04{:}13.715 --> 00{:}04{:}15.075$ they all pretty much are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964468

 $00:04:15.075 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.575$ recommending

NOTE Confidence: 0.98235893

 $00:04:15.875 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.395$ starting at forty. And for

NOTE Confidence: 0.98235893

 $00{:}04{:}17.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}19.154$ the most part, annual screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.98235893

 $00:04:19.154 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.895$ is recommended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9902033

 $00:04:20.539 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.220$ For women older than seventy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9902033

 $00:04:22.220 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.979$ five, it's based on shared

NOTE Confidence: 0.9902033

00:04:23.979 --> 00:04:26.380 decision making. If people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9902033

 $00:04:26.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.740$ in good health, if women

NOTE Confidence: 0.9902033

 $00:04:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.940$ are in good health and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9902033

 $00:04:28.940 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.139$ they have at least ten

NOTE Confidence: 0.9902033

00:04:30.139 --> 00:04:32.300 more life, years ahead of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9902033

 $00:04:32.300 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.800$ them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9901078

 $00:04:33.354 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.314$ it's a good idea to

00:04:34.314 --> 00:04:36.235 continue with mammography. But that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9901078

 $00:04:36.235 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.435$ a personal choice, and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9901078

 $00:04:37.435 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.955$ can be discussed with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994931

 $00:04:39.754 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.375$ their primary care physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.99016744

 $00:04:41.995 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.995$ and, come to a decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.99016744

 $00{:}04{:}43.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.935$ that's appropriate for that individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97190505

 $00:04:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.270$ I am a radiologist, so

NOTE Confidence: 0.97190505

00:04:48.270 --> 00:04:50.110 I'm gonna talk about American

NOTE Confidence: 0.97190505

 $00{:}04{:}50.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}52.110$ College of Radiology and Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.97190505

00:04:52.110 --> 00:04:53.650 of Breast Imaging recommendations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93291306

 $00{:}04{:}54.589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}56.589$ which basically says start at

NOTE Confidence: 0.93291306

 $00:04:56.589 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.089$ forty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97000974

00:04:57.470 --> 00:04:58.850 Every year, get a mammogram,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97000974

 $00:04:59.070 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.190$ and there is no age

NOTE Confidence: 0.97000974

 $00:05:00.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.690$ limit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971794

 $00:05:01.065 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.845$ But, obviously, we do consider

00:05:02.904 --> 00:05:04.365 individual health status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.994789

 $00:05:06.025 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.525$ So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983683

00:05:07.385 --> 00:05:08.925 American College of Radiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.89056134

 $00:05:09.385 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.885$ recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99634224

 $00:05:10.345 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.705$ in the last few years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99634224

 $00:05:11.705 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.765$ changed their recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863489

 $00:05:13.305 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.365$ in terms of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99920624

 $00:05:14.985 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.485$ breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9458164

 $00:05:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.240$ care. And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9897278

 $00:05:18.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.540$ they brought to light the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9897278

00:05:19.540 --> 00:05:21.220 importance of having a risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9897278

 $00:05:21.220 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.720$ assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.87445426

 $00:05:22.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.839$ for women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952544

 $00:05:23.140 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.900$ and they're recommending that women

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952544

00:05:24.900 --> 00:05:26.120 get a risk assessment

 $00:05:26.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.260$ by age twenty five. Every

NOTE Confidence: 0.9747958

00:05:28.260 --> 00:05:29.880 woman should know if they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9747958

00:05:29.940 --> 00:05:31.435 high risk, low risk, if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9747958

00:05:31.435 --> 00:05:33.595 they're average risk, discuss as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9747958

 $00:05:33.595 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.654$ far as they know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9965267

 $00:05:35.755 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.435$ discuss that with their primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9965267

00:05:37.435 --> 00:05:39.294 care or, their gynecologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956719

 $00:05:39.755 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.895$ so that they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987469

 $00:05:41.354 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.854$ appropriately

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985872

 $00:05:42.154 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.914$ be screened starting at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985872 00:05:43.914 --> 00:05:44.414 age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995734 00:05:45.750 --> 00:05:46.250 So

NOTE Confidence: 0.972624

00:05:46.710 --> 00:05:48.490 high risk women, as determined

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984135

 $00:05:48.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.850$ by the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868446

 $00:05:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.190$ assessment models, are deemed high

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868446 00:05:53.190 --> 00:05:53.690 risk.

 $00:05:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.390$ If they desire anything more

NOTE Confidence: 0.99599457

 $00:05:56.390 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.290$ than a mammogram,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989006

00:05:58.205 --> 00:05:59.964 because they're high risk, they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989006

 $00:05:59.964 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.664$ should get some other supplemental

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989006

 $00:06:01.884 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.384$ screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96956426

 $00:06:02.925 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.764$ So MRI has been our

NOTE Confidence: 0.96956426

 $00:06:04.764 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.764$ traditional screening tool for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.96956426

 $00:06:06.764 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.284$ women. If for some reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.96956426

00:06:08.284 --> 00:06:10.705 they can't undergo MRI screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9854987

 $00:06:11.460 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.500$ and there could be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9854987

 $00:06:12.500 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.120$ different reasons for that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9143202

 $00:06:14.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.260$ definitely ultrasound and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9947929

 $00:06:16.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.820$ institutions that have contrast enhanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.9947929

00:06:18.820 --> 00:06:19.320 mammography,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9889368

00:06:20.500 --> 00:06:22.440 they should undergo that,

 $00:06:22.900 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.400$ procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987492

 $00:06:24.275 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.235$ I will talk a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987492

 $00:06:25.235 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.835$ bit more about that, in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987492

 $00:06:26.835 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.415$ a bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9779237

 $00:06:28.035 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.815$ Women who have dense breasts

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979947

 $00:06:30.275 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.975$ and are not necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.9616906

 $00:06:32.355 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.295$ high risk, but they want

NOTE Confidence: 0.9616906

 $00:06:34.435 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.935$ supplemental

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953747

 $00:06:35.235 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.735$ screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95197695

 $00:06:36.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.529$ they can get a breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.95197695

 $00:06:37.529 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.490$ MRI. However, sometimes insurances don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.95197695

 $00:06:40.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.750$ cover that. Most insurances don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.95197695

 $00:06:42.889 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.750$ or the they're cost prohibitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8485385

 $00:06:45.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.509$ or there's a contraindication

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941139

 $00:06:46.889 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.029$ for getting an MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98125225

 $00{:}06{:}48.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.305$ It's important that these women

 $00:06:50.305 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.165$ know they have options. Ultrasound

NOTE Confidence: 0.98125225

 $00{:}06{:}52.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54.005$ or contrast enhanced mammography

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993016

 $00:06:54.385 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.205$ should be considered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9831684

00:06:55.985 --> 00:06:58.245 If someone is intermediate risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9831684

 $00:06:58.464 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.925$ and, again, these are calculated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9831684

 $00:07:00.145 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.009$ lifetime risks with which my

NOTE Confidence: 0.9831684

00:07:02.009 --> 00:07:03.289 colleagues will talk about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9942854

 $00:07:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.430$ in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8181908

 $00:07:06.569 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.569$ The and and have dense

NOTE Confidence: 0.8181908

 $00:07:08.569 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.069$ breasts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9507058

 $00{:}07{:}09.449 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}10.889$ Of course, they should continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.9507058

 $00{:}07{:}10.889 \to 00{:}07{:}12.889$ with mammography but also get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9507058

 $00{:}07{:}12.889 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.830$ MRI or, ultrasound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9938572

 $00{:}07{:}17.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.115$ So just to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9938572

00:07:18.115 --> 00:07:19.335 mammographic density,

 $00:07:20.275 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.055$ mammograms are black and white

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708342

 $00:07:22.275 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.895$ and gray. And, basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978099

 $00:07:24.355 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.035$ anything that's gray or black

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978099

 $00:07:26.035 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.775$ is good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97010773

 $00:07:27.635 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.675$ This is the opposite of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97010773

 $00:07:28.675 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.475$ real life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9817528

 $00{:}07{:}29.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31.980$ and white is glandular breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9817528

 $00:07:31.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.960$ tissue. However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9814979

 $00:07:33.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.840$ cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91881543

00:07:34.700 --> 00:07:35.200 cysts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826143

 $00{:}07{:}35.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37.820$ benign masses, anything else that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826143

 $00:07:37.820 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.260$ is not tracked will also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826143

 $00:07:39.260 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.080$ be white.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9886548

 $00:07:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.080$ So when we are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.9886548

 $00:07:42.220 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.900$ from left to right, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9886548

 $00:07:43.900 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.180$ are seeing an increase in

 $00{:}07{:}45.180 --> 00{:}07{:}46.560$ the breast tissue density.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9620168

 $00:07:47.365 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.044$ This, the right side is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9620168

 $00:07:49.044 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.625$ considered an extremely dense mammogram,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9620168

 $00:07:51.925 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.125$ and you can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9620168

 $00:07:53.125 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.125$ the majority of this breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9620168

 $00:07:55.125 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.824$ is white. And if

NOTE Confidence: 0.98474133

 $00:07:57.365 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.805$ this breast had a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.98474133

 $00:07:58.805 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.324$ cancer in it, it would

NOTE Confidence: 0.98474133

 $00:08:00.324 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.979$ be very difficult to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.98474133

00:08:01.979 --> 00:08:03.419 it because it's gonna blend

NOTE Confidence: 0.98474133

 $00:08:03.419 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.780$ in with the adjacent breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.98474133

 $00:08:04.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.800$ tissue. And this is why

NOTE Confidence: 0.98474133

 $00:08:06.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.620$ it's important that women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.98474133

 $00:08:08.620 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.479$ have extremely dense breasts

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986797

 $00:08:11.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.360$ should consider additional supplemental

 $00:08:13.660 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.500$ screening. This is a fatty

NOTE Confidence: 0.97728413

 $00:08:15.500 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.000$ breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9598058500:08:16.895 --> 00:08:17.395 and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97933936

 $00:08:18.495 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.455$ you can see that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.97933936

 $00:08:19.455 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.735$ there was a mass this

NOTE Confidence: 0.97933936

 $00:08:20.735 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.255$ is a normal structure here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97933936

 $00:08:22.255 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.215$ But let's say there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.97933936

 $00:08:23.215 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.335$ a mass, it would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.97933936

 $00:08:24.335 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.375$ white, and it would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.97933936

 $00:08:25.375 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.875$ extremely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991967

 $00:08:26.575 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.115$ easy to appreciate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9544583

 $00:08:29.615 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.095$ About

NOTE Confidence: 0.97915524

 $00:08:31.259 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.100$ of the four categories, half

NOTE Confidence: 0.97915524

 $00:08:33.100 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.620$ are dense and half are

NOTE Confidence: 0.97915524

 $00:08:34.620 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.540$ not dense. And about half

NOTE Confidence: 0.97915524

 $00:08:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.279$ the population

 $00:08:37.660 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.100$ has dense breasts and half

NOTE Confidence: 0.942545

00:08:39.100 --> 00:08:40.480 the population doesn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9601466

 $00:08:41.500 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.200$ The last one, the extremely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9601466

 $00:08:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.760$ dense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95274353

 $00{:}08{:}44.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46.515$ category is about ten percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.95274353

 $00:08:46.515 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.274$ of women. So not very

NOTE Confidence: 0.95274353

00:08:48.274 --> 00:08:50.054 common, but extremely important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98887014

 $00:08:51.475 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.235$ Density by itself is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.98887014

 $00:08:53.235 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.295$ a risk factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97639054

00:08:54.675 --> 00:08:56.295 So women who have denser

NOTE Confidence: 0.97639054

 $00{:}08{:}56.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}58.610$ breasts have a higher risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.97639054

 $00:08:58.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.690$ for developing breast cancer compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.97639054

 $00{:}09{:}00.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01.809$ to women who have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97639054

 $00:09:01.809 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.370$ fatty breast. For example, one

NOTE Confidence: 0.97639054

 $00:09:04.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.190$ and four,

 $00:09:05.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.330$ the four, which is extremely

NOTE Confidence: 0.957653

 $00{:}09{:}07.330 --> 00{:}09{:}08.690$ dense breast, has a four

NOTE Confidence: 0.957653

 $00:09:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.190$ times

NOTE Confidence: 0.99124944

00:09:09.730 --> 00:09:11.835 more risk of developing breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.99124944

 $00:09:11.835 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.355$ cancer compared to a fatty

NOTE Confidence: 0.99124944

 $00:09:13.355 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.275$ breast and about two times

NOTE Confidence: 0.99124944

00:09:15.275 --> 00:09:16.895 higher than an average breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99680406

 $00:09:18.475 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.715$ So when we have, increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.99680406

 $00:09:20.715 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.215$ density

NOTE Confidence: 0.9807355

00:09:21.995 --> 00:09:23.835 from, again, left to right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9807355

 $00:09:23.835 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.054$ we can see the density

NOTE Confidence: 0.9807355

 $00:09:25.115 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.120$ of the breast or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9807355

 $00:09:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.740$ whiteness is increasing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99788547

 $00:09:28.679 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.700$ That lowers our sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.99776936

00:09:31.080 --> 00:09:33.160 of mammography and increases the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99776936

 $00:09:33.160 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.320$ risk of cancer not being

 $00:09:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.820$ detected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99594253

 $00:09:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.800$ I don't like using the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99594253

00:09:37.800 --> 00:09:39.960 word missing cancer. We're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.99594253

 $00:09:39.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.295$ missing it. We're just not

NOTE Confidence: 0.99594253

00:09:41.454 --> 00:09:42.894 seeing it. We're not detecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.99594253

 $00:09:42.894 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.495$ it. So as we go

NOTE Confidence: 0.99594253

 $00:09:44.495 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.415$ from left to right, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.99594253

 $00:09:46.415 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.554$ can see that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9897106

 $00:09:48.095 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.434$ the risk increases for nondetection

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811959

 $00:09:50.815 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.654$ of breast cancer, and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811959

 $00:09:52.654 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.095$ extremely dense breast has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811959

00:09:54.095 --> 00:09:55.800 more than sixty percent risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811959

 $00{:}09{:}56.040 {\: --> \:} 00{:}09{:}57.260$ of, nondetection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935711

 $00:09:59.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.320$ So in order to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.993571100:10:01.320 --> 00:10:01.820 at,

 $00:10:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.940$ dense breast and utility

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553883

00:10:05.399 --> 00:10:07.339 of, additional supplemental

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994323

00:10:07.640 --> 00:10:08.140 screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9659328

 $00:10:08.525 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.285$ there were several trials that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9659328

 $00:10:10.285 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.665$ were recently published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91787183

00:10:12.285 --> 00:10:13.885 One was the DENSE trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91787183

 $00:10:13.885 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.945$ which is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93854594

 $00:10:15.804 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.165$ the dense tissue and early

NOTE Confidence: 0.93854594

 $00{:}10{:}17.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19.325$ breast neoplasm screening. This was

NOTE Confidence: 0.93854594

 $00:10:19.325 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.445$ a very good trial. It

NOTE Confidence: 0.93854594

 $00{:}10{:}20.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22.205$ was randomized controlled trial. It

NOTE Confidence: 0.93854594

 $00:10:22.205 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.265$ was in the Netherlands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9559296

 $00:10:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.220$ And they added MRI in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9559296

 $00:10:26.220 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.740$ a population of fifty to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9559296

 $00:10:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.120$ seventy five year olds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97278005

 $00:10:29.500 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.420$ women, who had extremely dense

00:10:31.420 --> 00:10:33.100 breast tissue and had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97278005

00:10:33.100 --> 00:10:34.080 normal mammogram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9906828

 $00:10:34.780 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.400$ And they wanted to see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678935

 $00:10:37.145 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.304$ different things, but one was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678935

 $00:10:39.304 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.665$ they wanted to see patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678935

 $00:10:40.665 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.445$ who had that added MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678935

 $00:10:42.665 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.645$ Do they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9655552

 $00:10:44.184 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.945$ a lower rate of interval

NOTE Confidence: 0.9655552

 $00:10:45.945 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.445$ cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9724742

 $00{:}10{:}46.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}48.905$ Interval cancers are cancers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9724742

00:10:48.905 --> 00:10:50.605 develop in between screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84577155

 $00:10:52.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.440$ timelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775748

 $00{:}10{:}54.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.420$ And for them, it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775748

 $00:10:55.420 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.260$ every two years. They also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775748

 $00:10:57.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.620$ looked at other things. For

 $00:10:58.620 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.980$ example, they wanted to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775748

 $00:10:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.420$ what is the detection rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775748

 $00:11:01.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.160$ of MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97795343

 $00:11:03.020 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.320$ Are there false positives?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93782026

00:11:04.715 --> 00:11:05.835 What kind of tumors are

NOTE Confidence: 0.93782026

 $00{:}11{:}05.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}08.075$ detected by MR and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.93782026

 $00:11:08.075 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.895$ other characteristics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99520665

00:11:10.155 --> 00:11:11.515 They were lucky. They looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.99520665

 $00:11:11.515 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.115$ at forty thousand women, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.99520665

 $00:11:13.115 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.655$ about eight thousand of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.99520665

 $00{:}11{:}14.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16.495$ had the supplemental MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9721481

 $00:11:17.059 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.420$ And what they saw that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9721481

 $00:11:18.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.600$ the rates of interval cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9721481

 $00:11:20.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.679$ was about half in women

NOTE Confidence: 0.9721481

 $00:11:22.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.500$ who had MRI compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9721481

 $00:11:24.500 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.720$ mammogram only.

 $00:11:26.020 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.059$ So it was two point

NOTE Confidence: 0.99275297

00:11:27.059 --> 00:11:28.820 five per thousand compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99275297 00:11:28.820 --> 00:11:29.320 five. NOTE Confidence: 0.9974493

00:11:29.925 --> 00:11:30.425 And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99597424

 $00:11:30.885 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.885$ basically, this was great news.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99597424

 $00:11:32.885 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.885$ I should also make a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99597424

 $00:11:34.885 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.404$ note that these are average

NOTE Confidence: 0.99597424

00:11:36.404 --> 00:11:38.165 risk patients, not high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.99597424

 $00:11:38.165 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.665$ patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9899289

00:11:39.205 --> 00:11:40.404 And the women who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9899289

 $00:11:40.404 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.184$ the MRI, they had about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9899289

 $00:11:42.404 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.304$ over sixteen

NOTE Confidence: 0.99657947

00:11:43.845 --> 00:11:44.505 per thousand

NOTE Confidence: 0.9474767

00:11:45.670 --> 00:11:47.590 rate of cancer detection, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.9474767

00:11:47.590 --> 00:11:49.290 is great. Again, mammography

00:11:49.670 --> 00:11:51.429 alone finds if you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.88283443

 $00:11:51.429 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.929$ tomosynthesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9809192

 $00:11:52.710 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.150$ on average, four or five

NOTE Confidence: 0.9809192

 $00:11:54.150 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.450$ cancers per thousand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281742

 $00{:}11{:}56.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}59.385$ Another trial called BRAID breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281742

 $00{:}11{:}59.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01.705$ Screening Risk Adaptive Imaging for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281742

 $00:12:01.705 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.205$ Density

NOTE Confidence: 0.97241646

 $00:12:02.745 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.585$ wanted to see, in addition

NOTE Confidence: 0.97241646

00:12:04.585 --> 00:12:05.325 to mammography,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99415135

00:12:06.105 --> 00:12:07.005 other supplemental

NOTE Confidence: 0.87995005

00:12:07.385 --> 00:12:08.525 screening imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99228585

 $00:12:10.025 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.525$ tools

NOTE Confidence: 0.5685766

00:12:10.905 --> 00:12:11.405 effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996073

 $00:12:11.940 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.600$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98144954

00:12:13.300 --> 00:12:15.480 ultrasound, contrast enhanced mammography,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99972177

 $00:12:15.860 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.760$ and MRI

 $00:12:17.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.000$ in detection of breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409447

 $00:12:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.160$ They had about nine thousand

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409447

00:12:21.220 --> 00:12:23.940 patients. Six thousand completed those

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409447

 $00:12:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.440$ extra

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416477

 $00:12:24.980 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.480$ modalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99828935

00:12:26.535 --> 00:12:27.015 And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973027

 $00:12:27.335 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.255$ the cancer detection rates were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973027

00:12:29.255 --> 00:12:30.475 really great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994822 00:12:30.855 --> 00:12:31.255 for NOTE Confidence: 0.9025464

 $00{:}12{:}32.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}34.295$ and more abbreviated MRI is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9025464

00:12:34.295 --> 00:12:36.375 just the shorter version shorter

NOTE Confidence: 0.9025464

 $00{:}12{:}36.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38.315$ time version of contrast enhanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.9025464

00:12:38.375 --> 00:12:38.875 MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970659

00:12:39.380 --> 00:12:40.899 And in that population, they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970659

00:12:40.899 --> 00:12:42.760 had about seventeen per thousand,

 $00:12:43.300 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.279$ detected cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99200803

00:12:44.980 --> 00:12:46.279 Contrast enhanced mammography

NOTE Confidence: 0.9689756

 $00:12:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.679$ was even higher, nineteen ish,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9689756

 $00:12:48.820 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.140$ and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960326

00:12:49.779 --> 00:12:51.779 ultrasound was only about four

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960326

00:12:51.779 --> 00:12:53.000 point two per thousand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9999077

 $00:12:53.754 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.574$ You can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.97622633

00:12:55.194 --> 00:12:56.495 that. And in that population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98670346

 $00{:}12{:}57.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59.754$ the, cancer detection rate was

NOTE Confidence: 0.98670346

 $00{:}12{:}59.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01.115$ eight point four per thousand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98670346

00:13:01.115 --> 00:13:02.154 So you can actually look

NOTE Confidence: 0.98670346

 $00{:}13{:}02.154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}03.535$ at the numbers and appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.98670346

 $00:13:03.675 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.514$ that ultrasound was just slightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.98670346

 $00:13:05.514 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.890$ better, but MRI and contrast

NOTE Confidence: 0.98670346

 $00:13:07.890 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.650$ enhanced mammogram more than doubled

NOTE Confidence: 0.98670346 00:13:09.650 --> 00:13:10.150 it.

00:13:12.290 --> 00:13:13.970 Tumor size was also much

NOTE Confidence: 0.9814122

 $00:13:13.970 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.490$ smaller. On the average, it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9814122

 $00:13:15.490 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.170$ was about a centimeter in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9814122

 $00:13:17.170 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.010$ women who had the MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.9814122

 $00{:}13{:}19.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}20.630$ and contrast enhanced mammogram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856616

00:13:21.250 --> 00:13:21.410 And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9652307

00:13:22.315 --> 00:13:24.315 ultrasound detected tumors were slightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9652307

 $00:13:24.315 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.675$ larger, about double the size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9652307

00:13:25.675 --> 00:13:26.655 twenty two millimeters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99903053

 $00:13:27.355 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.335$ These are averages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99968433

00:13:29.035 --> 00:13:30.235 So you can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99968433

 $00:13:30.235 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.295$ earlier detection

NOTE Confidence: 0.8161725 00:13:31.675 --> 00:13:32.175 is, NOTE Confidence: 0.99948955 00:13:33.115 --> 00:13:33.615 key

NOTE Confidence: 0.98118055

 $00:13:34.075 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.575$ with

00:13:35.440 --> 00:13:36.899 contrast enhanced modalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99304104

00:13:37.679 --> 00:13:38.559 Now just to say a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99304104

00:13:38.559 --> 00:13:40.399 little bit about contrast enhanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.99304104

00:13:40.399 --> 00:13:40.899 mammography,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9749879

 $00:13:42.079 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.240$ the premise is that tiny

NOTE Confidence: 0.9749879

00:13:44.240 --> 00:13:46.000 little tumors, anything more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9749879

 $00:13:46.000 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.620$ two millimeter, will demonstrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.92413706

 $00:13:48.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.660$ angiogenesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9751584

 $00{:}13{:}49.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50.545$ and that means that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9751584

 $00:13:50.545 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.405$ gonna grow new blood vessels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96308404

 $00{:}13{:}52.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54.725$ These blood vessels are leaky.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96308404

 $00:13:54.945 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.225$ And when we inject a

NOTE Confidence: 0.96308404

 $00:13:56.225 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.565$ contrast material through an intravenous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510862

 $00:13:59.745 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.245$ access,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9638945

 $00:14:01.184 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.225$ they go to the breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9638945

 $00:14:02.225 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.420$ and they accumulate

 $00:14:03.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.100$ in these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98637736

 $00:14:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.160$ We can detect them with

NOTE Confidence: 0.98637736

 $00:14:07.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.679$ two two ways. One is

NOTE Confidence: 0.98637736

00:14:08.679 --> 00:14:10.679 contrast enhanced mammography, and one

NOTE Confidence: 0.98637736

 $00{:}14{:}10.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.340$ is MR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96526605

00:14:12.520 --> 00:14:14.540 A lot of people, patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96526605

00:14:14.760 --> 00:14:17.240 physicians know about, MRI. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.96526605

 $00:14:17.240 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.285$ won't go into into detail

NOTE Confidence: 0.96526605

 $00:14:18.285 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.045$ about that. But contrast enhanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.96526605

 $00:14:20.045 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.065$ mammogram is essentially a mammogram

NOTE Confidence: 0.980617

 $00:14:22.845 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.205$ that is obtained before and

NOTE Confidence: 0.980617

 $00:14:24.205 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.445$ after administration of contrast, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.980617

00:14:26.445 --> 00:14:27.505 this is an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.983882

 $00:14:27.964 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.545$ of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94601923

 $00:14:29.725 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.170$ When patients undergo contrast

 $00{:}14{:}32.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33.410$ enhanced mammography, they get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80780697

00:14:33.410 --> 00:14:35.329 normal mammogram, which is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8888343

 $00:14:36.050 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.730$ this image here, and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8888343

 $00:14:37.730 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.930$ is another view of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8888343

 $00:14:38.930 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.450$ same. It's labeled left CC

NOTE Confidence: 0.8888343

 $00:14:40.450 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.510$ and left MLL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786378

 $00:14:42.209 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.950$ And then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8696323

 $00:14:43.490 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.470$ another image,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231374

00:14:45.175 --> 00:14:47.015 mammogram image is obtained. Those

NOTE Confidence: 0.87231374

 $00:14:47.015 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.315$ images are subtracted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99976873

 $00:14:48.695 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.755$ and what remains

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218143

 $00{:}14{:}50.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52.215$ is anything that picks up

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218143

 $00:14:52.215 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.895$ the dye. So in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218143

 $00:14:53.895 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.255$ two images, you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218143

 $00:14:55.255 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.695$ that there's a tiny little

NOTE Confidence: 0.98218143

00:14:56.695 --> 00:14:58.455 mass that shows up in

 $00:14:58.455 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.515$ the left breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99366236

 $00{:}14{:}59.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02.060$ and it's completely obscured. You

NOTE Confidence: 0.99366236

 $00:15:02.060 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.500$ don't see anything like that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99366236

 $00:15:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.880$ because this is an extremely

NOTE Confidence: 0.99366236

 $00:15:04.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.840$ dense mammogram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9724141

 $00:15:06.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.440$ And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964162

00:15:08.940 --> 00:15:10.640 so contrast enhanced mammography

NOTE Confidence: 0.99967664

 $00:15:11.100 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.540$ is a mammogram that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.99967664

 $00:15:12.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.300$ really not affected by breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.99967664

 $00:15:14.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.800$ density.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99902165 00:15:17.885 --> 00:15:18.385 So

NOTE Confidence: 0.98268396

 $00:15:18.765 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.985$ let me just oops.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99016714

 $00{:}15{:}21.165 --> 00{:}15{:}22.385 \text{ I lost my}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181283

 $00:15:24.045 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.545$ chair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8318082

00:15:32.680 --> 00:15:33.180 Alright.

 $00:15:33.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.240$ What we don't have answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125697

 $00{:}15{:}35.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}37.080$ there are several questions with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806693

 $00:15:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.720$ in general and what these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806693

 $00:15:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.080$ trials that I mentioned have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9806693

 $00:15:41.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.900$ not answered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99869335

 $00:15:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.980$ We know that mammography

NOTE Confidence: 0.99949074

 $00:15:44.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.460$ saves lives by lowering by

NOTE Confidence: 0.99949074

 $00:15:46.755 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.095$ decreasing mortality from breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98713434

 $00:15:49.715 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.155$ We don't know that about

NOTE Confidence: 0.98713434

00:15:51.155 --> 00:15:53.415 MRI or contrast enhanced mammography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99700963 00:15:54.435 --> 00:15:54.935 So, NOTE Confidence: 0.99320686

 $00:15:55.795 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.915$ there's a lot of research

NOTE Confidence: 0.99320686

00:15:56.915 --> 00:15:58.675 being done about that. Hopefully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99320686

 $00:15:58.675 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.089$ we'll have that answer at

NOTE Confidence: 0.99320686

 $00:16:00.089 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.449$ some point. We also don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.99320686

 $00:16:01.449 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.810$ know how feasible it is

 $00:16:02.810 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.790$ to have these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99984396

 $00{:}16{:}04.649 --> 00{:}16{:}05.470 \text{ other supplemental}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8855322

 $00:16:05.930 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.430$ tests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.999207

 $00:16:08.009 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.389$ in terms of logistics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96308506

 $00:16:09.769 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.750$ cost, capacity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9862381

 $00:16:11.690 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.470$ for women who are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9862381

 $00:16:13.769 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.750$ at high risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891214

00:16:15.415 --> 00:16:16.935 As you know, demand for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891214

 $00:16:16.935 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.695$ imaging is very high. We

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891214

00:16:18.695 --> 00:16:21.195 have very low, MRI capacities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891214

 $00:16:21.335 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.795$ and contrast enhanced mammography

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996836

 $00:16:23.415 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.335$ is not available at every

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996836

 $00:16:25.335 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.835$ institution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996926

 $00:16:26.615 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.235$ At Yale, we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9928585

 $00:16:31.009 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.250$ increasing our MRI capacity at

 $00:16:33.250 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.149$ different sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9789442

 $00{:}16{:}34.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}36.370$ However, we are sharing with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9789442

 $00:16:36.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.129$ other body parts. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9789442

 $00:16:38.129 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.329$ everybody wants to have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9789442

00:16:39.329 --> 00:16:41.110 MR. It's a highly utilized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7509724 00:16:41.889 --> 00:16:42.225 test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848963

 $00:16:42.865 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.065$ And we don't have enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848963

00:16:44.065 --> 00:16:46.065 slots to do diagnostic MRs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848963

 $00{:}16{:}46.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}47.425$ to do high risk screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848963

00:16:47.425 --> 00:16:49.264 and also average screening of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848963

 $00:16:49.264 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.865$ the women who have dense

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848963

 $00:16:50.865 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.365$ breasts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97068894

00:16:52.065 --> 00:16:53.345 We will be starting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97068894

 $00:16:53.345 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.584$ contrast enhanced mammography program in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97068894

 $00:16:55.584 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.625$ the next six months or

NOTE Confidence: 0.97068894

 $00:16:56.625 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.110$ so. We'll be doing it

 $00:16:58.110 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.270$ on both, two campuses at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63882846

 $00:17:00.670 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.170$ Smilo

NOTE Confidence: 0.93374175

 $00:17:01.790 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.810$ and at our North Haven

NOTE Confidence: 0.93374175

 $00:17:03.950 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.350$ campus. And, that'll be great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93374175

 $00:17:06.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.170$ It'll be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9980894

 $00:17:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.109$ very, very exciting for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9980894

 $00:17:10.109 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.410$ to start that program

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919467

 $00:17:11.815 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.795$ and offer women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918112

 $00:17:13.575 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.355$ additional supplemental screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99821377

00:17:21.335 --> 00:17:21.835 Alright.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99392176

00:17:25.830 --> 00:17:27.450 Alright. Very nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951239

 $00:17:28.230 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.050$ Doctor Battaglia?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95326364

00:17:30.869 --> 00:17:32.070 I'll pull up my slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95326364

00:17:32.070 --> 00:17:33.590 Good evening, everyone. I see

NOTE Confidence: 0.95326364

 $00:17:33.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.630$ there's a couple of questions

 $00:17:34.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.350$ in the chat, John. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.95326364

00:17:35.350 --> 00:17:36.230 don't know if you wanna

NOTE Confidence: 0.95326364

 $00:17:36.230 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.270$ take one or two while

NOTE Confidence: 0.95326364 $00:17:37.270 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.520 \text{ I'm}$ NOTE Confidence: 0.9758668

 $00:17:38.655 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.775$ If you would like, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9758668

 $00:17:39.775 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.055$ definitely will have time at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9758668

00:17:41.055 --> 00:17:42.595 the very end for everybody's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9758668

00:17:42.655 --> 00:17:44.735 question. Well, pretty much. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9758668

 $00:17:44.735 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.795$ one of our attendees

NOTE Confidence: 0.7907873

00:17:46.494 --> 00:17:47.955 asked, doctor Lottie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9462609

 $00:17:48.415 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.355$ about invasive lobular cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9893535

00:17:50.815 --> 00:17:51.855 How would that show up

NOTE Confidence: 0.9893535

 $00:17:51.855 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.475$ on a contrast enhanced mammogram?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963155

 $00:17:54.169 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.630$ So similar to MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9922103

00:17:56.409 --> 00:17:57.710 it will show up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968691

00:17:58.330 --> 00:17:59.869 because lobular cancer

 $00{:}18{:}00.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02.270$ also has, neovascularity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815482

 $00:18:04.890 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.650$ As you know, lobular cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815482

 $00:18:06.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.850$ is not a very common

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815482

 $00:18:07.850 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.289$ cancer. It's about ten percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815482

00:18:09.289 --> 00:18:11.554 of our cancers. And, occasionally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387631

 $00:18:11.934 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.695$ it may not enhance. It

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387631

 $00:18:13.695 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.655$ may not take up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387631

 $00:18:14.655 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.355$ dive similar to MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98981017

00:18:16.895 --> 00:18:18.035 And that's why

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058353

 $00:18:20.575 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.446$ screening mammography, the two the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058353

 $00{:}18{:}22.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}22.527$ actual mammogram portion of it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058353

00:18:22.527 --> 00:18:22.962 is super helpful. We read

NOTE Confidence: 0.8058353

 $00:18:22.962 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.190$ those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8554492

 $00:18:34.869 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.250$ based on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99522364

00:18:37.065 --> 00:18:38.924 previous biopsy or diagnosis

 $00:18:39.225 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.125$ or whatever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9972313

 $00:18:41.144 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.664$ we can either do contrast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9972313

00:18:42.664 --> 00:18:43.565 enhanced mammography

NOTE Confidence: 0.97496665

 $00:18:43.865 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.705$ or MRI for extent of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97496665

 $00:18:45.705 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.664$ disease and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99454355

 $00:18:47.625 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.085$ other diagnostic workup.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971243

00:18:51.460 --> 00:18:53.059 Alright. Very good. Let's move

NOTE Confidence: 0.971243

 $00:18:53.059 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.940$ on to the next talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971243

 $00{:}18{:}53.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55.640$ and I will promise we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772467

00:18:56.020 --> 00:18:57.380 get to everybody's questions at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772467

 $00{:}18{:}57.380 \to 00{:}18{:}59.380$ the end. Okay. Great. Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772467

00:18:59.380 --> 00:19:00.500 you so much. Good evening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772467

 $00:19:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.460$ everyone. It's great to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772467

 $00:19:01.460 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.260$ here with you all to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772467

 $00{:}19{:}02.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03.780$ kick off breast cancer awareness

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772467

 $00:19:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.695$ month. I see,

 $00:19:05.095 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.154$ only one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98375404

00:19:06.455 --> 00:19:07.734 our panelists has pink on

NOTE Confidence: 0.98375404

 $00:19:07.734 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.734$ today. So, Melinda, you you

NOTE Confidence: 0.98375404

 $00:19:09.734 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.855$ have it on. You have

NOTE Confidence: 0.98375404

 $00:19:10.855 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.294$ it on. So I'm here

NOTE Confidence: 0.98375404

 $00:19:12.294 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.455$ to, take you, through an

NOTE Confidence: 0.98375404

 $00:19:14.455 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.375$ overview of high risk breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.98375404

00:19:16.375 --> 00:19:18.054 health care. I'm gonna talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.98375404

 $00:19:18.054 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.174$ a little bit about risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.98375404

 $00:19:19.174 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.674$ assessments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99897075

 $00:19:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.880$ risk assessment that was alluded

NOTE Confidence: 0.99897075

 $00:19:21.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.780$ to in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99071044

 $00:19:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.760$ the first presentation on breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.99071044

 $00:19:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.260$ imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.9929729

 $00:19:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.560$ and, our approach to risk

 $00:19:27.560 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.320$ reduction strategies for those who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9929729

 $00:19:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.460$ do have high risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9638429

00:19:31.160 --> 00:19:32.600 My background is I'm a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9638429

00:19:32.600 --> 00:19:34.680 general internist, women's health provider,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9638429

 $00:19:34.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.820$ and preventive medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786648

 $00:19:36.304 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.005$ physician. And so I'm a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786648

 $00:19:38.145 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.585$ practicing clinician in our high

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786648

 $00:19:39.585 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.725$ risk breast practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786867

 $00{:}19{:}45.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}46.545$ So, you know, what we've,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998653

 $00:19:47.105 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.605$ historically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943296

 $00{:}19{:}47.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.225$ approached breast cancer screening is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943296

 $00:19:50.225 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.260$ using age based screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9916825

 $00{:}19{:}54.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}54.660$ And so

NOTE Confidence: 0.98036426

 $00:19:55.520 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.720$ many of us are familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.98036426

 $00:19:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.640$ with recommendations for screening based

NOTE Confidence: 0.98036426

 $00:19:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.780$ on age alone.

 $00:20:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.679$ And we know now that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9885221

 $00:20:01.679 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.539$ age based screening really ignores

NOTE Confidence: 0.9885221

 $00:20:03.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.960$ the range, the very sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.9885221

 $00{:}20{:}04.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06.315$ of broad range of risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9885221

 $00:20:06.554 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.355$ factors that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9737399

00:20:08.875 --> 00:20:11.034 influence our individual risks for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9737399

 $00:20:11.034 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.014$ future cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.984548

 $00:20:12.475 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.754$ And as we sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.984548

 $00:20:13.754 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.494$ have advances

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393019

 $00:20:14.955 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.095$ in cancer diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990201

 $00:20:16.554 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.294$ and treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82723665

 $00:20:18.154 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.794$ we are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989974

00:20:19.789 --> 00:20:22.109 increasingly providing personalized care to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989974

 $00:20:22.109 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.809$ patients based on their individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.9782586

 $00:20:24.109 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.270$ presentation. And so the age

00:20:26.270 --> 00:20:28.210 based sort of screening ignores

NOTE Confidence: 0.9782586

 $00:20:28.350 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.390$ sort of where we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9782586

 $00:20:29.390 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.169$ in our understanding of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97554404

 $00:20:33.095 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.534$ Twenty twenty two was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97554404

 $00:20:34.534 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.815$ first year that one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97554404 00:20:35.815 --> 00:20:36.315 the, NOTE Confidence: 0.96253854

 $00:20:37.095 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.095$ professional organizations called the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253854

00:20:39.095 --> 00:20:40.234 Comprehensive Cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8296127

 $00:20:41.654 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.274$ Cancer Center recommendation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960756

 $00:20:43.734 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.095$ made the recommendations for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960756

 $00{:}20{:}45.095 --> 00{:}20{:}45.755 \ \mathrm{first \ time}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9893132

 $00:20:46.429 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.190$ for individual risk assessment by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9893132

 $00:20:48.190 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.549$ age twenty five, and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9893132

00:20:49.549 --> 00:20:51.169 the American College of Radiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9893132

 $00:20:51.309 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.750$ as we heard, followed suit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9893132

00:20:52.750 --> 00:20:53.869 I think, the following year

00:20:53.869 --> 00:20:55.169 in twenty twenty three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990996

00:20:56.109 --> 00:20:57.650 So high risk guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701064

 $00:20:58.190 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.475$ now exist from several professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701064

 $00:21:00.775 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.275$ organizations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98871505

 $00:21:01.575 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.935$ and they promote the use

NOTE Confidence: 0.98871505

 $00:21:02.935 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.695$ of risk prediction models to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98871505

 $00:21:04.695 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.915$ estimate an individual's

NOTE Confidence: 0.97418714

 $00:21:06.295 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.655$ patient's risk. So we're gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.97418714

 $00:21:07.655 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.615$ talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.97418714

00:21:08.615 --> 00:21:09.115 that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997094

 $00:21:10.295 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.435$ When we think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.99360496

 $00{:}21{:}11.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}13.530$ high risk breast health care

NOTE Confidence: 0.99360496

00:21:13.530 --> 00:21:15.369 in general, I think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.99360496

00:21:15.369 --> 00:21:16.970 sort of four general areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99360496

 $00:21:16.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.030$ The first is

 $00:21:19.049 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.730$ identifying whether or not a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99892646

00:21:20.730 --> 00:21:22.510 patient is at high risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993403

 $00:21:25.244 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.984$ High risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998746

 $00:21:26.365 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.865$ assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.96415687

 $00:21:27.165 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.445$ can be made through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96415687

 $00:21:28.445 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.845$ identification of pathogenic variants through

NOTE Confidence: 0.96415687

 $00:21:30.845 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.205$ genetic testing. So, we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.96415687 00:21:32.205 --> 00:21:32.705 to, NOTE Confidence: 0.9984426

 $00{:}21{:}33.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}34.945$ in the process of identifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984426

00:21:35.085 --> 00:21:37.505 risk, ask ourselves if patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984426

00:21:37.645 --> 00:21:38.385 are eligible

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977938

 $00:21:39.119 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.040$ for genetic testing based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977938

00:21:41.040 --> 00:21:43.380 a threshold of potential risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.95128536

 $00{:}21{:}43.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45.300$ based on family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759324

 $00:21:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.640$ We also utilize, in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759324

00:21:48.640 --> 00:21:50.560 absence of a pathogenic variant,

00:21:50.560 --> 00:21:52.640 these risk prediction models, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759324

 $00:21:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.065$ really give a calculated estimate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759324

 $00:21:55.065 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.285$ based on a comprehensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9929416

 $00:21:56.665 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.425$ list of risk factors for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9929416

 $00:21:58.425 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.725$ an individual patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815563

 $00:22:00.425 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.865$ But there's many nuances to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815563

 $00:22:01.865 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.825$ this model, so I'm gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815563

 $00:22:02.825 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.865$ introduce you to a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815563

 $00:22:03.865 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.525$ of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99298984

 $00:22:04.984 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.105$ And then based on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.99298984

00:22:06.105 --> 00:22:08.240 information, we can provide risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.99298984

 $00{:}22{:}08.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}10.159$ reduction strategies to a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.99298984

 $00{:}22{:}10.159 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}22{:}12.159$ based on what thresholds that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99298984

 $00:22:12.159 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.619$ they have in their personal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9929898400:22:13.679 --> 00:22:14.179 risk.

 $00:22:15.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.960$ So we already heard in

NOTE Confidence: 0.99592865

 $00{:}22{:}16.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}18.100$ the previous presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.98729837

 $00:22:18.559 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.840$ the definition of what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.98729837

 $00:22:19.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.220$ considered high risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99287826

00:22:21.755 --> 00:22:23.595 So a lifetime breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.99287826

 $00{:}22{:}23.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}25.355$ risk greater than twenty percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.99287826

 $00:22:25.355 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.635$ is considered to be in

NOTE Confidence: 0.99287826

 $00:22:26.635 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.635$ the high risk range. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.99287826

 $00{:}22{:}28.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}29.595$ we're gonna hear more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.99287826

 $00:22:29.595 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.095$ that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9854471

 $00:22:32.475 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.095$ So how do we determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9854471

 $00:22:34.235 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.640$ who is at high risk?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9854471

 $00:22:35.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.840$ In order to do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9854471

 $00:22:36.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.359$ we need to take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9854471

 $00:22:38.359 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.859$ comprehensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994775

 $00{:}22{:}39.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41.260$ review of an individual patient's

 $00{:}22{:}41.320 --> 00{:}22{:}42.140 \ \mathrm{risk \ factors},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.99975604

 $00:22:43.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.900$ including

NOTE Confidence: 0.98602134

 $00:22:44.200 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.880$ inherited gene mutations. And so

NOTE Confidence: 0.98602134

 $00:22:45.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.080$ we're gonna walk through that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98602134

 $00:22:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.900$ in a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9773572

 $00:22:48.304 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.424$ But I just wanna point

NOTE Confidence: 0.9773572

 $00:22:49.424 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.505$ out that while we place

NOTE Confidence: 0.9773572

00:22:51.505 --> 00:22:52.725 a large emphasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836151

 $00:22:53.265 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.905$ around genetic pathogenic variants or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836151

00:22:55.905 --> 00:22:57.105 carrying the gene that puts

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836151

 $00:22:57.105 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.304$ you at risk for breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836151

 $00:22:58.304 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.804$ cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99942863

00:23:00.145 --> 00:23:01.044 these pathogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997279

 $00:23:01.345 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.845$ variants

NOTE Confidence: 0.98985845

 $00:23:03.179 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.779$ are responsible for a very

 $00:23:04.779 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.619$ small percentage of breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.98985845

 $00:23:06.619 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.460$ cases. So the estimates are

NOTE Confidence: 0.98985845

00:23:08.460 --> 00:23:10.059 somewhere between five and ten

NOTE Confidence: 0.98985845

 $00{:}23{:}10.059 --> 00{:}23{:}10.559 \ \mathrm{percent}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.99247146

00:23:11.259 --> 00:23:13.200 of these harmful variants. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.99247146

 $00:23:13.340 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.779$ many of us are familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.99247146

 $00:23:14.779 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.195$ with

NOTE Confidence: 0.926713

 $00:23:15.674 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.514$ the high risk penetrance genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.926713

 $00:23:17.514 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.034$ of the BRCA1 and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.926713

00:23:19.034 --> 00:23:20.255 BRCA2 mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9737717

 $00:23:21.274 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.575$ but the field of genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.9737717

 $00:23:22.715 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.894$ is rapidly expanding and evolving

NOTE Confidence: 0.9737717

00:23:24.955 --> 00:23:26.475 and we now know about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9737717

 $00:23:26.475 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.634$ many other pathologic variants. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9737717 00:23:28.634 --> 00:23:29.134 so NOTE Confidence: 0.9919454

 $00:23:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.920$ when we, pursue genetic testing,

 $00:23:31.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.280$ we often do a sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919454

 $00:23:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.760$ of expanded panel looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919454

 $00:23:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.300$ a number of different variants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99081737

 $00:23:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.760$ The high risk penetrance genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.99081737

 $00:23:39.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.660$ listed here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7970257

 $00:23:41.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.244$ confer a risk of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97608656

 $00:23:43.885 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.165$ a high risk a risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.97608656

 $00:23:45.165 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.684$ of lifetime risk as high

NOTE Confidence: 0.97608656

 $00:23:46.684 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.225$ as sixty to eighty percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95030636

 $00:23:49.645 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.744$ Whereas these moderate risk penetrance

NOTE Confidence: 0.95030636

00:23:51.965 --> 00:23:53.905 genes listed on this slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.95030636

 $00:23:54.045 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.405$ confer risk more in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95030636

 $00{:}23{:}55.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}57.005$ twenty to thirty percent range.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95030636

00:23:57.005 --> 00:23:58.285 So it helps us sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.95030636

 $00:23:58.285 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.210$ of categorize a patient's future

 $00:24:00.210 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.710$ risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9934729

 $00{:}24{:}02.850 --> 00{:}24{:}04.290$ So as a clinician, one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9934729

 $00:24:04.290 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.170$ of the first things we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9934729

 $00:24:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.930$ do when we're caring for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9934729

 $00:24:06.930 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.290$ patients, whether this is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9934729

00:24:08.290 --> 00:24:09.730 primary care or in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9934729

00:24:09.730 --> 00:24:11.994 specialty practice, is we ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.9934729

00:24:11.994 --> 00:24:14.015 ourselves, is my patient eligible

NOTE Confidence: 0.9934729

 $00:24:14.075 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.294$ for genetic testing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944191

 $00:24:15.994 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.275$ And because this field is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944191

 $00:24:17.275 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.215$ so rapidly evolving and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9928356

00:24:20.315 --> 00:24:21.615 the professional guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.99891967

 $00:24:22.075 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.215$ change rapidly

NOTE Confidence: 0.99823827

 $00:24:23.835 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.115$ in response to what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.99823827

00:24:25.115 --> 00:24:26.415 understand about genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.999431

 $00:24:27.359 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.640$ we have tools in our

 $00:24:28.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.400$ electronic health record that support

NOTE Confidence: 0.999431

 $00:24:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.559$ our providers in making decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.999431

 $00:24:32.559 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.020$ about who might be eligible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.995499

00:24:35.440 --> 00:24:36.980 And I share this because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99452156

 $00:24:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.680$ I think it's important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99452156

00:24:39.680 --> 00:24:40.180 know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9729258

 $00:24:40.875 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.475$ as a patient that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9729258

 $00:24:42.475 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.075$ is a really complex field

NOTE Confidence: 0.9729258

 $00:24:44.075 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.095$ that not all providers are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858496

 $00:24:47.675 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.795$ skilled at, and there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858496

00:24:48.795 --> 00:24:50.155 tools like this that support

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858496

00:24:50.155 --> 00:24:51.275 our providers. And you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858496

 $00{:}24{:}51.275 --> 00{:}24{:}52.955$ see here that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858496

 $00:24:52.955 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.700$ tools that have a have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858496

 $00:24:54.700 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.300$ a a tab for each

 $00:24:56.300 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.220$ disease because the indications for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858496

 $00:24:58.220 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.720$ testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990328

 $00:24:59.580 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.020$ differ based on the cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990328

 $00:25:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.520$ disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99191594

 $00:25:02.220 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.220$ So, from a breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.99191594

 $00:25:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.740$ perspective, if you have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99191594

00:25:05.740 --> 00:25:07.420 known mutation, there's no need

NOTE Confidence: 0.99191594

 $00:25:07.420 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.540$ to refer you to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99191594

00:25:08.540 --> 00:25:09.040 genetic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863923

 $00:25:10.465 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.845$ counseling or testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99362797

 $00:25:13.025 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.225$ But if you've had genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.99362797

 $00:25:14.225 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.905$ testing, for example, greater than

NOTE Confidence: 0.99362797

00:25:15.905 --> 00:25:17.265 ten years ago, you may

NOTE Confidence: 0.99362797

 $00:25:17.265 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.505$ benefit from repeat testing because

NOTE Confidence: 0.99362797

 $00:25:19.505 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.625$ of the advances in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99362797

 $00:25:20.625 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.605$ extended panels.

 $00:25:23.870 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.850$ So, this slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98839337

00:25:25.470 --> 00:25:26.510 lists for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99942666

 $00:25:27.870 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.530$ the professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970567

 $00:25:28.910 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.410$ organization

NOTE Confidence: 0.9972498

 $00:25:29.790 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.290$ recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.98778063

 $00:25:30.670 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.450$ around who should be tested

NOTE Confidence: 0.98778063

 $00:25:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.430$ or considered for testing for

NOTE Confidence: 0.98778063

 $00:25:34.430 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.730$ a pathogenic variant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9467866

 $00:25:36.345 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.465$ And so I draw your

NOTE Confidence: 0.9467866

 $00:25:37.465 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.445$ attention to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9192404

 $00:25:38.905 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.345$ the underlying text that says

NOTE Confidence: 0.9192404

 $00{:}25{:}40.345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}42.105$ personal history and of breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9192404

 $00{:}25{:}42.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}43.164$ cancer and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715673

00:25:44.105 --> 00:25:45.544 So if you have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715673

 $00:25:45.544 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.625$ diagnosis of breast cancer and

 $00:25:47.625 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.005$ meet any of the criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715673

 $00{:}25{:}49.225 --> 00{:}25{:}50.490$ on this list, you should

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715673

 $00:25:50.490 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.050$ receive genetic testing, ideally, before

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715673

 $00:25:53.050 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.810$ you initiate any treatment to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715673

 $00:25:54.810 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.310$ inform

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998253

 $00{:}25{:}56.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57.230$ your treatment decisions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99780744 00:25:58.410 --> 00:25:58.890 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728379

 $00:25:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.290$ having breast cancer at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728379

 $00:26:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.570$ young age under the age

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728379

 $00:26:02.570 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.010$ of fifty is a red

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728379

 $00:26:04.010 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.640$ flag for a potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971336

 $00:26:06.345 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.345$ pathogenic variant. So every woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971336

 $00:26:08.345 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.545$ under the age of fifty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971336

 $00:26:09.545 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.145$ diagnosed with breast cancer should

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971336

 $00:26:11.145 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.885$ get tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998171

 $00{:}26{:}13.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}14.925$ There are other indications

 $00:26:15.385 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.125$ of genetic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843116

 $00{:}26{:}16.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}18.605$ variants, and that also includes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843116

 $00:26:18.744 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.740$ the type of cancer that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843116

 $00:26:19.740 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.940$ you have. So if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843116

 $00{:}26{:}20.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22.940$ diagnosed with a breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843116

 $00:26:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.140$ that is what we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843116

 $00:26:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.040$ triple negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.9752584

00:26:25.740 --> 00:26:27.200 or hormone negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9808122

 $00:26:28.780 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.060$ then that is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9808122

 $00:26:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.600$ an indication for testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99988383

 $00:26:32.075 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.035$ If you have a family

NOTE Confidence: 0.99988383

 $00:26:33.035 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.535$ history

NOTE Confidence: 0.9801319

 $00:26:33.915 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.755$ of a male breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9801319

 $00:26:35.755 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.035$ in your family, that's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9801319

 $00:26:37.115 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.095$ another indication.

00:26:40.155 --> 00:26:41.675 But even patients who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971673

00:26:41.675 --> 00:26:43.195 not been affected by cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971673

00:26:43.195 --> 00:26:45.295 are eligible for for testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971673

 $00:26:45.434 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.250$ if any of their family

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971673

00:26:47.250 --> 00:26:49.190 members who had breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.99642134

 $00:26:49.650 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.710$ meet those criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991247

 $00:26:52.610 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.970$ So if a patient meets

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991247

 $00:26:53.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.790$ those criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93906623

 $00{:}26{:}55.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}57.730$ their options in our health

NOTE Confidence: 0.93906623

 $00:26:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.115$ system are to go on

NOTE Confidence: 0.93906623

 $00{:}27{:}00.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}02.615$ and see a genetics counselor

NOTE Confidence: 0.93906623

00:27:02.675 --> 00:27:03.555 in our Smilogentics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933496

00:27:05.555 --> 00:27:06.695 clinic clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870015

 $00:27:08.035 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.555$ or we have tools now

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870015

00:27:09.555 --> 00:27:11.075 for our primary care physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870015

 $00:27:11.075 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.035$ to do what we call

00:27:12.035 --> 00:27:13.715 point of care genetic testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870015

 $00:27:13.715 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.695$ in their practices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9889256

00:27:15.530 --> 00:27:17.630 understanding that while we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.997310300:27:18.490 --> 00:27:18.990 over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866762

 $00{:}27{:}19.929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.090$ a dozen counselors, we can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866762

 $00:27:22.090 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.530$ always meet the demand of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866762

 $00:27:23.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.570$ all the patients that get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866762

 $00:27:24.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.690$ referred to us. So we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866762

 $00:27:25.690 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.049$ have new tools to support

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866762 00:27:27.049 --> 00:27:27.549 our NOTE Confidence: 0.9978112

 $00:27:28.095 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.535$ primary care providers to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978112

 $00:27:29.535 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.075$ point of care genetic testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998255

 $00:27:31.535 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.734$ which is often a blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.999825500:27:32.734 --> 00:27:33.234 test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994291

 $00{:}27{:}34.895 \to 00{:}27{:}36.095$ In the absence of a

00:27:36.095 --> 00:27:37.315 pathogenic mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.97299844

 $00:27:37.695 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.895$ or if a patient is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97299844

 $00:27:38.895 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.815$ not deemed eligible for genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.97299844

 $00:27:40.815 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.315$ testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876217

 $00:27:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.480$ Clinicians rely upon these risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876217

 $00{:}27{:}45.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}47.320$ prediction models, and there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876217

 $00:27:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.859$ many of them out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99987006

 $00:27:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.220$ This slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843942

 $00:27:50.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.200$ provides a table of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843942

 $00:27:52.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.460$ probably the top five,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96722823

 $00{:}27{:}54.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}57.015$ most commonly utilized or most

NOTE Confidence: 0.96722823

 $00:27:57.335 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.375$ validated and and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94724214

 $00:28:01.175 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.675$ usable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99807644

 $00:28:02.375 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.755$ tools that are out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97882956

 $00:28:04.535 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.135$ And so when we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.97882956

 $00:28:06.135 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.895$ these risk assessment models, they

 $00{:}28{:}07.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09.515$ provide us with two numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.97882956

 $00:28:09.655 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.340$ of of interest that guide

NOTE Confidence: 0.97882956

00:28:11.340 --> 00:28:12.880 through the professional organizations'

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778583

00:28:14.859 --> 00:28:17.179 recommendations for risk reduction strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778583

 $00:28:17.179 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.320$ We look at a lifetime

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778583

 $00:28:18.380 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.820$ risk and we look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778583

 $00:28:19.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.659$ a five year risk. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778583

00:28:21.659 --> 00:28:22.480 those thresholds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996541

00:28:22.859 --> 00:28:24.059 if you reach a lifetime

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996541

00:28:24.059 --> 00:28:25.359 risk greater than twenty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896532

00:28:25.934 --> 00:28:27.215 you may be eligible for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896532

 $00:28:27.215 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.294$ supplemental breast cancer screening with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896532

 $00:28:29.294 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.294$ MRI or other modalities as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896532

 $00:28:31.294 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.595$ we just talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99869394

 $00:28:34.414 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.075$ If you

 $00:28:36.174 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.534$ reach a risk threshold of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9871166

00:28:37.534 --> 00:28:38.820 greater than one point six

NOTE Confidence: 0.9871166

 $00:28:39.059 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.500$ seven, you may also be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9871166

 $00:28:40.500 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.759$ eligible for risk reduction strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9871166

 $00:28:42.899 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.059$ using medications, what we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.9871166

 $00:28:45.059 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.559$ chemoprevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995

 $00:28:46.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.179$ There are several drugs that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995

 $00:28:48.179 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.039$ are available that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8916789

 $00:28:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.399$ act as,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99747086

 $00:28:53.065 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.665$ that can reduce your future

NOTE Confidence: 0.99747086

 $00:28:54.665 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.345$ risk of a hormone positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.99747086

 $00:28:56.345 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.165$ breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968338

 $00:28:58.825 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.505$ So here's a snapshot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968338

 $00:29:00.505 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.805$ one of the most commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968338

 $00:29:01.865 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.625$ used tools that you too

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968338

 $00:29:03.625 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.325$ can can go and calculate

00:29:05.545 --> 00:29:06.445 on your own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9517956

 $00:29:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.160$ There is the sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9517956

 $00:29:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.760$ website where you can download

NOTE Confidence: 0.9517956 00:29:09.760 --> 00:29:10.260 this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873673

 $00{:}29{:}10.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}11.460$ this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91251224

 $00:29:12.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.540$ risk prediction model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98547757

 $00:29:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.200$ and this is the kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.98547757

 $00:29:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.400$ of output that you will

NOTE Confidence: 0.98547757

 $00:29:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.520$ get. What I'm trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98547757

 $00:29:17.520 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.480$ show you on the slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.98547757

 $00:29:18.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.095$ is several things. One is

NOTE Confidence: 0.98547757

 $00:29:20.095 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.535$ the type of information you

NOTE Confidence: 0.98547757

 $00{:}29{:}21.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}22.674$ need to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979417

 $00:29:23.014 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.514$ answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950418

 $00:29:23.855 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.135$ the questions to get an

 $00:29:25.135 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.635$ answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8952469

 $00{:}29{:}26.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28.174$ and also showing you the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8952469

 $00:29:28.174 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.674$ results

NOTE Confidence: 0.96534663

 $00:29:28.975 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.955$ when you change

NOTE Confidence: 0.9555522

 $00:29:30.255 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.150$ the race of the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.9555522

 $00:29:32.150 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.830$ from white to black. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9555522

 $00:29:33.830 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.570$ you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.98314124

 $00:29:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.630$ in the same patient who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.98314124

00:29:36.630 --> 00:29:38.150 forty two, had their first

NOTE Confidence: 0.98314124

00:29:38.150 --> 00:29:39.610 menstrual period at ten,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99319625

 $00:29:40.309 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.669$ had their first baby at

NOTE Confidence: 0.99319625

 $00:29:41.669 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.429$ thirty five years old, had

NOTE Confidence: 0.99319625

 $00:29:43.429 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.110$ two prior breast biopsies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99319625

 $00{:}29{:}45.110 --> 00{:}29{:}46.090 \ \mathrm{were \ not \ cancer},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.99908125

 $00:29:47.625 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.385$ had no atypia on those

NOTE Confidence: 0.99908125

 $00:29:49.385 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.525$ biopsy results,

00:29:51.225 --> 00:29:53.065 had one first degree relative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94898254

00:29:53.065 --> 00:29:54.505 so that's mother, daughter, or

NOTE Confidence: 0.94898254

 $00:29:54.505 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.525$ sister, in this case, one

NOTE Confidence: 0.94898254

 $00:29:56.745 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.125$ mom with breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664796

 $00:29:59.730 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.330$ and and we calculate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664796

 $00:30:01.330 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.710$ risk for a black woman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664796

 $00:30:02.850 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.890$ The results are on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664796

 $00:30:03.890 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.570$ left of this screen. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664796

00:30:05.570 --> 00:30:06.770 you could see they don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664796

 $00:30:06.930 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.690$ this particular patient who's black

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664796

 $00:30:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.670$ with those characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996659

 $00:30:10.130 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.330$ does not reach the five

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996659

 $00{:}30{:}11.330 --> 00{:}30{:}12.470$ year risk threshold

NOTE Confidence: 0.9722414

00:30:13.515 --> 00:30:14.815 for chemo prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.98516786

 $00:30:15.195 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.955$ based on this model, nor

 $00:30:16.955 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.395$ do they risk reach the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98516786

00:30:18.395 --> 00:30:19.915 high risk threshold for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98516786

 $00:30:19.915 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.775$ lifetime risk greater than twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977137

 $00:30:22.155 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.895$ for MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99788296

 $00:30:23.675 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.035$ But if you change nothing

NOTE Confidence: 0.99788296

 $00:30:25.035 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.015$ but the race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983886

 $00:30:26.650 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.030$ this model actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.99678713

 $00:30:28.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.010$ suggests that this patient is

NOTE Confidence: 0.99678713

 $00:30:30.010 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.450$ eligible for both of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.99678713

 $00:30:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.950$ things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982246

 $00{:}30{:}32.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}33.710$ And some of this reflects

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982246

 $00:30:33.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.290$ the incidence rates of breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982246

 $00:30:35.290 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.290$ cancer between races, but it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982246

 $00:30:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.270$ also demonstrates

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998293

 $00:30:39.345 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.165$ how imperfect

NOTE Confidence: 0.96999985

 $00:30:40.785 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.065$ the models are that we

 $00{:}30{:}42.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}44.245$ have that sometime contribute

NOTE Confidence: 0.99942493

 $00:30:45.105 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.684$ to inequities

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973001

 $00:30:45.985 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.585$ in our ability to care

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973001

 $00:30:47.585 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.184$ for patients. And so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973001

 $00:30:49.184 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.645$ I I share this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9918

 $00:30:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.520$ to also give sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9918

 $00:30:52.520 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.040$ an abundance of caution that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9918

 $00:30:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.480$ there are other models that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9918

00:30:55.480 --> 00:30:57.179 don't take race into consideration

NOTE Confidence: 0.9918

 $00:30:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.700$ that may be more appropriate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97827613

 $00:31:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.880$ So the other model that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97827613

 $00:31:01.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.559$ is widely used and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98272794

 $00{:}31{:}04.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06.315$ and recommended by many professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.98272794

 $00:31:06.375 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.975$ organizations is something called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98272794

 $00:31:07.975 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.434$ Tyra Cusick model.

 $00:31:10.375 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.735$ In parentheses, I have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9342097

00:31:11.735 --> 00:31:13.495 word IBIS there because that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9342097

 $00:31:13.495 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.695$ in my that is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9342097

 $00:31:14.695 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.654$ way that you can find

NOTE Confidence: 0.9342097

00:31:15.654 --> 00:31:16.774 it if you Google it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9342097 00:31:16.774 --> 00:31:17.015 on NOTE Confidence: 0.9292924

 $00:31:17.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.800$ if you go and Google

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292924

00:31:18.800 --> 00:31:20.240 Tyra Cusick, you're gonna get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292924

 $00:31:20.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.780$ a bunch of different options

NOTE Confidence: 0.99130577

 $00:31:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.080$ with web based version that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99130577

 $00:31:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.520$ that are really easy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99130577

00:31:25.520 --> 00:31:26.980 use because they're pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.898405

 $00:31:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.880$ and they seem really easy

NOTE Confidence: 0.898405

 $00:31:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.620$ to answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870054

 $00:31:30.045 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.505$ But those are not validated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870054

 $00:31:31.725 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.645$ The only validated one is

 $00:31:33.645 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.325$ on this, website that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870054

 $00:31:35.325 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.445$ list for you here. You

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870054

 $00:31:36.445 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.885$ actually have to download the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870054

 $00:31:37.885 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.165$ software, and it's a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870054

00:31:39.165 --> 00:31:41.245 cumbersome to use. And it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870054

 $00:31:41.245 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.845$ kind of easy to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870054

 $00:31:42.845 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.525$ mistakes and get the wrong

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870054

 $00:31:44.525 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.740$ answer. So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98838896

 $00:31:47.559 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.920$ when I talk about these

NOTE Confidence: 0.98838896

 $00:31:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.120$ risk models, I like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98838896

 $00:31:50.120 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.080$ say it's sort of like

NOTE Confidence: 0.98838896

00:31:51.080 --> 00:31:52.600 garbage in, garbage out. If

NOTE Confidence: 0.98838896

00:31:52.600 --> 00:31:53.640 you don't put the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.98838896

00:31:53.640 --> 00:31:55.240 information in, you're gonna get

NOTE Confidence: 0.98838896

 $00:31:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.520$ an answer that's not really

 $00:31:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.980$ reflective of your risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97781235

 $00:31:59.414 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.695$ So in this case, this

NOTE Confidence: 0.97781235

00:32:00.695 --> 00:32:02.154 model takes into consideration

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978151

 $00:32:03.014 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.774$ many more risk factors than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978151

 $00:32:04.774 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.534$ the the breast cancer prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978151

00:32:06.534 --> 00:32:07.575 tool that I showed you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978151

 $00:32:07.575 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.075$ first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365523

 $00:32:08.375 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.215$ It takes into account your

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365523

00:32:10.215 --> 00:32:11.430 height and your weight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98326457

 $00:32:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.350$ whether you're not you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.98326457

00:32:13.350 --> 00:32:15.930 an Ashkenazi Jewish ancestral background,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9888448

 $00:32:16.390 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.830$ what your breast density is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9888448

 $00:32:17.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.030$ We just heard about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.988844800:32:19.030 --> 00:32:19.530 the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99977374

 $00:32:20.150 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.990$ how breast density is another

NOTE Confidence: 0.99977374

 $00{:}32{:}21.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}23.350$ additional risk factor that we

 $00:32:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.650$ need to take into consideration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998411

 $00:32:25.534 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.154$ It also takes into account

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970977

00:32:27.615 --> 00:32:29.455 family members outside your first

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970977

 $00:32:29.455 \dashrightarrow 00:32:31.455$ degree relatives and family members

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970977

 $00:32:31.455 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.835$ with ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99630266

 $00:32:33.375 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.575$ It also allows you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99630266

 $00:32:34.575 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.615$ say whether or not you

NOTE Confidence: 0.99630266

00:32:35.615 --> 00:32:36.654 or any of your family

NOTE Confidence: 0.99630266

00:32:36.654 --> 00:32:38.015 members who've been affected have

NOTE Confidence: 0.99630266 00:32:38.015 --> 00:32:38.515 had

NOTE Confidence: 0.97205186

 $00:32:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.140$ genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9626911

00:32:41.560 --> 00:32:42.780 But you can make mistakes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9348891

 $00:32:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.340$ If you don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90367126

 $00:32:48.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.640$ use the right age of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90367126 00:32:49.640 --> 00:32:49.880 die NOTE Confidence: 0.9455178 $00:32:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.095$ of the family members when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9455178

 $00:32:52.095 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.015$ you enter the information and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9455178

 $00:32:54.015 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.135$ asks you for an age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9455178

 $00:32:55.135 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.255$ if you give their current

NOTE Confidence: 0.9455178 00:32:56.255 --> 00:32:56.755 age NOTE Confidence: 0.9745702

 $00:32:57.215 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.255$ and not the age of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9745702

 $00:32:58.255 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.995$ the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985226

 $00:32:59.375 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.255$ at the time of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985226

00:33:00.255 --> 00:33:01.555 diagnosis of cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.95082235

 $00{:}33{:}02.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}04.675$ or you don't include unaffected

NOTE Confidence: 0.95082235

 $00:33:04.975 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.875$ family members,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9767151

 $00:33:07.390 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.370$ you can overestimate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996363 00:33:08.750 --> 00:33:09.250 risk NOTE Confidence: 0.9979187

 $00:33:09.550 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.470$ or underestimate risk. And so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979187

 $00:33:11.470 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.990$ it's really hard to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979187

00:33:12.990 --> 00:33:14.270 these models if you don't

00:33:14.270 --> 00:33:14.930 have experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996704

 $00:33:15.870 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.090$ utilizing them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99054223

 $00:33:18.605 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.565$ So I'll share here with

NOTE Confidence: 0.99054223

 $00:33:19.565 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.845$ you the results of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.99054223

 $00{:}33{:}20.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}22.865$ model with that same patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8831709

 $00:33:23.645 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.765$ putting in some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9733147

00:33:25.165 --> 00:33:26.765 you know, average sort of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9733147

 $00:33:27.085 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.045$ age of first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9576708

 $00:33:28.765 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.265$ average,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836562

00:33:29.725 --> 00:33:31.085 examples of, like, height and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836562

 $00:33:31.085 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.585$ weight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9839725

 $00:33:32.340 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.780$ And this gives this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9839725

 $00:33:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.820$ a example of what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9839725

00:33:34.820 --> 00:33:36.419 printout might look like. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9839725

 $00:33:36.419 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.100$ so you might remember the

 $00:33:38.100 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.620$ last slide, we had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9839725

 $00{:}33{:}39.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}41.780$ range of lifetime risk between

NOTE Confidence: 0.9839725

 $00:33:41.780 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.559$ sixteen and twenty something percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9724319

00:33:44.100 --> 00:33:45.539 This one suggests the lifetime

NOTE Confidence: 0.9724319

 $00:33:45.539 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.500$ risk, if you look up

NOTE Confidence: 0.9724319

 $00:33:46.500 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.184$ in that right upper corner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9724319

 $00:33:48.245 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.684$ is twenty six point five

NOTE Confidence: 0.9724319

 $00:33:49.684 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.184$ percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811389

 $00:33:50.565 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.765$ And the five year risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811389

 $00:33:51.765 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.144$ on the other was between

NOTE Confidence: 0.98121667

 $00:33:54.164 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.524$ one point five and three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98121667

 $00{:}33{:}55.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}56.725$ and this five year risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.98121667

 $00:33:56.725 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.164$ is two point one. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.98121667

 $00:33:58.164 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.985$ it just demonstrates

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877361

 $00:33:59.445 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.030$ sort of, you know, how

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877361

 $00{:}34{:}01.169 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}03.169$ imprecise these models are even

 $00:34:03.169 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.130$ though they are the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877361

 $00:34:04.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.090$ that we have to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877361

 $00:34:05.090 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.750$ us guidance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99848163

00:34:07.809 --> 00:34:09.170 I wanna make a comment

NOTE Confidence: 0.99848163

 $00:34:09.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.070$ about polygenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9827136

 $00:34:10.450 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.985$ risk scores, which are risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9827136

 $00:34:12.145 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.585$ scores that you may get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9827136

 $00:34:13.585 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.205$ if you get genetic testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9827136

00:34:15.345 --> 00:34:16.085 and provide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9733503

00:34:18.705 --> 00:34:19.985 if you have genetic testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9733503

 $00:34:19.985 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.765$ they're looking at multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.93338877

 $00:34:22.305 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.925$ of your genetic variants

NOTE Confidence: 0.9925491

 $00{:}34{:}24.385 {\: -->\:} 00{:}34{:}25.745$ to try to estimate a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9925491

 $00:34:25.745 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.185$ score for you. And these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9925491

 $00:34:27.185 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.685$ often

 $00:34:28.359 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.859$ overestimate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832117

00:34:29.160 --> 00:34:30.599 risk and make people really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832117

 $00:34:30.599 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.040$ anxious that they get back

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832117

 $00:34:32.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.119$ during their genetic testing. If

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832117

00:34:34.119 --> 00:34:35.560 they have no pathogenic variant

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832117

 $00:34:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.780$ and then they get this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989624

 $00:34:37.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.280$ I think we have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989624

 $00:34:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.980$ be really cautious that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9689593

 $00:34:41.435 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.915$ scores actually are overestimate risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9689593

 $00:34:43.915 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.355$ and are not really ready

NOTE Confidence: 0.9689593

00:34:45.355 --> 00:34:46.495 for prime time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910034

 $00:34:48.155 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.275$ So I just wanna make

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910034

00:34:49.275 --> 00:34:51.535 one point about atypia. Atypia

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910034

 $00:34:51.755 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.355$ is a finding on breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910034

 $00:34:53.355 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.680$ biopsy that is another risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910034

 $00:34:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.359$ factor for future cancer that

 $00:34:57.359 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.200$ needs to be taken into

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910034

 $00:34:59.200 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.700$ consideration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97750676

 $00:35:00.319 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.119$ So if you had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97750676

00:35:01.119 --> 00:35:02.480 biopsy, you wanna know whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.97750676

 $00:35:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.520$ or not there was any

NOTE Confidence: 0.97750676

 $00:35:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.660$ atypia present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99885297

 $00:35:06.719 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.000$ This is an additional risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.99885297

 $00:35:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.700$ factor that confers an intermediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988529700:35:09.920 --> 00:35:10.420 risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9967835

 $00:35:11.465 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.825$ This is another tool that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9967835

 $00:35:12.825 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.605$ we utilize with our providers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9967835

 $00:35:14.745 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.185$ to help guide them on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9967835

00:35:16.185 --> 00:35:17.465 who should go on for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9967835

00:35:17.465 --> 00:35:19.705 surgery with atypia and or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9967835

 $00:35:19.705 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.765$ who might be eligible

 $00{:}35{:}21.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}23.405$ for risk reduction strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688707

 $00{:}35{:}25.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}27.450$ I wanna highlight that because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688707

 $00:35:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.750$ this is such a complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688707

 $00:35:28.969 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.650$ area, we get referrals from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688707

 $00:35:30.650 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.330$ primary care physicians and OB

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688707

 $00:35:32.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.090$ GYNs and self referrals from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688707

 $00:35:34.090 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.590$ patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.97588897

 $00:35:35.290 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.570$ to support them in helping

NOTE Confidence: 0.97588897

00:35:36.570 --> 00:35:37.930 them estimate their own risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.97588897

 $00:35:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.870$ and determine their eligibility for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977482

 $00{:}35{:}40.275 --> 00{:}35{:}41.795$ genetic testing. And if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977482

 $00:35:41.795 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.674$ were to come to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977482

 $00:35:42.674 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.114$ any of our providers across

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977482

00:35:44.114 --> 00:35:44.775 our network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99970317

 $00:35:45.395 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.275$ this is the type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99970317

 $00:35:46.275 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.875$ clinical care and counseling that

 $00:35:47.875 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.775$ we would provide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416959

 $00:35:49.395 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.434$ We don't have time to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9416959

 $00:35:50.434 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.035$ go into to these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9925087

 $00:35:52.515 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.454$ all these different strategies, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955041

 $00:35:54.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.950$ we talk about prevention strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955041

 $00:35:57.010 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.010$ and early detection strategies. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955041

 $00:35:59.010 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.150$ based on the individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.9929166

 $00{:}36{:}00.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00.950 \text{ risk}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.99914265

 $00:36:01.650 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.950$ and the patient preferences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9934012

 $00:36:03.890 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.170$ we can talk and counsel

NOTE Confidence: 0.9934012

00:36:05.170 --> 00:36:06.609 about lifestyle and behavior that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9934012

 $00{:}36{:}06.609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}07.730$ we're gonna hear about from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9934012

 $00{:}36{:}07.730 --> 00{:}36{:}08.710 \ doctor \ Erwin,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090837

00:36:09.485 --> 00:36:09.985 chemoprevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.990895

 $00:36:10.285 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.045$ or medications to reduce your

00:36:12.045 --> 00:36:12.545 risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99935645

 $00{:}36{:}13.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}14.545$ risk reduction mastectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.974182

 $00:36:14.925 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.445$ for those with lifetime risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.974182

 $00:36:16.445 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.825$ greater than forty percent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9671823

00:36:18.605 --> 00:36:20.145 and then their early detection

NOTE Confidence: 0.9671823

00:36:20.205 --> 00:36:22.045 options are not preventing cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9671823

 $00:36:22.045 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.325$ but finding it early. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9671823

 $00{:}36{:}23.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.210$ that includes self exam, clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.97766376

 $00{:}36{:}25.829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}27.269$ exam, and the breast imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.97766376

 $00:36:27.269 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.309$ that we just heard about

NOTE Confidence: 0.97766376

00:36:28.309 --> 00:36:29.289 from doctor Laffey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98599637

 $00:36:30.549 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.989$ So I'm gonna stop there

NOTE Confidence: 0.98599637

00:36:31.989 --> 00:36:32.950 because I know I took

NOTE Confidence: 0.98599637

 $00:36:32.950 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.910$ more time than I should

NOTE Confidence: 0.98599637

 $00:36:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.190$ have, and I'm gonna pass

NOTE Confidence: 0.98599637

 $00:36:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.469$ it to my colleague, doctor

 $00:36:36.469 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.969$ Erwin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8292215

 $00:36:44.925 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.425$ Okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98952067

 $00:36:45.805 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.625$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984153

 $00:36:47.485 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.265$ And I'm gonna try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99858445

 $00:36:52.219 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.739$ get it into the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.99858445

 $00:36:53.739 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.239$ mode.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9284503

 $00:37:02.859 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.300$ You could maybe answer a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9284503

 $00{:}37{:}04.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}06.320$ question while I'm doing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975519

00:37:09.395 --> 00:37:10.455 Did I freeze?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90570164

 $00{:}37{:}15.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}16.915$ You're good. You're I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.90570164

 $00:37:16.915 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.195$ we see the center mode,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90570164

00:37:18.195 --> 00:37:19.635 though. Are you you're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.90570164

 $00:37:19.635 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.695$ full screen yet?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9642575

00:37:22.590 --> 00:37:24.270 Okay. Good. Switch your screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9642575

 $00:37:24.270 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.470$ I was scared. I thought

 $00:37:25.470 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.370$ I froze.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9899753

 $00:37:27.870 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.150$ I thought I froze, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9899753

00:37:29.150 --> 00:37:30.450 I'm good. I'm not frozen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970223

 $00:37:32.030 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.510$ Alright.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98692006

 $00:37:32.910 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.190$ I was thinking maybe we

NOTE Confidence: 0.98692006

 $00:37:34.190 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.230$ can answer some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98692006

 $00:37:35.230 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.510$ questions in the chat while

NOTE Confidence: 0.98692006

 $00:37:36.510 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.085$ I'm presenting just in case

NOTE Confidence: 0.98692006

 $00:37:38.085 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.125$ we don't get to all

NOTE Confidence: 0.98692006

 $00:37:39.125 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.425$ of the, questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9484186

00:37:40.965 --> 00:37:42.265 Good evening, everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95159966

00:37:42.885 --> 00:37:44.405 My name is Melinda Erwin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95159966

 $00{:}37{:}44.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.445$ I'm a professor in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95159966

00:37:45.445 --> 00:37:46.484 Yale School of Public Health

NOTE Confidence: 0.95159966

 $00:37:46.484 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.685$ and deputy director in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95159966

00:37:47.685 --> 00:37:49.305 Yale Cancer Center. And I'm,

 $00:37:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.800$ going to share with you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713369

 $00:37:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.100$ breast cancer prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96996707

 $00:37:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.160$ And I'm gonna really focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.96996707

 $00:37:55.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.320$ on beyond individual risk factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.96996707

 $00:37:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.780$ and more how the environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.96996707

00:37:58.920 --> 00:38:00.440 and systems shape our risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.96996707

 $00:38:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.580$ for breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898103

 $00:38:03.255 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.775$ Also, I wanna first start

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898103

 $00:38:04.775 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.614$ with acknowledging that breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898103

 $00:38:06.614 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.214$ mortality rates have decreased by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898103

 $00:38:08.214 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.734$ forty four percent since the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898103

 $00:38:09.734 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.734$ peak in nineteen ninety. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898103

00:38:11.734 --> 00:38:13.835 much of this success in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9350973

 $00:38:14.214 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.055$ reduced mortality rates is because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9350973

 $00:38:16.055 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.020$ of what, doctor Laffey said

 $00:38:18.020 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.540$ in our early detection and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9350973

 $00:38:19.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.640$ our our ability to screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9350973

 $00{:}38{:}21.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}23.219$ breast cancer, which results in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9350973

00:38:23.219 --> 00:38:25.080 an earlier stage at diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98293847

 $00:38:25.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.760$ and then also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993436

 $00:38:27.460 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.960$ amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.99957293

 $00:38:28.260 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.760$ advancements

NOTE Confidence: 0.98442024

 $00:38:29.060 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.820$ in treating breast cancer. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.98442024

 $00{:}38{:}30.820 \longrightarrow 00{:}38{:}32.260$ so those together have really

NOTE Confidence: 0.98442024

 $00:38:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.685$ helped,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9976633

 $00:38:33.645 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.825$ reduce breast cancer mortality rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995749

00:38:36.445 --> 00:38:37.965 But what about preventing breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995749

 $00:38:37.965 --> 00:38:38.465 \ {\rm cancer}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732616

 $00:38:38.925 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.125$ outright so that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956876

 $00:38:41.085 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.045$ we don't have to deal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956876

 $00:38:42.045 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.864$ with treatment?

 $00:38:43.325 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.205$ So I know this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919646

 $00:38:44.205 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.485$ a busy slide, but it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919646

 $00:38:45.485 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.080$ highlights many of the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919646

00:38:47.080 --> 00:38:49.160 factors for breast cancer. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919646

 $00:38:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.119$ if you look sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919646

 $00:38:50.119 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.400$ at the top going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919646 00:38:51.400 --> 00:38:51.900 the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99266434

 $00:38:52.359 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.859$ clockwise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995914

 $00:38:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.940$ in purple

NOTE Confidence: 0.9859941

00:38:55.640 --> 00:38:57.739 are, the non modifiable

NOTE Confidence: 0.9444016

 $00:38:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.180$ risk factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8846068

 $00:38:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.980$ And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87023425

 $00{:}39{:}00.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}02.035$ Doctor Battaglia mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92859507

 $00:39:02.734 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.234$ germline

NOTE Confidence: 0.9410038

 $00:39:03.614 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.114$ mutations.

 $00:39:05.135 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.575$ And while those aren't sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898074

 $00:39:06.575 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.015$ of modifiable, there are ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898074

 $00:39:08.015 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.835$ that we can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987115

 $00:39:10.094 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.375$ be aware from them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987115

 $00:39:11.375 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.135$ change our screening guidelines. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987115

 $00:39:13.135 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.755$ then the other non modifiable

NOTE Confidence: 0.98226583

00:39:15.135 --> 00:39:17.190 are female sex, older age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95155066

00:39:17.570 --> 00:39:19.010 certain race and ethnic groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.95155066

 $00:39:19.010 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.130$ have a higher risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95155066

 $00:39:20.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.870$ breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.997565

 $00:39:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.550$ family history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302504

00:39:23.170 --> 00:39:24.850 breast density, previous history of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302504

 $00:39:24.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.050$ breast cancer and age at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302504

 $00:39:26.050 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.550$ menarche,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92158306

 $00:39:27.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.530$ or a first period, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92158306

 $00:39:28.530 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.830$ then age at menopause.

 $00{:}39{:}30.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}32.714$ The green factors on sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400071

 $00:39:32.714 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.555$ of from the six P

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400071

 $00:39:34.555 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.675$ at the bottom to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400071

 $00:39:35.675 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.135$ top of the circle are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400071

 $00:39:37.275 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.135$ what we call modifiable factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9980985

 $00:39:39.435 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.214$ And these are primarily related

NOTE Confidence: 0.9980985

 $00:39:41.355 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.974$ to lifestyle behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991331

00:39:43.790 --> 00:39:45.410 So our body composition

NOTE Confidence: 0.9917886

00:39:45.710 --> 00:39:47.710 or, whether we're overweight or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9917886

00:39:47.710 --> 00:39:48.210 obese,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992821

00:39:48.910 --> 00:39:49.890 physical activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8290197

 $00:39:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.489$ diet and alcohol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9437647

00:39:51.869 --> 00:39:53.489 as well as oral contraceptive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778184

00:39:53.869 --> 00:39:55.950 contraceptives and hormone replacement therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778184

 $00:39:55.950 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.690$ in the postmenopausal

00:39:57.070 --> 00:39:58.635 years. So I'm gonna focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987917

00:39:58.635 --> 00:40:00.415 on those for the remainder

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987917

 $00:40:00.555 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.375$ of the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.994598

00:40:04.075 --> 00:40:06.094 Unfortunately, over the past three

NOTE Confidence: 0.994598

00:40:06.155 --> 00:40:07.935 decades, we've seen a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.994598

 $00:40:08.155 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.755$ increase in the prevalence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.994598

 $00:40:09.755 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.515$ obesity, not only in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.994598

00:40:11.515 --> 00:40:13.570 US but globally. In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.994598

 $00:40:13.630 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.530$ every country

NOTE Confidence: 0.99724704

 $00:40:15.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.070$ across the globe has seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.99724704

 $00:40:17.070 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.930$ increased rates of obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97325593

 $00:40:19.310 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.670$ Obesity is defined as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97325593

 $00:40:20.670 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.510$ body mass index of thirty

NOTE Confidence: 0.97325593

 $00:40:22.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.950$ or greater and body mass

NOTE Confidence: 0.97325593

00:40:23.950 --> 00:40:25.810 index is basically our weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.99070555

 $00:40:26.195 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.114$ adjusted for height. It's an

 $00:40:28.114 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.955$ imperfect measure because it does

NOTE Confidence: 0.99070555

 $00:40:29.955 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.475$ not take into consideration the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99070555

 $00:40:31.475 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.675$ amount of muscle you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.99070555

 $00:40:32.675 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.195$ versus adiposity. And we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.99070555

00:40:34.195 --> 00:40:35.015 muscle weighs

NOTE Confidence: 0.98427373

 $00:40:35.315 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.155$ more than body fat, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.98427373

 $00:40:37.155 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.755$ on a population level, it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.98427373

 $00:40:38.755 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.055$ a pretty good indicator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98937505

 $00:40:40.435 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.170$ And what's concerning is seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.98937505

 $00:40:42.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.670$ that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90800726

 $00:40:43.050 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.489$ back in the seventies rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.90800726

 $00:40:44.489 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.230$ of obesity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9358297

 $00{:}40{:}45.690 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}40{:}47.930$ were, in women's seventeen percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9358297

 $00:40:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.290$ and now they're up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9358297

 $00:40:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.510$ forty two percent.

 $00:40:51.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.650$ And during this same time

NOTE Confidence: 0.967298

 $00:40:52.650 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.850$ period over the last thirty

NOTE Confidence: 0.967298

 $00:40:53.850 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.730$ or forty years, we've seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.967298

 $00:40:54.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.110$ a significant reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.96972775

 $00:40:56.545 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.165$ in physical activity levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882436

 $00:40:58.465 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.985$ And much of this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882436

 $00{:}40{:}59.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}01.905$ because of technological advances. We

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882436

 $00:41:01.905 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.105$ can do our work from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882436

 $00:41:03.105 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.485$ home, from a laptop,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982744

 $00:41:04.864 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.225$ even from an iPhone. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982744

 $00:41:06.225 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.825$ this has created a society

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982744

 $00:41:07.825 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.805$ that is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796849

 $00:41:09.309 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.809$ sedentary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966688

00:41:10.109 --> 00:41:11.150 So it's not so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966688

00:41:11.150 --> 00:41:12.690 about doing exercise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97472584

 $00:41:13.069 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.430$ but are we sitting too

 $00:41:14.430 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.549$ much and how to reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.97472584

00:41:15.549 --> 00:41:16.609 our sitting time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99209005

 $00:41:17.069 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.670$ I present these two slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.99209005

 $00:41:18.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.869$ to make the point that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99209005

 $00{:}41{:}19.869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}21.230$ this is not something that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.99209005

 $00{:}41{:}21.230 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}41{:}22.690$ happening on an individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845432

 $00:41:22.989 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.765$ level, but on a societal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845432

 $00:41:24.985 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.525$ and global level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9192915

 $00:41:26.825 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.364$ And therefore, the interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.99967

 $00:41:28.905 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.405$ needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874115

 $00:41:29.705 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.385$ to reverse these trends in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874115

 $00:41:31.385 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.685$ obesity and inactivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.99700826

 $00:41:33.305 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.585$ have to be at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99700826

 $00:41:34.585 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.085$ environmental,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99028796

 $00:41:35.625 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.565$ societal, and policy level.

 $00:41:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.820$ And so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657643

 $00:41:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.800$ discussing that, you you may

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657643

 $00:41:42.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.260$ have heard the term obesogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657643

 $00:41:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.680$ environment, and this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657643

 $00:41:45.680 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.140$ fact that we have widespread

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657643

00:41:47.200 --> 00:41:49.120 availability and marketing of high

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657643

 $00{:}41{:}49.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}50.820$ calorie and processed foods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98223084

00:41:51.200 --> 00:41:52.640 There is recent research showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.98223084

00:41:52.640 --> 00:41:54.160 that more than fifty percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.98223084

 $00:41:54.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.460$ of the foods people

NOTE Confidence: 0.99803776

00:41:55.785 --> 00:41:57.724 consume per day are highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.99803776

 $00:41:57.785 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.244$ ultra processed foods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9761179

 $00:41:59.625 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.984$ This is partly because that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9761179

 $00:42:00.984 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.924$ what available everywhere. It's ubiquitous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97077346

 $00:42:04.825 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.265$ It's cheaper and it's easier

NOTE Confidence: 0.97077346

 $00:42:06.265 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.344$ and access is everywhere. So

 $00:42:08.344 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.704$ we have limited access to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97077346

 $00:42:09.704 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.204$ affordable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99895453

 $00:42:10.505 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.480$ healthy foods. We also have

NOTE Confidence: 0.99895453

 $00:42:12.480 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.239$ an urban design that favors

NOTE Confidence: 0.99895453

00:42:14.239 --> 00:42:16.180 cars over walking and biking

NOTE Confidence: 0.96907526

00:42:16.480 --> 00:42:18.320 and work environments with long

NOTE Confidence: 0.96907526

00:42:18.320 --> 00:42:20.719 sedentary or sitting hours. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.96907526

 $00:42:20.719 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.620$ then lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9861229

00:42:22.160 --> 00:42:23.360 in our free time, we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9861229

 $00:42:23.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.280$ consumed with social media, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.9861229

 $00:42:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.164$ is leading to more sedentary

NOTE Confidence: 0.99592495

 $00:42:27.545 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.045$ behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99929136

 $00{:}42{:}30.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}31.644$ So what are the mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.99929136

 $00:42:31.785 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.384$ of how, some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.99929136

 $00:42:33.384 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.884$ modifiable

 $00:42:34.184 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.184$ lifestyle factors related to body

NOTE Confidence: 0.9785989

 $00:42:36.184 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.644$ weight, physical inactivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98926735

00:42:38.105 --> 00:42:39.870 poor diet, and alcohol might

NOTE Confidence: 0.9590233

 $00:42:40.190 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.810$ increase risk for breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9912501

 $00:42:42.110 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.230$ Well, we know that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9912501

 $00:42:43.230 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.530$ primarily through estrogen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9567726

 $00:42:45.150 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.310$ inflammation, and metabolic markers such

NOTE Confidence: 0.9567726

 $00:42:47.310 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.050$ as insulin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.977576

00:42:49.390 --> 00:42:50.350 Delving down a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.977576

 $00:42:50.350 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.230$ deeper, we know in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.977576

 $00{:}42{:}51.230 --> 00{:}42{:}51.730 \ postmenopausal$

NOTE Confidence: 0.93856305

00:42:52.190 --> 00:42:53.890 years when women stop producing

NOTE Confidence: 0.93856305

 $00:42:53.950 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.650$ estrogen via their ovaries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85072243

 $00:42:56.005 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.825$ they continued

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904474

00:42:57.125 --> 00:42:58.645 to produce it in their,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87545174

 $00:42:59.205 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.224$ adipocytes and their body fat.

 $00:43:01.765 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.724$ And this is from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99744344

00:43:02.724 --> 00:43:04.105 conversion of androgens

NOTE Confidence: 0.9921544

 $00:43:04.405 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.505$ to estrogens via the enzyme

NOTE Confidence: 0.9921544

 $00:43:06.724 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.224$ aromatase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99297816

 $00:43:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.300$ We know that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.99297816

 $00:43:09.300 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.460$ really important because in women

NOTE Confidence: 0.99297816

 $00:43:11.460 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.640$ who have estrogen receptor positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.99297816

 $00:43:13.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.440$ breast cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8828208

 $00:43:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.340$ they're prescribed a standard of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8828208

 $00:43:16.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.480$ care and aromatase

NOTE Confidence: 0.9857335

 $00:43:17.860 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.360$ inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99779505

 $00:43:18.900 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.820$ which inhibits the conversion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99779505

 $00:43:20.820 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.320$ androgens

NOTE Confidence: 0.99797046

 $00:43:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.520$ to estrogens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99519444

 $00:43:23.195 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.395$ So this is really showing

 $00:43:24.395 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.015$ that estrogen is a primary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99973613

00:43:26.715 --> 00:43:27.215 mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.9766225

00:43:27.675 --> 00:43:29.055 that mediates certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997524

 $00:43:29.355 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.855$ modifiable

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994197

 $00:43:30.155 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.235$ and other factors related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994197

 $00:43:32.235 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.975$ breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9648917

 $00:43:33.435 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.955$ So research on trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9648917

00:43:34.955 --> 00:43:36.895 reduce estrogen levels systemically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99925125

00:43:37.670 --> 00:43:38.730 as well as reducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.98993987

 $00:43:39.030 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.530$ inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.990879

 $00:43:40.710 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.390$ and insulin and other metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.990879

 $00:43:42.390 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.890$ markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9631665

 $00:43:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.989$ is really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996929

00:43:44.710 --> 00:43:46.469 important to better understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996929

 $00:43:46.469 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.530$ right type of intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.9903581

 $00:43:47.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.770$ for certain types of of

 $00:43:49.910 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.410$ people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9909336

 $00:43:52.364 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.825$ You've heard a lot recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.9909336

 $00:43:53.885 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.985$ about alcohol and how alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.9909336

00:43:56.045 --> 00:43:58.205 increases breast cancer risk. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9909336

 $00:43:58.205 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.485$ the way this works is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9909336

 $00:43:59.485 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.785$ alcohol or ethanol

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695387

 $00:44:01.325 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.765$ can, when we drink it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695387

 $00:44:02.765 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.885$ it's more of a focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695387

 $00:44:03.885 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.585$ on there's a dose response

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695387

 $00:44:05.725 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.165$ effect here. So one drink

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695387

 $00:44:07.165 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.290$ might be okay and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695387

 $00:44:09.350 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.390$ much of a risk, maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695387

 $00:44:10.390 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.230$ a a lifetime five percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695387

 $00:44:12.230 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.510$ higher risk, but it's if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695387

 $00:44:13.510 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.630$ you have two or three

 $00:44:14.630 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.989$ or four in a day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695387

 $00:44:15.989 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.510$ That's where your risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695387

 $00:44:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.290$ breast cancer really increases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99675465

00:44:19.925 --> 00:44:20.905 And that's through,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882833

 $00:44:21.364 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.445$ how alcohol or ethanol is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882833

00:44:23.765 --> 00:44:25.065 converted in the enzyme,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98122585

 $00:44:25.925 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.765$ that of ADH that then

NOTE Confidence: 0.98122585

 $00:44:27.765 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.825$ leads to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.999217

 $00:44:29.364 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.984$ a chemical that can cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.999217

00:44:31.205 --> 00:44:32.344 chromosome rearrangement

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991711

 $00:44:32.645 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.344$ and mistakes in our DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.981997600:44:35.130 --> 00:44:35.630 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320676

00:44:36.329 --> 00:44:37.930 prudent, you know, wanna be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9320676

 $00:44:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.950$ modest with our alcohol intake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99120444

00:44:41.450 --> 00:44:42.489 So I wanna go back

NOTE Confidence: 0.99120444

00:44:42.489 --> 00:44:43.950 to talking about the lifestyle,

 $00:44:44.969 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.430$ these factors in the environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98320353

 $00:44:46.489 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.609$ We know that lifestyle risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.98320353

 $00:44:47.609 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.890$ factors are shaped by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98320353

 $00:44:48.890 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.505$ environments we live in and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98320353

 $00:44:50.505 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.025$ that our lifestyle choices are

NOTE Confidence: 0.98320353

 $00:44:52.025 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.105$ strongly influenced by the environments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98320353

00:44:54.105 --> 00:44:55.484 the policies and systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91626936

 $00{:}44{:}56.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}57.704$ and that our environments often

NOTE Confidence: 0.91626936

 $00:44:57.704 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.805$ promote unhealthy eating and sedentary

NOTE Confidence: 0.91626936

 $00:44:59.864 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.864$ behavior, and that access to

NOTE Confidence: 0.91626936

 $00:45:01.864 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.864$ places to exercise affordable, healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.91626936

 $00:45:02.864 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.065$ food and supportive policies are

NOTE Confidence: 0.91626936

 $00{:}45{:}03.065 {\: -->\:} 00{:}45{:}03.815$ key to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88387704

 $00:45:04.469 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.070$ food and supportive policies are

NOTE Confidence: 0.88387704

 $00:45:06.070 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.050$ key to prevention.

 $00:45:07.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.030$ And I wanna dig deeper

NOTE Confidence: 0.96429944

 $00:45:09.030 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.330$ on the the sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96429944

 $00:45:10.550 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.050$ reducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969271

 $00:45:11.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.650$ sedentary behavior

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970836

00:45:13.430 --> 00:45:14.869 and making sure you understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970836

 $00:45:14.869 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.630$ the importance of this. This

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970836

 $00:45:16.630 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.070$ figure here is based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970836

 $00:45:18.070 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.570$ hundreds

NOTE Confidence: 0.98469305

 $00:45:19.635 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.455$ of prospective

NOTE Confidence: 0.9638175

 $00:45:20.915 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.895$ cohort studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.92513245

 $00:45:22.195 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.275$ that have enrolled individuals, let's

NOTE Confidence: 0.92513245

 $00:45:24.275 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.975$ say at around age forty

NOTE Confidence: 0.92513245

 $00:45:26.275 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.875$ or thirty or twenty and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92513245

 $00{:}45{:}27.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}29.715$ followed them to fifty, sixty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92513245

 $00:45:29.715 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.835$ seventy, eighty years of age

NOTE Confidence: 0.92513245

 $00:45:30.835 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.455$ one and looked at mortality

 $00:45:33.075 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.975$ as the outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821318

00:45:34.320 --> 00:45:34.820 Cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9727258

00:45:35.360 --> 00:45:36.739 mortality, as well as cardiovascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.9727258

 $00:45:36.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.380$ mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96484756

 $00:45:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.120$ And what's really important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.96484756

 $00:45:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.040$ recognize in this figure is

NOTE Confidence: 0.96484756

 $00:45:41.040 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.360$ this drop that going from

NOTE Confidence: 0.96484756

 $00{:}45{:}43.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}45.200$ nothing, being very sedentary to

NOTE Confidence: 0.96484756

 $00:45:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.594$ just doing something

NOTE Confidence: 0.9555353

 $00:45:46.974 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.035$ is where you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181227

00:45:49.215 --> 00:45:50.255 the most bang for your

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181227

00:45:50.255 --> 00:45:51.075 buck, the biggest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988682

 $00{:}45{:}51.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}52.355 \ \mathrm{reduction}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.96218294

 $00:45:52.815 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.655$ in breast cancer risk or

NOTE Confidence: 0.96218294

 $00:45:54.655 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.155$ mortality,

 $00:45:56.494 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.255$ implying that just doing something

NOTE Confidence: 0.99668527

00:45:58.255 --> 00:46:00.039 is better than nothing. It

NOTE Confidence: 0.99668527

 $00:46:00.039 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.319$ doesn't have to be training

NOTE Confidence: 0.99668527

 $00:46:01.319 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.219$ for a marathon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96023107

 $00:46:03.079 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.680$ The goal, the recommended amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.96023107

 $00:46:04.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.400$ is two and a half

NOTE Confidence: 0.96023107

 $00:46:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.839$ hours per week of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96023107

00:46:06.839 --> 00:46:08.839 moderate intensity, such as brisk

NOTE Confidence: 0.96023107

 $00{:}46{:}08.839 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}10.359$ walking, but it's really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.96023107

00:46:10.359 --> 00:46:11.719 that the research has shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.96023107

 $00:46:11.719 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.420$ that really just doing something

NOTE Confidence: 0.96023107

 $00:46:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.380$ can be beneficial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99821204

 $00:46:15.494 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.174$ This same figure comes up

NOTE Confidence: 0.99821204

 $00:46:17.174 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.994$ time and again

NOTE Confidence: 0.95358026

 $00:46:18.295 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.154$ in studies looking at walking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400374

 $00:46:20.855 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.234$ And these are from pedometers

 $00:46:22.454 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.815$ from iPhones. When you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400374

 $00:46:23.815 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.934$ at how much steps you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400374

 $00:46:24.934 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.994$ taken or Fitbits

NOTE Confidence: 0.96021384

 $00:46:26.454 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.974$ showing once again a similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.96021384

00:46:27.974 --> 00:46:29.280 curve that going from very

NOTE Confidence: 0.96021384

 $00:46:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.800$ little walking less than a

NOTE Confidence: 0.96021384

 $00:46:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.000$ thousand steps per day, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.96021384

 $00{:}46{:}32.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}33.280$ is only a half a

NOTE Confidence: 0.96021384

 $00:46:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.820$ mile in all of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.97987616

 $00:46:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.480$ steps per day, not in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97987616

 $00{:}46{:}36.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37.600$ kind of going for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97987616

 $00:46:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.880$ walk, but from when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.97987616

 $00{:}46{:}38.880 --> 00{:}46{:}39.840$ wait till you go to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97987616

 $00:46:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.614$ bed at night. So going

NOTE Confidence: 0.97987616

 $00:46:41.614 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.515$ from a thousand

 $00:46:42.895 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.175$ and trying to increase it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643311

 $00{:}46{:}44.175 --> 00{:}46{:}45.614$ a thousand steps per day

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643311

 $00:46:45.614 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.355$ or more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99901754

 $00:46:46.815 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.795$ has a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.9902764

 $00:46:48.094 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.555$ reduction in risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.96832156

 $00:46:50.015 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.295$ all cause mortality, as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.96832156

00:46:51.295 --> 00:46:52.675 as breast cancer mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9805592

 $00:46:53.500 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.180$ Two thousand steps per day

NOTE Confidence: 0.9805592

00:46:55.180 --> 00:46:56.640 is equivalent to a mile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9665921

 $00:46:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.060$ So if you can kinda

NOTE Confidence: 0.9665921

 $00:46:58.060 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.820$ think about increasing five hundred

NOTE Confidence: 0.9665921

 $00:46:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.020$ or a thousand steps per

NOTE Confidence: 0.9665921

 $00:47:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.620$ day throughout your day, then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9665921

 $00:47:02.620 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.980$ that's gonna really help lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.9665921

 $00:47:03.980 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.719$ your risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991598

00:47:05.955 --> 00:47:07.895 What about the dietary guidelines?

 $00:47:08.355 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.155$ There are a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95432657

 $00:47:09.155 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.675$ guidelines that are listed on

NOTE Confidence: 0.95432657

 $00:47:10.675 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.055$ the left here, but overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99641997

 $00:47:12.755 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.515$ what's recommended is a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95245326

00:47:15.155 --> 00:47:15.815 a plant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99971116

 $00:47:16.515 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.655$ based diet

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950412

 $00:47:18.060 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.560$ where,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98042774

 $00{:}47{:}19.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}21.500$ animal products are are may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.98042774

 $00:47:21.500 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.940$ one third of what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.98042774

 $00:47:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.400$ eat in a day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717

 $00:47:24.860 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.140$ We really wanna focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717

 $00:47:26.140 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.680$ increasing our fruits and vegetables

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717

 $00:47:27.739 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.100$ in our fiber, which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.967717

 $00:47:29.100 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.719$ in our fruits and vegetables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683822

 $00{:}47{:}32.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}33.315$ So we now have a

 $00:47:33.315 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.515$ really good food label, as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683822

 $00:47:34.515 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.235$ you can see on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683822

 $00:47:35.235 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.515$ right, that tells you how

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683822

 $00:47:36.515 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.275$ much grams of fiber you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683822

 $00:47:38.275 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.635$ get in various foods, as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683822

 $00:47:39.635 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.755$ well as how much added

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683822

 $00:47:40.755 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.035$ sugar, that's new to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683822

 $00:47:42.035 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.395$ food labels. And that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683822

 $00{:}47{:}43.395 --> 00{:}47{:}44.614 \text{ a significant}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.96080196

00:47:44.915 --> 00:47:46.515 FDA policy change that came

NOTE Confidence: 0.96080196

00:47:46.515 --> 00:47:47.395 out just a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96080196

00:47:47.395 --> 00:47:49.690 years ago, requiring that added

NOTE Confidence: 0.96080196

00:47:49.690 --> 00:47:51.469 sugars be pulled out on

NOTE Confidence: 0.96080196

 $00:47:51.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.210$ the full food label, because

NOTE Confidence: 0.96080196

 $00:47:53.210 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.810$ it was very confusing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.96080196

 $00:47:54.810 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.310$ consumers.

00:47:55.850 --> 00:47:57.050 For example, if you're drinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9942385

00:47:57.050 --> 00:47:58.489 orange juice and it shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.9942385

 $00:47:58.489 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.469$ a lot of sugars,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99456686

 $00:47:59.930 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.335$ but, it might be that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99456686

00:48:01.335 --> 00:48:03.255 that's not added sugars to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99456686

 $00:48:03.255 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.775$ the orange juice. So more

NOTE Confidence: 0.99456686

 $00:48:04.775 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.815$ important to look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99456686

00:48:05.815 --> 00:48:07.094 amount of added sugars on

NOTE Confidence: 0.99456686

 $00:48:07.094 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.535$ the food label than than

NOTE Confidence: 0.99456686

 $00:48:08.535 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.755$ the overall sugars.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9854741

00:48:10.614 --> 00:48:12.775 We wanna, maintain or increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.9854741

 $00:48:12.775 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.975$ our protein per day to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9854741

 $00:48:13.975 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.175$ about one point two grams

NOTE Confidence: 0.9854741

00:48:15.175 --> 00:48:15.915 per kilograms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988178

 $00:48:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.440$ Generally, most people eat plenty

00:48:19.440 --> 00:48:21.060 of protein in their diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988178

 $00:48:21.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.480$ even though there seems to

NOTE Confidence: 0.988178

 $00:48:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.700$ be a lot of advertising

NOTE Confidence: 0.988178

 $00:48:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.960$ out there to get more

NOTE Confidence: 0.988178

 $00:48:24.960 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.800$ protein, but most people eat

NOTE Confidence: 0.988178

 $00:48:26.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.700$ plenty of protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9723545

 $00:48:28.080 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.200$ And in thinking about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9723545

00:48:29.200 --> 00:48:30.400 type of protein you get,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9723545

00:48:30.400 --> 00:48:31.700 having it from fish,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96219367

00:48:32.355 --> 00:48:34.195 and and maybe poultry and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96219367

00:48:34.195 --> 00:48:36.855 reducing it from processed meat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96219367

 $00:48:36.915 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.035$ which in turn will also

NOTE Confidence: 0.96219367

00:48:38.035 --> 00:48:39.395 reduce your amount of sodium

NOTE Confidence: 0.96219367

 $00:48:39.395 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.375$ and low saturated

NOTE Confidence: 0.99706626 00:48:41.155 --> 00:48:41.655 fat. NOTE Confidence: 0.97642833

 $00:48:44.195 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.810$ What about supplements? So the

 $00:48:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.650$ American Institute for Cancer Research

NOTE Confidence: 0.97642833

 $00:48:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.770$ as well as the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.97642833

00:48:48.770 --> 00:48:49.830 Cancer Society,

NOTE Confidence: 0.998067

 $00:48:50.850 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.750$ and NCI

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845598

 $00:48:52.370 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.890$ do recommend that you do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845598

 $00:48:53.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.489$ not rely on supplements for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845598

 $00:48:55.489 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.390$ cancer prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.973445

 $00:48:56.770 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.515$ and, to reduce your risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.973445

 $00:48:58.515 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.555$ of cancer, to choose a

NOTE Confidence: 0.973445

 $00:48:59.555 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.994$ balanced diet with a variety

NOTE Confidence: 0.973445

 $00:49:00.994 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.654$ of foods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975229

 $00:49:02.434 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.275$ And those diagnosed with breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975229

 $00:49:04.275 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.795$ cancer or any cancer, it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975229

 $00:49:05.795 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.255$ is critically important

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997673

 $00:49:07.635 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.075$ to share the supplements that

 $00:49:09.075 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.775$ you're taking with your provider

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994327

 $00:49:11.310 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.910$ because they could interact with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994327

 $00:49:12.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.210$ the treatments you're receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.981784

 $00:49:14.670 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.270$ and reduce the efficacy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.981784

 $00:49:16.270 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.930$ the treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9860699

 $00{:}49{:}17.469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}18.910$ But guidelines are that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9860699

 $00:49:18.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.270$ should not rely on supplements

NOTE Confidence: 0.9860699

 $00:49:20.270 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.550$ for cancer prevention. In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9860699

 $00{:}49{:}21.550 \longrightarrow 00{:}49{:}22.750$ it's important to recognize the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9860699

 $00:49:22.750 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.650$ word supplement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97920126

 $00:49:24.030 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.815$ If you are low in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97920126

 $00:49:25.815 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.335$ that level, it helps to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97920126

00:49:27.335 --> 00:49:28.695 supplement. But if many people

NOTE Confidence: 0.97920126

 $00:49:28.695 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.515$ are already,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9889578

 $00:49:30.775 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.535$ getting enough vitamins and minerals

NOTE Confidence: 0.9889578

 $00:49:32.535 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.895$ from their diet and having

 $00:49:33.895 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.395$ additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.9638555

 $00:49:34.935 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.295$ supplements on top of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9638555

 $00:49:36.295 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.815$ is not any added benefit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9638555

 $00:49:37.815 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.695$ and in fact, it could

NOTE Confidence: 0.9638555

 $00:49:38.695 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.435$ be harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324513

 $00:49:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.739$ So I just wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324513

00:49:41.739 --> 00:49:42.619 quickly highlight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9916888

 $00:49:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.779$ where the research some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9916888

 $00:49:44.779 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.219$ the studies that we've done

NOTE Confidence: 0.9916888

 $00{:}49{:}46.219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}46.719 \text{ of},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.83149785

 $00:49:48.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.440$ diet and exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.98326015

 $00:49:49.980 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.599$ and weight management interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907971

 $00:49:51.900 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.660$ and the effect on breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907971

00:49:53.660 --> 00:49:55.775 cancer risk and outcomes. This

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907971

 $00:49:55.775 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.155$ was a trial we completed

 $00:49:57.614 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.935$ about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97276187

 $00{:}49{:}58.415 --> 00{:}49{:}59.614$ ten years ago now, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97276187

00:49:59.614 --> 00:50:00.835 it was in postmenopausal

NOTE Confidence: 0.96549255

 $00:50:01.375 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.835$ women without breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9492736

00:50:03.215 --> 00:50:04.435 But it was a forearm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9492736

00:50:04.655 --> 00:50:06.495 randomized trial of diet alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97970444

 $00:50:06.975 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.335$ of all the recommendations I

NOTE Confidence: 0.97970444

 $00:50:08.335 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.995$ just mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933623

 $00{:}50{:}09.295 \to 00{:}50{:}10.995$ exercise, which was basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9795171

00:50:11.640 --> 00:50:13.559 brisk walking for two hours

NOTE Confidence: 0.9795171

00:50:13.559 --> 00:50:14.839 per week, and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9795171

 $00:50:14.839 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.380$ combination of diet and exercise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9795171

 $00:50:16.520 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.960$ And really the takeaway here

NOTE Confidence: 0.9795171

 $00:50:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.880$ is all the negative signs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9795171

 $00:50:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.319$ you see in all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9795171

00:50:21.319 --> 00:50:22.219 these markers,

 $00{:}50{:}22.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}24.945$ biomarkers related to breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9717487

 $00{:}50{:}24.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}26.145$ So higher levels of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9717487

00:50:26.145 --> 00:50:27.425 increase your risk of breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9717487

 $00:50:27.425 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.925$ cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97681

00:50:28.465 --> 00:50:29.525 except for SHBG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99073845

 $00:50:30.625 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.344$ And so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9533817

 $00:50:31.825 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.265$ these inter the diet and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9533817

 $00:50:33.265 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.985$ exercise interventions, significant reductions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9533817

00:50:35.985 --> 00:50:36.485 insulin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89749736 00:50:37.890 --> 00:50:38.390 in NOTE Confidence: 0.95262307

 $00:50:38.690 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.210$ CRP, a marker of chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.95262307

 $00:50:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.910$ inflammation, and in our estrogens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9549727

 $00:50:42.450 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.489$ And then the figure on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9549727

 $00:50:43.489 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.329$ the right shows estrogen levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9549727

 $00:50:45.329 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.369$ which is the most,

 $00:50:47.250 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.750$ common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9917655

 $00{:}50{:}48.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}49.910$ estrogen in postmenopausal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9363885

 $00:50:50.289 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.829$ women, and you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97316545

 $00:50:52.565 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.404$ just the significant reduction in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97316545

00:50:54.404 --> 00:50:56.884 estrogen levels with diet, exercise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97316545

 $00:50:56.884 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.704$ and the combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.9905394

 $00:50:58.404 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.844$ of about ten to fifteen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9905394

 $00:50:59.844 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.525$ percent. And this was within

NOTE Confidence: 0.9905394

00:51:01.525 --> 00:51:03.204 three months of a healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9905394

 $00:51:03.204 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.904$ eating and exercise program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96984553

 $00{:}51{:}06.609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}08.290$ We just completed a trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.96984553

 $00:51:08.290 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.969$ in women who were receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.96984553

 $00:51:09.969 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.469$ chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9216192

 $00:51:10.770 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.910$ for breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98191345

 $00:51:12.450 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.329$ and what we were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.98191345

00:51:13.329 --> 00:51:14.290 to show in working with

 $00:51:14.290 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.510$ the registered dietitian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9705504

 $00:51:16.290 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.489$ on our team, those who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9705504

00:51:17.489 --> 00:51:19.030 were randomized to receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.9512163

 $00:51:19.425 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.985$ receiving counseling of healthy eating

NOTE Confidence: 0.9512163

 $00:51:21.985 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.745$ and exercise over the year

NOTE Confidence: 0.9512163

 $00:51:23.745 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.605$ from their diagnosis through chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9291755

 $00:51:26.065 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.525$ And at one year, we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944438

 $00:51:28.385 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.665$ working with our dietitian, they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944438

 $00:51:29.665 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.785$ were able to increase their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944438

00:51:30.785 --> 00:51:32.165 physical activity levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.97783065

 $00:51:32.489 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.050$ and the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.989337

 $00:51:33.610 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.370$ the diet quality, the quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.989337

 $00:51:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.410$ of the foods they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.989337

 $00:51:36.410 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.030$ eating during chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97934705

 $00:51:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.690$ And what is,

 $00:51:40.170 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.090$ quite remarkable is that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.99947214

 $00:51:42.090 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.590$ intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.96610194

 $00:51:43.290 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.730$ led to a fifty three

NOTE Confidence: 0.96610194

 $00:51:44.730 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.230$ percent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848628

 $00:51:46.135 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.615$ pathologic complete response rate compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848628

00:51:48.615 --> 00:51:50.375 to twenty eight percent in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848628

 $00{:}51{:}50.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}52.295$ the usual care group. Both

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848628

00:51:52.295 --> 00:51:54.375 groups were receiving chemotherapy, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848628

 $00:51:54.375 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.235$ those that had were randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848628

 $00:51:56.295 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.775$ to the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99395174

 $00:51:57.335 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.115$ exercise and nutrition intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996985

 $00:51:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.530$ had less breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.99621207

 $00:52:01.989 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.349$ at surgery. So this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.99621207

 $00:52:03.349 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.630$ a group of women receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.99621207

 $00:52:04.630 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.369$ new adjuvant

NOTE Confidence: 0.92795455

 $00:52:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.170$ chemotherapy

 $00{:}52{:}07.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}08.790$ and then had surgery. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.98817325

 $00:52:08.790 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.069$ at the time of surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98817325

00:52:10.069 --> 00:52:11.349 those in the intervention group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98817325

00:52:11.349 --> 00:52:12.790 there was less evidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98817325

 $00:52:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.609$ breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9883416

 $00:52:15.285 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.724$ What is the mechanism of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9883416

 $00:52:16.724 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.645$ that? Well, in this trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.9883416

 $00:52:18.645 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.565$ also, with women with breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9883416

 $00:52:20.565 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.065$ cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94222575

 $00:52:22.085 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.525$ we showed that really that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94222575

 $00:52:23.525 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.204$ this intervention led to favorable

NOTE Confidence: 0.94222575

00:52:25.204 --> 00:52:27.125 changes in body composition, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.94222575 00:52:27.125 --> 00:52:27.625 also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9081566

 $00{:}52{:}27.930 \to 00{:}52{:}30.329$ reduction in c reactive protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9081566

 $00:52:30.329 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.310$ which is inflammation,

 $00:52:31.690 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.750$ insulin, and leptin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9687118

00:52:34.969 --> 00:52:36.489 So I just wanna, touch

NOTE Confidence: 0.9687118

 $00:52:36.489 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.290$ upon anti obesity medications because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9687118

 $00:52:39.609 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.130$ I know that this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97432023

 $00:52:42.035 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.315$ a lot of patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.97432023

 $00:52:43.315 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.915$ people, people with and without

NOTE Confidence: 0.97432023

00:52:44.915 --> 00:52:46.835 cancer are very interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97432023

 $00:52:46.835 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.055$ the role of these medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97432023

00:52:48.114 --> 00:52:49.815 which are remarkable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910668

 $00{:}52{:}50.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}52.215$ really profound in the amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910668

 $00{:}52{:}52.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}54.215$ of weight loss and adiposity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98403275

 $00:52:55.340 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.180$ loss that occurs with these

NOTE Confidence: 0.98403275

 $00:52:57.180 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.480$ medications, which are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9445963

 $00:52:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.860$ now sort of called GLP

NOTE Confidence: 0.944596300:53:00.860 --> 00:53:01.360 one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9487881

 $00:53:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.239$ glucagon like protein one receptor

 $00:53:04.380 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.880$ agonists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99912757

 $00:53:05.900 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.320$ There's really limited research

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813668

 $00:53:08.655 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.575$ on these anti BC medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813668

 $00{:}53{:}10.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}12.415$ and breast cancer risk or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813668

 $00:53:12.415 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.935$ outcomes among those with breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813668

 $00:53:13.935 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.435$ cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95025736

 $00:53:14.735 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.255$ It is being conducted now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95025736

 $00{:}53{:}16.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}17.935$ It's evolving. So in one

NOTE Confidence: 0.95025736

 $00:53:17.935 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.535$ year and in two, three

NOTE Confidence: 0.95025736

 $00:53:19.535 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.295$ years, there'll be more research

NOTE Confidence: 0.95025736

 $00:53:21.295 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.335$ out there. But right now

NOTE Confidence: 0.95025736

 $00:53:22.335 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.635$ there's very limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.999244

 $00:53:24.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.290$ information about these medications in

NOTE Confidence: 0.999244

 $00:53:26.290 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.670$ regards to breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9807116

 $00:53:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.330$ We do know that the,

 $00:53:29.890 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.250$ the mechanism is they cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.9807116

 $00{:}53{:}31.250 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}53{:}32.609$ significant weight loss up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9807116

00:53:32.609 --> 00:53:34.390 about twenty, twenty five percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.88062453 00:53:34.690 --> 00:53:35.190 loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97966135

 $00:53:35.650 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.594$ and metabolic changes such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.97966135

 $00:53:37.594 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.875$ the ones I just mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97966135

 $00:53:38.875 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.855$ all these metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802575

 $00:53:40.395 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.895$ markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99202275

 $00:53:42.875 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.075$ Most of the studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99202275

00:53:44.075 --> 00:53:45.614 are done are observational,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9591324

 $00{:}53{:}46.635 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}53{:}48.075$ looking at outcomes except for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9591324

00:53:48.075 --> 00:53:49.434 the trials of testing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9591324

 $00:53:49.434 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.090$ medication on weight loss. But

NOTE Confidence: 0.9591324

 $00{:}53{:}51.090 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}53{:}52.850$ in regards to cancer, they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9591324

 $00{:}53{:}52.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}54.450$ observational and so there isn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9591324

 $00:53:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.670$ a cause and effect,

 $00:53:56.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.310$ relationship yet established.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98819566

00:53:59.250 --> 00:54:01.090 Most important, if you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.98819566

 $00:54:01.090 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.590$ considering

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952648

 $00:54:01.890 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.950$ one of these medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.997561

00:54:03.755 --> 00:54:05.775 discuss it with your provider,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99363375

 $00:54:06.155 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.614$ especially if you've been diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.99363375

 $00:54:07.675 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.655$ with cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99543875

 $00{:}54{:}11.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}13.195$ I always get this question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99543875

00:54:13.195 --> 00:54:14.555 I think it's really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9824772

00:54:15.275 --> 00:54:16.875 Many people follow the diet

NOTE Confidence: 0.9824772

00:54:16.875 --> 00:54:18.395 and physical activity guidelines, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9824772

 $00{:}54{:}18.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}19.750$ they can still develop breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.9824772

 $00{:}54{:}19.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}21.370$ cancer, and it's very frustrating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715433

00:54:22.070 --> 00:54:23.030 So it's important to note

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715433

 $00:54:23.030 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.870$ that a healthy lifestyle lowers

 $00:54:24.870 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.490$ risk but does not eliminate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715433 00:54:26.550 --> 00:54:26.950 it. NOTE Confidence: 0.99292266

 $00:54:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.110$ Whether you like this analogy

NOTE Confidence: 0.99292266

 $00.54:29.110 \longrightarrow 00.54:30.150$ or not, I think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.99292266

 $00.54:30.150 \longrightarrow 00.54:31.590$ simple and can be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989664

 $00:54:31.910 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.410$ helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95576876

 $00:54:32.825 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.865$ It's like wearing a seat

NOTE Confidence: 0.95576876

00:54:33.865 --> 00:54:35.945 belt, which, lowers your chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.95576876

 $00:54:35.945 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.945$ of severe injury in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95576876

 $00:54:37.945 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.985$ car crash, but it does

NOTE Confidence: 0.95576876

 $00{:}54{:}38.985 --> 00{:}54{:}39.885 \ \mathrm{not \ guarantee}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9304424

00:54:40.185 --> 00:54:41.785 safety, but it lowers your

NOTE Confidence: 0.9304424

 $00{:}54{:}41.785 --> 00{:}54{:}42.285$ risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99763477

 $00:54:42.585 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.405$ Much like

NOTE Confidence: 0.940294

 $00:54:43.705 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.765$ screening and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98837537

 $00:54:45.305 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.305$ leads to earlier detection and

00:54:47.305 --> 00:54:47.805 practicing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97517955

 $00:54:48.880 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.080$ you know, changing some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97517955

 $00.54.50.080 \longrightarrow 00.54.52.080$ the modifiable risk factors, it

NOTE Confidence: 0.97517955

 $00:54:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.219$ lowers your risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9867704

 $00:54:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.760$ But most importantly, breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9867704

 $00:54:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.360$ is influenced by a mix

NOTE Confidence: 0.9867704

 $00:54:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.820$ of factors that are genetic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9867704

 $00:54:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.380$ reproductive

NOTE Confidence: 0.98672837

00:54:59.680 --> 00:55:01.219 history, hormones, environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9676895

 $00:55:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.864$ and chance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9903571

 $00:55:03.405 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.085$ And that for women already

NOTE Confidence: 0.9903571

 $00:55:05.085 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.585$ diagnosed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941482

 $00:55:06.125 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.625$ these modifiable factors might also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941482

 $00:55:08.685 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.925$ improve treatment tolerance, reduce recurrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941482

00:55:10.925 --> 00:55:12.685 risk, and improve outcomes. So

00:55:12.685 --> 00:55:14.065 even if you've been practicing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941482

 $00:55:14.125 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.485$ these healthy behaviors and you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941482

 $00:55:15.485 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.425$ still diagnosed with breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99969876

 $00:55:18.010 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.510$ maintaining

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990896

 $00:55:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.130$ a healthy diet and exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990896

 $00:55:21.130 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.830$ can help with your prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98381513

00:55:25.050 --> 00:55:26.489 Okay. I think my last

NOTE Confidence: 0.98381513

 $00:55:26.489 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.690$ slide here, I just wanna

NOTE Confidence: 0.98381513

 $00{:}55{:}27.690 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!\!>}\ 00{:}55{:}28.830$ touch upon postmenopausal

NOTE Confidence: 0.98248744

00:55:29.290 --> 00:55:30.970 hormone replacement therapy because there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.98248744

 $00{:}55{:}30.970 --> 00{:}55{:}31.950$ been a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.9426306

00:55:33.215 --> 00:55:35.055 of information on this, especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.9426306

 $00:55:35.055 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.655$ on social media, and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9426306

 $00:55:36.655 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.114$ can get very confusing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907527

 $00:55:38.735 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.475$ to individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873455

 $00:55:40.735 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.175$ HRT, as you might know,

 $00:55:42.175 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.655$ replaces estrogen and progesterone hormones

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873455

 $00{:}55{:}44.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}46.515$ that decline during menopause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99906474

 $00:55:47.369 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.190$ And HRT

NOTE Confidence: 0.99583805

 $00:55:48.650 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.890$ reduces menopausal symptoms and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.99583805

 $00:55:50.890 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.349$ protects against osteoporosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96165246

 $00:55:53.849 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.009$ Breast cancer risk increases with

NOTE Confidence: 0.96165246

 $00:55:56.009 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.549$ longer use of HRT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99738246

 $00{:}55{:}58.730 --> 00{:}55{:}59.230 \ \mathrm{Recent}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.97630346

 $00:55:59.690 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.809$ research that came out in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97630346

00:56:00.809 --> 00:56:02.170 the last couple years showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.97630346

 $00:56:02.170 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.055$ that the risk was less

NOTE Confidence: 0.97630346

 $00:56:04.055 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.815$ in women from age fifty

NOTE Confidence: 0.97630346

 $00:56:05.815 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.494$ to fifty nine than in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97630346

 $00:56:07.494 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.335$ women sixty or older. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.97630346

00:56:09.335 --> 00:56:10.934 many women might take HRT

 $00:56:10.934 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.214$ in their 50s and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.97630346

 $00:56:12.214 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.755$ stop it when the menopausal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9623096

00:56:14.055 --> 00:56:15.035 symptoms subside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99468136

 $00:56:15.339 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.099$ And research recently has shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.99468136

 $00:56:17.099 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.559$ that that's a lower risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9679429

00:56:19.660 --> 00:56:21.099 It's also really important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9679429

00:56:21.099 --> 00:56:22.940 note that hormone therapy, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.9679429

 $00:56:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.780$ is also called endocrine therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9679429

 $00:56:24.780 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.760$ for breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99797624

00:56:26.219 --> 00:56:27.819 should not be confused with

NOTE Confidence: 0.99797624

 $00:56:27.819 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.319$ HRT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983271

 $00:56:29.075 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.094$ HRT and endocrine therapy produce

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983271

 $00:56:31.234 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.214$ opposite effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994897

 $00{:}56{:}32.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}34.515$ Endocrine therapy for breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994897

 $00:56:34.515 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.134$ is a medication to lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994897

 $00:56:36.194 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.174$ hormone levels

 $00:56:37.474 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.494$ systemically like aromatase inhibitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.9261283

 $00:56:39.954 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.315$ or block the action of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9261283

 $00:56:41.315 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.994$ the hormone at the receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9261283

 $00:56:42.994 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.974$ such as tamoxifen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98474246

00:56:44.619 --> 00:56:45.980 which in turn lowers risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.98474246

 $00:56:45.980 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.440$ of breast cancer recurrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870576

 $00:56:47.739 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.099$ and also can be used

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870576

 $00:56:49.099 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.719$ in women at high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870576

 $00:56:50.859 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.239$ for for breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96088696

 $00{:}56{:}54.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}55.500$ Most important is to discuss

NOTE Confidence: 0.96088696

 $00{:}56{:}55.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}57.260$ the potential benefits and risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.96088696

00:56:57.260 --> 00:56:58.635 of HRT with a health

NOTE Confidence: 0.96088696

 $00{:}56{:}58.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}00.895$ care provider before starting treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9760079

 $00:57:02.235 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.755$ So in closing, I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9760079

 $00:57:03.755 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.495$ want to,

00:57:05.515 --> 00:57:07.195 kinda remind again that thirty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713916

 $00{:}57{:}07.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}08.475$ percent of breast cancers could

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713916

 $00:57:08.475 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.415$ be prevented through lifestyle changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713916

 $00:57:10.555 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.395$ yet lifestyle is driven by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713916

 $00:57:12.395 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.160$ the world around us, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713916

00:57:14.160 --> 00:57:16.079 food industry, urban design, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713916

 $00:57:16.079 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.980$ social norms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992877

00:57:17.359 --> 00:57:19.059 We know that changing habits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9594082

 $00{:}57{:}19.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}21.040$ especially in a world full

NOTE Confidence: 0.9594082

 $00:57:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.799$ of stress, limited access to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9594082

00:57:22.799 --> 00:57:24.400 healthy foods and environments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9594082

 $00:57:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.400$ don't encourage physical activity is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9594082

 $00:57:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.140$ not easy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99430394

00:57:27.440 --> 00:57:28.825 So, you know, hoping that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99430394

 $00:57:28.825 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.425$ there'll be policies and systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.99430394

 $00:57:30.425 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.925$ changes

 $00:57:31.225 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.585$ that can make the healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991284

 $00:57:32.585 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.085$ choice

NOTE Confidence: 0.9025983

 $00:57:33.385 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.765$ the easy choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959817

 $00:57:35.785 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.625$ And important to note that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959817

 $00:57:37.625 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.125$ progress is uneven across populations

NOTE Confidence: 0.9429616

 $00:57:40.790 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.490$ and that access to care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9429616

00:57:42.550 --> 00:57:45.130 socioeconomic status and environmental expo-

sures

NOTE Confidence: 0.9627431

00:57:45.510 --> 00:57:47.609 contribute to disparities. And unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.975735

 $00:57:48.230 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.910$ there are disparities in breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.975735

00:57:49.910 --> 00:57:51.450 cancer incidence and mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95299226

 $00:57:52.230 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.589$ And so lastly, every step

NOTE Confidence: 0.95299226

 $00{:}57{:}53.589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}55.369$ we take toward healthier habits

NOTE Confidence: 0.95299226

 $00:57:55.430 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.930$ matters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9687022

00:57:56.265 --> 00:57:57.865 Small changes add up such

NOTE Confidence: 0.9687022

 $00:57:57.865 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.724$ as just focusing on reducing

00:57:59.865 --> 00:58:02.025 sedentary behavior, and that combined

NOTE Confidence: 0.9687022

 $00{:}58{:}02.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}03.645$ with community level efforts

NOTE Confidence: 0.99826264

00:58:04.025 --> 00:58:05.865 will reduce breast cancer risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.99826264

 $00:58:05.865 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.484$ and improve overall health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969454

 $00:58:08.530 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.450$ So with that, I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969454

 $00:58:10.450 \longrightarrow 00:58:11.510$ stop sharing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99947315

 $00:58:15.570 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.470$ That is great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91383255

00:58:16.930 --> 00:58:18.310 Thank you, doctor Arun.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99521583

 $00:58:18.850 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.650$ We do have a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.99521583

 $00:58:19.650 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.150$ questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.91700304

 $00:58:21.105 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.045$ that have been submitted and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90322894

 $00:58:24.545 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.145$ couple of the two that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90322894

00:58:26.385 --> 00:58:27.345 we've answered a few of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90322894

 $00:58:27.345 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.505$ them directly, but, couple that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90322894

 $00:58:29.505 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.365$ are out there radiology questions.

00:58:31.905 --> 00:58:33.750 So doctor Latvie can take

NOTE Confidence: 0.89592487

00:58:33.750 --> 00:58:34.870 the first one and maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.89592487

 $00:58:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:58:36.090$ I'll take the second one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94456226

 $00:58:36.870 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.310$ So a questioner asks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94456226

 $00:58:38.310 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.910$ she's a breast cancer survivor

NOTE Confidence: 0.94456226

 $00:58:39.910 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.290$ more than two decades ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95684785

 $00:58:41.830 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.350$ And she says, yes. If

NOTE Confidence: 0.95684785

 $00:58:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.710$ mammogram and ultrasound are done

NOTE Confidence: 0.95684785

 $00{:}58{:}44.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}46.550$ and compared to past results

NOTE Confidence: 0.95684785

 $00:58:46.550 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.430$ and found to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95684785

 $00:58:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.790$ change, what is the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.95684785

 $00:58:48.790 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.025$ step? So if there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95684785

 $00:58:50.025 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.905$ change on your mammogram and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95684785

 $00{:}58{:}50.905 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}58{:}52.265$ your ultrasound, what do you

NOTE Confidence: 0.95684785

 $00:58:52.265 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.925$ do next?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9389723

 $00:58:56.265 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.724$ And you're muted, Brisa.

 $00:58:59.145 \longrightarrow 00:59:00.345$ There we go. Sorry about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9578161

 $00:59:00.345 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.860$ that. If a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813902

 $00:59:02.420 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.620$ is found to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813902

00:59:03.620 --> 00:59:06.260 mammographic or sonographic abnormality with-

out

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813902

 $00:59:06.260 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.940$ any other symptoms, that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813902

 $00:59:07.940 \dashrightarrow 00:59:09.800$ called a callback from screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98794836

 $00:59:10.500 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.340$ Generally, women are asked to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98794836

 $00{:}59{:}12.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}14.920$ return for additional specific dedicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.98794836

 $00.59:15.060 \longrightarrow 00:59:16.180$ diagnostic imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9861844

00:59:17.225 --> 00:59:19.805 which means additional mammographic views,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987358

00:59:20.265 --> 00:59:21.325 targeted ultrasound

NOTE Confidence: 0.95035726

 $00:59:21.785 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.105$ with, generally presence of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95035726

 $00:59:24.105 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.605$ radiologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.95939225

 $00:59:24.985 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.885$ who can assess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93407273

 $00:59:26.425 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.665$ And,

 $00:59:27.305 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.285$ then the radiologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.91177493 00:59:28.740 --> 00:59:29.220 can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998543

 $00:59:29.780 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.280$ determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421054

 $00:59:30.580 \longrightarrow 00:59:31.860$ if there is a need

NOTE Confidence: 0.9421054

 $00:59:31.860 \longrightarrow 00:59:33.640$ to perform a neal biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99767184

00:59:34.260 --> 00:59:35.960 follow-up, or do nothing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94827974

 $00:59:39.220 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.580$ Yep. So that yeah. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.94827974

 $00:59:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.700$ that's a great answer. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.94827974

 $00:59:41.700 \longrightarrow 00:59:43.160$ hope that answers your question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96189797

00:59:43.845 --> 00:59:44.805 You'll get called back, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96189797

 $00:59:44.805 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.165$ we'll do additional workup with

NOTE Confidence: 0.96189797

00:59:46.165 --> 00:59:47.365 other images. So the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.96189797

 $00{:}59{:}47.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}49.525$ radiology question is what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.96189797

00:59:49.525 --> 00:59:50.744 molecular breast imaging?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9903348

 $00:59:52.325 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.425$ And so, molecular breast imaging

 $00:59:54.645 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.665$ is a nuclear medicine study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9911101

 $00:59:57.160 \longrightarrow 00:59:58.620$ So there's a radioactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.97698873

 $00{:}59{:}59.000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}00.300$ substance called technetium

NOTE Confidence: 0.6401178 $01:00:00.920 \longrightarrow 01:00:01.420$ and NOTE Confidence: 0.9753185

 $01:00:02.200 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.800$ and it's and a form

NOTE Confidence: 0.9753185

 $01:00:03.800 \longrightarrow 01:00:05.000$ of it is used very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9753185

 $01:00:05.000 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.680$ commonly in different medical tests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9753185

 $01:00:06.680 \longrightarrow 01:00:07.800$ especially a test of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9753185

 $01{:}00{:}07.800 --> 01{:}00{:}09.684$ heart. And what they found

NOTE Confidence: 0.9753185

 $01:00:09.684 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.125$ when they were testing people's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9753185

 $01:00:11.125 \longrightarrow 01:00:12.565$ hearts is that breast cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9753185

01:00:12.565 --> 01:00:13.464 would light up

NOTE Confidence: 0.977221

 $01:00:13.925 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.545$ in this test. So the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832914

 $01:00:15.925 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.045$ the substance that they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832914

 $01:00:17.045 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.545$ giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759635

 $01:00:18.085 \longrightarrow 01:00:18.964$ to look at the heart

 $01:00:18.964 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.085$ would go to breast cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759635

 $01:00:20.085 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.125$ So they realized they could

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759635

01:00:21.125 --> 01:00:22.244 turn this into an imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759635

 $01:00:22.244 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.010$ test, and it's a it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759635

 $01:00:24.010 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.130$ an imaging test that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759635

 $01:00:25.130 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.950$ good sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986376

 $01:00:26.650 \longrightarrow 01:00:28.250$ It finds cancers at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986376

 $01:00:28.250 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.230$ similar level

NOTE Confidence: 0.9852706

01:00:29.930 --> 01:00:31.790 to MRI and contrast mammography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9852706

 $01:00:31.930 \longrightarrow 01:00:32.970$ It hasn't been studied as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9852706

 $01:00:32.970 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.590$ much. It has some downsides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9852706

 $01:00:34.650 \longrightarrow 01:00:35.770$ though, as opposed to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9852706

 $01{:}00{:}35.770 --> 01{:}00{:}37.610$ contrast mammogram, which takes about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9852706

 $01:00:37.610 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.285$ five minutes or an MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.9852706

 $01:00:39.285 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.345$ which takes about

 $01:00:40.645 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.865$ ten to twenty minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99887395

 $01:00:42.484 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.385$ every image

NOTE Confidence: 0.9339189

 $01:00:43.685 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.445$ on molecular breast imaging is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9339189

01:00:45.445 --> 01:00:47.224 about fifteen minutes, five zero.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9339189

01:00:47.445 --> 01:00:49.045 It has gone down with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93837464

01:00:49.525 --> 01:00:50.345 better equipment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95619905

 $01:00:50.820 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.280$ but it's a longer scan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9809385

 $01:00:53.380 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.660$ and it doesn't quite give

NOTE Confidence: 0.9809385

 $01:00:54.660 \longrightarrow 01:00:55.400$ the anatomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992552

01:00:55.700 --> 01:00:57.060 It doesn't give it doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992552

 $01:00:57.060 \longrightarrow 01:00:58.120$ show us the anatomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9510577

 $01:00:58.820 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.600$ like MRI or contrast mammography

NOTE Confidence: 0.9510577

 $01:01:00.660 \longrightarrow 01:01:01.880$ does. So it hasn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616938

 $01:01:03.220 \longrightarrow 01:01:04.600$ been as widely accepted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93035406

01:01:05.155 --> 01:01:06.595 But, it's a test. Basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93035406

 $01:01:06.595 \longrightarrow 01:01:07.795$ you get injected with a

 $01{:}07.795 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}09.155$ radioactive substance, and then you

NOTE Confidence: 0.93035406

 $01:01:09.155 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.815$ get damaged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99681026

 $01:01:13.155 \longrightarrow 01:01:13.975$ So we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7502117

 $01:01:14.755 \longrightarrow 01:01:15.255$ are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99137795

01:01:17.635 --> 01:01:19.335 there's no more questions on

NOTE Confidence: 0.99137795

 $01:01:19.475 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.855$ the chat. We can

NOTE Confidence: 0.999804

 $01:01:21.210 \longrightarrow 01:01:21.950$ stay for

NOTE Confidence: 0.84351844

 $01:01:22.570 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.030$ another five minutes or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388372

 $01:01:24.650 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.690$ if one of our panelists

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388372

 $01:01:25.690 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.750$ would like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9523876

01:01:28.410 --> 01:01:30.250 add something, I'd be happy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9523876

01:01:30.250 --> 01:01:31.290 to listen or I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9523876

 $01:01:31.290 \longrightarrow 01:01:32.030$ ask questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891029

01:01:36.704 --> 01:01:38.065 Actually, one of our listeners

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891029

 $01:01:38.065 \longrightarrow 01:01:39.204$ had a question about

 $01:01:39.505 \longrightarrow 01:01:40.484$ the risk models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872528

01:01:41.505 --> 01:01:41.904 And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7646749

01:01:42.305 --> 01:01:43.285 so, Tracy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8744294

 $01:01:43.664 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.164$ yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947757

01:01:45.184 --> 01:01:45.585 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95172817

 $01:01:46.144 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.444$ and don't forget to unmute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97065115

 $01:01:48.880 \longrightarrow 01:01:50.740$ You mentioned two websites,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96987456

01:01:51.119 --> 01:01:52.100 one of which,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90786594

01:01:54.640 --> 01:01:55.680 you know, that one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90786594

 $01:01:55.680 \longrightarrow 01:01:56.480$ which is web based, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90786594

 $01:01:56.480 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.119$ the other one you had

NOTE Confidence: 0.90786594

01:01:57.119 --> 01:01:58.800 to download software. Can anybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.90786594

 $01:01:58.800 \longrightarrow 01:01:59.840$ download that software, or do

NOTE Confidence: 0.90786594

01:01:59.840 --> 01:02:00.880 you have to get permission?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90786594

 $01:02:00.880 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.460$ It's free.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99141157

01:02:02.835 --> 01:02:04.035 It's free. It's just the

 $01{:}02{:}04.035 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}05.734$ interface is not very intuitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99141157

01:02:05.955 --> 01:02:07.175 It's kinda complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99988127

01:02:07.635 --> 01:02:08.775 It's not clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.9794855

 $01:02:09.075 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.535$ exactly what they're asking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9331215

 $01:02:11.234 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.355$ So I I think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9331215

01:02:12.355 --> 01:02:13.955 easy to make mistakes using

NOTE Confidence: 0.9331215

 $01:02:13.955 \longrightarrow 01:02:14.695$ that model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997175

 $01:02:15.980 \longrightarrow 01:02:16.720$ There are

NOTE Confidence: 0.96319973

 $01:02:17.099 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.599$ other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96778715

01:02:18.299 --> 01:02:19.339 versions of it on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96778715

 $01:02:19.339 \longrightarrow 01:02:20.779$ web that are, like, pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.96778715

 $01:02:20.779 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.559$ interface and clear questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97680134

01:02:23.260 --> 01:02:24.380 but I don't think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97680134

 $01:02:24.380 \longrightarrow 01:02:26.140$ they're validated, and so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.97680134

01:02:26.140 --> 01:02:26.960 wouldn't necessarily

01:02:27.260 --> 01:02:28.779 encourage using them. So, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868564

 $01:02:28.779 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.579$ mean, I think you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868564

01:02:29.579 --> 01:02:30.765 go ahead and assess assess

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868564

 $01:02:30.765 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.885$ your own risk and print

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868564

 $01:02:31.885 \longrightarrow 01:02:33.005$ out your results and bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868564

 $01:02:33.005 \longrightarrow 01:02:33.984$ it to your provider.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933556

01:02:35.164 --> 01:02:36.525 Anytime I see a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933556

 $01:02:36.525 \longrightarrow 01:02:37.484$ and they bring me a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933556

 $01:02:37.484 \longrightarrow 01:02:38.545$ risk model

NOTE Confidence: 0.99669087

01:02:38.845 --> 01:02:39.345 result

NOTE Confidence: 0.9857774

 $01:02:39.885 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.404$ without the information of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9857774

01:02:41.404 --> 01:02:42.684 went into it, I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9857774

01:02:42.684 --> 01:02:43.885 repeat it because I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9857774

 $01:02:43.885 \longrightarrow 01:02:45.030$ know what went into that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9857774

 $01:02:45.270 \longrightarrow 01:02:46.970$ calculation to give the result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98801416

01:02:48.870 --> 01:02:50.310 So I I think the

 $01{:}02{:}50.310 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}51.430$ the message I would give

NOTE Confidence: 0.98801416 01:02:51.430 --> 01:02:51.930 is NOTE Confidence: 0.9652572

 $01:02:52.790 \longrightarrow 01:02:53.910$ these are not easy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9652572

 $01:02:53.910 \longrightarrow 01:02:54.410$ interpret

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221306

 $01:02:54.710 \longrightarrow 01:02:56.230$ and don't panic. If you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221306

 $01:02:56.230 \longrightarrow 01:02:57.370$ get a very high

NOTE Confidence: 0.9447367

01:02:58.150 --> 01:02:59.430 risk, you should review it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9447367

 $01:02:59.430 \longrightarrow 01:03:00.865$ with a a provider

NOTE Confidence: 0.9447367

 $01:03:00.865 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.225$ who has experience using the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9447367

 $01:03:02.225 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.725$ models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95879364

 $01:03:04.305 \longrightarrow 01:03:05.585$ Yeah. So someone who's used

NOTE Confidence: 0.95879364

 $01:03:05.585 \longrightarrow 01:03:06.865$ the models, I would definitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.95879364

 $01{:}03{:}06.865 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}08.465$ concur. There are little things

NOTE Confidence: 0.95879364

 $01:03:08.465 \longrightarrow 01:03:09.425$ that can make a big

NOTE Confidence: 0.95879364

 $01:03:09.425 \longrightarrow 01:03:09.925$ difference.

 $01:03:11.025 \longrightarrow 01:03:12.305$ Height and weight are one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9571514

 $01:03:12.305 \longrightarrow 01:03:13.185$ You have to put those

NOTE Confidence: 0.9571514

 $01:03:13.185 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.405$ in to be accurate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99671805

01:03:14.760 --> 01:03:16.040 And if you're so if

NOTE Confidence: 0.99671805

01:03:16.040 --> 01:03:17.320 you're tall, it increases your

NOTE Confidence: 0.99671805

 $01:03:17.320 \longrightarrow 01:03:18.600$ risk of breast cancer, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.99671805

 $01:03:18.600 \longrightarrow 01:03:19.960$ is not intuitive, but it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.99671805

 $01:03:19.960 \longrightarrow 01:03:21.320$ in the model. One thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.99671805

 $01:03:21.320 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.360$ that seems to drive it

NOTE Confidence: 0.99671805 01:03:22.360 --> 01:03:22.860 for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964601

 $01:03:23.400 \longrightarrow 01:03:24.440$ a lot of our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964601

01:03:24.440 --> 01:03:25.560 is having a first child

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964601

 $01:03:25.560 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.840$ after thirty, which has become

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964601

 $01:03:26.840 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.820$ extremely common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354259

 $01:03:28.885 \longrightarrow 01:03:30.565$ And so, yeah, then would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354259

 $01:03:30.565 \longrightarrow 01:03:31.285$ you like to comment on

 $01:03:31.285 \longrightarrow 01:03:32.745$ that, doctor Taglia?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9791856

01:03:34.325 --> 01:03:35.445 Yeah. I mean, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9791856

 $01:03:35.765 \longrightarrow 01:03:36.485$ you know, we take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9791856

01:03:36.485 --> 01:03:37.225 lot of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99686366

 $01:03:38.965 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.945$ of the reproductive

NOTE Confidence: 0.99355936

01:03:42.320 --> 01:03:44.340 history into account for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96257764

 $01:03:44.960 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.160$ breast cancer risk. And the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96257764

 $01:03:46.160 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.880$ way that I like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.96257764

01:03:46.880 --> 01:03:47.680 think about it is it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.96257764

01:03:47.680 --> 01:03:48.980 really just to sort of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.998733

 $01:03:50.160 \longrightarrow 01:03:50.660$ the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99031043

 $01:03:51.040 \longrightarrow 01:03:52.320$ the amount of time an

NOTE Confidence: 0.99031043

 $01{:}03{:}52.320 --{>} 01{:}03{:}52.820 \ \mathrm{individual}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.99888474

 $01:03:53.120 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.620$ has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959364

 $01:03:55.065 \longrightarrow 01:03:57.165$ exposure to estrogen unopposed.

 $01:03:57.945 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.805$ And so when you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.99710125

01:04:00.025 --> 01:04:01.565 your period very early

NOTE Confidence: 0.9730797

 $01:04:02.105 \longrightarrow 01:04:03.065$ and you don't go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.9730797

01:04:03.065 --> 01:04:04.765 menopause until very late

NOTE Confidence: 0.92444414

 $01:04:05.545 \longrightarrow 01:04:06.744$ and you don't have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92444414 $01:04:06.744 \longrightarrow 01:04:07.244$ child

NOTE Confidence: 0.98550767

 $01:04:07.809 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.329$ at all or have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98550767

01:04:09.329 --> 01:04:11.109 child at an older age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98550767

01:04:11.329 --> 01:04:12.930 you're not opposing any of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98550767

 $01:04:12.930 \longrightarrow 01:04:14.609$ the natural hormones of sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.98550767

01:04:14.609 --> 01:04:16.390 of your normal cycles

NOTE Confidence: 0.97601837

 $01:04:16.770 \longrightarrow 01:04:18.450$ that interfere sort of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97601837

 $01:04:18.450 \longrightarrow 01:04:19.569$ may sort of be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996497

 $01:04:19.970 \longrightarrow 01:04:21.910$ contributing to breast cancer risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9911491

01:04:22.695 --> 01:04:24.295 So having children younger for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9911491

 $01:04:24.295 \longrightarrow 01:04:24.795$ whatever

 $01:04:26.455 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.375$ reason, having any children is

NOTE Confidence: 0.98370326

 $01:04:28.375 \longrightarrow 01:04:29.895$ protective. Having children at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98370326

01:04:29.895 --> 01:04:31.415 younger age probably has something

NOTE Confidence: 0.98370326

 $01:04:31.415 \longrightarrow 01:04:32.535$ to do with the breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.98370326

 $01:04:32.535 \longrightarrow 01:04:33.035$ development

NOTE Confidence: 0.99806315

 $01:04:33.415 \longrightarrow 01:04:34.855$ and the opposition of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99806315

 $01:04:34.855 \longrightarrow 01:04:35.355$ hormones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8808293

01:04:38.430 --> 01:04:39.550 Great. And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95182085

 $01:04:44.190 \longrightarrow 01:04:45.950$ that's I've yeah. I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.95182085

 $01:04:45.950 \longrightarrow 01:04:47.730$ no other questions to ask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85547477

 $01:04:51.055 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.335$ Oh, and doctor Laffey is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85547477

 $01:04:52.335 \longrightarrow 01:04:53.315$ typing an answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9705217

01:04:53.695 --> 01:04:55.055 looks like, to one last

NOTE Confidence: 0.9705217

 $01:04:55.055 \longrightarrow 01:04:55.555$ question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9083961

 $01:04:56.175 \longrightarrow 01:04:57.775$ Anything else anybody would like

 $01:04:57.775 \longrightarrow 01:04:58.895$ to add? Oh, I see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9083961

 $01:04:58.895 \longrightarrow 01:05:00.415$ If any if anyone's still

NOTE Confidence: 0.9083961 01:05:00.415 --> 01:05:00.895 on, NOTE Confidence: 0.9825729

 $01:05:01.215 \longrightarrow 01:05:02.575$ and does have questions, feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.9825729

 $01:05:02.575 \longrightarrow 01:05:04.015$ free to email me directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9825729

 $01:05:04.015 \longrightarrow 01:05:05.375$ at melinda dot erwin at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9825729

01:05:05.375 --> 01:05:07.069 yale dot edu. I'm happy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9825729

 $01:05:07.069 \longrightarrow 01:05:08.950$ to answer questions later on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9825729

 $01:05:08.950 \longrightarrow 01:05:09.609$ as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86362296

 $01:05:09.910 \longrightarrow 01:05:11.029$ And I I have one

NOTE Confidence: 0.86362296

01:05:11.029 --> 01:05:12.170 question, doctor Erwin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932489

 $01:05:14.549 \longrightarrow 01:05:16.009$ So exercise we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.82749444 01:05:16.630 --> 01:05:17.130 and NOTE Confidence: 0.96112317

01:05:18.549 --> 01:05:20.069 being thinner reduces your risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.96112317

 $01:05:20.069 \longrightarrow 01:05:21.815$ of postmenopausal breast cancer. Does

NOTE Confidence: 0.96112317

 $01:05:21.815 \longrightarrow 01:05:22.934$ the same thing apply to

 $01:05:22.934 \longrightarrow 01:05:23.434$ premenopausal

NOTE Confidence: 0.99948496

 $01:05:23.734 \longrightarrow 01:05:24.474$ breast cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9698454

 $01:05:25.734 \longrightarrow 01:05:27.974$ So for exercise, yes. It

NOTE Confidence: 0.9698454

 $01:05:27.974 \longrightarrow 01:05:29.815$ lowers your risk from you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9698454

 $01{:}05{:}29.815 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}31.595$ know, if you exercise childhood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9698454

 $01:05:31.894 \longrightarrow 01:05:32.394$ premenopausal

NOTE Confidence: 0.89693594

 $01:05:32.775 \longrightarrow 01:05:34.234$ years, later on is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8962019

 $01:05:34.615 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.375$ a significant lower risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8962019

 $01:05:36.375 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.875$ developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998033

 $01:05:37.230 \longrightarrow 01:05:38.130$ breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695919

 $01:05:38.670 \longrightarrow 01:05:40.190$ The research with obesity is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695919

 $01{:}05{:}40.190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}41.630$ a little confusing because it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695919

 $01:05:41.630 \longrightarrow 01:05:43.890$ related to estrogen and and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96191436

 $01:05:44.510 \longrightarrow 01:05:45.710$ whether you have a twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.96191436

 $01:05:45.710 \longrightarrow 01:05:47.630$ eight day cycle. And so

01:05:47.630 --> 01:05:49.710 sometimes if you have high

NOTE Confidence: 0.96191436

01:05:49.710 --> 01:05:50.990 amounts of body fat, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.96191436

 $01:05:50.990 \longrightarrow 01:05:52.704$ might not have a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.96191436

01:05:52.704 --> 01:05:53.925 menstrual cycle that's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97198945

01:05:54.305 --> 01:05:55.825 every twenty eight days. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.97198945

 $01:05:55.825 \longrightarrow 01:05:57.425$ so it kinda confounds the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97198945

 $01:05:57.425 \longrightarrow 01:05:59.525$ relationship with breast cancer risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97198945

 $01:05:59.665 \longrightarrow 01:06:01.105$ However, with that said, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.97198945

01:06:01.105 --> 01:06:02.484 know that weight gain

NOTE Confidence: 0.9440117

01:06:02.785 --> 01:06:04.724 from adolescence through adulthood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997017

 $01{:}06{:}05.770 --> 01{:}06{:}06.270 \ \mathrm{independent}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.97576714

01:06:06.650 --> 01:06:07.950 of your BMI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99330884

 $01:06:08.410 \longrightarrow 01:06:10.010$ is associated with increased breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.99330884

 $01:06:10.010 \longrightarrow 01:06:10.830$ cancer risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.992337

 $01:06:11.130 \longrightarrow 01:06:12.410$ So I think two messages

NOTE Confidence: 0.992337

 $01:06:12.410 \longrightarrow 01:06:13.770$ I always like to to

 $01:06:13.770 \longrightarrow 01:06:14.730$ tell people is to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.992337

01:06:14.730 --> 01:06:16.510 to prevent weight gain

NOTE Confidence: 0.99324846

 $01:06:16.890 \longrightarrow 01:06:18.250$ and to try to reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.99324846

 $01:06:18.250 \longrightarrow 01:06:18.750$ sedentary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991354

 $01:06:19.370 \longrightarrow 01:06:19.870$ behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99853903

 $01:06:23.075 \longrightarrow 01:06:24.835$ Alright. Well, I'd like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99853903

 $01:06:24.835 \longrightarrow 01:06:25.335$ thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910019

01:06:25.795 --> 01:06:27.335 our panelists again

NOTE Confidence: 0.59898245 01:06:28.355 --> 01:06:28.835 and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9727932

 $01:06:30.355 \longrightarrow 01:06:32.435$ let everyone know that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9727932

 $01:06:32.435 \longrightarrow 01:06:33.415$ have two more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878773

 $01:06:33.970 \longrightarrow 01:06:35.170$ of these webinars. The next

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878773

 $01:06:35.170 \longrightarrow 01:06:36.850$ one is October ninth, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878773 01:06:36.850 --> 01:06:37.350 it's NOTE Confidence: 0.9242297

 $01:06:38.050 \longrightarrow 01:06:39.650$ entitled early stage breast cancer,

 $01:06:39.650 \longrightarrow 01:06:41.090$ what patients and families should

NOTE Confidence: 0.9242297

01:06:41.090 --> 01:06:41.590 know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731258

 $01:06:42.450 \longrightarrow 01:06:43.650$ And the one after that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731258

 $01:06:43.650 \longrightarrow 01:06:45.410$ is October sixteenth, so these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731258

 $01:06:45.410 \longrightarrow 01:06:47.350$ are all on Thursday nights.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349147

 $01{:}06{:}47.765 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}49.445$ And October sixteenth is progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349147

 $01{:}06{:}49.445 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}51.365$ in metastatic breast cancer research

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349147

01:06:51.365 --> 01:06:53.045 and treatment. Talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349147

 $01:06:53.045 \longrightarrow 01:06:54.025$ ways we are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99777454

 $01:06:54.645 \longrightarrow 01:06:55.845$ have improved the treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99777454

01:06:55.845 --> 01:06:57.865 metastatic breast cancer. It's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992109

 $01:06:58.805 \longrightarrow 01:07:00.245$ it's been quite astonishing over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992109

 $01:07:00.245 \longrightarrow 01:07:01.065$ the past decade

NOTE Confidence: 0.87187713

 $01:07:01.365 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.125$ what has the advances we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.87187713

01:07:03.125 --> 01:07:04.160 had. So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9865295

 $01:07:07.579 \longrightarrow 01:07:09.420$ that is all. Looks like

 $01:07:09.420 \longrightarrow 01:07:10.559$ we're good. Okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87384415

 $01:07:12.220 \longrightarrow 01:07:13.119$ Thanks, everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99427414

01:07:13.980 --> 01:07:15.819 And, Eliza, unless you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.99427414

 $01:07:15.819 \longrightarrow 01:07:17.260$ any other things, we will

NOTE Confidence: 0.99427414

 $01:07:17.260 \longrightarrow 01:07:17.920$ say goodbye.