WEBVTT

- $1.00:00:00.870 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.743 < v \longrightarrow For this time. < / v >$
- $2\ 00:00:02.700 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.533$ So we're
- 3 00:00:03.533 --> 00:00:04.830 (presenter muttering indistinctly)
- 4 00:00:04.830 --> 00:00:07.620 <v -> All right, so hey, everybody, welcome.</v>
- 5~00:00:07.620 --> 00:00:11.010 Today's my privilege to introduce Dr. Glen Laird.
- $6\ 00:00:11.010 --> 00:00:13.200\ Dr.$ Laird earned his PhD in statistics
- 7 00:00:13.200 --> 00:00:15.870 from Florida State University in 2000,
- $8\ 00:00:15.870 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.460$ then worked as a survey statistician
- 9 00:00:17.460 --> 00:00:20.160 for RTI International before joining
- 10 00:00:20.160 --> 00:00:22.200 the pharmaceutical industry
- $11\ 00:00:22.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.670$ where he worked at Novartis,
- 12 00:00:23.670 --> 00:00:27.050 Bristol Myers Squibb and Sanofi.
- 13 00:00:27.050 --> 00:00:30.603 And so now, he's at Vertex Pharmaceuticals.
- 14 00:00:31.440 --> 00:00:33.153 And so let's welcome Dr. Laird.
- 15 00:00:36.780 --> 00:00:41.070 <v ->I hope every body can hear me also online.</v>
- $16\ 00:00:41.070 --> 00:00:43.156$ I hope we can have a good discussion today.
- $17\ 00:00:43.156 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.989$ Have a lot to talk about.
- $18\ 00:00:43.989$ --> 00:00:47.820 Feel free to interrupt me at any time with questions.
- 19 00:00:47.820 --> 00:00:50.700 There's really nothing overtly technical here,
- $20\ 00:00:50.700 --> 00:00:54.390$ so I wanna be very accessible to everyone.
- 21~00:00:54.390 --> 00:00:57.660 I'd like to hear your feedback go along.
- 22 00:00:57.660 --> 00:00:59.550 So I'm gonna be talking today
- 23 00:00:59.550 --> 00:01:02.160 about industry-sponsored clinical trials,
- $24\ 00:01:02.160 \dashrightarrow 00:01:06.363$ that is pharmaceutical industry sponsored trials.
- 25 00:01:08.250 --> 00:01:10.140 So disclaimer, I work for Vertex,
- 26 00:01:10.140 --> 00:01:12.603 but any opinions are mine, not theirs.

- 27 00:01:14.250 --> 00:01:16.233 So for a clinical trial,
- 28 00:01:17.742 --> 00:01:19.950 you're gonna have a clinical trial team, right?
- 29 00:01:19.950 --> 00:01:22.320 At Vertex, we call it a study execution team.
- 30 00:01:22.320 --> 00:01:24.120 Other companies call it something different,
- $31\ 00:01:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.110$ but it's the same kinda thing.
- $32\ 00:01:25.110 --> 00:01:27.900$ It's a group of people who are responsible for running,
- 33 00:01:27.900 --> 00:01:29.523 conducting, executing the trial.
- 34 00:01:30.960 --> 00:01:32.100 It's gonna vary by the study,
- $35~00{:}01{:}32.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35.700$ but usually, this is gonna include a clinician of course,
- $36\ 00{:}01{:}35.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}39.210$ who's gonna make the key clinical decisions about the study.
- $37\ 00{:}01{:}39.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}42.273$ An operations person's gonna do a lot of coordinating
- $38\ 00:01:42.273$ --> 00:01:45.750 with the site, a lot of communication with the site
- 39 00:01:45.750 --> 00:01:47.610 actually conducting the study.
- $40~00:01:47.610 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.370$ Also shepherding documents through reviewing,
- $41\ 00:01:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.690$ things like that.
- $42\ 00{:}01{:}51.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.110$ Clinical pharmacology, they deal with pharmacokinetics,
- 43 00:01:55.110 --> 00:01:59.070 which is how the body processes the drug,
- $44\ 00:01:59.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.693$ metabolism, that sort of thing.
- $45\ 00:02:02.220 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.420$ Safety,
- $46\ 00:02:03.420 \dashrightarrow 00:02:06.840$ at some point, FDA let it be known that they wanted you
- $47\ 00:02:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.300$ to have a person explicitly responsible for safety
- $48\ 00:02:11.649 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.040$ on your study team, so.
- $49\ 00{:}02{:}14.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}15.960$ Because then, I think there was kind of a mind set
- $50\ 00:02:15.960 --> 00:02:17.250$ that if you had the same person
- $51\ 00:02:17.250 --> 00:02:21.360$ trying to look at safety and efficacy,

- $52\ 00:02:21.360$ --> 00:02:23.460 that they would probably end up spending most of their time
- 53 00:02:23.460 --> 00:02:24.960 looking at efficacy.
- 54 00:02:24.960 --> 00:02:26.910 Safety might not get the attention it deserves,
- $55~00:02:26.910 \dashrightarrow 00:02:30.360$ so you have to have a person explicitly for safety.
- 56 00:02:30.360 --> 00:02:31.410 Clinical biomarkers,
- $57\ 00:02:31.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.930$ we often like to look at a lot of different biomarkers.
- 58~00:02:33.930 --> 00:02:38.280 Data management deals with the actual database itself,
- 59 00:02:38.280 --> 00:02:39.600 setting it up
- $60\ 00:02:39.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.870$ and the sort of execution around locking it
- 61 00:02:42.870 --> 00:02:43.800 and all that sort of thing.
- $62\ 00:02:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.993$ And cleaning the data.
- $63\ 00:02:47.940$ --> 00:02:51.780 The statistical programmer is responsible for a lot
- $64~00{:}02{:}51.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55.950$ of the actual execution of the various plans, right?
- 65 00:02:55.950 --> 00:02:57.900 So, and, of course, the statistician,
- $66\ 00:02:57.900 --> 00:03:00.600$ which I'm gonna talk a little bit more about.
- $67\ 00:03:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.920$ The statistician and the programmer
- 68~00:03:01.920 --> 00:03:05.310 really work kind a hand in hand for a lot of things, right?
- $69\ 00:03:05.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.410$ There's a lot of things where the statistician
- 70 00:03:07.410 --> 00:03:09.297 is planning things, specifying things,
- $71\ 00:03:09.297 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.150$ and the programmer is the one writing the code
- 72 00:03:12.150 --> 00:03:13.923 to actually execute it.
- 73 00:03:18.240 --> 00:03:22.050 FYI, so what I just talked about was a study level team.
- $74\ 00:03:22.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.880$ There's also a project level team.
- $75~00:03:23.880 \dashrightarrow 00:03:27.510$ So by project, I mean a drug or a therapy, right?
- $76\ 00:03:27.510 --> 00:03:29.610$ So there'd be some more senior people.

- $77\ 00:03:29.610 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.220$ So there would be like a project level statistician
- $78\ 00:03:32.220 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.960$ and a team at the project level
- $79\ 00:03:33.960 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.680$ with a lot of these same similar functions plus some others.
- $80\ 00:03:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.480$ Legal, for example, comes to mind.
- 81 00:03:39.480 --> 00:03:41.550 That project team kinda guides
- $82\ 00:03:41.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.520$ the overall development of the drug.
- 83 00:03:44.520 --> 00:03:47.583 But today, I'm gonna focus more on the study,
- $84\ 00:03:50.610 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.860$ what the statistician and that team is doing.
- $85\ 00:03:53.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.557$ A lot of you may know this,
- $86\ 00{:}03{:}55.557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59.050$ but there's four sort of commonly recognized phases
- $87\ 00:04:00.353 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.560$ in drug development.
- $88\ 00:04:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.020$ Phase one is mostly about safety.
- 89 00:04:04.020 --> 00:04:07.290 You're trying to find the right dose of the drug.
- $90\ 00{:}04{:}07.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}10.980$ Phase two is kind of an initial assessment of efficacy,
- 91 00:04:10.980 --> 00:04:12.960 whether you think the drug works.
- 92 00:04:12.960 --> 00:04:15.630 Main purpose of that is to convince yourself
- 93 00:04:15.630 --> 00:04:17.790 whether you want to do phase three,
- 94 00:04:17.790 --> 00:04:21.330 which is the pivotal study,
- 95 00:04:21.330 --> 00:04:24.780 the main bulk of your evidence that you claim to submit.
- 96 00:04:24.780 --> 00:04:29.460 to say, "Here's our evidence that this drug works."
- 97 00:04:29.460 --> 00:04:33.180 Right, that study is often the biggest
- $98\ 00:04:33.180 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.463$ and it's generally randomized, right?
- 99 00:04:37.034 --> 00:04:37.867 And then there's phase four,
- 100 00:04:37.867 --> 00:04:40.301 which would be anything that's post
- 101 00:04:40.301 --> 00:04:41.160 (Glen muttering indistinctly)
- $102\ 00:04:41.160 --> 00:04:42.630$ right, and those kinda studies
- $103\ 00{:}04{:}42.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.780$ can depend on the market conditions for your drug

- $104\ 00:04:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.663$ after it's gotten on the market.
- $105\ 00:04:49.590 --> 00:04:51.810$ I'm gonna focus the most on the phase two,
- $106\ 00:04:51.810 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.670$ three type studies
- $107\ 00:04:53.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.790$ 'cause that is sort of the most classic
- $108\ 00:04:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.710$ clinical trial experience.
- $109\ 00{:}04{:}58.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01.560$ And it's perhaps the part where the statistician
- $110\ 00{:}05{:}01.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06.180$ and the programmer are really the most key to being
- $111\ 00:05:06.180 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.530$ and their involvement.
- $112\ 00:05:07.530 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.700$ That is the scientific rigor of actually demonstrating
- 113 00:05:11.700 --> 00:05:13.263 this drug works.
- 114 00:05:17.010 --> 00:05:19.590 And as I noted the bottom there,
- $115\ 00:05:19.590 \dashrightarrow 00:05:21.720$ the great majority of drugs that start in phase one
- $116\ 00{:}05{:}21.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.740$ end up dying somewhere along the way unfortunately.
- 117 00:05:25.740 --> 00:05:27.180 You can look up various numbers,
- $118\ 00:05:27.180 --> 00:05:30.000$ but it's a pretty small percentage and actually end up
- 119 00:05:30.000 --> 00:05:32.460 making it to the market, unfortunately,
- $120\ 00:05:32.460 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.160$ from direct to start in phase one.
- 121 00:05:36.090 --> 00:05:36.923 Oh, okay.
- $122\ 00:05:36.923 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.570$ All right, so now we're at the survey here.
- 123 00:05:39.930 --> 00:05:42.170 All right, so all right, then.
- $124\ 00:05:42.170 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.290$ So then. < v -> Yep. < /v >
- 125 00:05:43.290 --> 00:05:46.500 <v -> This is my survey question, hope everybody.</v>
- $126\ 00:05:46.500 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.760 < v \longrightarrow Oh$, and then just hit present. </v>
- $127\ 00:05:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.870 < v \longrightarrow And then I need to do this, </v>$
- $128\ 00:05:51.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.913$ so, okay.
- $129\ 00:05:54.930 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.580$ So I'm wondering what you think.

- $130\ 00:05:59.580 \dashrightarrow 00:06:04.580$ So when in the life of a study do you think is the most work
- $131\ 00:06:05.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.423$ for the statistician?
- $132\ 00:06:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.553$ So if you can't see there, so option A,
- $133\ 00{:}06{:}12.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}15.510$ these plots are qualitative, it's conceptual, right?
- $134\ 00:06:15.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.910$ So the x-axis is time,
- 135 00:06:17.910 --> 00:06:19.830 the y-axis is the amount of work, right?
- 136 00:06:19.830 --> 00:06:23.370 So option A would be level, you know?
- $137\ 00:06:23.370 --> 00:06:25.680$ It's basically the same amount of work over the whole course
- $138\ 00:06:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.450$ of the study from when you first start conceiving the study
- 139 00:06:28.450 --> 00:06:30.660 until you rep the study before, right?
- 140 00:06:30.660 --> 00:06:33.360 Option B is going up and up and up,
- 141 00:06:33.360 --> 00:06:34.800 getting busier and busier and busier
- $142\ 00:06:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.720$ the longer the study goes on.
- $143\ 00{:}06{:}36.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40.083$ C is the opposite. Start very busy, gets less and less busy.
- 144 00:06:41.100 --> 00:06:46.100 D is Gaussian looking, right?
- $145\ 00:06:46.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.330$ There's a bulge of work in the middle.
- $146\ 00:06:48.330 --> 00:06:50.100$ And E is kind of the opposite of that.
- $147\ 00:06:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.410$ A lot of work at the beginning and the end,
- 148 00:06:52.410 --> 00:06:53.510 maybe a bit of a lump.
- 149 00:06:55.770 --> 00:06:59.430 So I know people know how to fill this out or.
- $150\ 00:06:59.430 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.360 < v \longrightarrow Yep, text. < /v >$
- 151 00:07:00.360 --> 00:07:01.650 <--> Whatever.</--> <--> Get our your phones,</-> <--> $\!\!$
- $152\ 00:07:01.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.485$ which you don't hear often.
- 153 00:07:03.485 --> 00:07:05.203 (Glen laughing)
- 154 00:07:05.203 --> 00:07:06.036 <v ->Yeah.</v>
- $155\ 00:07:14.040 --> 00:07:15.993$ People online, I hope, are voting too.
- $156\ 00:07:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.903$ When do you think the most work is?

- $157\ 00:07:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.633$ Most people answered D.
- 158 00:07:35.371 \rightarrow 00:07:36.750 Maybe I don't know how to tell how many people,
- 160 00:07:38.971 --> 00:07:41.483 <v -> I hope it's more than like six people that are voting. </v>
- 161 00:07:42.720 --> 00:07:44.610 I feel good when you see some prime numbers
- $162\ 00:07:44.610 --> 00:07:47.039$ and stuff in there, it makes you feel like,
- $163\ 00:07:47.039 --> 00:07:48.873$ "Okay, $10\ \text{must}$ be big enough
- 164 00:07:48.873 --> 00:07:50.070 that you're getting something."
- 165 00:07:50.070 --> 00:07:54.600 But, okay, so it looks like most people say D,
- 166 00:07:54.600 --> 00:07:57.210 fair number of people say E,
- $167\ 00:07:57.210 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.310$ now, it's not a lot for the other choices.
- 168 00:08:01.260 --> 00:08:02.640 Like so do I just go back?
- 169 00:08:02.640 --> 00:08:04.292 And how do I go back? <
v ->Yeah, you just go back</br/>/v>
- $170\ 00:08:04.292 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.125$ to that.
- 171 00:08:05.125 --> 00:08:07.163 <v ->Do I just hit escape?</v> <v ->Escape. Yeah, you can.</v>
- 172 00:08:12.240 --> 00:08:13.143 <v -> Present mode. </v>
- 173 00:08:15.570 --> 00:08:16.520 <v ->It's not working?</v>
- $174\ 00:08:18.510 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.560 < v \longrightarrow That's it? < /v > < v \longrightarrow Yeah. < /v >$
- 175 00:08:20.399 --> 00:08:22.013 <v ->I think we're out of present mode though.</v>
- $176\ 00:08:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.720 < v \longrightarrow Yeah. < /v > < v \longrightarrow There we go. < /v >$
- 177 00:08:25.720 --> 00:08:27.750 <v -> So in my opinion, I think most people</v>
- $178\ 00:08:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.920$ would agree with this.
- 179 00:08:28.920 --> 00:08:30.770 I would say the answer is actually E,
- $180\ 00:08:32.430 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.860$ the opposite of what most of you picked.
- $181\ 00:08:34.860 --> 00:08:37.170$ And the reason for that is there's a lot of stuff

- $182\ 00:08:37.170 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.330$ the statistician has to do at the beginning of the study
- $183\ 00:08:39.330 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.770$ in terms of planning,
- $184\ 00:08:40.770 --> 00:08:43.680$ specifying what kinda study are we gonna do,
- $185\ 00:08:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.180$ how are we gonna plan all kinds of stuff.
- $186\ 00:08:45.180 \dashrightarrow 00:08:47.850$ I'll talk some more detail in just a minute.
- $187\ 00:08:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.290$ And then there's a lot of work reporting
- $188\ 00:08:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.880$ at the end of the study
- $189\ 00:08:50.880 --> 00:08:53.820$ executing everything you said you were gonna do, right?
- 190 00:08:53.820 --> 00:08:56.077 And it's not uncommon that in the middle
- 191 00:08:56.077 --> 00:08:57.330 maybe there's a bit of a low
- $192\ 00:08:57.330 \dashrightarrow 00:08:59.970$ where you're mostly kinda waiting for patients to enroll
- $193\ 00:08:59.970 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.730$ and everything is maybe blinded even.
- 194 00:09:02.730 --> 00:09:04.630 So you don't have it available, right?
- $195\ 00:09:05.610 --> 00:09:09.720$ So what does the life of a study look like
- $196\ 00:09:09.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.453$ and what is the statistician doing during this study?
- 197 00:09:15.246 --> 00:09:16.920 So I'm gonna give you an outline.
- 198 00:09:16.920 --> 00:09:19.410 Again, it's just main steps.
- $199\ 00:09:19.410 --> 00:09:21.210$ Don't take anything here too literally,
- $200\ 00:09:21.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.030$ this is just kind of my ballparking of things,
- $201\ 00:09:24.030 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.643$ way things tend to go at most companies,
- $202\ 00:09:27.690$ --> 00:09:30.210 but companies in general are more alike than different.
- 203 00:09:30.210 --> 00:09:33.060 A lot of this process is actually quite standard.
- $204\ 00:09:33.060$ --> 00:09:35.460 They just have little different flavors, you know,
- $205\ 00:09:35.460 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.410$ different tweaking of the timelines and such.
- $206\ 00:09:37.410 --> 00:09:40.920$ But the general idea should be pretty consistent.

- $207\ 00:09:40.920$ --> 00:09:44.520 This isn't covering special studies, targeted study.
- 208 00:09:44.520 --> 00:09:46.800 I'm talking about a sort of a classic, you know,
- $209\ 00:09:46.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.341$ phase three type study here.
- $210\ 00:09:49.341 --> 00:09:50.423 < v -> You wanna move that window? < / v >$
- 211 00:09:51.819 --> 00:09:53.062 <-v ->Yes.</v>
- 212 00:09:53.062 --> 00:09:54.062 <v ->I'm sorry.</v>
- $213\ 00:09:55.014 --> 00:09:56.520 < v -> Thank you 'cause I've got that <math>.</v>$
- $214\ 00:09:56.520 --> 00:09:57.353 < v -> I$ know it's hard to figure out.</v>
- 215 00:09:57.353 --> 00:09:59.375 <-v -> Stuff I want to, yeah. <-/v>
- $216\ 00:09:59.375 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.660$ So the first thing you notice here
- 217 00:10:00.660 --> 00:10:03.060 is that there's tons of acronyms, right?
- 218 00:10:03.060 --> 00:10:05.520 That's part and parcel in the industry.
- 219 00:10:05.520 --> 00:10:06.770 There's a lot of things here.
- 220 00:10:06.770 --> 00:10:07.890 But that right, I'll go through 'em.
- $221\ 00{:}10{:}07.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11.310$ So the first thing here starts with protocol concept, right?
- 222 00:10:11.310 --> 00:10:13.650 So the protocol concept is basically a document
- 223 00:10:13.650 --> 00:10:15.330 that just gives you kind the bare bones
- 224 00:10:15.330 --> 00:10:18.030 of what do you plan to do in this study?
- $225\ 00:10:18.030 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.140$ What's the disease?
- 226 00:10:19.140 --> 00:10:21.240 What kinda patients do you plan to enroll?
- $227\ 00:10:21.240$ --> 00:10:23.160 What are you gonna measure on those patients?
- $228\ 00:10:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.660$ When are you gonna measure it?
- 229 00:10:25.950 --> 00:10:28.269 A little bit about how you're gonna analyze it.
- 230 00:10:28.269 --> 00:10:29.550 And, of course, the sample size, right?
- 231 00:10:29.550 \rightarrow 00:10:33.660 Which the statistician has to calculate
- $232\ 00:10:33.660 --> 00:10:35.820$ how many patients you're gonna study, right?
- 233 00:10:35.820 --> 00:10:37.800 That gets reviewed by various functions,

- 234 00:10:37.800 --> 00:10:39.870 including, of course, biostats.
- 235 00:10:39.870 --> 00:10:43.110 And also gets reviewed by a PRC,
- $236\ 00:10:43.110 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.513$ which is a protocol review committee.
- 237 00:10:46.890 --> 00:10:48.600 And oh, they got blocked out a bit there.
- $238\ 00:10:48.600 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.010$ So FSFV is first subject, first visit.
- 239 00:10:53.010 --> 00:10:54.090 If you're studying patients,
- $240\ 00:10:54.090 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.130$ you often say first patient first visit.
- 241 00:10:56.130 --> 00:10:57.810 So those are really the same thing,
- $242\ 00{:}10{:}57.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}00.570$ just depending on whether you're actually studying patients
- $243\ 00:11:00.570 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.620$ that have the disease
- $244\ 00:11:01.620 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.173$ or just healthy volunteers for example.
- $245\ 00{:}11{:}06.030$ --> $00{:}11{:}10.200$ And so this gets reviewed by the protocol review committee.
- 246 00:11:10.200 --> 00:11:11.640 Again, that's one of those things
- 247 00:11:11.640 --> 00:11:13.890 that every company's gonna have
- 248 00:11:13.890 --> 00:11:15.990 one or more protocol review committees,
- 249 00:11:15.990 --> 00:11:17.400 but they're all gonna be,
- 250 00:11:17.400 --> 00:11:18.990 and they're gonna have a little different flavor,
- 251 00:11:18.990 --> 00:11:21.240 but it's gonna be pretty similar.
- $252\ 00:11:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.460$ So if it's approved by the PRC,
- $253\ 00{:}11{:}23.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26.730$ then you come back may be two, three months later, say,
- 254 00:11:26.730 --> 00:11:27.963 with a full protocol,
- $255~00{:}11{:}28.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.610$ which should be very similar to the protocol concept.
- 256 00:11:32.610 --> 00:11:34.067 You're just filling in more details
- $257\ 00{:}11{:}34.067 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37.143$ of how are you gonna measure these endpoints, for example.
- $258\ 00{:}11{:}38.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41.280$ You know, details on inclusion and exclusion criteria
- $259\ 00:11:41.280 --> 00:11:44.310$ for exactly who gets in the study, some things like that.

- 260 00:11:44.310 --> 00:11:47.520 Still doesn't have all the statistical
- 261 00:11:47.520 --> 00:11:49.320 details in it, right?
- $262\ 00:11:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.670$ Has some high-level summaries
- 263 00:11:50.670 --> 00:11:53.100 of what kind of analysis you plan to do.
- 264 00:11:53.100 --> 00:11:54.960 But it's not table shells,
- 265 00:11:54.960 --> 00:11:58.053 it's not the real statistical rigor details.
- $266\ 00:11:59.190 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.470$ So let's say that gets approved by the PRC.
- $267\ 00:12:01.470 --> 00:12:05.250$ Now I move on to case report forms or CRFs.
- $268\ 00:12:05.250 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.690$ Those are the actual forms
- 269 00:12:06.690 --> 00:12:09.270 where the site enters the data, right?
- 270 00:12:09.270 --> 00:12:13.080 So principle here is the sites enter the data,
- $271~00{:}12{:}13.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}16.620$ the sites change the data, we don't touch the data, right?
- $272\ 00:12:16.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.000$ We just talk to them
- 273 00:12:18.000 --> 00:12:19.950 about how they're supposed to do that, right?
- $274~00{:}12{:}19.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21.697$ We don't touch it, we just query them and say,
- 275 00:12:21.697 --> 00:12:24.870 "Hey, do you need to change this data?"
- 276 00:12:24.870 --> 00:12:27.210 And then it's up to them to change it.
- 277 00:12:27.210 --> 00:12:29.850 So it's important, this is a process
- $278\ 00:12:29.850 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.190$ not driven by biostatistics, right?
- $279\ 00:12:32.190 --> 00:12:35.400$ Operations and data management, run it,
- $280\ 00{:}12{:}35.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38.460$ but it's important for the statistician to be there
- $281\ 00{:}12{:}38.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}43.140$ and the programmer to review it and look, right?
- $282\ 00{:}12{:}43.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}45.240$ Because if you don't have a good case report form,
- 283 00:12:45.240 --> 00:12:47.280 you're not gonna get the data you need, right?
- $284\ 00{:}12{:}47.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49.830$ You're gonna be in a bind at the end of the study
- $285\ 00:12:49.830 --> 00:12:51.570$ when it turns out the form didn't collect
- $286\ 00:12:51.570 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.313$ what you wanted to report.

 $287\ 00:12:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.000$ Similar to that, there's edit checks.

 $288\ 00{:}12{:}57.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01.170$ So edit checks is something to respond to the site

 $289\ 00:13:01.170 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.050$ whenever they enter something that is questionable, right?

 $290\ 00:13:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.020$ So the site enters that the patient was $200\ \text{years}$ old,

291 00:13:07.020 --> 00:13:08.430 that's gotta be some kinda typo.

 $292\ 00:13:08.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.107$ It's gonna immediately spit up something saying,

293 00:13:11.107 --> 00:13:13.650 "Hey, double check that number, right?"

 $294~00{:}13{:}13.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}17.160$ So edit checks are important in terms of getting good data

295 00:13:17.160 --> 00:13:19.533 in the system in the first place, right?

296 00:13:21.000 --> 00:13:24.693 PD specifications. So PD stands for protocol deviation.

 $297\ 00:13:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.360$ In the real world,

 $298~00{:}13{:}27.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}29.700$ things don't always go according to the protocol, right?

299 00:13:29.700 --> 00:13:32.880 There's often missed assessments,

 $300~00{:}13{:}32.880 \rightarrow 00{:}13{:}36.180$ assessments that weren't done at the right time.

 $301\ 00{:}13{:}36.180 {\:-->\:} 00{:}13{:}38.910$ Patients that were enrolled that actually weren't supposed

 $302\ 00{:}13{:}38.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41.640$ to be enrolled according to the infusion criteria,

303 00:13:41.640 --> 00:13:43.740 various things in the real world may go wrong.

 $304\ 00{:}13{:}43.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47.490$ And so the statistician plays a key part in specifying

305 00:13:47.490 --> 00:13:49.560 what you're going to do about those, right?

306 00:13:49.560 --> 00:13:51.000 So this is still at the beginning, right?

 $307\ 00:13:51.000 --> 00:13:53.400$ This is before you've enrolled anybody.

 $308\ 00{:}13{:}53.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}57.990$ You're planning, okay, we can foresee that this may happen.

 $309\ 00:13:57.990 --> 00:13:59.037$ What are we gonna do about it?

- 310 00:13:59.037 --> 00:14:00.270 You might have a,
- 311 00:14:00.270 --> 00:14:03.030 you might say, if patients are not enrolled,
- $312\ 00:14:03.030 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.900$ if patients are enrolled
- $313\ 00:14:03.900 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.110$ who don't have the treatment history we intended,
- 314 00:14:07.110 --> 00:14:08.377 then, for example, you might say,
- $315\ 00:14:08.377 --> 00:14:09.390$ "We're not gonna include that.
- 316 00:14:09.390 --> 00:14:10.950 We're not going to include that patient
- $317\ 00:14:10.950 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.630$ in this particular analysis."
- 318 00:14:12.630 --> 00:14:14.890 Might be one thing you would pre-specify
- 319 00:14:14.890 --> 00:14:16.200 about how are you gonna handle
- 320 00:14:16.200 --> 00:14:17.763 that protocol deviation, right?
- $321\ 00:14:20.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.330$ The randomization request.
- $322\ 00:14:21.330 --> 00:14:22.710$ Every company's gonna have a form
- 323 00:14:22.710 --> 00:14:24.157 the status session fills out to say,
- 324 00:14:24.157 --> 00:14:26.610 "Please do the randomization in this way."
- $325\ 00:14:26.610 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.260$ We almost always do some form
- 326 00:14:28.260 --> 00:14:30.720 of stratify block randomization, right?
- 327 00:14:30.720 --> 00:14:33.600 So anybody who maybe doesn't know, right?
- $328\ 00{:}14{:}33.600 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} > 00{:}14{:}37.530$ A block is a small sample size where you know
- $329\ 00{:}14{:}37.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39.900$ the randomization's gonna work out even, right?
- 330 00:14:39.900 --> 00:14:42.060 So if your block size is four,
- $331\ 00:14:42.060 --> 00:14:43.800$ you're guaranteed that two of those four
- $332\ 00:14:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.760$ are gonna be treatment,
- $333\ 00{:}14{:}44.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}46.890$ two of those four are gonna be controlled, right?
- 334 00:14:46.890 --> 00:14:51.750 It's just a matter of which two bits the order.
- $335\ 00:14:51.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.850$ So that helps enforce some balance, right?
- $336\ 00{:}14{:}53.850 --> 00{:}14{:}57.120$ And then we're gonna have stratification factors.
- $337\ 00:14:57.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.320$ Those are often a common topic for discussion

- $338\ 00:15:01.320 --> 00:15:03.280$ and exactly what are we gonna stratify
- $339\ 00:15:04.380 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.910$ for the randomization, right?
- $340\ 00{:}15{:}05{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09{.}960$ So a statistician's very important in making sure
- $341\ 00{:}15{:}09.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.570$ and figuring out how that randomization is gonna be done
- 342 00:15:12.570 --> 00:15:15.423 and filling out the form properly, right?
- $343\ 00:15:16.590 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.270$ The data monitoring plan,
- 344 00:15:18.270 --> 00:15:21.330 that's more driven by data management,
- $345\ 00:15:21.330 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.390$ but the statistician needs to look at it.
- $346\ 00:15:24.390 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.650$ So the monitoring plan is like
- $347\ 00:15:25.650 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.470$ how are we gonna look at the data in an ongoing way
- 348 00:15:28.470 --> 00:15:30.390 during the study, right?
- $349\ 00:15:30.390 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.170$ So if say sites are not understanding the protocol,
- 350 00:15:34.170 --> 00:15:35.940 they're enrolling the wrong kind of patients,
- 351 00:15:35.940 --> 00:15:38.520 you wanna catch it as soon as possible, right?
- $352\ 00{:}15{:}38.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.760$ So you're looking at baseline data, blinded data,
- $353\ 00:15:41.760 --> 00:15:44.580$ and trying to see if there's problems that could affect
- $354\ 00:15:44.580 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.223$ the scientific validity of the study.
- $355\ 00:15:51.330 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.193$ Okay, then just,
- $356\ 00:15:58.530 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.363$ there we go.
- 357 00:15:59.363 --> 00:16:01.050 All right, so during the study,
- $358~00{:}16{:}01.050$ --> $00{:}16{:}04.950$ I have the red box here around finalize the SAP,
- $359\ 00:16:04.950 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.550$ which is the statistical analysis plan.
- $360\ 00{:}16{:}08.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}11.190$ This is the single document that the statistician
- $361\ 00:16:11.190 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.140$ is most responsible for.
- $362\ 00{:}16{:}13.140 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}16{:}17.040$ And that's the document, statistician authors it,

- $363\ 00:16:17.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.890$ facilitates the review of that document.
- $364\ 00:16:19.890 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.610$ This is the document where you do put all those details.
- 365 00:16:23.610 --> 00:16:25.590 all the statistical nitty gritty details
- $366\ 00:16:25.590 --> 00:16:28.050$ about how are you gonna handle missing data?
- $367\ 00:16:28.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.900$ How exactly are you gonna define the baseline?
- $368\ 00:16:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.740$ What are you gonna do?
- $369\ 00{:}16{:}31.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34.380$ What covariance are you gonna put in your model?
- $370\ 00{:}16{:}34.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}37.740$ All these kind of details about exactly how you plan
- $371\ 00:16:37.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.747$ to do the analysis, right?
- 372 00:16:39.747 --> 00:16:42.930 And this also gets reviewed and approved
- $373\ 00:16:42.930 \dashrightarrow 00:16:47.930$ by all the usual review machinery in the company, right?
- 374 00:16:48.060 --> 00:16:50.730 So notice about the timing.
- 375 00:16:50.730 --> 00:16:54.960 So if you have a unblinded study,
- $376\ 00{:}16{:}54.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58.380$ you need to do this before you enroll any body, right?
- 377 00:16:58.380 --> 00:17:00.080 Before first patient, first visit.
- 378 00:17:01.710 --> 00:17:03.750 If you have a blinded study,
- $379\ 00:17:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.410$ it can be done somewhat later
- 380 00:17:06.630 --> 00:17:08.790 after you've started enrolling patients.
- 381 00:17:08.790 --> 00:17:11.650 You still need to do it in time to allow programming
- $382\ 00:17:12.557 --> 00:17:15.450$ to do the programming and validate stuff and all that.
- 383 00:17:15.450 --> 00:17:17.100 You can't do it at the last minute,
- $384\ 00:17:17.100 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.430$ but you don't have to do it before you enroll a patient.
- $385\ 00:17:20.430 --> 00:17:24.750$ Does any body have any idea why that would matter?
- $386~00{:}17{:}24.750 \rightarrow 00{:}17{:}27.300$ Whether it's a blinded study or not on the timings?

- $387\ 00:17:32.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.386$ Somebody who doesn't have sandwich in their mouth, perhaps.
- 388 00:17:34.386 --> 00:17:36.196 (attendant chuckling)
- $389\ 00:17:36.196 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.446 < v \longrightarrow You have the big rooms. < / v >$
- 390 00:17:42.930 --> 00:17:45.690 <v -> I highlight this because this is another</v>
- 391 00:17:45.690 --> 00:17:48.300 very important principle of these studies,
- 392 00:17:48.300 --> 00:17:51.183 which is pre-specification, right?
- $393\ 00:17:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.460$ Things that you say and do after the data are known,
- $394~00{:}17{:}56.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58.710$ after you know who's and what treatment group
- 395 00:17:58.710 --> 00:18:02.100 are considered post hoc, right?
- 396 00:18:02.100 --> 00:18:03.948 And they're going to be viewed,
- 397~00:18:03.948 --> 00:18:05.760 I'm not sure if suspiciously is quite the right word,
- 398~00:18:05.760 --> 00:18:10.230 but are gonna be viewed with additional skepticism, right?
- 399 00:18:10.230 --> 00:18:13.560 So before that, you start enrolling patients,
- 400 00:18:13.560 --> 00:18:15.300 or before the study's unblinded,
- $401\ 00:18:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.240$ you can still claim that you're pre-specifying things.
- $402\ 00:18:18.240 --> 00:18:20.550$ Hey, when I said we were gonna do the analysis this way,
- $403~00{:}18{:}20.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}22.860$ I didn't know that this patient was in treatment
- 404 00:18:22.860 --> 00:18:25.110 and that patient was controlled, right?
- 405 00:18:25.110 --> 00:18:27.490 So you could still claim to be even handed
- $406\ 00:18:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.480$ when you do the plan.
- $407\ 00:18:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.210$ Then I'd say maybe comes the lull
- 408 00:18:33.210 --> 00:18:36.030 I was talking about, right?
- $409\ 00:18:36.030 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.310$ Maybe in the middle, yes, you're executing the data,

- $410\ 00:18:38.310 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.980$ monitoring stuff that you said you were gonna plan.
- 411 00:18:40.980 --> 00:18:44.703 That's not really heavily driven by stats.
- $412\ 00:18:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.450$ There's always gonna be team meetings.
- 413 00:18:48.450 --> 00:18:50.100 It varies, they might be say monthly.
- $414\ 00{:}18{:}50.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}54.450$ A lot of that is kind of study status things on enrollment
- $415\ 00{:}18{:}54.450 --> 00{:}18{:}57.000$ and discussions about whether we need to do an amendment
- $416\ 00:18:57.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.110$ to the study.
- 417 00:18:58.110 --> 00:19:00.150 Again, not really driven by stats, right?
- $418\ 00:19:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.100$ So maybe there's a bit of a lull there.
- 419 00:19:02.100 --> 00:19:03.450 Then as you're starting to get closer
- 420 00:19:03.450 --> 00:19:06.630 towards clinical database lock,
- 421 00:19:06.630 --> 00:19:08.790 which is what CDBL is, right?
- 422 00:19:08.790 --> 00:19:10.620 Now, you want to do dry runs.
- $423\ 00{:}19{:}10.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}13.750$ So by now, programming has done the programs
- $424\ 00{:}19{:}15.120 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}19{:}19.890$ you want to execute those programs on some version
- $425\ 00:19:19.890 --> 00:19:23.610$ of the data in order to see whether there's, you know,
- $426\ 00:19:23.610 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.170$ issues at the tables look fine.
- $427\ 00{:}19{:}25.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27.940$ So a lot of times when people do the blinded study
- 428 00:19:29.040 --> 00:19:31.470 is you're gonna use dummy codes,
- $429\ 00:19:31.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.220$ you just make up false treatment assignments
- $430\ 00{:}19{:}35.220 \rightarrow 00{:}19{:}37.140$ and you stick that in and then you run the table
- $431\ 00:19:37.140 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.240$ and you just kinda see where they're fine.
- $432\ 00:19:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.550$ Then you have to identify the protocol deviations.
- $433\ 00:19:44.550 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.020$ This is the part where,
- $434\ 00:19:46.020 --> 00:19:47.910$ remember earlier you were planning

- $435\ 00:19:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.920$ how you're gonna handle the deviations.
- 436 00:19:49.920 --> 00:19:52.333 Now you can get close to database lock,
- $437\ 00:19:52.333 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.300$ you have to execute that.
- $438\ 00:19:54.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.257$ You have to apply it to the actual data and say,
- 439 00:19:56.257 --> 00:19:58.080 "Hey, just looking at the baseline data,
- 440 00:19:58.080 --> 00:20:00.450 I still don't know who's treatment, who's control."
- 441 00:20:00.450 --> 00:20:03.930 I'm gonna say that patient is not in that analysis
- 442 00:20:03.930 --> 00:20:06.360 because of the rule I said before
- 443 00:20:06.360 --> 00:20:09.480 and I'm doing this now before I know, right?
- 444 00:20:09.480 --> 00:20:11.130 So you have to do that kind of applying it
- 445 00:20:11.130 --> 00:20:12.697 and you have to sign off on that saying,
- $446\ 00{:}20{:}12.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}15.837$ "Here's the official call of who had what deviation."
- $447\ 00{:}20{:}18.030$ --> $00{:}20{:}21.360$ Then at the database lock, there's all the reporting stuff.
- $448\ 00:20:21.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.553$ You fill out a form to unblind the data.
- 449 00:20:24.720 --> 00:20:27.060 Usually very quickly, within a week or two,
- $450\ 00:20:27.060 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.520$ you have to deliver the key results.
- $451\ 00{:}20{:}29.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32.910$ Vertex would call it the key reports memo or KRM,
- 452 00:20:32.910 --> 00:20:35.190 other companies call it something similar.
- 453 00:20:35.190 --> 00:20:37.140 But basically, within a week or two,
- $454\ 00{:}20{:}37.140 --> 00{:}20{:}40.343$ management's gonna wanna know kinda the bottom line, right?
- $455\ 00:20:40.343 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.020$ And was the p-value less than 0.05?
- $456\ 00:20:43.020 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.420$ Was there some kinda major safety situation
- $457\ 00:20:45.420 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.980$ we oughta be aware of?
- 458 00:20:46.980 --> 00:20:48.300 That kinds thing, right?
- 459 00:20:48.300 --> 00:20:51.750 After that come the full list of tables,
- $460\ 00:20:51.750 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.250$ listings and figures.

- $461\ 00:20:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.300$ And then you have to finalize
- $462\ 00:20:54.300 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.260$ the clinical study report for CSR.
- $463\ 00:20:57.747 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.840$ And for that, you would have to author, you know,
- $464\ 00:21:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.807$ the statistical section of CSR.
- $465\ 00:21:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.859$ So that is kind of an overview of this is what a clinical,
- $466\ 00{:}21{:}107.859 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}10.380$ you know, study sort of looks like to a statistician
- 467 00:21:10.380 --> 00:21:11.883 and how you're doing, right?
- $468\ 00:21:16.890 --> 00:21:20.100$ I'll note that it does vary some by the phase, right?
- 469 00:21:20.100 --> 00:21:24.030 To me, phase one, it's more exploratory.
- 470 00:21:24.030 --> 00:21:25.530 It's often unblinded.
- 471 00:21:25.530 --> 00:21:27.167 There's more kinda going on during the study
- $472\ 00{:}21{:}27.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}29.970$ 'cause you don't really understand the drug yet, right?
- 473 00:21:29.970 --> 00:21:32.910 So there's amendments maybe more common,
- $474\ 00:21:32.910 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.380$ I think of it a little bit
- 475 00:21:34.380 --> 00:21:36.737 more like drug babysitting, you know?
- $476\,00{:}21{:}36.737 \dots > 00{:}21{:}39.990$ You're kinda like, "Okay, what's gonna happen today,
- 477 00:21:39.990 --> 00:21:41.850 you know, with each new dose that's going on?"
- $478\ 00:21:41.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.380$ So there's kinda more work to do during the study.
- $479\ 00{:}21{:}46.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.570$ People don't worry as much about the planning
- $480\ 00:21:48.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.720$ 'cause everybody knows it's exploratory, right?
- 481 00:21:51.720 --> 00:21:53.280 Phase three is kinda the opposite.
- $482\ 00{:}21{:}53.280 --> 00{:}21{:}57.990$ Everything I just said before, lots of planning, you know?
- 483 00:21:57.990 --> 00:22:00.180 Lots of trying to pre-specify things.

- $484\ 00:22:00.180 --> 00:22:02.430$ Even things that are maybe somewhat unlikely,
- 485 00:22:03.540 --> 00:22:05.850 you know, very rigorous, right?
- 486 00:22:05.850 --> 00:22:07.680 It's 'cause it's often a very big study,
- 487 00:22:07.680 --> 00:22:09.993 it's very expensive, it's very costly,
- $488\ 00:22:11.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.270$ and a number of ways, if it fails, it's gonna be, you know,
- 489 00:22:14.270 --> 00:22:16.500 it could be pretty bad for the company
- 490 00:22:16.500 --> 00:22:18.450 depending on the situation, right?
- $491\ 00:22:18.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.940$ But the point is you have to carefully consider,
- $492\ 00:22:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.383$ you know, the details.
- $493\ 00{:}22{:}23.310 --> 00{:}22{:}25.770$ There's more attention, more review by both management
- $494\ 00:22:25.770 --> 00:22:27.893$ and, of course, health authorities like FDA.
- 495 00:22:31.320 --> 00:22:33.300 So for phase four,
- $496~00{:}22{:}33.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}37.830$ there's a group often called Global Medical Affairs.
- $497\ 00{:}22{:}37.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}40.140$ There's another group called Health Economics
- $498\ 00:22:40.140 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.540$ that often deal with these kind of studies.
- $499~00{:}22{:}42.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45.873$ You can often look at longer-term safety and efficacy.
- $500\ 00:22:47.340 --> 00:22:49.233$ They may address reimbursement.
- $501~00{:}22{:}50.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53.400$ So reimbursement is kind of a bigger deal in Europe
- 502 00:22:53.400 --> 00:22:56.580 because they have single-payer systems.
- $503~00{:}22{:}56.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}01.050$ And so just because you get a drug approved by the EMA,
- 504 00:23:01.050 --> 00:23:03.240 which is kinda their version of FDA.
- $505\ 00:23:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.380$ that means you can sell it,
- $506~00:23:04.380 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.213$ but that doesn't mean
- 507 00:23:05.213 --> 00:23:06.900 the governments have to pay for it, right?
- $508\ 00:23:06.900 --> 00:23:09.727$ You have to make a separate case to them to say,

- 509 00:23:09.727 --> 00:23:13.380 "Hey, not only does this drug work,
- 510~00:23:13.380 --> 00:23:16.680 it's actually worth what we want you to pay for, right?"
- $511\ 00:23:16.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.730$ There's a negotiation there.
- $512\ 00:23:18.730 -> 00:23:22.050$ There gonna be a lot of publications involved in this.
- 513 00:23:22.050 --> 00:23:24.330 I don't know if you've heard the term real-world evidence
- $514\ 00:23:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.350$ or real-world data,
- 515~00:23:25.350 --> 00:23:29.070 but this is being used more and more in phase four.
- $516\ 00:23:29.070 --> 00:23:33.000$ Once the drug is on the market in the real world,
- $517\ 00:23:33.000 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.130$ there's data related to that.
- 518 00:23:35.130 --> 00:23:38.010 There's insurance claims,
- 519 00:23:38.010 --> 00:23:40.200 there's electronic health records,
- 520~00:23:40.200 --> 00:23:43.740 things that weren't around back when I started, right?
- 521 00:23:43.740 --> 00:23:46.790 That can help you understand what's going on
- 522 00:23:46.790 --> 00:23:48.237 in the real world with your drug.
- 523 00:23:48.237 --> 00:23:50.100 And these are often very big datasets,
- $524~00{:}23{:}50.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53.310$ but they can also be kind of messy in a lot of ways.
- $525\ 00:23:53.310$ --> 00:23:58.080 Sometimes, there's a specific group for real-world evidence,
- $526~00{:}23{:}58.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02.190$ but sometimes, that group is closely aligned biostats.
- 527 00:24:02.190 --> 00:24:04.229 Vertex has a group called
- 528 00:24:04.229 --> 00:24:05.062 (Glen muttering indistinctly)
- 529 00:24:05.062 --> 00:24:05.895 statistics,
- $530\ 00{:}24{:}05.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08.880$ which is statisticians who are kind of particularly
- $531~00{:}24{:}08.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11.480$ knowledgeable about dealing with these kind of data.

- 532 00:24:12.630 --> 00:24:13.567 People sometimes ask,
- 533 00:24:13.567 --> 00:24:16.140 "Well, what kinda statistics do you use?"
- $534\ 00:24:16.140 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.120$ Not really a good answer to that.
- 535 00:24:18.120 --> 00:24:21.300 It varies a lot by the disease you're using,
- 536 00:24:21.300 --> 00:24:23.220 by endpoint, I mean, variable,
- 537 00:24:23.220 --> 00:24:25.920 the outcome that you're measuring there.
- $538\ 00:24:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.863$ So it depends on the challenges of the setting.
- 539 00:24:30.930 --> 00:24:34.230 Like maybe sample size is a big issue,
- $540\ 00:24:34.230 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.900$ others may be missing data as a big problem.
- $541\ 00:24:36.900 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.600$ I used to work in oncology before I worked at Vertex.
- 542 00:24:39.600 --> 00:24:42.300 They use a lot of time to event endpoints,
- 543 00:24:42.300 --> 00:24:44.370 like time until the disease progresses.
- 544 00:24:44.370 --> 00:24:47.580 So they do a lot of survival analyses, right?
- 545 00:24:47.580 --> 00:24:49.770 Vertex, we don't do oncology anymore,
- 546 00:24:49.770 --> 00:24:53.340 so we have some time to event endpoints,
- $547\ 00:24:53.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.480$ but not that much.
- 548 00:24:54.480 --> 00:24:56.220 So the point is it just kinda depends
- 549 00:24:56.220 --> 00:24:57.990 on what you're studying.
- $550~00{:}24{:}57.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00.540$ But, you know, companies understand that, you know,
- $551~00{:}25{:}01.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03.000$ people aren't gonna necessarily walk in the door
- $552\ 00:25:03.000 --> 00:25:06.720$ happening to be specialists in the exact kinda statistics
- $553\ 00:25:06.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.880$ that we're using right now.
- $554\ 00:25:08.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.530$ So, as an example of it depends on the setting.
- $555\ 00:25:13.530 --> 00:25:15.420$ Vertex does a good bit in rare diseases.
- 556 00:25:15.420 --> 00:25:17.130 So I thought I'd just highlight a couple things
- $557\ 00:25:17.130 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.510$ about rare diseases.
- 558 00:25:18.510 --> 00:25:20.400 I'm not gonna go through all of these,
- 559 00:25:20.400 --> 00:25:21.810 but just in general,

- 560~00:25:21.810 --> $00:25:25.341~\mathrm{kind}$ of the understanding of the disease and rare diseases
- $561\ 00:25:25.341 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.174$ can be limited.
- 562 00:25:27.090 --> 00:25:28.680 There haven't been a lot of studies
- $563\ 00:25:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.060$ conducted on this before.
- $564\ 00{:}25{:}30.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}33.150$ There's often not a lot of good prior information.
- 565 00:25:33.150 --> 00:25:36.243 Identifying patients can be difficult.
- 566 00:25:37.200 --> 00:25:38.970 You don't often get enough small sample sizes
- $567\ 00:25:38.970 --> 00:25:41.303$ because there's not a lot of patients out there.
- 568 00:25:42.450 --> 00:25:46.590 A lot of these diseases are congenital, right?
- 569 00:25:46.590 --> 00:25:48.570 They're genetic, you're born with 'em.
- $570\ 00:25:48.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.670$ So a lot of the patients, I've read more than 1/2,
- $571\ 00:25:50.670 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.870$ are actually children.
- $572\ 00{:}25{:}51.870 {\: \hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}25{:}54.870$ So, you know, that creates a whole nother aspect
- $573\ 00:25:54.870 --> 00:25:58.473$ to the study if you're trying to study this in a child.
- $574\ 00{:}25{:}59.670 --> 00{:}26{:}02.940$ A lot of use of innovative study designs, adaptive designs,
- $575\ 00:26:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.790$ things like that.
- $576\ 00:26:05.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.283$ Maybe I'll talk a little bit more about that,
- $577\ 00:26:08.220 --> 00:26:12.003$ and a lot of use with biomarkers and modeling simulation.
- $578\ 00:26:13.028 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.240$ If you wanna know more about these sorts of things,
- $579\ 00:26:15.240 --> 00:26:18.273$ I'll give you a shameless plug for a book.
- 580~00:26:19.350 --> 00:26:21.360 I'm actually not one of the editors of this book.
- $581~00{:}26{:}21.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.360$ These people are my coworkers in our department at Vertex.
- $582\ 00:26:27.810 --> 00:26:30.000$ I contributed to some of the chapters.
- $583\ 00:26:30.000 --> 00:26:32.100$ But I think it's a nice book

- 584 00:26:32.100 --> 00:26:34.893 in that parts of it are technical,
- 585 00:26:35.910 --> 00:26:37.080 a lot of it isn't,
- 586 00:26:37.080 --> 00:26:39.360 but it is written by quantitative people
- 587 00:26:39.360 --> 00:26:42.660 kind of with a quantitative focus on,
- $588~00{:}26{:}42.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}45.000$ or, you know, kind of through a quantitative lens
- $589\ 00:26:45.000 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.150$ on what one does and are disease drug development.
- 590 00:26:48.150 --> 00:26:50.433 So that's my plug.
- $591\ 00:26:52.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.280$ Little bit of organizational notes about how companies work.
- $592~00{:}26{:}56{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}59{.}580$ A lot of companies are organized by the rapeutic area
- $593\ 00:26:59.580 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.370$ and or phase of development.
- $594\ 00:27:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.340$ Some companies have an early phase group
- $595\ 00:27:05.340 --> 00:27:07.500$ that sort of all they do is phase one studies
- $596\ 00:27:07.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.460$ and they kinda crank out
- $597\ 00:27:08.460 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.553$ these fairly standardized phase one studies.
- 598 00:27:13.020 --> 00:27:14.640 Vertex is not that way actually,
- $599\ 00:27:14.640 --> 00:27:17.460$ we just go by different therapeutic areas
- $600\ 00{:}27{:}17.460 --> 00{:}27{:}21.390$ and have the same people who do the phase one study
- 601 00:27:21.390 --> 00:27:23.643 do the phase two, phase three studies.
- 602 00:27:24.810 --> 00:27:26.520 In general, a lot of companies
- $603\ 00:27:26.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.070$ are more alike than different.
- $604\ 00{:}27{:}29.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.370$ We have a similar regulatory framework, right?
- $605\ 00:27:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.677$ So like I said, FDA says,
- 606 00:27:32.677 --> 00:27:34.530 "We want you to do things this way."
- 607 00:27:34.530 --> 00:27:36.150 So everybody does things that way, right?
- $608\ 00:27:36.150 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.430$ We have a lot of the same employees.
- $609~00{:}27{:}38.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}41.850$ So, again, there's different flavors of things, right?

- 610 00:27:41.850 --> 00:27:43.500 Like the protocol review committee,
- $611\ 00:27:43.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.880$ they're all gonna have one.
- 612 00:27:44.880 --> 00:27:46.320 But some companies might have different
- $613\ 00:27:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.500$ protocol review committees for different types of studies,
- 614 00:27:49.500 --> 00:27:51.757 or maybe it's a little bit different
- 615 00:27:51.757 --> 00:27:53.790 how they set it up or, you know,
- $616\ 00:27:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.833$ but it's largely the same thing.
- 617 00:27:57.150 --> 00:27:58.143 For biostats,
- $618\ 00{:}27{:}59.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}03.960$ my advice would be to inquire with any sort of company
- 619 00:28:03.960 --> 00:28:06.570 you're thinking about working for or with.
- $620~00{:}28{:}06.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08.570$ I would inquire about the methods group.
- 621 00:28:10.890 --> 00:28:12.290 Why do you think I say that?
- 622 00:28:18.030 --> 00:28:19.140 I'm the methods person,
- $623\ 00:28:19.140 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.180$ it's not because the methods group
- 624 00:28:21.180 --> 00:28:25.620 is the most important group, right?
- 625 00:28:25.620 --> 00:28:27.020 Why do you think I would say
- $626\ 00:28:28.530 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.940$ understand the methods group
- 627 00:28:29.940 --> 00:28:31.590 at whatever company you might be?
- $628~00:28:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.280$ It's actually related to what I just said.
- 629 00:28:37.280 --> 00:28:41.100 <v -> So maybe to stay on top of the latest trends </v>
- $630\ 00:28:41.100 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.240$ in the methods,
- $631~00{:}28{:}42.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.690$ make sure that you guys have time devoted for that.
- $632\ 00:28:45.690 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.773$ Stay on top of that.
- 633 00:28:48.568 --> 00:28:50.946 <v -> A very noble answer and kind of right.</v>
- $634\ 00:28:50.946 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.004$ (attendant laughing)
- 635 00:28:53.004 --> 00:28:54.171 I mean kind of
- 636 00:28:55.040 --> 00:28:59.370 in the sense that how you do that's gonna,

- $637\ 00:28:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.550$ you want to do that, but how you do that is gonna vary.
- $638~00{:}29{:}02.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}05.130~I$ just said companies are more similar than different,
- $639\ 00{:}29{:}05.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}08.580$ but your methods group is an exception to that.
- 640 00:29:08.580 --> 00:29:10.260 It's actually not standard
- 641 00:29:10.260 --> 00:29:13.260 and it varies a lot by the company, right?
- 642 00:29:13.260 --> 00:29:16.530 So I used to work at Novartis, as we told you.
- 643 00:29:16.530 --> 00:29:21.530 Novartis has pretty much kind of an internal department
- $644\ 00{:}29{:}21.870 --> 00{:}29{:}24.900$ of methods that it's almost like a mini academic institution
- $645\ 00:29:24.900 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.250$ within the company
- 646 00:29:26.250 --> 00:29:28.713 that they crank out academic-style papers.
- $647~00{:}29{:}30.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}34.290$ Pretty large group, quite technical in their focus, right?
- 648 00:29:34.290 --> 00:29:35.190 On the other extreme,
- $649\ 00:29:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.540$ I also used to work for BMS
- $650\ 00:29:37.500 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.930$ back when they had a site in Wallingford,
- $651\ 00:29:39.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.780$ they had no methods group whatsoever.
- $652\ 00:29:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.550$ You wanna do methods? It's your job.
- 653 00:29:44.550 --> 00:29:46.640 Do it on nights and weekends, whatever, right?
- $654~00{:}29{:}46.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}48.660$ So that's why I mean you're kinda right in the sense that
- $655\ 00{:}29{:}48.660 \operatorname{{\text{--}}}{>} 00{:}29{:}51.247$ if you want to do that, you need to understand like,
- 656 00:29:51.247 --> 00:29:52.740 "Well, am I gonna be working with something
- $657\ 00:29:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.700$ like the Novartis group
- 658 00:29:53.700 --> 00:29:55.860 or am I doing this all by myself, right?"
- $659\ 00:29:55.860 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.870$ So you might ask a board about me.
- 660 00:29:57.870 --> 00:29:59.940 At Vertex, I'm neither of those
- $661\ 00:29:59.940 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.770$ kind of triangulated to that.

- $662\ 00:30:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.510\ I$ don't have a group. I'm a one man group.
- $663\ 00:30:06.510 --> 00:30:10.870$ And so I view myself as kind of a facilitator
- $664\ 00:30:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.740$ or a focus kind of person.
- 665 00:30:13.740 --> 00:30:16.830 So if people are interested in doing methods,
- $666\ 00:30:16.830 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.210\ I$ work with that person.
- $667\ 00:30:18.210 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.220\ I'm$ co-authoring some papers.
- $668\ 00{:}30{:}20.220$ --> $00{:}30{:}23.340$ I try to keep tabs on things that are going externally,
- $669\ 00:30:23.340 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.180$ that kind of thing.
- 670 00:30:24.180 --> 00:30:29.180 I try to help focus resources and utilize people
- $671\ 00:30:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.520$ who have interest and availability at that time
- $672\ 00:30:32.520 \dashrightarrow 00:30:35.343$ maybe 'cause they're in that role, you know,
- $673\ 00:30:36.509 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.850$ as possible to look at topics
- $674\ 00:30:40.889 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.889$ that I can sense are of interest, right?
- $675\ 00:30:43.737 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.230$ But my bigger point is it's gonna depend
- $676\ 00:30:46.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.790$ quite a bit by the company.
- $677~00{:}30{:}47.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50.853$ FDA's not gonna specify how you use a methods group.
- 678 00:30:51.750 --> 00:30:54.217 Really quickly, people often ask me,
- 679 00:30:54.217 --> 00:30:55.680 "Well, what's kinda the difference
- $680~00:30:55.680 \dashrightarrow 00:30:58.290$ between people that are successful and not?"
- $681\ 00:30:58.290 --> 00:31:00.030$ These are pretty high level, but in general,
- 682 00:31:00.030 --> 00:31:02.820 communication is important, right?
- $683\ 00:31:02.820 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.690$ Being able to make a point concisely, clearly,
- $684\ 00{:}31{:}06.690 {\: \hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}31{:}09.630$ being able to communicate with non-statisticians,
- $685\ 00:31:09.630 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.100$ being able to give a presentation even in front
- 686 00:31:13.121 --> 00:31:14.340 of fairly large group of people
- $687\ 00:31:14.340 --> 00:31:18.030$ and understand and explain your arguments
- $688\ 00:31:18.030 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.300$ for why you're doing what you are.
- 689 00:31:21.300 --> 00:31:23.523 Time management, like I said,

- $690\ 00:31:24.600 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.900$ there's a lot going on at a trial, you might be assigned to,
- 691 00:31:27.900 --> 00:31:30.360 you know, two, three, four, five trials, right?
- 692 00:31:30.360 --> 00:31:31.650 And they're all at a different point
- 693 00:31:31.650 --> 00:31:33.150 in that live curve, right?
- $694\ 00:31:33.150 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.970$ And so you need to be able to figure out
- 695 00:31:35.970 --> 00:31:37.380 how you're gonna manage your time
- 696 00:31:37.380 --> 00:31:39.300 across all those things, right?
- 697 00:31:39.300 --> 00:31:42.900 So, you know, you're here in school,
- 698 00:31:42.900 --> 00:31:45.360 maybe you have a job outside, you know,
- 699 00:31:45.360 --> 00:31:47.666 whatever, at the library, you know?
- $700\ 00:31:47.666$ --> 00:31:50.490 People here don't care what's going at the library.
- 701 00:31:50.490 --> 00:31:53.400 Library doesn't care what you're doing here, right?
- 702 00:31:53.400 --> 00:31:54.930 So you might have five different studies
- 703 00:31:54.930 --> 00:31:57.660 and you may have to figure out, well,
- 704 00:31:57.660 --> 00:32:00.270 I need to do this on this study now,
- $705\ 00:32:00.270 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.280$ not because the team's telling me they have to,
- 706 00:32:02.280 --> 00:32:03.480 but because I know next month,
- $707\,00:32:03.480\,\text{--}{>}\,00:32:05.850\,\text{I'm}$ gonna have to do something else in another study.
- 708 00:32:05.850 --> 00:32:07.800 Right, so you have to kinda like juggle
- 709 00:32:07.800 --> 00:32:09.240 those different time commitments
- $710\ 00{:}32{:}09.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}12.090$ and that's something your manager would hopefully be able
- 711 $00:32:12.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.940$ to help you with.
- 712 00:32:13.800 \rightarrow 00:32:17.223 But there's some skill in trying to figure that out.
- 713 00:32:18.120 --> 00:32:20.580 And just being generally proactive and visible.
- 714 00:32:20.580 --> 00:32:21.603 You want to,
- 715 00:32:24.670 --> 00:32:25.554 you wanna be seen.

716 00:32:25.554 --> 00:32:27.930 You know, you can give presentations, staff meetings,

 $717\ 00:32:27.930 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.370$ there's working groups.

718 00:32:29.370 --> 00:32:30.480 I'm involved with that kinda thing,

719 00:32:30.480 --> 00:32:33.810 which is kind of like a team approach to research, right?

 $720\ 00:32:33.810 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.940$ We see a topic that's of interest

721 00:32:35.940 --> 00:32:37.530 and we kind divvy people up and okay,

722 00:32:37.530 --> 00:32:38.730 well, you can do the simulation,

723 00:32:38.730 --> 00:32:40.530 you go look at the literature.

 $724\ 00:32:40.530$ --> 00:32:45.011 You know, something to get your name out there

 $725\ 00:32:45.011 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.923$ that people can remember you.

726 00:32:47.670 --> 00:32:49.230 But being the methods guy,

727 00:32:49.230 --> 00:32:50.790 I thought I should comment at least a little bit

 $728\ 00:32:50.790 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.443$ on some things I see going on in research right now,

 $729\ 00:32:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.480$ what my thoughts on are.

730 00:32:57.480 --> 00:33:00.990 There's a lot going on now with borrowing data

731 00:33:00.990 --> 00:33:02.640 and using real-world data, right?

732 00:33:02.640 --> 00:33:07.020 So people want to do a clinical trial.

733 00:33:07.020 --> 00:33:08.830 It might only be a single-arm study

 $734\ 00:33:09.771 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.190$ or it might be randomized,

 $735\ 00:33:11.190 --> 00:33:13.560$ but they wanna try to use historical data

 $736\ 00:33:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.970$ or real-world data that are out there,

737 00:33:14.970 --> 00:33:18.900 sorta combine the two in a way that borrows strength

 $738\ 00:33:18.900 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.803$ and gives you a stronger conclusion.

 $739\ 00:33:26.050 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.620$ There's a lot coming out with that now,

 $740\ 00:33:28.620 --> 00:33:30.390$ there's Bayesian approaches.

 $741\ 00:33:30.390 --> 00:33:31.740\ I\ don't\ know\ if\ many\ of\ you\ are\ familiar$

 $742\ 00:33:31.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.830$ with propensity score, I don't have time to go into it now,

 $743\ 00:33:34.830$ --> 00:33:37.830 but propensity score is basically an approach for trying

 $744\ 00:33:37.830 --> 00:33:42.690$ to connect historical data to your clinical trial data

 $745\ 00:33:42.690 --> 00:33:46.170$ and maybe match patients up in ways

 $746\ 00:33:46.170 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.790$ that are similar as possible.

747 00:33:47.790 --> 00:33:50.220 Right, you often know a lot of things the baselines

748 00:33:51.300 --> 00:33:53.640 that are prognostic for the patient, right?

 $749\ 00:33:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.640$ So you try to make it where you're as close

 $750\ 00:33:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.580$ to an apples to apples comparison as possible.

751 00:33:59.580 --> 00:34:02.700 There's a lot of details about exactly how you do that

752 00:34:02.700 --> 00:34:06.000 that I think people can still figure out better

 $753\ 00:34:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.661$ and learn more.

754 00:34:07.661 --> 00:34:09.180 A lot of work with adaptive designs.

 $755\ 00:34:09.180 --> 00:34:12.750$ For example, you might combine a phase two dose selection

 $756\ 00:34:12.750 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.940$ with the phase three efficacy part.

 $757\ 00:34:14.940 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.260$ So there's a lot of people looking at that

 $758\ 00{:}34{:}19.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}23.310$ because you can gain a lot of efficiency by not having to do

759 00:34:23.310 \rightarrow 00:34:26.910 a separate phase two study and sort of start all over

760 00:34:26.910 --> 00:34:30.510 with a separate phase three study, right?

761 00:34:30.510 --> 00:34:33.460 My opinion, adaptive designs is that

 $762\ 00:34:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.673$ if you sort of know what you need to do

763 00:34:37.673 --> 00:34:39.960 that is you know your population,

 $764\ 00:34:39.960 --> 00:34:41.937$ you know what you wanna measure in those people,

 $765\ 00:34:41.937 --> 00:34:45.625$ you have a decent idea of what your treatment effect may be,

766 00:34:45.625 --> 00:34:47.070 you know, then just do the phase three study

767 00:34:47.070 --> 00:34:48.720 you think you oughta to do, right?

768 00:34:48.720 --> 00:34:50.400 If you're kind of at the other extreme,

 $769\ 00:34:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.170$ you really don't know the answer to much

 $770\ 00:34:52.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.370$ of any of that stuff,

771 $00:34:53.370 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.280$ then you should probably do two separate studies, right?

 $772\ 00:34:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.100$ Just do the phase two study that's not pivotal.

 $773\ 00:34:59.100 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.990$ Learn what the heck is going on

 $774\ 00:35:00.990 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.850$ and then do the phase three study.

775 00:35:02.850 --> 00:35:05.826 If you're in the middle, which is you kinda mostly know

 $776\ 00:35:05.826 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.659$ what you're doing,

777 00:35:06.659 --> 00:35:08.392 but there's this one nagging question,

778 00:35:08.392 --> 00:35:10.800 I don't know if I wanna do the high dose or the low dose,

779 00:35:10.800 --> 00:35:13.560 or I don't know whether the patients need to be, you know,

 $780\ 00:35:13.560 --> 00:35:17.220$ have this biomarker or maybe a, you know,

781 00:35:17.220 --> 00:35:19.050 I can do it on everybody, you know?

 $782\ 00:35:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.920$ What population?

783 00:35:19.920 --> 00:35:21.480 You have that one nagging question,

 $784\ 00:35:21.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.660$ that's where an adaptive design can often be helpful, right?

 $785\ 00:35:24.660$ --> 00:35:29.660 That way, you can build a design around getting information

 $786\ 00:35:29.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.950$ about that key piece

 $787~00:35:31.950 \dashrightarrow 00:35:33.993$ and going straight into phase three.

 $788\ 00:35:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.510$ A couple things I think

789 00:35:36.510 --> 00:35:38.760 are maybe a little bit under-researched,

 $790\ 00:35:38.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.263$ could be looked at more.

791 00:35:41.430 --> 00:35:43.920 I think a single-arm design that can change

792 00:35:43.920 --> 00:35:46.020 to a randomized design, stage two,

793~00:35:46.020 --> 00:35:50.010 is something I would like to see a better treatment of

794 00:35:50.010 --> 00:35:52.398 because what I was talking about before

 $795\ 00:35:52.398 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.400$ with the real-world data,

796 00:35:53.400 --> 00:35:55.470 you're trying to compare it, right?

797 00:35:55.470 --> 00:35:58.440 That works best in the extreme cases, right?

798 00:35:58.440 --> 00:36:02.460 So if the real-world data say this is what happens

799 00:36:02.460 --> 00:36:04.080 to an untreated patient, right?

 $800\ 00:36:04.080 --> 00:36:05.970$ You tend to see this sort of result.

 $801\ 00:36:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.720$ If you do a single-arm study in your experimental therapy

 $802\ 00:36:09.720 --> 00:36:12.810$ and it looks the same, then you have a good answer.

 $803\ 00:36:12.810 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.203$ The answer is your drug's not that good

 $804\ 00:36:15.203 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.580$ and, you know, and you've done it efficiently, right?

805 00:36:17.580 --> 00:36:19.470 Single-arm study is smaller, right?

806 00:36:19.470 --> 00:36:22.500 If the results are great, much better,

807 00:36:22.500 --> 00:36:24.660 then you've also have a good answer, right?

808 00:36:24.660 \rightarrow 00:36:27.450 Even if there's some bias in the real-world data,

 $809\ 00:36:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.953$ the results are so big,

810 00:36:30.030 --> 00:36:31.740 it's gotta be something good with the drug

 $811\ 00:36:31.740 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.390$ going on there, right?

 $812\ 00{:}36{:}33.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36{:}36.330$ It's that middle case that's kind of awkward, right?

813 00:36:36.330 --> 00:36:39.987 Well, it's better, but it's maybe even p is less than 0.05.

 $814\ 00:36:39.987 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.300$ but there might be bias in that historical data

815 00:36:42.300 --> 00:36:44.970 and dang, I wish I'd done a randomized study

816 00:36:44.970 --> 00:36:46.770 sometimes what you might think, right?

817 00:36:46.770 --> 00:36:48.750 So then I think it'd be interesting,

- 818 00:36:48.750 --> 00:36:50.580 you do state choose the randomized study,
- 819 00:36:50.580 --> 00:36:53.280 you combine the two phases, right?
- $820\ 00:36:53.280 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.820$ And then you come up with one result for the whole study.
- 821 00:36:56.820 --> 00:36:58.710 And lastly, I'll mention,
- 822 00:36:58.710 --> 00:37:00.240 I think there's more actually to do
- $823\ 00:37:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.690$ with good old stratification.
- 824 00:37:04.440 \rightarrow 00:37:07.230 We've had a couple situations where we were unsure
- $825\ 00:37:07.230 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.270$ how to stratify in a study.
- $826\ 00:37:09.270 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.700$ We actually had a group go back, look at the literature,
- 827 00:37:11.700 --> 00:37:15.840 the literature actually a little bit more thin,
- $828\ 00:37:15.840 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.440$ vague and conservative than I thought it was.
- 829 00:37:19.440 --> 00:37:22.290 If you really want to understand, hey, from my study,
- 830 $00:37:22.290 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.843$ I've got 150 patients, these are the factors.
- 831 $00:37:26.220 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.620$ It not actually specific as you might think.
- 832 00:37:28.620 --> 00:37:30.630 And you can get into things like whether
- 833 $00:37:30.630 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.210$ the stratification factors are correlated
- $834\ 00:37:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.043$ with each other, right?
- 835 00:37:36.090 --> 00:37:39.240 And continuous factors you might wanna stratify on
- 836 $00:37:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.040$ is another kinda area people could go.
- $837\ 00:37:41.040 --> 00:37:43.860$ So I think there's still more to do there.
- 838 00:37:43.860 --> 00:37:46.080 I say it's important for small studies, right?
- 839 $00:37:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.820$ So if you're doing a big study,
- $840\ 00:37:47.820 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.740$ the law of large numbers is gonna probably cover,
- 841 00:37:49.740 --> 00:37:52.057 you could probably stratify nothing
- 842 00:37:52.057 --> 00:37:53.760 and it'll be probably okay, right?
- $843\ 00:37:53.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.490$ But studies are getting smaller and smaller,
- $844\ 00:37:56.490 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.770$ people are in more and more focused groups.

- 845 00:37:58.770 --> 00:38:00.630 A small study,
- $846\ 00:38:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.720$ if I can say something a little bit controversial,
- $847\ 00:38:03.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.383$ small randomized studies I think are a bit dangerous, right?
- $848\ 00{:}38{:}08.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}11.130$ People love this notion that a randomized study's unbiased,
- $849\ 00:38:11.130 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.533$ but that's in the long term.
- 850 00:38:14.790 --> 00:38:17.280 I only get one chance to do my study.
- 851 00:38:17.280 --> 00:38:19.860 There's only 30 or 40 patients in it
- $852\ 00:38:19.860 --> 00:38:21.330$ that might not be big enough to guarantee
- $853\ 00:38:21.330 --> 00:38:22.710$ that everything's gonna work out even.
- $854\ 00:38:22.710 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.870$ So that could be a little bit dangerous.
- 855 00:38:24.870 --> 00:38:26.070 If you're gonna do it,
- $856\ 00:38:26.070 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.170$ you might wanna think about stratification carefully.
- $857\ 00:38:28.170 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.889$ Probably already talked to you.
- 858 00:38:29.889 --> 00:38:31.145 I wanted to leave at least eight minutes.
- 859 00:38:31.145 --> 00:38:32.571 <v -> Okay, you've got plenty of time, </v>
- 860 00:38:32.571 --> 00:38:33.690 you've got like 10 minutes.
- 861 00:38:33.690 --> 00:38:36.613 <v ->I think I was told like or by 12:50 or whatever.</v>
- 862 00:38:38.131 --> 00:38:40.030 <v ->Yeah, we have to be done by 12:50, yeah.</v>
- 863 00:38:40.030 --> 00:38:41.708 By 12:50. <v -> Right, so. </v>
- 864 00:38:41.708 --> 00:38:44.697 <v ->Question.</v> <v ->12:40, so we got like 10.</v>
- $865\ 00:38:44.697 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.438 < v \longrightarrow Anyone in the room or on < / v >$
- 866 00:38:46.438 --> 00:38:47.744 <v ->Yes.</v>
- 867 00:38:47.744 --> 00:38:49.380 <
v ->So, okay, I feel like drug development,
</v>
- $868\ 00:38:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.510$ and in particular FDA, are pretty conservative
- $869\ 00:38:51.510 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.010$ with how they like designed their trials,

 $870\ 00:38:53.010 --> 00:38:55.260$ especially with like phase two and phase three trials.

871 00:38:55.260 --> 00:38:56.400 So again,

 $872\ 00{:}38{:}56.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}57.660$ obviously, you've talking about like some of these

873 00:38:57.660 --> 00:39:00.780 more interesting like, you know, ideas like adaptive trials.

 $874\ 00:39:00.780 --> 00:39:03.300$ And let's say like you're in a company that like has.

 $875\ 00:39:03.300 \dashrightarrow 00:39:06.600$ I'm not sure Vertex has done a kind of adaptive trial,

 $876\ 00:39:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.680$ not that I'm aware of.

877 00:39:07.680 --> 00:39:09.780 But like if let's say

878 00:39:09.780 --> 00:39:11.400 you thought it's a good idea for a certain drug,

879 00:39:11.400 --> 00:39:13.590 for a certain program, like how would you go about

 $880\ 00:39:13.590 \dashrightarrow 00:39:17.160$ like making the case that an adaptive trial is better?

881 00:39:17.160 --> 00:39:18.810 Like obviously, like this is assuming

 $882\ 00:39:18.810 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.430$ you have like a theory behind it

883 $00:39:20.430 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.230$ that it is, for some reason, better.

 $884\ 00:39:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.030 < v \longrightarrow Yeah$, that's a very good question.

885 00:39:27.030 --> 00:39:28.530 We do have an adaptive study actually,

 $886\ 00:39:28.530 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.940$ the one like I had mentioned there

 $887\ 00:39:29.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.850$ with two different doses, do a phase two,

 $888\ 00:39:32.850 --> 00:39:36.630$ and then we're gonna pick a dose and dose into phase three.

 $889\ 00:39:36.630 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.910$ There's a series of meetings.

890 00:39:38.910 --> 00:39:42.240 I didn't have time to talk about it,

891 00:39:42.240 --> 00:39:44.670 but there's like type A, type B, type C meetings

 $892\ 00:39:44.670 --> 00:39:47.040$ you have with FDA along the way.

 $893\ 00:39:47.040 --> 00:39:48.330$ There's another type of meeting,

- $894\ 00:39:48.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.850$ one of them is called the end of phase two meeting.
- 895 00:39:50.850 --> 00:39:52.320 So you do have meetings at FDA
- $896\ 00:39:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.397$ where you can propose things and say,
- $897\ 00:39:54.397 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.787$ "Hey, we think we ought do it this way."
- 898 00:39:58.410 --> 00:40:00.600 As you may have briefly seen on the slide
- $899\ 00:40:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.953$ about rare diseases,
- $900\ 00{:}40{:}02.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}06.573$ the regulatory framework on rare diseases is less certain,
- 901 00:40:07.560 --> 00:40:09.150 which is both good and bad.
- $902\ 00:40:09.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.900\ I$ mean, right, it can be bad in the sense
- $903\ 00:40:12.900 --> 00:40:16.170$ that you're not really sure what you're allowed to do.
- 904 00:40:16.170 --> 00:40:17.370 But it's also good in the sense
- $905\ 00{:}40{:}17.370 \longrightarrow 00{:}40{:}20.107$ that it's more possible for you to argue things like,
- 906 00:40:20.107 --> 00:40:23.790 "Hey, there's not that many, you know,
- 907 00:40:23.790 --> 00:40:26.610 say kids with Duchenne muscular dystrophy, you know?"
- 908 00:40:26.610 --> 00:40:28.290 It's not that big a population.
- $909\ 00:40:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.990$ These kids have a serious disease.
- $910\ 00:40:31.080 --> 00:40:32.970$ We need some flexibility in our design
- 911 00:40:32.970 --> 00:40:35.430 to show that our drug is working, you know?
- $912\ 00:40:35.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.893$ So it's a little bit easier in rare diseases.
- 913 00:40:38.730 --> 00:40:39.660 So you could either use
- 914 00:40:39.660 --> 00:40:42.150 those type A, B, C meetings with them
- 915 00:40:42.150 --> 00:40:43.890 and, of course, you're gonna send them
- $916\ 00:40:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.570$ your protocol and stuff
- 917 00:40:45.570 --> 00:40:48.210 to sort of make your case in a meeting.
- $918\ 00:40:48.210 --> 00:40:50.280$ They also have a program called
- 919 00:40:50.280 --> 00:40:52.980 the Complex Innovative Design Program,
- 920 00:40:52.980 --> 00:40:55.860 which is actually run by their stats people

- 921 00:40:55.860 --> 00:40:58.360 where you can set up extra meetings
- 922 00:40:59.370 --> 00:41:01.980 to review things like simulations, right?
- $923\ 00:41:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.780$ So their biggest concern is maintaining type one error,
- 924 00:41:06.780 --> 00:41:08.280 right? <v ->So I mean like,</v>
- 925 00:41:08.280 --> 00:41:10.410 so I worked in drug development for the past six years
- $926~00:41:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.340$ and like interacting with FDA and like FDA minutes and such,
- 927 00:41:14.340 --> 00:41:16.740 like I've seen like them like say one thing
- 928 00:41:16.740 --> 00:41:17.573 and then like the next meeting say,
- 929 00:41:17.573 --> 00:41:19.590 "Actually, we change our minds."
- 930 $00:41:19.590 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.660$ Or they give like vague answers.
- 931 00:41:21.660 --> 00:41:23.730 And so you like internally have to kind a figure out
- 932 $00:41:23.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.167$ like what you're gonna do.
- 933 $00:41:25.167 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.000$ So like in those situations,
- 934 00:41:26.000 --> 00:41:27.960 like where okay, like FDA like might be okay,
- 935 00:41:27.960 --> 00:41:29.670 we're not actually sure, like I guess
- 936 00:41:29.670 --> 00:41:31.650 like how do you build like the,
- $937\ 00:41:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.060$ and then obviously, the tendency then
- 938 00:41:33.060 --> 00:41:34.960 is to like just go back into just do
- 939 00:41:35.849 --> 00:41:36.682 like just what you traditionally done,
- 940 00:41:36.682 --> 00:41:37.920 but like if you like are really advocating
- $941\ 00:41:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.990$ for something like this.
- 942 00:41:39.990 --> 00:41:41.220 < v ->Yeah, there's a balance there.< / v >
- 943 00:41:41.220 --> 00:41:42.053 It's not uncommon
- $944\ 00:41:42.053 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.670$ to be like not completely sure what FDA does.
- $945~00:41:44.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.480~\mathrm{I}$ mean if you schedule one of these meetings with 'em,
- 946 00:41:48.480 --> 00:41:50.370 yeah, they will give you a response.
- 947 00:41:50.370 --> 00:41:52.620 It might be in person, it might be written,

- 948 00:41:52.620 --> 00:41:55.590 it might not be everything you would want to see.
- $949\ 00:41:55.590 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.510$ You might still have questions after seeing it.
- 950 $00:41:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.070$ So it depends.
- 951 00:41:59.070 --> 00:42:00.690 Sometimes they're pretty clear,
- $952\ 00:42:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.120$ no, we don't like this or whatever.
- $953\ 00:42:03.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.470$ Other times, you're kinda still
- $954\ 00:42:04.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.120$ kinda scratching your head a bit.
- $955\ 00{:}42{:}07.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}09.780$ A lot of times, they say something is a review issue,
- 956 00:42:09.780 --> 00:42:11.750 which means, well, you know,
- 957 00:42:11.750 --> 00:42:13.560 if you get the data, we'll look at it
- 958 00:42:13.560 --> 00:42:14.710 and see then, you know?
- 959 00:42:16.830 --> 00:42:18.720 So that's kinda the best you can do.
- 960 00:42:18.720 --> 00:42:20.550 It's difficult to get certainty.
- 961 00:42:20.550 --> 00:42:23.100 There's definitely a lot of planning
- 962 00:42:23.100 --> 00:42:24.933 around communication with FDA.
- 963 $00:42:26.010 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.970$ What do we wanna say?
- 964 00:42:26.970 --> 00:42:28.110 I think of it a little bit
- 965 00:42:28.110 --> 00:42:31.500 as kinda like going to the oracle in ancient Greece, right?
- 966 00:42:31.500 --> 00:42:33.660 It's sort of like, you know,
- 967 00:42:33.660 --> 00:42:36.259 you have to plan and hope that, you know,
- 968 $00:42:36.259 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.530$ they're gonna tell you.
- 969 00:42:37.530 --> 00:42:41.400 You can interpret what sort of prophetic thing
- 970 00:42:41.400 --> 00:42:42.753 they're going to tell you.
- 971 00:42:44.160 --> 00:42:46.530 Sorry, I don't have a better answer for you than that.
- 972 00:42:46.530 --> 00:42:49.050 Oh, but what I was saying earlier was there is something
- 973 00:42:49.050 --> 00:42:51.840 called the Complex Innovative Design Program
- $974\ 00:42:51.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.600$ where you can set up,

975 00:42:54.600 --> 00:42:58.440 if they accept you, you get like two extra meetings

 $976\ 00:42:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.120$ where you can review things like simulations.

977 00:43:00.120 --> 00:43:03.427 So if you wanna do something complicated, they'll often say,

 $978~00{:}43{:}03.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}07.050$ "Well, we wanna make sure type one error is controlled."

979 00:43:07.050 --> 00:43:09.157 And if the answer to that question is,

980 00:43:09.157 --> 00:43:12.390 "Well, we got a bunch of simulations to show you

981 00:43:12.390 --> 00:43:14.190 that it controls type one error,"

982 00:43:14.190 --> 00:43:16.380 then you might wanna do something like that

983 00:43:16.380 --> 00:43:18.630 to kinda dig through the details of,

 $984~00{:}43{:}18.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}21.380$ well, how did you set up your simulations and all that.

985 00:43:23.430 --> 00:43:24.263 Other questions?

986 00:43:26.747 --> 00:43:29.630 I feel like I've been ignoring everybody over here.

987 00:43:29.630 --> 00:43:30.510 <v ->Got a question over here.</v>

 $988\ 00:43:30.510 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.010 < v \longrightarrow Oh, yes. < /v >$

989 00:43:32.010 --> 00:43:34.514 <v Student>Thank you for the presentation.
</v>

990 00:43:34.514 --> 00:43:35.610 The question is,

991 00:43:35.610 --> 00:43:38.250 is it possible to revise your SAP

992 $00:43:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.810$ after the trial started?

993 00:43:39.810 --> 00:43:43.713 If the answer is yes, is there any restriction on it?

994 00:43:45.900 --> 00:43:50.160 <
v ->So again, back to the blinded versus unblinded, right?</br/>/v>

995 00:43:50.160 --> 00:43:53.760 If it's an unblinded study, you can,

996 00:43:53.760 --> 00:43:56.820 but it's gonna be viewed suspiciously,

997 00:43:56.820 --> 00:43:58.320 for lack of a better word, right?

998 00:43:58.320 --> 00:44:01.170 It's gonna be viewed as a post hoc change.

999 00:44:01.170 --> 00:44:02.520 Why are you changing this?

- 1000 00:44:02.520 --> 00:44:03.970 You suspected that something,
- $1001\ 00:44:04.890 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.993$ if it's a blinded study, yes, you can.
- $1002\ 00:44:08.040 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.890$ You can amend your SAP.
- 1003 00:44:10.890 --> 00:44:12.960 That's not terribly uncommon.
- 1004 00:44:12.960 --> 00:44:14.040 For example, you might,
- 1005 00:44:14.040 --> 00:44:16.770 during the course of the study, still blinded,
- 1006 00:44:16.770 --> 00:44:18.960 you might learn new information,
- $1007\ 00:44:18.960 --> 00:44:20.790$ new published data may come out.
- $1008~00{:}44{:}20.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}23.730$ You might learn something about the baseline data
- 1009 00:44:23.730 --> 00:44:25.830 on your study, you know, the distribution
- $1010\ 00:44:25.830 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.980$ or something like that.
- $1011\ 00{:}44{:}27.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}32.310$ So as a result, you may wanna pivot what your SAP is
- 1012 00:44:32.310 --> 00:44:34.110 and if it's still blinded,
- 1013 00:44:34.110 --> 00:44:36.570 generally speaking, you could still do that
- $1014\ 00:44:36.570 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.343$ and it'd be used pre-specified.
- 1015 00:44:39.360 --> 00:44:40.193 <v Student>Thank you.</v>
- 1016 00:44:42.300 --> 00:44:43.950 <v ->Yes.</v>
- 1017 00:44:43.950 --> 00:44:45.570 <v Learner>I'm very sure that there should be</v>
- $1018\ 00{:}44{:}45.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}48.450$ many variables to consider when it comes to this study.
- $1019\ 00:44:48.450 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.080$ And in case of these small sample size studies,
- 1020 00:44:52.080 --> 00:44:54.240 I'm pretty sure that a stratification
- $1021\ 00:44:54.240 --> 00:44:57.360$ might really be inefficient
- $1022\ 00:44:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.810$ to contain all these variables at one place.
- 1023 00:45:00.810 --> 00:45:02.130 And I'm very curious,
- $1024\ 00{:}45{:}02.130 {\: -->\:} 00{:}45{:}05.010$ how do you actually like manage when it comes
- $1025\ 00:45:05.010 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.350$ to the small sample size
- 1026 00:45:06.350 --> 00:45:07.183 studies? <v -> Yeah.</v>

 $1027\ 00:45:07.183 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.310$ Yeah, also good question.

 $1028\ 00{:}45{:}08.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}11.280$ Again, I think this is a good area for more research.

 $1029\ 00:45:11.280 --> 00:45:13.473$ We had a group look at some simulations.

 $1030\ 00:45:14.654$ --> 00:45:17.730 Here's my qualitative assessment of what we found.

 $1031\ 00{:}45{:}17.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}20.970$ One, I think in general, people worry a bit too much

1032 00:45:20.970 --> 00:45:22.220 about what you're saying.

 $1033\ 00{:}45{:}23.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}26.880$ As long as like the marginals work out pretty well,

1034 00:45:26.880 --> 00:45:29.790 then you're actually probably still okay

 $1035\ 00:45:29.790 --> 00:45:31.683$ as far as stratification goes.

 $1036\ 00:45:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.353$ I think there's a bigger danger of bad luck imbalance.

 $1037\ 00:45:39.120 --> 00:45:40.800\ I\ don't\ wanna\ speculate\ too\ much,$

 $1038\ 00:45:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.040$ but there was a competitor that had a study come out,

 $1039\ 00:45:44.040 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.470$ rare disease, small study,

 $1040\ 00:45:46.470 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.540$ just by bad luck, they had some imbalance

 $1041\ 00:45:48.540 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.220$ in one other strata.

 $1042\ 00:45:50.220$ --> 00:45:55.110 And maybe it could be the reason why the study,

1043 00:45:55.110 --> 00:45:56.793 statistically speaking, failed.

 $1044\ 00:45:58.590 --> 00:46:03.590$ And so, yeah, here's my sports analogy, okay?

 $1045\ 00{:}46{:}04.020 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}46{:}07.500$ So small studies are kind of like a football game

 $1046\ 00:46:07.500 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.723$ where you're losing at the end of the game.

 $1047\ 00{:}46{:}10.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}13.920$ You wanna throw the ball 'cause you need to score, right?

 $1048\ 00:46:13.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.560$ The defense is going to be playing for that.

 $1049\ 00:46:16.560 --> 00:46:18.450$ They're gonna make it harder for you to do that,

1050 00:46:18.450 --> 00:46:20.580 but you need to do it anyhow, right?

 $1051\ 00:46:20.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.290$ That's kinda like the way stratification is.

1052 00:46:22.290 --> 00:46:24.600 Yes, it's harder to do it in a small study,

 $1053\ 00:46:24.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.690$ but you need to think about it and try to do it anyhow.

 $1054\ 00:46:27.690 --> 00:46:29.317$ 'Cause if you just throw your hands up and say,

 $1055\ 00:46:29.317 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.580$ "Eh, whatever," then you might have what happened to you,

 $1056\ 00:46:32.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.230$ what happened to this competitor.

 $1057\ 00{:}46{:}35.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39.240$ And so we actually wrote a program so you could simulate

 $1058~00{:}46{:}39.240$ --> $00{:}46{:}42.450$ and say, "Hey, from my study, I've got X patients,

 $1059\ 00:46:42.450 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.180$ these are the stratification factors.

 $1060\ 00:46:45.180 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.930$ What's gonna happen to my type one and type two error?"

1061 00:46:48.844 --> 00:46:50.790 But you are right that in principle,

 $1062\ 00:46:50.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.903$ you can't overdo it.

 $1063\ 00:46:52.800 --> 00:46:54.480$ I just think the point where you overdo it

 $1064\ 00:46:54.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.483$ is further out than most people think.

1065 00:47:01.860 --> 00:47:02.853 <v -> Two more minutes. </v>

 $1066\ 00:47:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.893$ Any other questions?

1067 00:47:07.830 --> 00:47:08.673 Or online?

 $1069\ 00:47:13.056 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.889 < v \longrightarrow We have < /v >$

1070~00:47:13.889 --> 00:47:14.981 < v Student>I have a question. </v>

1071 00:47:14.981 --> 00:47:17.475 <v ->I don't know how many people we have online.</v>

1072 00:47:17.475 --> 00:47:19.086 <v -> Let me just move to see if there's the chat.</v>

1073 00:47:19.086 --> 00:47:19.919 <v ->Do I?</v>

 $1074\ 00:47:21.091 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.924 < v \longrightarrow To pop up. < /v >$

 $1075\ 00:47:21.924 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.733 < v \longrightarrow Oh$, it would pop up? Okay.</v>

 $1076\ 00:47:24.720 --> 00:47:26.787$ That's doesn't look like we have any chat.

1077 00:47:26.787 --> 00:47:28.443 <v Student>Can I ask a question?</v>

1078 00:47:29.310 --> 00:47:31.290 So you mentioned time management

 $1079\ 00:47:31.290 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.203$ as an important skill obviously.

 $1080\ 00:47:35.400 --> 00:47:37.250$ Can you tell us about sort of what is

 $1081\ 00:47:39.050 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.030$ the work cycle of a biostatistician?

 $1082\ 00{:}47{:}42.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}46.470$ So are they working on many studies at one time?

 $1083\ 00{:}47{:}46.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}50.490$ Are they getting a lot of experience doing phase one

 $1084\ 00:47:50.490 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.693$ or what's the volume of which they're working on and how?

1085 00:47:55.950 --> 00:47:59.370 <
v ->Yeah, it's, as you expect it, you know, it depends.
</v>

 $1086~00{:}47{:}59.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02.620~\mathrm{I}$ mean what sort of study someone has assigned to you

 $1087\ 00:48:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.070$ is a little bit random.

 $1088\ 00:48:05.070 --> 00:48:07.680\ I$ mean what they need somebody to do.

 $1089\ 00:48:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.130$ It's not uncommon for people to be assigned to say

 $1090\ 00:48:11.130 --> 00:48:16.080$ two to five studies depending on how big they are,

 $1091\ 00:48:16.080 --> 00:48:19.113$ how short you are on people, et cetera, you know?

 $1092\ 00{:}48{:}20.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}22.710$ And so you have to try and manage that kind of work.

 $1093\ 00{:}48{:}22.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}25.470$ I was just talking about across those, you know,

 $1094\ 00:48:25.470 --> 00:48:27.630$ say two to five studies.

 $1095~00{:}48{:}27.630$ --> $00{:}48{:}32.630$ You also spend, I'd say roughly 10 to 20% of your time

 $1096\ 00:48:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.780$ doing non-project stuff.

1097 00:48:36.780 --> 00:48:40.050 Things I mentioned like the working groups,

1098 00:48:40.050 --> 00:48:41.670 maybe some independent research,

- $1099\ 00:48:41.670 --> 00:48:43.950$ maybe other kinda service to the department.
- 1100 00:48:43.950 --> 00:48:45.150 I mean, you know, obviously,
- $1101\ 00{:}48{:}45.150 --> 00{:}48{:}47.650$ I spend time interviewing people, stuff like that.
- $1102\ 00{:}48{:}48.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}53.040$ So that's kind of the breakdown of what people are doing.
- 1103 00:48:53.040 --> 00:48:54.510 <
v Student>And are they working in teams</br/>/v>
- $1104\ 00:48:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.370$ as statisticians or?
- 1105 00:48:56.370 --> 00:48:58.680 <
v ->Yeah, so you would have, you know, again,</br/>/v>
- 1106 00:48:58.680 --> 00:49:00.600 you have a project level, right?
- 1107 00:49:00.600 --> 00:49:02.400 So you would have a project statistician,
- 1108 00:49:02.400 --> 00:49:04.803 somebody who's somewhat more senior,
- $1109\ 00:49:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.740$ who manages the whole project.
- $1110\ 00:49:07.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.590$ And then under that person, you might have whatever,
- 1111 00:49:10.590 --> 00:49:12.210 you know, two, three, four,
- 1112 00:49:12.210 --> 00:49:14.490 depends how big the project is,
- $1113\ 00:49:14.490 --> 00:49:17.280$ statisticians who manage individual studies, right?
- 1114 00:49:17.280 --> 00:49:19.440 So you might have, you know, I don't know,
- 1115 00:49:19.440 --> 00:49:21.027 10 studies in the project, right?
- 1116 00:49:21.027 --> 00:49:23.640 And you might have three statisticians
- $1117\ 00{:}49{:}23.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}27.030$ who each have three each or something like that
- $1118\ 00:49:27.030 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.040$ reporting to that project statistician
- $1119\ 00:49:29.040 --> 00:49:33.363$ who's kinda doing the overall work on the drug.
- 1120 00:49:35.940 --> 00:49:37.050 <v -> All right.</v>
- $1121\ 00:49:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.203$ So thanks so much.
- $1122\ 00:49:41.250 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.390$ In the interest of time,
- 1123 00:49:42.390 --> 00:49:44.460 we're going to go ahead and stop here.

- $1124\ 00:49:44.460 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.160$ But let's thank our speaker again.
- $1125\ 00:49:50.070 --> 00:49:51.850 < v -> Great insight into the industry < / v >$
- $1126\ 00:49:53.850 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.580$ and have a wonderful day.
- 1127 00:49:56.580 --> 00:49:57.413 <v ->Sign in sheet.</v>
- 1128 00:49:57.413 --> 00:49:58.246 <-> Oh yeah.</v>
- $1129\ 00:49:58.246 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.550$ We have a sign in sheet.
- 1130 00:49:59.550 --> 00:50:02.068 (attendants chattering indistinctly)
- 1131 00:50:02.068 --> 00:50:02.901 Thank you.
- 1132 00:50:02.901 --> 00:50:07.318 (attendants chattering indistinctly)
- $1133\ 00:50:09.890 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.783$ So we got a couple of 'em up here.
- $1134\ 00:50:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.370$ You still need to sign in, please do.
- 1135 00:50:17.265 --> 00:50:18.098 <v ->The thing is that</v>
- 1136 00:50:18.098 --> 00:50:19.349 (student muttering indistinctly)
- 1137 00:50:19.349 --> 00:50:21.973 well, technically, have like four, five.
- 1138 00:50:21.973 --> 00:50:23.856 (students chattering indistinctly)