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#### Abstract

The \%MEDIATE macro calculates the point and interval estimates, as well as a p-value, for the percent mediation of one effect by one or more intermediate variables. The macro is designed for treatment effects estimated as relative risks in Cox regression survival analysis using PROC PHREG and for treatment effects from generalized linear models using PROC GENMOD. When fitting log-binomial models with PROC GENMOD, an option is available to improve model convergence. Keywords: SAS, macro, Cox models, proportional hazards regression, intermediate variable, percent of treatment effect explained, mediation proportion, generalized linear models, log-binomial models
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\section*{1 Description}

The \%MEDIATE macro computes the mediation proportion of one or more treatment or exposure effect(s) that are explained by the effect of the treatment or exposure on one or more intermediate variables. For example, the mediation proportion quantifies the extent to which the preventive effect of Lipitor on MI risk is mediated through Lipitor's effect on LDL cholesterol. The macro is designed for exposure effects estimated as relative risks in survival analysis using PROC PHREG in SAS or with effects estimated as regression slopes, or function thereof in generalized linear models using PROC GENMOD.


## 2 Invocation and Details

In order to run this macro, your program must know where to find it. You can tell SAS where to find macros by using the options
mautosource sasautos= <directories where macros are located>.

For example, at the Channing Lab, an options statement might be

```
options nocenter ps=78 ls=125 replace formdlim='['
mautosource
sasautos=('/usr/local/channing/sasautos',
    '/proj/nhsass/nhsas00/nhstools/sasautos);
```

This will allow you to use all the SAS read macros for the data sets (/proj/nhsass/nhsas00/nhstools/sasautos), as well as other public SAS macros, such as \%PM, \%INDIC3, \%EXCLUDE, \%LOGITR, and \%MPHREG9.

The macro call is
\%mediate(
General Options

```
DATA = The name of the dataset
    REQUIRED
ID = The name(s) of >= 1 variable(s) that uniquely
    identifies each record (e.g
    id or id period)
    REQUIRED
EXPOSURE = The main exposure or treatment variable of interest,
        expressed as ONE VARIABLE, or alternately you may use a set of
        indicators for an EXPOSURE.
        REQUIRED
INTERMED = The intermediate variable(s).
    This can be a set of indicators, or any other
    representation of the intermediate variable, such
    as a set of spline indicators.
    REQUIRED
COVARS = List of covariates in the model, if any
OPTIONAL
INTMISS = Whether you want to use missing indicators for
unknown values of the INTERMED variable vs the
model-specific complete case analysis.
Default=F
OPTIONAL
WHERE = A subsetting clause, if desired
    NOTE: if any of the variables named in WHERE is not
    among TIME, EVENT, EXPOSURE, INTERMED, COVARS, then
    they should be listed in EXTRAV (see next).
    OPTIONAL
EXTRAV = A list of variables used in the WHERE clause that
    are not part of the model or strata (see above)
    OPTIONAL
SURV = If this is a survival analysis set to T, if a
    generalized linear model, set to F (default=T)
    OPTIONAL
```

SURV=T Options

TIME = The survival time variable (time to outcome or censoring) REQUIRED if surv=T
EVENT = The event variable (1=yes, $0=$ no) REQUIRED if surv = T
STRATA = Strata for the PROC PHREG, if desired. These would usually be the same as the strata used in the original PROC PHREG or MPHREG9 analysis, typically AGEMO and year of questionnaire return. OPTIONAL
MODPRINT = Whether you want to print the results of the PROC PHREG used in the macro Default=F OPTIONAL
TIES = Ties option for phreg (default=breslow) OPTIONAL
PROCOPT = Procedure options for phreg OPTIONAL

```
MODOPT = Model options for phreg
OPTIONAL
```

SURV=F Options

| OUTCOME | $=$ The name of the dependent variable when surv (see above) = F REQUIRED if surv = F |
| :---: | :---: |
| TYPE | $=$ If using a log-binomial(relative risk) regression model, indicates if relrisk9 macro should be used to help with convergence. type=1 indicates that relrisk9 should be used. Type $=0$ indicates relrisk9 should not be used. (default=1). OPTIONAL |
| DIST | $=$ proc genmod distribution option for use with type=0 (default=nor) OPTIONAL |
| LINK | ```= proc genmod distribution option for use with type=0 (default=identity) OPTIONAL``` |
| RR2 | $=$ If using a log-binomial(relative risk) regression model, the percent mediation is normally calculated from the coefficients and is 1-(b/a) where $b$ is the coefficient of the EXPOSURE in the model with the proposed mediator (s), and a is the coefficient of the EXPOSURE in the model without the proposed mediator (s). <br> Setting RR2=1 tells the macro to calculate the mediation proportion from the relative risks using a method described in the literature (RRa-RRb)/(RRa-1). One issue with this estimator is that it depends on whether the EXPOSURE is coded as a risk factor or a protective factor. The results of this estimator are displayed in addition to the percent mediation, and are labeled pctmed_RR. <br> Another alternative calculation method using the relative risks is also reported, calculating $1-\mathrm{RRb} / \mathrm{RRa}$. <br> This method gives does not depend on the coding of EXPOSURE, but is a new idea, not described in the literature. <br> The results are labeled pctmed_RR2_alt. |
| debugdv | $=$ Option is used for debugging. Tells macro to print the genmod dist and type options used in the final model. This is useful for determining if the log-binomial model converged or if the log-poisson model was used instead. (optional). |

The macro checks for two inconsistencies in the results. First, it makes sure that the model has converged. (If it does not, an ERROR message is printed instead of the usual output.) Second, it checks whether the calculated percent mediation is between $0 \%$ and $100 \%$. If it is not, then the model estimates are printed without the calculated effect, and the macro gives an "ERROR in macro run" message, saying "INTERMED is not intermediate to EXPOSURE."

## 3 PHREG Examples

In the examples, we are interested in the effect of whole grain consumption on the incidence of type II diabetes in NHS, and how much of that effect is mediated by the effect of whole grain consumption on lowering BMI.
The original analysis was done in \%MPHREG9 (stratified by age in years and time period). The variable
for the number of grams per day of whole grain (divided by 40) is WGRAIN40. The variable for BMI is the set of indicators \&BMIC_. The covariates were sets of indicators for coffee consumption (COF), alcohol consumption (ALCC), activity (PACUMQ), family history of diabetes (FAMDB), smoking (SMKC), hormone replacement therapy (PMHC), polyunsaturated to saturated fat ratio (PSRAT), OC use (OC_EVER), energy (CAL), processed meat (PRMEAT), carbonated beverage consumption (SOD), non-carbonated soft drink consumption (PUN). Continuous variables were modeled as quintile indicators.

Before using the whole grain variable as a continuous variable, we checked that there were no outliers by running \%DESCR8, which shows the outlier bounds, as well as the minimum and maximum values of the variable(s).

Just to see what would happen, we ran the macro with the whole grain variable in its original (continuous) form, as well as using the trend variable from the quintile analysis. To show the effect of INTMISS, we ran the macro with INTMISS $=\mathrm{T}$ and INTMISS $=\mathrm{F}$. We also used the whole data set and the dataset restricted to the $92 \%$ of the observations with non-missing BMI (BMI_UP).

NOTE: Because the \%MEDIATE macro makes a very large dataset when used with PHREG models, it is a good idea to submit the job asking for extra memory and disk space.

### 3.1 Example 1. Original continuous variable, INTMISS $=\mathrm{T}$, all data

The first macro call was

```
title2 'all data, wgrain40, with missing indicator';
%mediate(data=all, exposure=wgrain40, intermed=bmi_up, id=id interval,
    time=tdb, event=dbcase,
intmiss=t,
strata=interval agemo,
covars= &calr_ famdb &pmhc_ &smkc_ &pacumq_ &alcc_ oc_ever
&cof_ &prmeat_ &sod_ &pun_ &psrat_ );
```

The result was

```
/udd/stleh/doctn/mediate Program wgrnhs 21JUN2016 11:25 stleh
4
all data, wgrain40, with missing indicator
```

Effect for TIME TO EVENT: tdb , OUTCOME: dbcase
stratified by: interval agemo
Percent of WGRAIN40 effect mediated by BMI_UP
ADJUSTED FOR: calr1 calr2 calr3 calr4 famdb pmhc2 pmhc3 pmhc4 pmhcm smkc2 sm
kc3 smkc4 smkc5 smkcm pacumq2 pacumq3 pacumq4 pacumq5 pacumqm alcc2 alcc3 alc
c4 oc_ever cof1 cof2 cof3 cof4 prmeat1 prmeat2 prmeat3 prmeat and other varia
bles
Missing indicator used for missing values of bmi_up
629353 OBSERVATIONS read from data ALL.
629353 OBSERVATIONS used without
bmi_up
in the model.

```
6 2 9 3 5 3 ~ O B S E R V A T I O N S ~ u s e d ~ w i t h ~
    bmi_up
    in the model.
```

Exposure effect NOT ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates
bmi_up:
0.53 ( 0.47 -- 0.59)
Exposure effect ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates
bmi_up:
0.66 ( 0.60 -- 0.74 )
Percent of WGRAIN40 effect MEDIATED BY
bmi_up:

$$
\text { Percent mediation }=36.0 \%(29.8 \%--\quad 42.8 \%) \quad p=<.0001
$$

### 3.2 Example 2. Original continuous variable, INTMISS=F, all data

The second macro call was

```
title2 'all data, wgrain40, no mising indicator';
%mediate(data=all, exposure=wgrain40, intermed=bmi_up, id=id interval,
time=tdb, event=dbcase,
intmiss=f,
strata=interval agemo,
covars= &calr_ famdb &pmhc_ &smkc_ &pacumq_ &alcc_ oc_ever
&cof_ &prmeat_ &sod_ &pun_ &psrat_ );
```

and the MEDIATE results are
/udd/stleh/doctn/mediate Program wgrnhs
all data, wgrain40, no mising indicator

```
Effect for TIME TO EVENT: tdb , OUTCOME: dbcase
    stratified by: interval agemo
Percent of WGRAIN40 effect mediated by BMI_UP
ADJUSTED FOR: calr1 calr2 calr3 calr4 famdb pmhc2 pmhc3 pmhc4 pmhcm smkc2 sm
kc3 smkc4 smkc5 smkcm pacumq2 pacumq3 pacumq4 pacumq5 pacumqm alcc2 alcc3 alc
c4 oc_ever cof1 cof2 cof3 cof4 prmeat1 prmeat2 prmeat3 prmeat and other varia
bles
            6 2 9 3 5 3 ~ O B S E R V A T I O N S ~ r e a d ~ f r o m ~ d a t a ~ A L L .
6 2 9 3 5 3 ~ O B S E R V A T I O N S ~ u s e d ~ w i t h o u t
    bmi_up
    in the model.
5 7 8 9 4 4 ~ O B S E R V A T I O N S ~ u s e d ~ w i t h ~
    bmi_up
    in the model.
```

```
    bmi_up:
        0.53 ( 0.47 -- 0.59)
Exposure effect ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates
    bmi_up:
        0.66 ( 0.59 -- 0.74)
Percent of WGRAIN40 effect MEDIATED BY
        bmi_up:
            Percent mediation = 34.1% ( 26.2% -- 43.0%) p = <.0001
```

Because INTMISS $=\mathrm{F}$, different numbers of observations were used for the two analyses (models with and without bmi_up). The reported RRs are nevertheless the same, to two decimal places, compared to Example 1, where the missing indicator method was used for BMI_UP and the same data was used to estimate the RRs in both models. The percent mediated has changed a bit, however.

### 3.3 Example 3. Excluding all observations with missing BMI from the data

We used the WHERE parameter to exclude observations with missing BMI. The macro call is

```
title2 'all data where bmi_up ne ., wgrain40';
%mediate(data=all, exposure=wgrain40, intermed=bmi_up, id=id interval,
where=bmi_up ne . ,
time=tdb, event=dbcase,
intmiss=f,
strata=interval agemo,
covars= &calr_ famdb &pmhc_ &smkc_ &pacumq_ &alcc_ oc_ever
&cof_ &prmeat_ &sod_ &pun_ &psrat_ );
```

The results of running \%MEDIATE (with INTMISS=F, of course) on this datasets were
/udd/stleh/doctn/mediate Program wgrnhs 21JUN2016 11:55 stleh 4 all data where bmi_up ne ., wgrain40

Effect for TIME TO EVENT: tdb , OUTCOME: dbcase
stratified by: interval agemo
restricted to: bmi_up ne .
Percent of WGRAIN40 effect mediated by BMI_UP
ADJUSTED FOR: calr1 calr2 calr3 calr4 famdb pmhc2 pmhc3 pmhc4 pmhcm smkc2 sm kc3 smkc4 smkc5 smkcm pacumq2 pacumq3 pacumq4 pacumq5 pacumqm alcc2 alcc3 alc c4 oc_ever cof1 cof2 cof3 cof4 prmeat1 prmeat2 prmeat3 prmeat and other varia bles

578944 OBSERVATIONS read from data ALL where bmi_up ne .:
578944 OBSERVATIONS used without
bmi_up
in the model.
578944 OBSERVATIONS used with
bmi_up

```
    in the model.
Exposure effect NOT ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates
    bmi_up:
        0.51 ( 0.45 -- 0.57)
Exposure effect ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates
    bmi_up:
        0.66 ( 0.59 -- 0.74)
Percent of WGRAIN40 effect MEDIATED BY
        bmi_up:
            Percent mediation = 37.9% ( 31.2% -- 45.0%) p = <.0001
```

Since we eliminated all the observations with missing BMI_UP using the WHERE parameter, we have the same number of observations with and without the proposed mediator. The estimate of the exposure effect unadjusted for the hypothesized intermediate has changed a bit, and so has the percent mediated.
This is an alternative approach when there are missing data in the intermediate. There is no general right answer as to which method is better - each makes somewhat different empirically unverifiable assumptions about the nature of confounding and of the missing data mechanisms.

### 3.4 Example 4. Using trend variable instead of original continuous variable

In this example, we also exclude missing bmi up using the WHERE parameter, but the exposure variable is WGRAINT40 (the median score of quintile-divided by 40). The result is
/udd/stleh/doctn/mediate Program wgrnhs 21JUN2016 13:10 stleh 4 all data, wgraint40 (trend variable), with missing indicator

```
Effect for TIME TO EVENT: tdb , OUTCOME: dbcase
    stratified by: interval agemo
    restricted to: bmi_up ne .
Percent of WGRAINT40 effect mediated by BMI_UP
ADJUSTED FOR: calr1 calr2 calr3 calr4 famdb pmhc2 pmhc3 pmhc4 pmhcm smkc2 sm
kc3 smkc4 smkc5 smkcm pacumq2 pacumq3 pacumq4 pacumq5 pacumqm alcc2 alcc3 alc
c4 oc_ever cof1 cof2 cof3 cof4 prmeat1 prmeat2 prmeat3 prmeat and other varia
bles
    5 7 8 9 4 4 \text { OBSERVATIONS read from data ALL where bmi_up ne .:}
5 7 8 9 4 4 \text { OBSERVATIONS used without}
    bmi_up
    in the model.
5 7 8 9 4 4 ~ O B S E R V A T I O N S ~ u s e d ~ w i t h ~
    bmi_up
    in the model.
Exposure effect NOT ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates
    bmi_up:
            0.46 ( 0.41 -- 0.52)
Exposure effect ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates
```

```
    bmi_up:
            0.62 ( 0.55 -- 0.70)
Percent of WGRAINT40 effect MEDIATED BY
        bmi_up:
        Percent mediation = 38.1%( 31.3% -- 45.3%) p = <.0001
```

In this case, both effect estimates are somewhat different from those using the original continuous variable (Example 3).

## 4 GENMOD Examples

In these examples, we are interested in the effect of sexual orientation on the incidence of early onset of tobacco use by age 14 in NHS II, and how much of that effect is mediated through the effect of childhood physical and sexual abuse (Jun et al. 2010). We will examine both a binary outcome for smoking before age 15, as well as, a continuous outcome for average number of cigarettes per day before age 15 .

The binary variable for smokers who start smoking before age 15 is agesmk13. The continuous variable for cigarettes per day before age 15 is cigcon1589. The variable for sexual orientation is the set of indicators lesbia and bisexu. The variable for abuse is the set of indicators vioc01v2, vioc01v3, vioc01v4, sexc01v2 and sexc01v3. Other included covariates are age89, black5, hispa5, asian5, orace5, racem, st15midw2, st15south2, st15west2, st15mnus, dadsm99, momsm99, botsm99, missm99, msomcol, mhigrad, mlthigr and mdkmiss.

The dataset was restricted to observations with non-missing abuse data, so INTMISS remained at its default value(F).

### 4.1 Example 1. Binary outcome

Here we calculate what proportion of the relative risk of early smoking initiation in relation to sexual orientation (lesbian, bisexual) is mediated by experiences of childhood physical and sexual abuse, after adjusting for confounders by other risk factors for early smoking. We do so using the binary smoking variable agesmk13.

The macro call was

```
title2 'type=1, surv=f';
%mediate(data=smkini_13, id=id, exposure= lesbia bisexu,
intermed= vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3,
covars=age89 black5 hispa5 asian5 orace5 racem st15midw2 st15south2 st15west2 st15mnus
dadsm99 momsm99 botsm99 missm99 msomcol mhigrad mlthigr mdkmiss,
intmiss=F, outcome =agesmk13, modprint=t, type = 1, surv=f);
```

The result was

```
Effect for OUTCOME: agesmk13:
Percent of LESBIA effect mediated by VIOC01V2 VIOC01V3 VIOC01V4 SEXC01V2 SEXC01V
3
ADJUSTED FOR: age89 black5 hispa5 asian5 orace5 racem st15midw2 st15south2 st15
west2 st15mnus dadsm99 momsm99 botsm99 missm99 msomcol mhigrad mlthigr mdkmiss
Exposure effect NOT ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates
        vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:
            2.05 ( 1.57 -- 2.67)
Exposure effect ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates
        vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:
            1.82 ( 1.40 -- 2.38)
Percent of LESBIA effect MEDIATED BY
    vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:
            Percent mediation = 16.5% ( 9.7% -- 26.6%) p = <.0001
Effect for OUTCOME: agesmk13:
Percent of BISEXU effect mediated by VIOC01V2 VIOC01V3 VIOC01V4 SEXC01V2 SEXC01V 3
ADJUSTED FOR: age89 black5 hispa5 asian5 orace5 racem st15midw2 st15south2 st15 west2 st15mnus dadsm99 momsm99 botsm99 missm99 msomcol mhigrad mlthigr mdkmiss Exposure effect NOT ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates
vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:
```

2.85 ( 2.08 --
3.89)

```
Exposure effect ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates
vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:
2.62 ( 1.92 -- 3.56)
Percent of BISEXU effect MEDIATED BY
vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:
Percent mediation \(=8.1 \%(4.0 \%--15.8 \%) \quad p=0.0019\)
```

So the effect of childhood physical activity and sexual abuse could explain $16 \%$ of the effect of sexual orientation on early onset smoking for lesbian women and is highly significant with p less than 0.0001 . Additionally, the effect of childhood physical and sexual abuse could explain $8 \%$ of the effect in bisexual women and again is highly significant with $\mathrm{p}=0.002$.

### 4.2 Example 2. Continuous outcome

As in Example 1, we calculate the percent of the effect of sexual orientation (lesbian, bisexual) on the continuous outcome cigcon1589 (average number of cigarettes smoked per day before age 15) by experiences of childhood physical and sexual abuse, after adjusting for confounding by other risk factors for early smoking.

The macro call was

```
title2 'type=0, surv=f';
%mediate(data=smkrs_13, id=id, exposure=lesbia bisexu,
intermed=vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3,
covars=age89 black5 hispa5 asian5 orace5 racem st15midw2 st15south2 st15west2 st15mnus
dadsm99 momsm99 botsm99 missm99 msomcol mhigrad mlthigr mdkmiss,
intmiss=F, outcome=cigcon1589, type=0, surv=f);
```

the result was

```
Effect for OUTCOME: cigcon1589:
Percent of BISEXU effect mediated by VIOC01V2 VIOC01V3 VIOC01V4 SEXC01V2 SEXC01V3
ADJUSTED FOR: age89 black5 hispa5 asian5 orace5 racem st15midw2 st15south2 st15west2 st15mnus dac
9 msomcol mhigrad mlthigr mdkmiss
Exposure effect NOT ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates
    vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:
            1.13 ( -1.54 -- 3.80)
Exposure effect ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates
    vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:
            0.85 ( -1.82 -- 3.51)
Percent of BISEXU effect MEDIATED BY
    vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:
            Percent mediation = 25.1% ( 1.1% -- 90.6%) p = 0.0342
```

In this example, among lesbian women, (exposure1 / exposure2) was not between 0 and 1 . That is, the effect of being a lesbian on early initiation of smoking was larger when adjusting for the hypothesized intermediates than not. Since intermediate variables must always attenuate the effect of an exposure, this analysis suggests that childhood abuse is not intermediate in this case. The effect of sexual orientation on childhood physical and sexual abuse was responsible for $25 \%$ of the effect of sexual orientation on cigarettes per day before age 15 for bisexual women. not significant ( $\mathrm{p}=0.44$ ).

### 4.3 Example 3. Use of Type=1 option to improve convergence in relative risk regression

Here, we will examine what happens when the log-binomial model fails to converge when using the type=1 option to use the the log-poisson model is used instead, with robust variance to guarantee valid asymptotic inference. For this example, we will examine the effect of sexual orientation on the incidence of use of Alcohol at age 15-17 (variable alco1589) in NHS II, and again, will look at how much of that effect is mediated by the effect of childhood physical and sexual abuse.

Note: For this example, we have set debugdv=1. This option tells the macro to print the genmod dist and type options used in the final model. We will use this option here in order to better understand what is going on.

The macro call was

```
title2 'type=1, surv=f, debugdv=1';
%mediate(data=alcoh_15, id=id, exposure=lesbia bisexu,
intermed=vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3,
covars=age89 black5 hispa5 asian5 orace5 racem st15midw2 st15south2 st15west2 st15mnus
dadsm99 momsm99 botsm99 missm99 msomcol mhigrad mlthigr mdkmiss,
intmiss=F, outcome=alco1589, modprint=T, type=1, surv=f, debugdv=1);
```

The result is
note: proc genmod was run using dist $=$ poisson and type $=$ IND

Effect for OUTCOME: alco1589:
Percent of LESBIA effect mediated by VIOC01V2 VIOCO1V3 VIOC01V4 SEXCO1V2 SEXCO1V 3

ADJUSTED FOR: age89 black5 hispa5 asian5 orace5 racem st15midw2 st15south2 st15 west2 st15mnus dadsm99 momsm99 botsm99 missm99 msomcol mhigrad mlthigr mdkmiss Exposure effect NOT ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:
1.50 ( 1.32 -- 1.71)

Exposure effect ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:

$$
1.46(1.28--\quad 1.66)
$$

Percent of LESBIA effect MEDIATED BY
vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:
Percent mediation $=7.3 \%(4.3 \%$-- $11.9 \%) \quad \mathrm{p}=<.0001$

```
note: proc genmod was run using dist = poisson and type = IND
```

Effect for OUTCOME: alco1589:
Percent of BISEXU effect mediated by VIOC01V2 VIOC01V3 VIOC01V4 SEXC01V2 SEXC01V 3

ADJUSTED FOR: age89 black5 hispa5 asian5 orace5 racem st15midw2 st15south2 st15 west2 st15mnus dadsm99 momsm99 botsm99 missm99 msomcol mhigrad mlthigr mdkmiss Exposure effect NOT ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:

$$
1.54 \text { ( } 1.30--1.83)
$$

Exposure effect ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:

$$
1.51(1.27--\quad 1.79)
$$

Percent of BISEXU effect MEDIATED BY vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:

$$
\text { Percent mediation }=5.5 \%(2.7 \%--10.7 \%) \quad p=0.0007
$$

By choosing the option debugdv=1, we see a note in the log (note: proc genmod was run using dist = poisson and type $=$ IND), saying that because the log-binomial model did not converge, the log-poisson model was used instead. These errors may be ignored and the final results provided by the macro are valid.

Further examination of the log reveals a set of warnings and errors resulting from the log-binomial run

WARNING: The specified model did not converge.
ERROR: The mean parameter is either invalid or at a limit of its range for some observations.

WARNING: Output 'geencov' was not created. Make sure that the output object name, label, or path is spelled correctly. Also, verify that the appropriate procedure options are used to produce the requested output object. For example, verify that the NOPRINT option is not used.

WARNING: Output 'GEENCorr' was not created. Make sure that the output object name, label, or path is spelled correctly. Also, verify that the appropriate procedure options are used to produce the requested output object. For example, verify that the NOPRINT option is not used.
WARNING: Output 'GEERCorr' was not created. Make sure that the output object name, label, or path is spelled correctly. Also, verify that the appropriate procedure options are used to produce the requested output object. For example, verify that the NOPRINT option is not used.
WARNING: Output 'geercov' was not created. Make sure that the output object name, label, or path is spelled correctly. Also, verify that the appropriate procedure options are used to produce the requested output object. For example, verify that the NOPRINT option is not used.
WARNING: Output 'geencov' was not created. Make sure that the output object name, label, or path is spelled correctly. Also, verify that the appropriate procedure options are used to produce the requested output object. For example, verify that the NOPRINT option is not used.
WARNING: Output 'GEEModInfo' was not created. Make sure that the output object name, label, or path is spelled correctly. Also, verify that the appropriate procedure options are used to produce the requested output object. For example, verify that the NOPRINT option is not used.
WARNING: Output 'GEEEmpPEST' was not created. Make sure that the output object name, label, or path is spelled correctly. Also, verify that the appropriate procedure options are used to produce the requested output object. For example, verify that the NOPRINT option is not used.
WARNING: Output 'GEEfitcriteria' was not created. Make sure that the output object name, label, or path is spelled correctly. Also, verify that the appropriate procedure options are used to produce the requested output object. For example, verify that the NOPRINT option is not used.
WARNING: Data set WORK.PPAR was not replaced because this step was stopped.

### 4.4 Example 4. Using the Relative Risk option

Here, we will we will use the same model used in example 3, where we looked at the effect of sexual orientation on the incidence of Alcohol at age 15-17 (variable alco1589) in NHS II, to determine how much
of that effect is mediated through the effect of childhood physical and sexual abuse. Here however, we will use the RR2 option.

The macro call is

```
title2 'type=1, surv=f, debugdv=1';
%mediate(data=alcoh_15, id=id, exposure=lesbia bisexu,
intermed=vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3,
covars=age89 black5 hispa5 asian5 orace5 racem st15midw2 st15south2 st15west2 st15mnus
dadsm99 momsm99 botsm99 missm99 msomcol mhigrad mlthigr mdkmiss,
intmiss=F, outcome=alco1589, modprint=T, type=1, surv=f, rr2=1);
```

and the results are

Effect for OUTCOME: alco1589:
Percent of LESBIA effect mediated by VIOC01V2 VIOC01V3 VIOC01V4 SEXC01V2 SEXC01V 3
ADJUSTED FOR: age89 black5 hispa5 asian5 orace5 racem st15midw2 st15south2 st15 west2 st15mnus dadsm99 momsm99 botsm99 missm99 msomcol mhigrad mlthigr mdkmiss Exposure effect NOT ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:

$$
1.50(1.32--\quad 1.71)
$$

Exposure effect ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:
1.46 ( 1.28 --
1.66)

Percent of LESBIA effect MEDIATED BY
vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:

| Percent mediation | 7.3\% ( 4.3\% -- | $11.9 \%) \quad \mathrm{p}=<.0001$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PMed_RR = 8.7\% ( | 5.4\% -- 13.8\%) | $p=<.0001$ |
| PMed_RR_alt = 2.9\% | 1.9010382629 \% -- | . $4652352842 \%$ ) $\mathrm{p}=<.0001$ |

PMed_RR_alt is experimental and should probably not be reported.
,

Effect for OUTCOME: alco1589:
Percent of BISEXU effect mediated by VIOC01V2 VIOC01V3 VIOC01V4 SEXC01V2 SEXC01V 3

ADJUSTED FOR: age89 black5 hispa5 asian5 orace5 racem st15midw2 st15south2 st15 west2 st15mnus dadsm99 momsm99 botsm99 missm99 msomcol mhigrad mlthigr mdkmiss Exposure effect NOT ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:

```
    1.54 ( 1.30 -- 1.83)
Exposure effect ADJUSTED FOR the hypothesized intermediates
        vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:
            1.51 ( 1.27 -- 1.79)
Percent of BISEXU effect MEDIATED BY
        vioc01v2 vioc01v3 vioc01v4 sexc01v2 sexc01v3:
            Percent mediation = 5.5% ( 2.7%-- 10.7%) p = 0.0007
            PMed_RR = 6.7% ( 3.5% -- 12.5%) p = 0.0012
            PMed_RR_alt = 2.3% (1.2746956243 % -- 4.2617225168 %) p = 0.0006
```

PMed_RR_alt is experimental and should probably not be reported.

## 5 Frequently Asked Questions

### 5.1 Q: In the variable have $R R=1.00$, but the percent mediated is not $0 \%$

A: This is a rounding issue. The macro output rounds the RRs to 2 decimal places. If both RRs are at least .995 , they round to 1.00 . But one might be .996 and the other might be .999 . This is why we used wgrain 40 in our models rather than the original variable wgrain (i.e. to give reasonable values away from 1 for the point estimates and to show they were different from each other).

## 6 Computational Methods

The macro compares a full model (Model 1) that includes the exposure, an intermediate variable and any covariates with a partial model (Model 2) that leaves out the intermediate variable. Following Lin et al.(1997) the macro first makes a duplicate of each record, which results in one record for model 1 and one record for model 2 . It also makes a duplicate of the exposure, intermediate and covariate variables in each of the above records, plus intercepts for non-survival data.. This creates, for example, the variables exposure1 and exposure2, intermed1 and intermed2, etc. In the records for model 1, the first set of variables have the original values. The second set of variables are all set to zero. Conversely, in the records for model 2, the first set of variables are all set to zero. All of the second set of variables are set to the original values with one exception: the intermediate variable (intermed2) is always set to zero. If INTMISS $=\mathrm{T}$, a missing indicator is added to the full model when the intermediate variable is missing in the full model (model 1). The intermediate variable is set to zero, rather than missing. The missing indicator is set to one if the intermediate variable was missing and zero otherwise. Both variables are zero in the partial model (model 2).

The basic PHREG call is written as follows:

```
proc PHREG data = duplicated_dataset outest = estims;
strata modelnum;
model time * event(0) =
    exposure1 exposure2 intermed1 intermed2 covar1 covar2;
output out = dfbs dfbeta = dt1 - dt2;
id ids;
```

In this code, the variable modelnum is the model number and has a value of 1 or 2 . By this method, both the models (with and without the intermediate variable) are run simultaneously. The PHREG call produces two output datasets. The first, ESTIMS, contains the estimates of the regression coefficients. The second output dataset, DFBS, contains the dfbetas for exposure1 and exposure2. The dfbetas are used to calculate the covariance matrix between the two models. Briefly, this is achieved by converting the data
into a matrix and then, using PROC IML, the transposition of this matrix is multiplied by the original matrix to produce the 2 X 2 covariance matrix.
This above processing is adapted from Example 54.8 (Analysis of Recurrent Events Data) in the SAS manual chapter for PROC PHREG [SAS Manual, SAS V9 Stat Users Guide Volume 5, pp 3304-3314]. From the coefficient estimates in ESTIMS the mediated proportion by the intermediate variable can be calculated by the following formula.

```
PctMed = (1 - (estimate for exposure2 / estimate for exposure1)) * 100
```

To calculate the standard error of the above estimate for PctMed we use equation 5 on page 1519 of Lin et al. [Statistics in Medicine, Vol. 16, 1515-1527 (1997)]. This calculation uses the values from ESTIMS as well as the covariance matrix described above. To improve asymptotic behavior, we transform using Fisher's z transformation and the delta method to get the $95 \%$ confidence limits of the transformed variable, then back-transform to report the $95 \%$ confidence interval on the original scale.

### 6.1 Additional calculations

The macro can create a missing indicator for the intermediate variable. In the model 1 record, if the intermediate variable is missing, it will instead be set to zero and the missing indicator variable will have a value of one. If the intermediate variable is not missing, it will keep its value and the missing indicator will have a value of zero. In the model 2 record, both the intermediate variable and its missing indicator are always set to zero.

The macro checks for two inconsistencies in the results. First, it makes sure that the model has converged. (If it does not, a warning message is printed instead of the usual output.) Second, it checks whether the calculated percent of treatment effect explained is between $0 \%$ and $100 \%$. If it is not, then the model estimates are printed without the calculated effect.

### 6.2 Computer time

Each of the example runs took about 20 minutes of cpu time on machines with processing speeds of . 75 to 1.2 GHz . More than $80 \%$ of this time was used in the PROC PHREG step in which the dfbetas were made.

## 7 Credits

Written by Mathew Pazaris, Ellen Hertzmark, Jim Fauntleroy, Sally Skinner, Denise Jacobson (currently or formerly of Tufts University), and Donna Spiegelman for the Channing Laboratory. Questions can be directed to Mathew Pazaris stmjp@channing.harvard.edu
or Ellen Hertzmark stleh@channing.harvard.edu,(617) 432-4597.
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