WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:51:40.970" NOTE Confidence: 0.8664492

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.439$ I'm very pleased to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664492

00:00:01.439 --> 00:00:03.360 our speaker, Doctor. Li Jing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664492

 $00:00:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.220$ Yang, who is a PhD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664492

00:00:05.279 --> 00:00:07.200 Miles per hour, professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664492

 $00:00:07.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.480$ global health and head of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664492

 $00:00:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.820$ the non communicable chronic disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664492

 $00{:}00{:}10.880 --> 00{:}00{:}11.380 \ \mathrm{research}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9789416

00:00:11.759 --> 00:00:13.360 at the Global Health Research

NOTE Confidence: 0.9789416

 $00:00:13.360 --> 00:00:13.860 \ {\rm Center}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9401553

 $00:00:14.215 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.755$ and director of the implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696546

 $00{:}00{:}16.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18.235$ science research on noncommunicable

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933868

 $00{:}00{:}18.855 --> 00{:}00{:}19.355 \ \mathrm{diseases}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959059

00:00:19.655 --> 00:00:20.715 management laboratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.8841894

00:00:21.255 --> 00:00:23.415 called Iron Man Lab at

00:00:23.415 --> 00:00:24.954 Duke Kunshan University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9913454

00:00:25.494 --> 00:00:26.454 And maybe you can tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.9913454

 $00:00:26.454 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.335$ us a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9913454

 $00:00:27.335 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.675$ what Duke Kunshan University is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472534

 $00:00:30.110 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.770$ as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94033253

 $00:00:31.230 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.430$ Li Jing is currently an

NOTE Confidence: 0.94033253

00:00:32.430 --> 00:00:34.930 adjunct professor at Beijing University

NOTE Confidence: 0.89910865

 $00:00:35.390 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.909$ Institute for Global Health and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89910865

00:00:36.909 --> 00:00:37.409 Development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9450532

00:00:38.430 --> 00:00:39.630 The School of Public Health

NOTE Confidence: 0.9450532

00:00:39.630 --> 00:00:40.930 is Wuhan University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97598505

 $00:00:41.790 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.790$ Duke Global Health Institute, Duke

NOTE Confidence: 0.97598505

00:00:43.790 --> 00:00:45.495 University in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9566971

 $00:00:45.975 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.434$ and the Department of Preventive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9566971

00:00:47.495 --> 00:00:49.495 Medicine at the Feinberg School

NOTE Confidence: 0.9566971

 $00:00:49.495 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.835$ of Medicine at Northwestern University

 $00{:}00{:}52.375 --> 00{:}00{:}53.114$ in Chicago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986595

 $00{:}00{:}53.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.195$ Quite a lot of affiliations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94036746

00:00:56.695 --> 00:00:58.135 Previously, she was the director

NOTE Confidence: 0.94036746

 $00:00:58.135 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.495$ of graduate studies for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94036746

 $00:00:59.495 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.090$ master of science in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94036746

 $00{:}01{:}01.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}02.690$ global health program at Duke

NOTE Confidence: 0.94036746

00:01:02.690 --> 00:01:03.750 Kunshan University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9602711

 $00:01:04.369 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.890$ the deputy director of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9602711

 $00:01:05.890 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.650$ George Institute for Global Health

NOTE Confidence: 0.9602711

 $00:01:07.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.950$ at Beijing University

NOTE Confidence: 0.9371309

00:01:09.409 --> 00:01:10.630 Health Science Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.903355

 $00:01:10.930 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.369$ and director of the China

NOTE Confidence: 0.903355

 $00:01:12.369 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.549$ International Center for chronic disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.99313825

00:01:14.885 --> 00:01:16.805 Prevention, a large network of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99313825

 $00:01:16.805 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.705$ five international

 $00:01:18.165 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.225$ academic institutions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9753521

 $00{:}01{:}19.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}21.785$ and seven Chinese institutions

NOTE Confidence: 0.97176266

 $00{:}01{:}22.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}24.725$ dedicated to combat NCDs in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97176266

 $00:01:24.725 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.225$ China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99457806

 $00:01:25.925 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.385$ She is the former secretary

NOTE Confidence: 0.99457806

00:01:27.525 --> 00:01:28.825 general of the China

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811387

 $00:01:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.290$ Consortium of Universities for Global

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811387

00:01:31.290 --> 00:01:33.310 Health and the current standing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811387

00:01:33.370 --> 00:01:34.729 council member of the Global

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811387

00:01:34.729 --> 00:01:35.550 Health Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.9787514

 $00{:}01{:}35.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}37.610$ and the NCD Prevention and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9787514

00:01:37.610 --> 00:01:39.690 Control Society of the Chinese

NOTE Confidence: 0.9787514

 $00:01:39.690 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.190$ Preventive

NOTE Confidence: 0.97786

 $00:01:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.790$ Medicine Association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941308

 $00:01:42.475 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.755$ She has a bachelor's degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941308

 $00:01:43.755 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.495$ in sociology

00:01:44.875 --> 00:01:46.334 from Beijing University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9068249

 $00{:}01{:}46.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}48.075$ a master of public health

NOTE Confidence: 0.9068249

 $00:01:48.075 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.815$ and epidemiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.991272

 $00:01:49.115 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.575$ and a doctorate in demography

NOTE Confidence: 0.99608296

 $00:01:51.035 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.815$ from the University of California

NOTE Confidence: 0.99608296

 $00:01:52.955 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.615$ at Berkeley.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9912105

 $00:01:54.155 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.775$ Her main areas of research

NOTE Confidence: 0.9912105

 $00:01:55.835 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.740$ are primary care and community

NOTE Confidence: 0.9912105

 $00:01:57.740 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.660$ based chronic disease prevention and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9912105

00:01:59.660 --> 00:02:00.160 control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99789435

 $00:02:00.540 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.600$ including hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982923

 $00:02:02.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.560$ stroke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95707846

 $00:02:03.100 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.480$ heart disease and diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98275554

00:02:04.940 --> 00:02:07.200 healthy aging, digital health innovations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99912775

 $00:02:07.740 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.480$ and implementation

 $00:02:08.940 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.440$ science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715439

 $00{:}02{:}09.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}11.425$ She is the principal investigator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715439

00:02:11.485 --> 00:02:13.165 a co investigator of over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8715439

00:02:13.165 --> 00:02:15.425 twenty US NIH and UK

NOTE Confidence: 0.96363

00:02:15.885 --> 00:02:17.965 MRC funded and China funded

NOTE Confidence: 0.96363

 $00:02:17.965 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.945$ research grants

NOTE Confidence: 0.9210178

 $00{:}02{:}19.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.165$ totaling over ten million US

NOTE Confidence: 0.9210178

 $00:02:21.165 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.665$ dollars.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770255

 $00{:}02{:}22.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.389$ She has published over two

NOTE Confidence: 0.98770255

00:02:23.389 --> 00:02:25.650 hundred peer reviewed scientific papers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9253359

 $00{:}02{:}26.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}27.710$ some in leading medical journals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9253359

00:02:27.710 --> 00:02:29.250 including JAMA, The Lancet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8889394

00:02:29.630 --> 00:02:30.830 the New England Journal of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8889394

00:02:30.830 --> 00:02:32.750 Medicine, the British Medical Journal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8889394

 $00:02:32.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.570$ and circulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8759898

 $00{:}02{:}34.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}36.270$ Her Google Scholar age index

 $00:02:36.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.570$ is fifty nine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95545334

 $00:02:38.565 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.925$ I ten index one hundred

NOTE Confidence: 0.95545334

 $00:02:39.925 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.605$ and twenty three with total

NOTE Confidence: 0.95545334

 $00:02:41.605 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.785$ citations over seventy five thousand

NOTE Confidence: 0.93223494

 $00:02:44.245 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.925$ as of June twenty twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.93223494

 $00:02:45.925 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.345$ four. She advises national international

NOTE Confidence: 0.9598241

00:02:48.965 --> 00:02:51.285 organizations, including the China National

NOTE Confidence: 0.9598241

00:02:51.285 --> 00:02:52.105 Health Commission

NOTE Confidence: 0.99064064

 $00{:}02{:}52.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.880$ and World Health Organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9680137

 $00:02:54.260 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.780$ So we clearly have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9680137

 $00:02:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.000$ extremely accomplished,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8902464

 $00{:}02{:}57.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}00.120$ public health researcher in NCDs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486945

00:03:00.419 --> 00:03:01.560 and in implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.955496

 $00:03:01.860 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.480$ science here with us today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975636

 $00:03:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.080$ probably the leader

 $00:03:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.155$ of this work in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9368182

 $00{:}03{:}07.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08.834$ China. And so I'm very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9368182

 $00:03:08.834 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.355$ happy to have here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9368182

 $00:03:10.355 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.794$ to turn this over to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9368182

 $00:03:11.794 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.294$ doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7681187

 $00:03:14.675 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.975$ Yeah. We we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7681187

00:03:16.115 --> 00:03:17.075 make sure we have you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7681187

 $00:03:17.075 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.535$ set up properly now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8861909

00:03:19.075 --> 00:03:20.055 We probably wanna

NOTE Confidence: 0.93163204

 $00:03:20.355 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.815$ maybe minimize this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88151443

 $00{:}03{:}23.070 --> 00{:}03{:}24.350$ Don't show this again. Oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88151443

 $00:03:24.350 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.130$ maybe yeah. Let's try that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264742

00:03:27.870 --> 00:03:29.070 Oh, maybe I know. Now

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264742

 $00:03:29.070 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.350$ we can minimize it over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264742

 $00:03:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.850$ here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357033

 $00:03:31.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.430$ but the thing would go

 $00:03:32.430 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.930$ away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86353755

 $00:03:36.305 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.585$ Because there's no mouse here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86353755

 $00:03:37.585 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.705$ It's very I have don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.86353755

00:03:38.705 --> 00:03:40.225 like these keypads. Yeah. An

NOTE Confidence: 0.86353755

 $00:03:40.225 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.445$ attached pad. Mhmm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47869956

 $00{:}03{:}41.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42.485 \ \mathrm{Say},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.7247699

 $00:03:43.345 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.945$ yeah. I mean Or click

NOTE Confidence: 0.7247699

 $00:03:44.945 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.045$ on somewhere else. Oh, okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82454836

00:03:47.905 --> 00:03:48.405 Sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886876

 $00:03:50.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.640$ Denise, you know what to

NOTE Confidence: 0.886876

00:03:51.640 --> 00:03:52.940 do? I'm good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6284019

 $00:03:53.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.640$ That's the voice mail. It's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6284019

 $00{:}03{:}54.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}55.580$ not. It's okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8823279

 $00:03:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.280$ No. Pull pull that screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.8823279

 $00:04:00.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.040$ down, and that way, the

 $00:04:02.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.420$ thing will, like, go away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9099662

00:04:04.685 --> 00:04:06.525 It. Maybe because I did

NOTE Confidence: 0.9099662

00:04:06.525 --> 00:04:07.885 the laser pointer thing. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9099662

 $00:04:07.885 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.685$ But I just have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9099662

 $00:04:08.685 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.145$ get to the bar here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78285265

00:04:11.005 --> 00:04:12.205 Yeah. And then we're gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.78285265

 $00:04:12.205 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.485$ go here. Now to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78285265

 $00:04:13.485 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.845$ next one. The left. Next

NOTE Confidence: 0.78285265

00:04:14.845 --> 00:04:16.525 one. Now in Austin. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78285265

 $00:04:16.525 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.545$ Click that. There you go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78285265

00:04:18.685 --> 00:04:19.725 I think now you're in

NOTE Confidence: 0.78285265

 $00:04:19.725 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.380$ a good Okay. Well, thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.78285265

 $00:04:21.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.420$ you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88424903

 $00:04:22.820 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.339$ Thank you, Donna for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88424903

 $00:04:24.339 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.839$ invitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86625385

 $00:04:25.220 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.500$ Thank you, Ally and Denise,

 $00:04:26.500 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.160$ for helping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986901

 $00:04:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.839 \text{ I I'm}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9137304

 $00:04:29.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.820$ surprised that Donna read through

NOTE Confidence: 0.9137304

 $00{:}04{:}30.820 --> 00{:}04{:}32.955$ the file. Yeah. Yeah. It's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9137304

 $00:04:32.955 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.918$ so No. It's so boring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9144009

 $00:04:35.573 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.210$ I hope my talk will

NOTE Confidence: 0.9144009

 $00:04:37.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.846$ not be so boring. Mhmm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9144009

00:04:39.173 --> 00:04:41.137 And, also, of course, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9144009

00:04:41.137 --> 00:04:43.101 know Yale, and, I have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9144009

00:04:43.428 --> 00:04:45.065 collaborated and know people in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9144009

 $00:04:45.392 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.400$ including, you know, Donna, Professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9144009

 $00:04:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.920$ Li and others. And one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9144009

 $00:04:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.440$ of my former students, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9144009

 $00:04:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.440$ he's also here. So, so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9144009

 $00:04:52.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.720$ glad to see you all.

 $00:04:53.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.680$ But this is my very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9144009

 $00:04:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.420$ first time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95952606

 $00:04:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.320$ in the university in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95952606

 $00:04:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.385$ city. So, great to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.95952606

 $00:04:59.385 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.585$ here. And today, I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.95952606

 $00:05:00.585 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.265$ be very focused in talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.95952606

 $00:05:02.265 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.765$ about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97687685

 $00:05:03.545 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.464$ this study, which is a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9443339

00:05:06.425 --> 00:05:08.505 trial that, for people familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.9443339

 $00:05:08.505 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.425$ with implementation science is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9443339

 $00:05:10.425 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.525$ effectiveness implementation trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9967098 00:05:12.839 --> 00:05:13.339 So

NOTE Confidence: 0.7236591

00:05:13.960 --> 00:05:14.460 the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89476615

 $00:05:16.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.960$ I think I should try

NOTE Confidence: 0.89476615

 $00:05:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.180$ to move this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75496775

 $00:05:20.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.540$ below.

 $00:05:22.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.160$ That's kind of hard to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89422476

00:05:23.160 --> 00:05:24.279 do, but it's doesn't it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89422476

 $00:05:24.279 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.160$ So yeah. You can put

NOTE Confidence: 0.89422476

 $00:05:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.820$ it down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96233624

00:05:26.360 --> 00:05:28.205 Okay. Now it's working. Sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.96233624 00:05:28.205 --> 00:05:28.705 of. NOTE Confidence: 0.6952622

00:05:29.565 --> 00:05:30.625 And my mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.29529032

 $00:05:31.085 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.904$ view is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7097479

 $00:05:33.565 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.065$ Okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9488647

 $00:05:34.925 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.705$ So today, I will introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.9488647

 $00:05:36.765 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.525$ this study according to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9488647

 $00:05:38.525 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.025$ paradigm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87154233

 $00{:}05{:}39.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41.265$ that is, called the titles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9068968

00:05:42.100 --> 00:05:43.560 You can see what petals

NOTE Confidence: 0.9068968 00:05:43.620 --> 00:05:44.120 is. NOTE Confidence: 0.9777647 $00:05:44.500 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.380$ And then I will talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9777647

 $00:05:45.380 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.200$ about revaluation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98979473

 $00:05:46.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.260$ which is the s part

NOTE Confidence: 0.98979473

 $00:05:48.260 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.700$ of the petals. So let's

NOTE Confidence: 0.98979473

 $00:05:49.700 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.400$ take a look at petals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82011527

 $00:05:54.740 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.800$ This works better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90610576

 $00:05:57.154 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.835$ Okay. So this is for

NOTE Confidence: 0.90610576

 $00:05:59.075 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.675$ this is proposed by professor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90610576

00:06:00.995 --> 00:06:01.975 Roman Xu,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83350474

 $00:06:02.355 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.795$ a leader in implementation science

NOTE Confidence: 0.83350474

00:06:03.795 --> 00:06:05.175 that Donna knows well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95716

 $00:06:05.795 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.714$ that this is to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.95716

 $00:06:07.714 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.015$ is a model for implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.95716

 $00:06:09.154 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.355$ research. You can see it

NOTE Confidence: 0.95716

 $00:06:10.355 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.150$ starts with the problem p.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95716

 $00:06:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.510$ So the real world problem

 $00:06:13.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.730$ that we want to address.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95716

 $00:06:14.790 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.990$ And the EBP is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95716

 $00:06:15.990 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.230$ evidence based practice that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.95716

 $00:06:18.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.690$ adopt in the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97066694

 $00:06:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.810$ And determinants

NOTE Confidence: 0.93907285

00:06:21.110 --> 00:06:21.610 actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989257

 $00:06:22.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.529$ refers to facilitators

NOTE Confidence: 0.98254514

 $00:06:24.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.370$ and, barriers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9645865

00:06:25.750 --> 00:06:26.570 for implementation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99227154

 $00:06:27.605 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.605$ And action is the term

NOTE Confidence: 0.99227154

 $00:06:29.605 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.145$ used, to show,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979746

00:06:31.605 --> 00:06:32.585 to mean implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621378

 $00{:}06{:}33.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}34.725$ strategies. That's the typical term

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621378

 $00{:}06{:}34.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37.145$ in implementation research. And l

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621378

00:06:37.205 --> 00:06:39.145 is for long term sustainment

 $00:06:39.445 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.425$ of the implementation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9724183

 $00:06:41.045 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.065$ And scale is for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94987184

 $00:06:44.750 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.589$ evaluation and scaling up. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.94987184

 $00:06:46.589 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.050$ this is the PEDLS model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92136467

00:06:48.510 --> 00:06:50.610 And, you can see PEDLS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92136467

 $00:06:50.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.589$ the acronym is gray because

NOTE Confidence: 0.92136467

 $00:06:52.589 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.710$ it shows like it's like

NOTE Confidence: 0.92136467

 $00:06:53.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.850$ the bicycle pedaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939636

 $00:06:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.850$ And, there is this iterative

NOTE Confidence: 0.939636

 $00:06:56.990 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.510$ process and the circle is

NOTE Confidence: 0.939636

 $00:06:58.510 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.245$ showing that. And two other

NOTE Confidence: 0.939636

00:07:00.245 --> 00:07:01.764 things to point out is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939636

 $00:07:01.764 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.705$ one, is the application of

NOTE Confidence: 0.939636

 $00:07:03.925 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.944$ various models and frameworks throughout,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9219818

 $00:07:06.645 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.164$ and then also the co

NOTE Confidence: 0.9219818

 $00:07:08.164 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.664$ production

 $00{:}07{:}08.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}10.185$ process with stakeholders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99955595

 $00:07:11.125 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.784$ are important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9744578

00:07:12.740 --> 00:07:14.660 So for our study, what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9744578

 $00:07:14.660 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.660$ is the problem that, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9744578

00:07:16.660 --> 00:07:18.600 are interested in addressing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9601797

 $00:07:19.140 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.420$ In a nutshell, it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9670418

00:07:21.140 --> 00:07:22.980 stroke prevention and control and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9670418

 $00{:}07{:}22.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.155$ particularly in rural China. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9670418

 $00{:}07{:}25.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.675$ I would point your attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.9670418

 $00:07:26.675 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.375$ to these two figures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96723574

 $00:07:29.074 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.115$ You can see this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.96723574

 $00:07:30.115 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.775$ over time from the, early,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90449566

00:07:34.275 --> 00:07:35.474 century for this one from

NOTE Confidence: 0.90449566

00:07:35.474 --> 00:07:37.155 nineteen ninety three to twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.90449566

 $00:07:37.155 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.655$ seventeen.

 $00:07:38.410 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.170$ And, the blue one is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97349507

 $00:07:40.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.870$ urban. The red one

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194694

 $00:07:42.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.930$ is rural. So in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194694

 $00:07:43.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.770$ of the prevalence of stroke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99194694

 $00:07:45.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.590$ you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9932793

 $00:07:47.490 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.670$ the big rise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532521

 $00:07:49.370 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.285$ in rural China in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532521

 $00:07:51.285 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.045$ prevalence of stroke to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532521

00:07:53.045 --> 00:07:54.105 point of surpassing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90679604

 $00{:}07{:}55.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57.705$ beyond twenty thirteen. It's passing

NOTE Confidence: 0.90679604

00:07:57.765 --> 00:07:59.285 on urban areas in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.90679604

 $00:07:59.285 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.185$ of its burden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99723405

00:08:00.565 --> 00:08:01.065 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98270017

 $00:08:01.365 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.665$ in terms of the mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9144856

00:08:03.205 --> 00:08:04.985 it's being pretty much consistently

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983524

 $00:08:05.365 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.865$ higher

 $00:08:06.180 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.940$ than urban areas. The blue

NOTE Confidence: 0.966045

 $00:08:07.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.460$ being rural and red being

NOTE Confidence: 0.966045

00:08:09.460 --> 00:08:11.460 urban. Green is overall in

NOTE Confidence: 0.966045

 $00{:}08{:}11.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12.900$ the middle. So we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.966045

00:08:12.900 --> 00:08:14.740 very large burden and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.966045 00:08:14.740 --> 00:08:15.240 the NOTE Confidence: 0.95854884

 $00:08:15.620 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.540$ number one cause of death

NOTE Confidence: 0.95854884

 $00:08:17.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.160$ and disability in rural China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866833

 $00:08:19.585 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.104$ And to to address this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866833

 $00:08:21.104 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.465$ burden, there are so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866833

 $00{:}08{:}22.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.485$ evidence based practices already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94081324

 $00:08:25.264 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.525$ Lots of guidelines, clinical guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9607213

 $00:08:27.905 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.345$ even for, a for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9607213

 $00{:}08{:}29.345 \to 00{:}08{:}31.125$ stroke per se. And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94643396

 $00:08:31.824 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.184$ right at the time when

 $00:08:33.184 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.925$ we started,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97357905

00:08:34.690 --> 00:08:35.970 work on this study, there

NOTE Confidence: 0.97357905

 $00:08:35.970 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.709$ is this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869162

 $00:08:39.410 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.910$ guideline

NOTE Confidence: 0.91336405

00:08:40.690 --> 00:08:41.190 produced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996456

 $00:08:41.570 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.269$ in China in Chinese

NOTE Confidence: 0.9825556

 $00:08:43.809 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.829$ for the primary care level

NOTE Confidence: 0.9825556

 $00:08:46.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.589$ stroke prevention and control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890485

 $00:08:47.934 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.155$ So it's a booklet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95406896

 $00:08:49.775 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.895$ and it's being,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9371857

 $00{:}08{:}51.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53.535$ edited by our collaborators in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9371857

 $00{:}08{:}53.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55.055$ the tertiary hospital called Tian

NOTE Confidence: 0.9371857

 $00:08:55.055 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.915$ Tan Hospital, the leading neurology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9371857

 $00:08:57.055 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.115$ hospital in China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9541596

 $00:08:58.495 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.335$ However, even for this, that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9541596

 $00:09:00.335 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.670$ is earmarked for primary care,

 $00:09:03.070 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.529$ it turned out to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94270825

00:09:05.309 --> 00:09:07.070 as my question there, it

NOTE Confidence: 0.94270825

 $00:09:07.149 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.329$ it's not so easily

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979121

 $00:09:10.029 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.529$ applicable

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962312

 $00:09:11.070 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.990$ to the reality of primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962312

 $00:09:12.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.089$ care in rural China. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.95327246

 $00:09:15.695 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.575$ we set out to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.95327246

 $00:09:16.575 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.855$ the study. This is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95327246 00:09:17.855 --> 00:09:18.355 site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99078435

00:09:18.895 --> 00:09:19.635 Our study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99827045

 $00:09:20.175 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.995$ is conducted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8892375

00:09:21.375 --> 00:09:23.135 was well, is because it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8892375

00:09:23.135 --> 00:09:25.154 still ongoing, conducted in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95833224

 $00:09:25.695 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.475$ one county in this province.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95833224

 $00:09:27.535 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.654$ And the province is called

 $00:09:28.654 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.774$ Hebei. It happened to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.95833224

 $00:09:29.774 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.020$ my, well, I chose it

NOTE Confidence: 0.95833224

 $00:09:31.020 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.000$ to be my hometown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9930415

 $00:09:32.460 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.080$ And this is actually the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979508

 $00:09:35.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.600$ wisest

NOTE Confidence: 0.95204663

 $00:09:35.900 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.179$ choice I made, if I

NOTE Confidence: 0.95204663

 $00:09:37.179 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.400$ can speak on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9463501

00:09:39.020 --> 00:09:39.420 Because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89779353

 $00:09:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.420$ this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991246

 $00:09:41.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.320$ was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9924507

 $00:09:42.865 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.065$ done in the last few

NOTE Confidence: 0.9924507

 $00:09:44.065 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.925$ years of my mom's life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9924507

00:09:45.985 --> 00:09:47.505 So because I chose it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9924507

 $00:09:47.505 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.465$ here, I was able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9924507

 $00:09:48.465 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.385$ see her, more often than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9924507

 $00{:}09{:}50.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51.605$ I had otherwise.

 $00:09:52.385 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.825$ And, but not that's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.95323914

 $00:09:53.825 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.945$ the only reason. The other

NOTE Confidence: 0.95323914

00:09:54.945 --> 00:09:56.165 reasons would be collaborations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91388136

 $00:09:56.625 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.120$ but also the high burden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91388136

 $00:09:58.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.040$ You can see, Hebei is

NOTE Confidence: 0.91388136

 $00:10:00.040 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.860$ in the darkest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9133667

 $00:10:01.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.920$ color in terms of incidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.9133667

 $00:10:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.660$ for stroke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8944406

00:10:05.000 --> 00:10:06.440 And Sorry, Nikki. Can you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8944406

00:10:06.440 --> 00:10:08.059 go back one second? Sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8944406

 $00:10:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.500$ So is the whole population

NOTE Confidence: 0.8944406

00:10:09.640 --> 00:10:10.845 of the Hebei province

NOTE Confidence: 0.9630004

 $00{:}10{:}11.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}12.684$ three hundred and sixty thousand

NOTE Confidence: 0.9630004

 $00:10:12.684 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.004$ people? No. It's the total

NOTE Confidence: 0.9630004

 $00:10:15.004 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.365$ population for the county we

00:10:16.365 --> 00:10:18.045 chose. Oh, okay. Yeah. Because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9630004

 $00{:}10{:}18.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19.084$ I thought that was very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9630004

00:10:19.084 --> 00:10:20.605 low for China. Right. It's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9630004

 $00:10:20.605 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.605$ for this particular county. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9630004

00:10:22.605 --> 00:10:23.884 the particular county had two

NOTE Confidence: 0.9630004

 $00:10:23.884 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.404$ hundred eighteen villages. We worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.9630004

00:10:25.404 --> 00:10:27.390 in fifty villages. Okay. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532271

 $00:10:27.770 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.529$ So, this is a busy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532271

00:10:29.529 --> 00:10:30.970 timeline, but I would like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532271

 $00:10:30.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.170$ just to point out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532271

 $00:10:32.170 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.850$ is this long phase where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532271

 $00:10:33.850 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.910$ we call formative

NOTE Confidence: 0.87141746

 $00:10:35.690 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.209$ research where we did, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.87141746

 $00:10:37.209 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.330$ know, the barriers and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87141746

00:10:38.330 --> 00:10:40.270 facilitators and the comp contextualization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234689

 $00:10:41.285 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.785$ tailoring.

 $00{:}10{:}42.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.085$ And then after the pilot

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778972

 $00:10:44.085 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.865$ study, we had this intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778972

 $00:10:46.085 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.445$ that is only one year

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778972

 $00:10:47.445 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.885$ long. So this is important

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778972

 $00:10:48.885 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.165$ to keep in mind. The

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778972

 $00:10:50.165 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.005$ intervention, the active phase was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778972

 $00:10:52.005 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.765$ only one year long. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778972

 $00:10:53.765 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.065$ then after that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99662846

 $00:10:55.990 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.050$ after it's over,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9861401

 $00:10:58.070 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.690$ we had a hiatus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9859919

 $00{:}11{:}00.309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01.290$ during the COVID,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98786575

 $00:11:01.750 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.270$ but then we were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.98786575

 $00:11:03.270 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.570$ to have follow-up studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9431718

 $00:11:05.750 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.830$ which, in October of twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9431718

 $00:11:07.830 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.330$ twenty,

00:11:08.765 --> 00:11:09.265 two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92223483

 $00:11:09.645 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.925$ was one of the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.92223483

 $00:11:10.925 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.965$ who went to the field

NOTE Confidence: 0.92223483

 $00:11:11.965 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.565$ to to to support the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92223483

 $00:11:13.565 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.545$ follow-up study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95853525

 $00:11:15.645 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.565$ So, in the for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95853525

00:11:17.565 --> 00:11:19.404 barriers and facilitators, we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.95853525

00:11:19.404 --> 00:11:21.245 three field visits, forty nine

NOTE Confidence: 0.95853525

 $00{:}11{:}21.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22.785$ interviews, and four workshops,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92019975

00:11:23.210 --> 00:11:24.910 and one steering committee meeting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9798133

 $00:11:25.370 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.210$ in order to, do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9798133

 $00:11:27.210 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.210$ So just quickly for forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.9798133

00:11:29.210 --> 00:11:31.370 to, the conclusion part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9798133

 $00:11:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.190$ the determinants

NOTE Confidence: 0.95699316

 $00:11:32.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.010$ in terms of the barriers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95699316

 $00{:}11{:}34.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35.530$ They actually come from multiple

 $00:11:35.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.030$ levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8815852

00:11:37.045 --> 00:11:38.565 At the patient level, there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8815852

 $00:11:38.565 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.704$ is the low awareness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98827136

00:11:40.404 --> 00:11:42.584 and poor adherence to medication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9801599

00:11:43.605 --> 00:11:44.005 and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8684103

00:11:44.485 --> 00:11:45.785 poor physical functioning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7482102

00:11:46.725 --> 00:11:48.505 generally low health literacy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97307754

 $00:11:49.429 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.029$ And even for the literacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.97307754

 $00:11:51.029 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.570$ level among women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823927

 $00:11:53.029 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.390$ because most of these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823927

 $00:11:54.390 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.910$ were older, it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94408023

 $00:11:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.510$ less than,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9193949

 $00{:}11{:}59.029 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00.470$ a third of them could

NOTE Confidence: 0.9193949

 $00{:}12{:}00.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00.970$ read.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96642965

00:12:01.635 --> 00:12:02.375 Yeah. So,

 $00:12:02.755 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.275$ at the village doctor level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96205366

 $00:12:04.275 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.395$ there is also the low

NOTE Confidence: 0.96205366

 $00:12:05.395 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.315$ awareness on clinical guidelines for

NOTE Confidence: 0.96205366

00:12:07.315 --> 00:12:08.835 stroke because they focus a

NOTE Confidence: 0.96205366

 $00:12:08.835 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.955$ lot of their effort on

NOTE Confidence: 0.96205366

 $00:12:09.955 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.575$ maternal and trial health and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96205366

 $00:12:11.635 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.135$ other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9406999

 $00:12:12.915 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.195$ conditions such as,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657582

 $00{:}12{:}14.675 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}17.255$ hypertension and diabetes according to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8798539

 $00:12:17.770 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.190$ the National Public Health package.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95015043

 $00:12:21.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.130$ And they also have low

NOTE Confidence: 0.95015043

 $00:12:23.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.010$ incentive for preventive care. I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.95015043

 $00:12:26.010 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.130$ get my cell phone for

NOTE Confidence: 0.95015043

 $00:12:27.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.870$ time control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240473

 $00:12:30.384 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.925$ Where the lack of preventive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240473

 $00:12:32.144 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.904$ care, and here we focus

00:12:33.904 --> 00:12:35.204 on secondary prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.97099125

 $00:12:35.824 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.565$ for stroke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99447626

00:12:37.264 --> 00:12:39.264 instead of, the acute phase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99447626

 $00:12:39.264 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.545$ which is not suitable for

NOTE Confidence: 0.99447626

 $00:12:40.545 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.605$ the primary care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9432262

00:12:41.985 --> 00:12:43.204 At the county level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97524005

 $00:12:43.829 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.690$ they are acute state oriented

NOTE Confidence: 0.97524005

 $00{:}12{:}45.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.610$ and provide fragmented fragmented

NOTE Confidence: 0.9899437

00:12:47.990 --> 00:12:49.910 care without patient follow-up, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9899437

 $00:12:49.910 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.730$ they're overburdened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997527

 $00{:}12{:}51.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53.449$ I remember visiting the hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935496

00:12:53.910 --> 00:12:55.029 during the winter, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935496

 $00:12:55.029 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.170$ around this time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96511304

00:12:56.695 --> 00:12:58.454 Outside of the neurology ward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96511304

 $00:12:58.454 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.415$ there will be lots of

00:12:59.415 --> 00:13:02.214 deaths and, patients and family

NOTE Confidence: 0.96511304

 $00:13:02.214 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.654$ members even living in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96511304

00:13:03.654 --> 00:13:04.154 corridor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99142975

 $00:13:04.855 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.774$ because, they they have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99142975

00:13:06.774 --> 00:13:07.675 surge of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668824

 $00{:}13{:}08.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}10.075$ patients, during the winter time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977991

00:13:11.030 --> 00:13:11.690 And then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559533

 $00:13:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.750$ our specialists, they are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559533

 $00:13:13.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.250$ experienced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775726

 $00:13:14.550 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.230$ world class, but they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775726

 $00{:}13{:}16.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}17.830$ they don't know the rural

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775726

 $00:13:17.830 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.929$ situation. So in the county,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775726

 $00:13:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.370$ this is the three tier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95618147

 $00:13:23.184 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.905$ health care delivery system, county

NOTE Confidence: 0.95618147

00:13:25.905 --> 00:13:27.985 hospital being only one, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95618147

 $00:13:27.985 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.645$ the leading,

 $00:13:29.425 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.925$ facility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98458797

 $00:13:30.705 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.565$ And then there are fifteen

NOTE Confidence: 0.98458797

 $00:13:32.705 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.025$ township health care centers throughout

NOTE Confidence: 0.98458797

 $00{:}13{:}35.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}36.625$ the county. And then each

NOTE Confidence: 0.98458797

00:13:36.625 --> 00:13:37.925 county each township

NOTE Confidence: 0.97680545

 $00:13:38.410 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.010$ health care centers manages a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97680545

00:13:40.010 --> 00:13:41.390 number of village clinics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99538296

 $00:13:41.929 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.290$ that is staffed by village

NOTE Confidence: 0.99538296

 $00:13:43.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.790$ doctors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94795775

 $00:13:44.410 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.150$ and, nurses, sometimes pharmacists too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94795775

 $00:13:47.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.429$ And the village doctors

NOTE Confidence: 0.98248655

00:13:49.130 --> 00:13:51.175 can prescribe medicine. This is

NOTE Confidence: 0.98248655

 $00{:}13{:}51.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.095$ different from, for example, Nepal

NOTE Confidence: 0.98248655

 $00:13:53.095 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.755$ or India,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9753107 00:13:54.135 --> 00:13:54.615 but,

 $00:13:55.335 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.875$ they are not board certified

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955014

 $00:13:57.095 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.275$ physicians as you understand them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98817587

 $00:13:59.815 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.995$ They usually receive vocational

NOTE Confidence: 0.98744935

 $00:14:02.615 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.475$ professional training of two years

NOTE Confidence: 0.98744935

 $00:14:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.320$ beyond high school or after

NOTE Confidence: 0.98744935

 $00:14:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.059$ middle school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97093016

00:14:07.679 --> 00:14:10.080 Then, they get certificate from

NOTE Confidence: 0.97093016

 $00:14:10.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.360$ the government to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97093016

 $00{:}14{:}11.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}12.800$ village doctor. Some of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.97093016

 $00:14:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.000$ full time, many of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.97093016

 $00:14:14.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.100$ also part time doing farming

NOTE Confidence: 0.97093016

 $00:14:16.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.220$ or other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88829505

00:14:17.679 --> 00:14:18.785 on the side. Can I

NOTE Confidence: 0.88829505

00:14:18.785 --> 00:14:20.024 ask you one question? What?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88829505

 $00:14:20.024 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.305$ Can you do that? Sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88829505

 $00:14:21.464 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.345$ The line about,

 $00:14:22.904 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.504$ poor adherence to treatment. Mhmm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9524899

00:14:24.504 --> 00:14:25.625 Just because I don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9524899

 $00:14:25.625 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.745 \text{ I don't know the context.}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9524899

 $00:14:26.745 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.824$ Is the adherence because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9524899

 $00{:}14{:}28.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31.225$ access barriers or education or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9524899

 $00:14:31.225 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.560$ other things? Things? Yeah. That

NOTE Confidence: 0.9524899

 $00:14:32.560 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.160$ is a great question. There

NOTE Confidence: 0.9524899

 $00:14:34.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.860$ are many reasons. If I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9524899

 $00:14:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.700$ focus on the most important

NOTE Confidence: 0.9524899

00:14:37.839 --> 00:14:38.339 adherence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9937679

 $00:14:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.420$ problem, there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.91196126

 $00:14:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.260$ not one there are two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91196126

 $00{:}14{:}42.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43.460$ One is cost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643767

 $00:14:44.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.279$ Some of these medicine are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643767

00:14:45.279 --> 00:14:47.894 not free, and, I constantly

00:14:47.894 --> 00:14:49.334 hear patients saying, oh, can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643767

 $00:14:49.334 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.855$ we get this for free

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643767

 $00:14:50.855 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.214$ or at lower cost? And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643767

 $00:14:52.214 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.334$ the other thing would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643767

 $00:14:53.415 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.695$ well, the costing we can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643767

 $00{:}14{:}54.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55.894$ really address in our study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643767

 $00:14:55.894 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.935$ We didn't address that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643767

 $00:14:56.935 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.214$ our study. The other thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643767

 $00{:}14{:}58.214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01.290$ is the, health literacy. Like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643767

00:15:01.529 --> 00:15:03.529 they, would stop their medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643767

 $00{:}15{:}03.529 --> 00{:}15{:}04.910$ when there is no symptom

NOTE Confidence: 0.89402515

 $00:15:05.290 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.430$ or they may forget.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9674236

 $00:15:06.810 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.570$ So there's that kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9674236

 $00:15:08.570 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.070$ reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.41005865

00:15:09.610 --> 00:15:09.930 Sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8495096

 $00:15:11.610 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.829$ On the cost question,

 $00:15:14.394 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.834$ can you be how clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.9642024

 $00:15:15.834 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.515$ can you be about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9642024

00:15:17.515 --> 00:15:19.355 what exactly is free? Like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9642024

 $00:15:19.355 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.894$ a first line WHO

NOTE Confidence: 0.98779464

 $00{:}15{:}21.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.894$ recommended antihypertensives

NOTE Confidence: 0.77593637 00:15:24.154 --> 00:15:24.654 free.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9543417

 $00:15:25.595 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.875$ Are they in stock at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9543417

 $00:15:26.875 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.235$ all of these, I guess,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9543417

 $00:15:28.235 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.915$ would be village clinics? At

NOTE Confidence: 0.9543417

 $00:15:29.915 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.690$ the time time we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9543417

 $00{:}15{:}30.690 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}15{:}32.610$ working there, the active trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.9543417

00:15:32.610 --> 00:15:33.890 from twenty seventeen to twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9543417

 $00{:}15{:}33.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34.390 \text{ eighteen},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9921733

 $00:15:34.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.770$ these frontline medicines, what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9921733

 $00:15:36.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.470$ call in the essential formulary

 $00:15:38.690 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.830$ Yeah. They are

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772346

 $00:15:40.370 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.730$ usually they were usually in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87772346

 $00:15:41.730 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.230$ stock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99897254

 $00:15:42.654 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.175$ So availability is not an

NOTE Confidence: 0.99897254

 $00:15:44.175 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.315$ issue. However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98980224

 $00:15:45.695 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.455$ in terms of free, at

NOTE Confidence: 0.98980224

00:15:47.455 --> 00:15:49.475 that time, nothing was completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.98980224

 $00:15:49.615 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.455$ for free. They needed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98980224

 $00:15:51.455 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.435$ have co pay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.974851

 $00:15:53.214 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.135$ If they register as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.974851

00:15:55.135 --> 00:15:56.595 chronic disease patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855979

00:15:57.009 --> 00:15:58.610 through that special policy, they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855979

00:15:58.610 --> 00:16:00.290 get a larger discount, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855979

 $00:16:00.290 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.770$ it's still not free Mhmm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855979

 $00:16:02.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.870$ At that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96608806

 $00:16:04.610 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.050$ Later, it became a little

 $00:16:06.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.550$ better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99597675

00:16:07.089 --> 00:16:08.850 And just to calibrate that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99597675

 $00:16:08.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.529$ what percent of, like, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99597675

 $00:16:10.529 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.509$ monthly income

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955144

 $00:16:11.945 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.225$ would it cost to pay

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955144

 $00:16:13.225 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.205$ for that medicine?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95297563

 $00:16:14.585 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.425$ Loss? Well, the medicines we

NOTE Confidence: 0.95297563

 $00{:}16{:}16.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}17.945$ promote actually are very cheap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95297563

 $00:16:17.945 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.445$ Mhmm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9917828

00:16:18.825 --> 00:16:19.325 Like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9523119

 $00:16:19.865 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.985$ they could be just a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9523119

 $00:16:20.985 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.745$ few yuan per month or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9523119

 $00:16:22.745 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.245$ even

NOTE Confidence: 0.96370023

00:16:23.750 --> 00:16:24.870 like, aspirin is, like, a

NOTE Confidence: 0.96370023

00:16:24.870 --> 00:16:26.110 few yuan per year Mhmm.

 $00:16:26.310 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.350$ Which is less than a

NOTE Confidence: 0.96370023

00:16:27.350 --> 00:16:29.290 dollar per year. Mhmm. But

NOTE Confidence: 0.96370023

 $00:16:29.350 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.649$ the better branding

NOTE Confidence: 0.9437309

 $00:16:31.350 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.029$ aspirin, like, from there, that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9437309

 $00:16:33.029 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.389$ costs more. But that's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9437309

 $00:16:34.389 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.670$ what we're that's not what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9437309

 $00:16:35.670 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.050$ we're promoting. Exactly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845891

00:16:37.775 --> 00:16:39.135 And, I don't think I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845891

 $00:16:39.135 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.575$ would remember to mention this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845891

00:16:40.575 --> 00:16:41.615 but some of you may

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845891

00:16:41.615 --> 00:16:42.115 know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98443615

 $00:16:42.575 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.115$ that it's led by professor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876408

 $00{:}16{:}45.135 \to 00{:}16{:}46.975$ Jiang He from Tulane who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.876408

 $00{:}16{:}46.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49.155$ at Texas now, and professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.876408

 $00:16:49.295 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.795$ from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9311716

 $00{:}16{:}50.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51.990$ China. They published two papers

 $00:16:51.990 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.269$ in Lancet, and I wrote

NOTE Confidence: 0.9311716

 $00:16:53.269 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.589$ an editorial for them. They

NOTE Confidence: 0.9311716

 $00:16:55.589 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.670$ had this large trial of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9311716

 $00:16:57.670 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.389$ three hundred,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99086547

 $00:16:58.949 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.630$ or so clusters of thirty

NOTE Confidence: 0.99086547

 $00:17:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.410$ three thousand patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896557

 $00:17:02.790 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.015$ with first result of eighteen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896557

 $00:17:05.015 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.455$ months follow-up of a blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896557

00:17:06.455 --> 00:17:07.275 pressure reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86282724

 $00:17:07.655 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.255$ It's humongous. It's like fourteen

NOTE Confidence: 0.86282724

 $00:17:09.255 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.755$ millimetric

NOTE Confidence: 0.9588677

 $00{:}17{:}10.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11.195$ blood pressure reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189366

00:17:11.494 --> 00:17:13.494 because they provided medicine for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189366

 $00:17:13.494 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.855$ free not because of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189366

 $00:17:14.855 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.895$ But the large reason was

 $00:17:15.895 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.575$ that they provided medicine for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189366

00:17:17.575 --> 00:17:19.490 free to over ninety percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189366

 $00:17:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.690$ of those in the international

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189366 $00:17:20.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.190$ are. NOTE Confidence: 0.94556975

 $00:17:21.649 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.169$ That that they did that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94556975

 $00:17:23.169 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.210$ And then in the thirty

NOTE Confidence: 0.94556975

 $00:17:24.210 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.570$ six month follow-up, they had

NOTE Confidence: 0.94556975

 $00:17:25.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.750$ a reduction of somewhere between

NOTE Confidence: 0.94556975

 $00{:}17{:}28.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29.570$ fifteen to twenty five percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.94556975

 $00:17:29.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.309$ in cardiovascular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962893

 $00{:}17{:}31.465 --> 00{:}17{:}32.984$ So that's their their study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962893

00:17:32.984 --> 00:17:34.365 And, our study

NOTE Confidence: 0.93132305

 $00:17:34.904 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.644$ was different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9929351 00:17:36.744 --> 00:17:37.244 in NOTE Confidence: 0.9194618

 $00:17:37.784 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.825$ terms of the provision of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9194618

 $00:17:38.825 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.325$ medicine.

 $00:17:40.024 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.625$ And we observed a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907933

 $00:17:41.625 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.125$ smaller

NOTE Confidence: 0.97332895

00:17:42.664 --> 00:17:44.350 effect size. Because you're using

NOTE Confidence: 0.97332895

 $00:17:44.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.789$ the health system rather than

NOTE Confidence: 0.97332895

 $00:17:45.789 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.230$ providing Yes. We use we

NOTE Confidence: 0.97332895

 $00:17:47.230 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.309$ use the existing, system as

NOTE Confidence: 0.97332895

 $00:17:49.309 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.750$ much as as we could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97332895

 $00:17:50.750 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.789$ Yeah. I'm sorry. I also

NOTE Confidence: 0.97332895

 $00:17:51.789 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.669$ have a question of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97332895

 $00{:}17{:}52.669 --> 00{:}17{:}54.909$ village doctors. Mhmm. So do

NOTE Confidence: 0.97332895

 $00{:}17{:}54.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55.869$ they have would you say

NOTE Confidence: 0.97332895

 $00:17:55.869 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.669$ that they all have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97332895

00:17:56.669 --> 00:17:57.650 high school education?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427223

 $00:17:58.655 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.255$ Some of them would mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427223

 $00:18:00.575 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.255$ community college. Uh-huh. So they're

 $00:18:02.335 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.375$ They may not have high

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427223

 $00:18:03.375 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.655$ school. They may go to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427223

 $00:18:04.655 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.914$ the vocational school for health

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427223

00:18:07.054 --> 00:18:08.655 after middle school. But they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427223

 $00:18:08.655 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.494$ all literate. Sure. Oh, yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427223

 $00:18:10.494 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.315$ They're all literate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92403305

 $00:18:11.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.290$ And other than, a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.92403305

00:18:13.290 --> 00:18:15.609 percentage of, let's say, ten

NOTE Confidence: 0.92403305

 $00{:}18{:}15.609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}17.369$ percent ten to fifteen percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.92403305

 $00:18:17.369 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.650$ at that time, all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92403305

 $00:18:18.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.090$ the village doctors are used

NOTE Confidence: 0.92403305

 $00:18:20.250 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.230$ used a smartphone

NOTE Confidence: 0.89361274

00:18:21.690 --> 00:18:23.150 even in twenty seventeen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9633229

 $00:18:23.785 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.905$ At this time, I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9633229

 $00:18:24.905 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.345$ it's nearly a hundred percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9633229

 $00:18:26.345 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.885$ as the older village doctors,

 $00:18:28.265 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.765$ retired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99957496

 $00:18:29.145 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.165$ However, even as of now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959331

 $00:18:31.945 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.325$ nearly no patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9926152

 $00:18:33.865 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.925$ use smartphone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171319

 $00:18:37.340 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.020$ They at least have, had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171319

 $00:18:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.680$ a shared

NOTE Confidence: 0.9431824

 $00:18:40.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.500$ cell phone, that is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9431824

 $00:18:41.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.619$ feature phone, like a basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9431824

 $00:18:42.619 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.540$ phone. They can receive calls

NOTE Confidence: 0.9431824

 $00:18:44.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.520$ and text messages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947293

 $00:18:46.780 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.220$ So But that's very different

NOTE Confidence: 0.947293

 $00:18:48.220 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.144$ than, say, community health workers

NOTE Confidence: 0.947293

 $00{:}18{:}50.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51.585$ in Nepal or ASHA's in

NOTE Confidence: 0.947293

 $00:18:51.585 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.684$ India who could be even

NOTE Confidence: 0.947293

00:18:53.825 --> 00:18:55.664 barely literate or even not

 $00:18:55.664 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.505$ literate. Right. That's different. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.947293

 $00:18:57.505 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.465$ so this is a full

NOTE Confidence: 0.947293

00:18:58.465 --> 00:19:00.005 time job. They're not volunteers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94346607

 $00:19:00.625 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.065$ They're not volunteers. Some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94346607

00:19:02.065 --> 00:19:03.630 them are part time, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.94346607

 $00:19:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.070$ they're paid. They they receive

NOTE Confidence: 0.94346607

 $00:19:05.070 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.450$ some payment from the government.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94346607

 $00:19:06.750 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.670$ And they are doctors, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94346607

 $00{:}19{:}08.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09.630$ they could even they're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.94346607

00:19:09.630 --> 00:19:10.910 just literate literate, but they

NOTE Confidence: 0.94346607

00:19:10.910 --> 00:19:12.050 could prescribe medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9494537

 $00:19:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.869$ in the formula, in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9494537

00:19:13.869 --> 00:19:14.770 essential formula.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92029333

 $00:19:15.655 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.775$ Is it a full time

NOTE Confidence: 0.92029333

 $00:19:16.775 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.734$ job, or do they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.92029333

 $00:19:17.734 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.934$ another job and they just

 $00:19:18.934 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.535$ do the same size? A

NOTE Confidence: 0.92029333

 $00{:}19{:}20.535 --> 00{:}19{:}21.975$ a percentage of them. During

NOTE Confidence: 0.92029333

 $00:19:21.975 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.934$ the time when we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.92029333

00:19:22.934 --> 00:19:24.135 our trial, I think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.92029333

00:19:24.135 --> 00:19:24.875 higher now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969459

 $00:19:25.494 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.934$ because China is changing so

NOTE Confidence: 0.996945900:19:26.934 --> 00:19:27.434 fast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98439807

 $00:19:28.055 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.575$ At that time, about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9394325

 $00{:}19{:}30.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}31.740$ I would say, a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.9394325

 $00:19:31.740 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.420$ less than half had this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9394325

 $00:19:33.420 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.780$ as a full time job.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9394325

 $00:19:34.780 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.540$ Mhmm. But the other over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9394325

 $00{:}19{:}36.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}38.320$ half of the village doctors

NOTE Confidence: 0.97335327

 $00:19:38.859 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.400$ worked as a village doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9779727

 $00:19:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.980$ but at the same time,

 $00:19:41.980 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.500$ also did their own business

NOTE Confidence: 0.9779727

 $00:19:43.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.240$ or farming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97464406

 $00:19:45.984 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.965$ So it's a mix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9466073

 $00:19:47.265 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.184$ But nowadays, more, of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9466073

 $00:19:49.184 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.965$ are full time village doctors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9453535

 $00:19:51.345 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.625$ Okay. Thank you. So these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9453535

 $00{:}19{:}52.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}53.905$ are important background for you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9453535

 $00:19:53.905 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.125$ to understand in our study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96102035

 $00:19:56.065 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.744$ This is the core functions

NOTE Confidence: 0.96102035

 $00:19:57.744 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.710$ and core function and forms

NOTE Confidence: 0.96102035

00:19:59.710 --> 00:20:00.750 model that some of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.96102035

 $00:20:00.750 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.030$ may be familiar. So we

NOTE Confidence: 0.96102035

 $00{:}20{:}02.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03.630$ start with identification of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96102035

 $00:20:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.650$ problem that needs and identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.96102035

 $00:20:05.789 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.530$ the standardized

NOTE Confidence: 0.9147029

 $00:20:06.910 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.730$ core functions,

 $00:20:08.270 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.049$ but tailor the core functions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9942319 00:20:10.270 --> 00:20:10.770 to, NOTE Confidence: 0.96732754

 $00:20:11.505 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.025$ have the different forms for

NOTE Confidence: 0.96732754

 $00:20:13.025 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.165$ the different contexts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9906262 00:20:14.785 --> 00:20:15.285 So, NOTE Confidence: 0.9784663

 $00:20:15.585 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.405$ we didn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99221915

 $00:20:17.265 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.425$ actually use this until later

NOTE Confidence: 0.99221915 00:20:19.425 --> 00:20:19.925 on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991104

 $00:20:20.705 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.565$ when we wanted to transfer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9719531

00:20:23.149 --> 00:20:24.669 learnings from this study to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9719531

 $00{:}20{:}24.669 \to 00{:}20{:}27.010$ other settings. And we realized

NOTE Confidence: 0.9719531

 $00:20:27.070 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.190$ that this could be used

NOTE Confidence: 0.9719531

 $00{:}20{:}28.190 {\: -->\:} 00{:}20{:}29.010$ in a retrospective

NOTE Confidence: 0.9270918

 $00:20:29.390 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.470$ way even to facilitate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9270918

 $00:20:31.470 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.970$ transformation,

 $00:20:32.510 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.250$ the transferability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98463506

 $00:20:33.950 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.390$ For example, we could keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.98463506

 $00:20:35.390 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.830$ the core functions if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.98463506

 $00:20:36.830 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.369$ identify the core functions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9177806

 $00:20:38.765 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.225$ to be transferable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9809374

 $00:20:40.685 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.285$ But the forms definitely needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9809374

 $00:20:42.285 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.645$ to be adapted and tailored

NOTE Confidence: 0.9809374

 $00:20:43.645 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.925$ to a different context, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.9809374

 $00:20:44.925 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.805$ is what we're trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.980937400:20:45.805 --> 00:20:46.305 do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8612799

00:20:46.845 --> 00:20:48.525 in Nepal with Nepal, actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8612799

00:20:48.525 --> 00:20:49.265 with Archana,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869666

 $00:20:49.805 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.205$ and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9376771

 $00:20:50.925 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.005$ other Viraj and others, in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9376771

 $00:20:53.005 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.505$ Nepal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9461594

 $00:20:53.869 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.470$ So, this is a very

 $00:20:55.470 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.450$ busy slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98211414

00:20:57.470 --> 00:20:59.550 Sorry. Very busy slide, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.98211414

 $00:20:59.550 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.430$ I don't mean for you

NOTE Confidence: 0.98211414

 $00:21:00.430 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.550$ to read them, but this

NOTE Confidence: 0.98211414

 $00:21:01.550 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.830$ is our way of trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.98211414

 $00:21:02.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.109$ to map what we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.98211414

00:21:04.109 --> 00:21:04.609 done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9478399

00:21:05.150 --> 00:21:05.650 throughout,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99910045

00:21:06.109 --> 00:21:06.609 this NOTE Confidence: 0.9940282

00:21:07.855 --> 00:21:08.355 matrix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9857464

 $00:21:09.534 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.394$ The model that we actively

NOTE Confidence: 0.9857464

00:21:11.615 --> 00:21:13.375 adopted when we were designing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9857464

00:21:13.375 --> 00:21:14.034 the intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750689

 $00:21:14.815 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.134$ was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.973118

 $00:21:15.615 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.894$ at that time, was the

 $00:21:16.894 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.115$ chronic care model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877616

00:21:18.815 --> 00:21:19.695 I don't have time to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877616

 $00:21:19.695 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.734$ go into a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877616

00:21:20.734 --> 00:21:22.115 details, but it covers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8944348

 $00:21:22.780 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.600$ six domains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9692975

 $00:21:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.340$ most of them within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9692975

 $00:21:25.340 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.240$ health care system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781876

 $00:21:27.020 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.540$ Some in, this one is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781876

 $00:21:28.540 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.359$ in the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99900544

 $00:21:29.980 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.980$ And the emphasis of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.99900544

 $00:21:31.980 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.600$ model is on productive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996252

 $00:21:34.060 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.560$ interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.995747

 $00:21:35.340 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.840$ between

NOTE Confidence: 0.84487593 00:21:36.300 --> 00:21:36.700 the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99844295

00:21:37.645 --> 00:21:39.585 provider team and the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99041927

 $00:21:40.205 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.225$ which is also our emphasis,

 $00:21:42.605 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.825$ for the intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97505873

 $00:21:44.525 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.885$ So now this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97505873

 $00:21:45.885 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.565$ revealing of the acronym called

NOTE Confidence: 0.97505873

 $00:21:47.565 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.924$ cinema. I've been calling it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98612213

 $00:21:49.484 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.945$ since the outline slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7807407

00:21:51.484 --> 00:21:51.804 It's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97692186

 $00:21:52.460 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.840$ the acronym means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9459984

 $00:21:54.619 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.600$ system integrated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99812806

 $00:21:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.080$ technology enabled

NOTE Confidence: 0.95522934

 $00:21:58.619 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.680$ model of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9397718

00:22:00.619 --> 00:22:01.020 And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8614258

 $00:22:01.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.980$ it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943519

 $00:22:02.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.680$ covering three levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9887011 00:22:04.220 --> 00:22:04.720 So NOTE Confidence: 0.99461573

 $00:22:05.020 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.119$ the, our way of addressing

00:22:07.445 --> 00:22:09.205 patient level barriers is through

NOTE Confidence: 0.98385245

 $00:22:09.205 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.365$ the monthly follow-up visit by

NOTE Confidence: 0.98385245

 $00:22:11.365 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.905$ the village doctors, the interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.98385245

 $00:22:12.965 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.465$ between

NOTE Confidence: 0.9498575

 $00:22:13.765 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.145$ the the face to face

NOTE Confidence: 0.9498575

 $00:22:15.285 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.045$ interactions between village doctors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9498575

 $00:22:17.045 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.705$ the patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99544144

 $00:22:18.405 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.244$ And then, we provide self

NOTE Confidence: 0.99544144

 $00{:}22{:}20.244 --> 00{:}22{:}21.465 \ \mathrm{management \ support}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.95112044

00:22:21.859 --> 00:22:23.380 through the voice messages, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95112044

 $00:22:23.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.260$ there is a lot of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95112044

 $00:22:24.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.060$ formative research going into why

NOTE Confidence: 0.95112044

00:22:27.060 --> 00:22:28.580 is voice message not the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95112044

 $00:22:28.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.940$ text message and how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.95112044

00:22:29.940 --> 00:22:31.880 design the voice message, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99757445

 $00:22:33.220 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.580$ And then at the village

 $00:22:34.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.994$ doctor level, we have training

NOTE Confidence: 0.9379151

 $00{:}22{:}37.295 \to 00{:}22{:}39.135$ and technology empowerment, which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9379151

00:22:39.135 --> 00:22:40.734 through a smartphone based app

NOTE Confidence: 0.9379151

 $00:22:40.734 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.575$ called the cinema app, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9379151

 $00:22:42.575 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.715$ also incentives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67708725

00:22:44.015 --> 00:22:45.075 Incentives both

NOTE Confidence: 0.93368083

00:22:45.375 --> 00:22:47.315 financial incentives, but also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79098964

 $00:22:48.494 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.994$ nonfinancial

NOTE Confidence: 0.87830395

 $00:22:49.295 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.915$ incentives such as recognition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9925642

 $00:22:51.295 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.795$ award,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8250139

 $00:22:52.869 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.369$ etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.994249

 $00:22:53.990 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.710$ And then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9473767

 $00{:}22{:}55.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.330$ working with the specialists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99844426 00:22:57.109 --> 00:22:57.609 to NOTE Confidence: 0.9835159

 $00:22:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.010$ task shift and tax share

 $00:23:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.929$ with the primary care providers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251767

 $00:23:03.350 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.190$ Hey, Jane. Can I ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251767

 $00{:}23{:}04.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06.309$ a question about performance based

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251767

00:23:06.309 --> 00:23:06.809 incentives?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868628

 $00:23:07.190 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.690$ Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858403

00:23:08.205 --> 00:23:10.145 From my somewhat limited experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771026

 $00:23:10.845 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.044$ in low and middle income

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771026

 $00:23:12.044 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.664$ countries, there's a lot of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99973816

 $00{:}23{:}14.205 --> 00{:}23{:}14.705 \ resistance$

NOTE Confidence: 0.99878216

 $00{:}23{:}15.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}17.345$ to performance based incentives

NOTE Confidence: 0.8714811

 $00:23:18.125 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.625$ because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99839586

 $00:23:19.325 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.825$ governments

NOTE Confidence: 0.99151844

00:23:20.365 --> 00:23:22.180 don't wanna kind of create

NOTE Confidence: 0.99151844

 $00{:}23{:}22.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}23.800$ a dependency where people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9583747

 $00:23:24.180 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.540$ don't do their jobs unless

NOTE Confidence: 0.9583747

 $00:23:25.540 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.600$ they get an incentive.

 $00:23:27.380 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.760$ And I've also experienced

NOTE Confidence: 0.9455797

 $00:23:29.140 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.100$ in a study where I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9455797

 $00:23:30.100 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.540$ worked on it. The same

NOTE Confidence: 0.9455797

 $00:23:31.540 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.980$ people are, like, the ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.9455797

 $00:23:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.780$ who do a really good

NOTE Confidence: 0.9455797

00:23:33.780 --> 00:23:35.140 job every single month. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9455797

 $00:23:35.140 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.680$ don't always get the incentives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9649318

00:23:38.095 --> 00:23:39.455 So I'm just wondering, like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9649318

00:23:39.455 --> 00:23:40.575 since you said you were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9649318

 $00{:}23{:}40.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42.035$ co designing with stakeholders

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771153

00:23:42.414 --> 00:23:44.095 Yes. Were they really happy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771153

 $00:23:44.095 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.215$ about that, and did they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771153

00:23:45.215 --> 00:23:46.434 feel it was sustainable?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9439594

00:23:46.815 --> 00:23:48.414 Or I'm so glad you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9439594

 $00:23:48.655 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.775$ thoughts. I'm so glad you

00:23:49.775 --> 00:23:50.895 asked this question because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9439594

 $00:23:50.895 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.395$ won't

NOTE Confidence: 0.97976154

 $00:23:51.830 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.869$ spend too much time on

NOTE Confidence: 0.97976154

 $00:23:52.869 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.910$ this, but this is such

NOTE Confidence: 0.97976154

 $00:23:53.910 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.869$ a great question that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.97976154

 $00:23:54.869 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.250$ do want to respond to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97976154

 $00:23:56.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.650$ Indeed, the concerns are true

NOTE Confidence: 0.97976154

 $00:23:58.869 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.030$ that, it may provide the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97976154

00:24:01.030 --> 00:24:02.710 wrong incentive for people to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97976154

 $00:24:02.710 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.609$ just do it

NOTE Confidence: 0.95219463

00:24:03.934 --> 00:24:04.895 if, you know, they don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.95219463

 $00:24:04.895 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.615$ do it well if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.95219463

 $00:24:05.615 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.674$ are not getting paid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9847372

 $00:24:06.975 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.455$ And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9197198

 $00:24:08.575 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.415$ it's usually just the better

NOTE Confidence: 0.9197198

 $00:24:10.415 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.475$ reform performers.

 $00:24:12.015 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.855$ These are valid concerns. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9862824

 $00:24:13.855 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.054$ would even say I see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9862824

 $00:24:15.054 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.434$ that happening in China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98352504

00:24:16.734 --> 00:24:18.515 Like, China has this performance

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835250400:24:18.655 --> 00:24:19.155 based

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664627

 $00:24:19.770 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.930$ system within our national health

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664627

 $00:24:21.930 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.590$ care system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99937135

 $00:24:23.369 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.830$ whether it's for the hospitals

NOTE Confidence: 0.9670293

00:24:25.290 --> 00:24:26.830 or for the public health

NOTE Confidence: 0.9670293

 $00:24:26.890 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.910$ package, national public health package.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9865571

00:24:29.290 --> 00:24:31.310 And they have very detailed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9865571

 $00:24:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.510$ performance measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9248927

 $00{:}24{:}33.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}35.165$ That's usually controlled by the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9248927

00:24:35.485 --> 00:24:36.385 county CDC

NOTE Confidence: 0.94873625

 $00:24:36.845 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.285$ for the whole county, and

 $00:24:38.285 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.425$ then they evaluate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9355009

 $00:24:40.445 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.325$ the the people and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9355009

 $00:24:41.325 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.605$ give them well, having said

NOTE Confidence: 0.9355009

00:24:42.605 --> 00:24:43.645 that, there is the per

NOTE Confidence: 0.9355009

 $00:24:43.645 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.325$ capita fee for the puppy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9355009

 $00:24:45.325 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.225$ house plus

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596521

 $00:24:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.480$ some fee that is forewarms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596521

 $00:24:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.680$ based. So there is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596521

 $00:24:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.780$ culture of forewarns based payment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9596521

 $00:24:51.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.780$ in China. So the resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.95140284

 $00:24:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.980$ from the government,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9436143

 $00:24:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.380$ officials was low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98287964

 $00{:}24{:}57.680 --> 00{:}24{:}58.880$ but I do see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98287964

 $00:24:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.835$ problem with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96969575

 $00:25:00.635 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.575$ that the culture is such.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489559

 $00:25:03.355 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.274$ For example, we're designing another

 $00:25:05.274 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.215$ study. We're trying to create

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489559

 $00:25:07.274 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.794$ a culture of, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489559

 $00:25:08.794 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.615$ peer leaders

NOTE Confidence: 0.84254766

 $00:25:09.994 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.695$ or community health volunteers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904618

 $00{:}25{:}12.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}13.674$ But the resistance I always

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904618

 $00:25:13.674 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.380$ get is, will the volunteers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904618

 $00:25:15.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.280$ be paid? If not, they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904618

 $00:25:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.340$ may not be incentivized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834629

 $00:25:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.000$ So so there are I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834629

00:25:20.000 --> 00:25:21.280 mean, I'm not saying China

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834629

00:25:21.280 --> 00:25:22.640 doesn't have a volunteer culture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834629

 $00:25:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.680$ but I think that's less

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834629

 $00:25:23.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.280$ than some other cultures. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834629

 $00:25:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.359$ then this room space, payment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834629

00:25:27.359 --> 00:25:28.260 scheme is,

 $00:25:29.075 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.275$ is a double edged sword.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97985697

 $00:25:30.275 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.775 \text{ Yes.}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.95409846

00:25:31.555 --> 00:25:33.315 Given our culture, this seems

NOTE Confidence: 0.95409846

 $00:25:33.315 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.755$ to be working well, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9540984600:25:34.755 --> 00:25:35.255 we

 $00:25:35.635 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.715$ really designed the indicators for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9644949

NOTE Confidence: 0.9644949

 $00:25:37.715 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.315$ performance to be very simple

NOTE Confidence: 0.9644949

 $00:25:39.315 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.435$ and is embedded in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9644949

 $00{:}25{:}40.435 \to 00{:}25{:}41.655$ app so they could see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840976

 $00:25:42.435 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.734$ etcetera. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9555512

 $00:25:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.160$ So this is the pilot

NOTE Confidence: 0.9555512

 $00:25:46.160 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.200$ study we did in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9555512

 $00:25:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.020$ four villages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9525602 00:25:48.720 --> 00:25:49.119 to, NOTE Confidence: 0.96925694

 $00:25:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.119$ after the design of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96925694

00:25:51.119 --> 00:25:53.520 intervention to, fur further refine

 $00:25:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.740$ it and tailor it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9739928

00:25:55.760 --> 00:25:56.260 Some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9395361

00:25:57.075 --> 00:25:58.515 this is in Chinese. You

NOTE Confidence: 0.9395361

 $00:25:58.515 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.554$ can't really see it, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9395361

 $00:25:59.554 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.054$ basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982826

 $00:26:00.595 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.575$ it's the monthly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993542

 $00:26:01.875 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.375$ follow-up

NOTE Confidence: 0.7460459

 $00:26:02.835 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.335$ sheet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9700509

 $00:26:04.115 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.715$ And, we focus on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9700509

 $00:26:05.715 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.215$ medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96686363

 $00:26:06.755 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.530$ and the physical activity. There

NOTE Confidence: 0.96686363

 $00:26:08.530 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.050$ is the goal setting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96686363

00:26:10.050 --> 00:26:11.190 then also reminders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840604

 $00:26:11.890 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.570$ each month. And so each

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840604

 $00:26:13.570 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.930$ patient gets one sheet per

00:26:14.930 --> 00:26:16.369 month. So throughout the year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840604

 $00:26:16.369 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.510$ they get twelve sheets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99401563

 $00:26:17.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.510$ as reminders for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868693

 $00:26:20.210 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.330$ And this is the APP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868693

 $00:26:21.330 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.530$ The APP is designed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868693

 $00:26:22.530 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.590$ be user friendly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9634602

 $00:26:25.325 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.244$ So it's very easy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9634602

 $00{:}26{:}27.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27.744 \text{ use,}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.97705585

 $00:26:28.285 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.365$ and, we learned. I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.97705585

 $00:26:30.365 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.885$ it's okay to do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97705585

 $00{:}26{:}31.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}33.825$ from the WeChat interface.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99014086

 $00:26:34.525 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.365$ We Chat is this popular social

NOTE Confidence: 0.99014086

 $00:26:36.365 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.865$ media,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91546804

 $00:26:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.740$ sort of like WhatsApp,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9597423

 $00:26:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.100$ in China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99846953

 $00:26:40.799 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.159$ And then it has multiple

 $00:26:42.159 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.659$ functions

NOTE Confidence: 0.95814526

 $00:26:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.159$ at the back end, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.95814526

 $00:26:44.159 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.440$ made it very easy for

NOTE Confidence: 0.95814526

 $00:26:45.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.220$ the users to navigate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98995954

 $00:26:49.039 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.260$ So this is some screenshots,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92673224

 $00:26:51.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.740$ of that, APP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87576103

 $00:26:53.895 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.635$ We also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97607

 $00{:}26{:}55.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}55.595$ had

NOTE Confidence: 0.97234964

 $00:26:58.135 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.355$ ways to design

NOTE Confidence: 0.9251882

 $00:26:59.815 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.555$ the messages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87473196

 $00:27:00.935 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.535$ based on the health belief

NOTE Confidence: 0.87473196

 $00:27:02.535 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.755$ system, model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695514

 $00{:}27{:}04.615 --> 00{:}27{:}05.575$ as well as,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99945

 $00:27:06.135 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.355$ focusing on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963994

 $00:27:07.735 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.475$ the two

 $00:27:10.049 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.270$ evidence based practices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95585936

 $00:27:11.650 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.670$ medication adherence and physical activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95585936

 $00:27:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.609$ There are so many things

NOTE Confidence: 0.95585936

 $00:27:14.609 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.830$ we could work on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9394108

 $00:27:16.290 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.809$ but, we need it really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9394108

 $00:27:17.809 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.470$ to prioritize

NOTE Confidence: 0.81943387

 $00:27:18.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.210$ to what may generate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81943387

 $00:27:20.210 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.450$ larger impact. So these two

NOTE Confidence: 0.81943387

 $00:27:22.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.285$ are what we focus on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81943387

 $00:27:24.365 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.244$ I just wanna close your

NOTE Confidence: 0.81943387

 $00:27:25.244 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.945$ comment. Why didn't you include

NOTE Confidence: 0.81943387

 $00:27:27.005 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.545$ reducing salt intake?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988637

 $00:27:29.325 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.765$ Yes. That's a good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9622691

 $00:27:31.085 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.605$ For this study, we didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9622691

 $00:27:32.605 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.885$ do that. I I I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.9622691

00:27:33.885 --> 00:27:35.405 actually I had many other

 $00:27:35.405 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.005$ studies focusing on that. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9622691

 $00:27:37.005 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.260$ know. But for for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9622691

 $00:27:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.020$ study, the conscious choice was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9622691

 $00:27:40.020 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.300$ not to work on education

NOTE Confidence: 0.9622691

 $00:27:41.540 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.560$ I mean, diet related factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.87200737

 $00:27:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.680$ because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9584112

 $00:27:46.020 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.720$ it's it's really comp complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9584112

 $00:27:47.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.400$ Not because diet is complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9584112

 $00:27:49.460 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.065$ by itself, but if I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9584112

 $00:27:51.065 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.765$ add more components to it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9584112

 $00:27:52.984 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.685$ it may be too complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9584112

 $00{:}27{:}54.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}56.765$ In indeed, the original proposal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9584112

 $00{:}27{:}56.785 --> 00{:}27{:}57.805 \ \mathrm{included} \ \mathrm{rehabilitation}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.93046635

 $00{:}27{:}58.505 --> 00{:}27{:}59.645$ Mhmm. As well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95791084

 $00:28:00.345 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.785$ but we decided to cut

00:28:01.785 --> 00:28:03.225 that part and just focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.95791084

 $00:28:03.225 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.085$ on the medical and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95791084

 $00{:}28{:}05.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}07.559$ healthy life lifestyle part. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97901523

 $00:28:08.179 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.799$ So we didn't, choose

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997095

 $00:28:10.259 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.559$ to have a comprehensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987223

 $00:28:11.859 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.359$ strategy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813918

 $00:28:13.059 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.580$ Having said that, when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813918

00:28:14.580 --> 00:28:15.700 build on this and now

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813918

 $00{:}28{:}15.700 \longrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.859$ work in another urban setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813918

 $00:28:17.859 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.779$ we do have the salt

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813918

 $00:28:19.779 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.279$ reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.99923277

 $00:28:20.659 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.399$ in there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9957201

00:28:23.595 --> 00:28:24.895 For the long term sustainability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835683

 $00{:}28{:}25.675 \rightarrow 00{:}28{:}27.675$ this framework, we didn't, have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835683

 $00:28:27.675 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.355$ it at the beginning, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835683

 $00{:}28{:}29.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}31.375$ we designed our whole intervention

 $00:28:31.435 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.435$ with sustainability and scalability in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835683

 $00:28:33.435 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.875$ mind because we don't want

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835683

 $00:28:34.875 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.015$ it to just be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9384998

00:28:36.910 --> 00:28:38.290 you know, a one time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99333906

 $00:28:38.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.890$ kind of effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98602086

 $00:28:40.750 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.030$ But in but having said

NOTE Confidence: 0.98602086

00:28:42.030 --> 00:28:44.450 that, this was investigator initiated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603665

 $00:28:45.550 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.050$ study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.980116

 $00{:}28{:}46.430 --> 00{:}28{:}46.930 \ \mathrm{So},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8666916

 $00:28:47.630 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.110$ and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9789865

 $00:28:49.115 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.555$ as of now, it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9789865

 $00:28:50.555 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.055$ being,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9859005

 $00{:}28{:}51.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53.455$ scaled up in China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9552423

00:28:54.075 --> 00:28:55.595 But I'm hoping with our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9552423

00:28:55.595 --> 00:28:56.895 most recent result

 $00:28:57.275 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.555$ with, one just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775289

 $00:28:58.955 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.155$ published to come out on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775289

 $00:29:00.155 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.075$ December thirtieth and another one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775289

 $00:29:02.075 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.575$ hopefully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836661

 $00:29:03.195 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.695$ soon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9485199

 $00:29:04.130 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.230$ maybe I will initiate this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9485199

 $00:29:06.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.890$ thing about scaling up in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9485199

 $00:29:07.890 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.730$ China as well. So we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9485199

 $00:29:09.730 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.630$ did the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79624474

 $00:29:11.330 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.830$ access,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819295

00:29:12.290 --> 00:29:13.670 assessment on sustainability

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756868

 $00:29:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.190$ of the study

NOTE Confidence: 0.98881006

 $00:29:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.630$ in this summer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9646081

 $00{:}29{:}16.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}18.230$ according to this framework.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9591727

 $00:29:19.515 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.775$ So this is the pedal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896388

 $00:29:22.395 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.455$ of the study.

00:29:24.155 --> 00:29:25.595 The problem we address was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9008951

 $00:29:25.595 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.655$ the, EBP

NOTE Confidence: 0.9799611

 $00:29:27.035 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.055$ we adopt, which is mainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.99786943

 $00:29:29.435 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.655$ the monthly follow-up

NOTE Confidence: 0.9486592

 $00{:}29{:}31.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33.515$ and medication adherence and physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9486592

 $00:29:33.515 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.015$ activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93687546

 $00:29:34.940 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.080$ and and our implementation strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.93687546

 $00:29:37.140 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.480$ that addresses the local barriers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9846965

00:29:40.740 --> 00:29:41.240 And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851536

 $00:29:41.620 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.220$ now let's, talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851536

 $00:29:43.220 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.760$ evaluation of this intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99867165

 $00:29:45.140 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.100$ So in terms of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99867165

 $00:29:46.100 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.320$ evaluation, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9386767

00:29:47.674 --> 00:29:49.674 research question as it if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9386767

 $00:29:49.674 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.875$ we put it into one

 $00:29:50.875 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.335$ sentence is this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9143999

 $00:29:52.715 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.015$ which is can the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89573896

00:29:54.715 --> 00:29:56.315 primary health care providers, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.89573896

00:29:56.315 --> 00:29:57.595 call them village doctors in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89573896

 $00:29:57.595 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.794$ China, they're even if when

NOTE Confidence: 0.89573896

 $00:29:58.794 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.835$ they are supported by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89573896

 $00:29:59.835 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.335$ system

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951777

00:30:00.679 --> 00:30:02.520 and equipped with digital health

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951777

 $00:30:02.520 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.140$ technology, can they provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.99600613

 $00:30:04.679 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.419$ the essential,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993446

 $00:30:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.419$ higher quality care

NOTE Confidence: 0.98447585

 $00:30:07.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.059$ and reduce systolic blood pressure?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98447585

 $00:30:10.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.720$ So we have this equal

NOTE Confidence: 0.98447585

 $00:30:11.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.220$ emphasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9515279

 $00:30:12.520 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.740$ on two outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9582483

 $00:30:14.835 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.115$ which makes it a hybrid

00:30:16.115 --> 00:30:16.615 two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8744303

00:30:18.115 --> 00:30:18.615 trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9864276

 $00:30:18.915 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.875$ And many of you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9864276

00:30:19.875 --> 00:30:21.635 familiar with this. So hybrid

NOTE Confidence: 0.9864276

 $00:30:21.635 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.415$ one, the primary on effectiveness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9864276

00:30:23.715 --> 00:30:25.895 hybrid three, primary on implementation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9864276

00:30:26.115 --> 00:30:27.475 and hybrid two Mhmm. Has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9864276

00:30:27.475 --> 00:30:28.535 this equal emphasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95528454

 $00:30:29.059 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.740$ And in their paper published,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95528454

 $00:30:30.980 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.760$ by professor Curran et al,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95528454

 $00:30:32.820 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.420$ and they talk about, what

NOTE Confidence: 0.95528454

 $00:30:34.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.860$ makes it a hybrid two

NOTE Confidence: 0.95528454

 $00:30:35.860 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.080$ trial. So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9842623

 $00:30:38.020 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.460$ skipping over this part, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9842623

 $00:30:39.460 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.420$ I think this is more

 $00:30:40.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.920$ legible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690747

00:30:41.615 --> 00:30:43.635 It talks about the conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8187772

 $00:30:44.015 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.855$ of when to adopt a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8187772

 $00:30:45.855 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.235$ hybrid type two trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94887817

 $00:30:47.535 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.895$ And, for example, it needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.94887817

 $00:30:48.895 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.515$ to be having strong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85914

 $00:30:51.295 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.195$ face validity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316422

 $00:30:52.575 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.015$ and a strong base of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316422

 $00{:}30{:}54.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}56.190$ indirect evidence, a minimal risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9316422

 $00:30:56.350 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.409$ and there is momentum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641526

 $00{:}30{:}58.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}59.070$ and it should be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9934779

 $00:31:00.269 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.769$ supportable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95251644

 $00:31:01.389 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.769$ in terms of the strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95251644

 $00{:}31{:}02.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}04.909$ And, there is reason to

NOTE Confidence: 0.95251644

 $00:31:04.909 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.049$ gather more data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370268

 $00:31:06.590 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.490$ And the ideal,

 $00:31:08.029 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.789$ condition is that, there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.969019

 $00:31:09.789 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.289$ reasonably

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954105

 $00:31:10.590 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.090$ close

NOTE Confidence: 0.9724045

00:31:11.815 --> 00:31:12.315 evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983318

 $00{:}31{:}13.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}14.615$ and the overall intervention is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983318

 $00:31:14.615 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.755$ not overly complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834622

00:31:16.215 --> 00:31:19.015 So, our cinema trial actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834622

 $00{:}31{:}19.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}21.015$ fulfills this requirement. For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834622

 $00{:}31{:}21.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}22.555$ there is strong face validity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879523

 $00:31:23.095 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.235$ for both the EBP

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066794

00:31:24.750 --> 00:31:26.290 and the implementation strategy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99364626

 $00:31:26.750 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.510$ and the strong indirect evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.99364626

 $00{:}31{:}28.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}29.630$ actually came from our own

NOTE Confidence: 0.99364626

00:31:29.630 --> 00:31:30.130 study

NOTE Confidence: 0.9517826

 $00:31:30.510 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.510$ in, rural China and as

 $00:31:32.510 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.650$ well as from,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968374

 $00:31:34.190 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.690$ Tibet

NOTE Confidence: 0.9865572

 $00:31:35.150 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.890$ in China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9926816

 $00:31:36.430 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.550$ And then there is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99032146

 $00:31:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.015$ low risk in the intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99032146

00:31:40.015 --> 00:31:41.855 We didn't have anything of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99032146

 $00:31:41.855 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.295$ new drugs or new measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99032146

 $00:31:43.295 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.795$ etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78344005

 $00{:}31{:}44.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}46.655$ Nothing beyond the implementer, village

NOTE Confidence: 0.78344005

 $00:31:46.655 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.135$ doctors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9257755

 $00:31:47.455 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.955$ capability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989899

 $00:31:48.495 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.275$ And the momentum for implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800949

 $00{:}31{:}50.655 --> 00{:}31{:}51.155 \text{ was},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985397

 $00:31:51.935 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.955$ high at that time when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985397

 $00:31:54.095 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.710$ the medical care reform in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985397

00:31:55.710 --> 00:31:56.210 China

 $00:31:56.590 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.950$ was really having this push

NOTE Confidence: 0.9803491

00:31:57.950 --> 00:31:59.790 of strengthening primary care, having

NOTE Confidence: 0.9803491

00:31:59.790 --> 00:32:00.290 hierarchical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9097788

 $00:32:01.790 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.490$ health care, and task shifting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904571

 $00:32:04.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.090$ And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9908428

 $00{:}32{:}05.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}07.470$ the institutional support was very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9908428

00:32:07.470 --> 00:32:08.770 strong from provincial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98953754

00:32:09.485 --> 00:32:11.245 even national level, but more

NOTE Confidence: 0.98953754

 $00:32:11.245 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.385$ at the local level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936929

 $00:32:13.005 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.385$ And there is equipoise

NOTE Confidence: 0.9618622

 $00:32:14.685 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.525$ that we don't know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9618622

 $00:32:16.525 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.445$ whole intervention package as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9618622

 $00{:}32{:}18.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}20.525$ design them was effective or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9618622 00:32:20.525 --> 00:32:21.025 not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904452

00:32:21.580 --> 00:32:21.980 So,

 $00:32:22.620 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.980$ you are familiar with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.97238964

 $00:32:23.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.500$ logic model, like, what do

NOTE Confidence: 0.97238964

 $00:32:25.500 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.020$ we put in? What do

NOTE Confidence: 0.97238964

 $00:32:27.020 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.880$ we do? What's the immediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.97238964

 $00:32:28.940 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.860$ output on the media term

NOTE Confidence: 0.97238964

 $00{:}32{:}30.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}31.980$ outcome and the long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.97238964

 $00:32:31.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.580$ impact? So in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97238964

00:32:33.580 --> 00:32:34.320 our study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900555

 $00{:}32{:}35.285 \to 00{:}32{:}36.405$ this is the logic model

NOTE Confidence: 0.87900555

 $00:32:36.405 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.865$ for our study is color.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9685761

 $00:32:38.245 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.085$ The color scheme shows different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9685761

 $00:32:40.085 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.125$ levels. Like, this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9685761

 $00:32:41.125 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.505$ health care system level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9926354

 $00:32:43.285 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.565$ and this is the village

NOTE Confidence: 0.9926354

00:32:44.565 --> 00:32:45.465 doctor level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96913666

 $00:32:45.924 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.765$ and this is the patient

 $00:32:47.765 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.265$ level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95928055

 $00:32:49.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.590$ in in a summary. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.95928055

 $00:32:51.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.270$ as we design, in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.95928055

 $00:32:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.090$ of the trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9486473

 $00:32:54.549 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.570$ it's a cluster randomized trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934494

 $00:32:56.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.090$ So after we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9417917

 $00:33:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.730$ do the baseline connect collection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9417917

 $00:33:02.789 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.010$ then we review

NOTE Confidence: 0.99925333

 $00:33:04.375 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.914$ the allocation of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9543261

 $00:33:06.615 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.375$ the clusters into each groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9543261

00:33:08.375 --> 00:33:09.975 This is very important because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9543261

 $00:33:09.975 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.654$ the for a open label

NOTE Confidence: 0.9543261

 $00{:}33{:}11.654 --> 00{:}33{:}12.154 \ \mathrm{trial},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307882

 $00:33:13.174 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.735$ inevitably for complex health intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307882

00:33:15.735 --> 00:33:16.980 like ours, It needs to

 $00:33:16.980 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.100$ be open label. There is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307882

 $00{:}33{:}18.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18.900$ no way that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307882

00:33:18.900 --> 00:33:19.960 do double blind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9742742

 $00:33:20.500 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.100$ but at least it can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9742742

 $00:33:22.100 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.840$ be concealed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9461792

 $00:33:23.460 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.440$ for the baseline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97013235

 $00:33:26.980 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.480$ measurement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643566

 $00:33:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.300$ And then, it's in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643566

 $00:33:29.300 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.740$ one to one ratio. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643566

 $00:33:30.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.545$ twenty five

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835647

 $00{:}33{:}31.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}33.705$ villages in the intervention, twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835647

 $00:33:33.705 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.245$ five in control. On average,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99926776

 $00:33:35.545 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.805$ twenty five patients per cluster

NOTE Confidence: 0.94435817

 $00:33:38.185 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.865$ of, they're all stroke patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94435817

 $00:33:39.865 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.165$ and we have a quarterly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907211

 $00:33:41.465 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.045$ process evaluation, mostly qualitative research.

 $00:33:44.400 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.360$ And then in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95493776

 $00{:}33{:}45.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}47.040$ the underlying data collection, it

NOTE Confidence: 0.95493776

 $00:33:47.040 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.520$ was also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9617166

 $00:33:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.460$ designed to be blinded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621408

 $00{:}33{:}52.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}54.560$ Like, the assessors came from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621408

 $00:33:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.320$ a third party, which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621408

 $00:33:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.760$ the CDC staff from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9621408

 $00:33:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.260$ nearing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9885646

 $00{:}33{:}58.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}00.925$ neighboring county, not from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9885646

00:34:00.925 --> 00:34:02.385 intervention county itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98998374

 $00:34:03.005 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.125$ And then they did both

NOTE Confidence: 0.98998374

 $00:34:04.125 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.205$ the baseline and the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.98998374 00:34:06.205 --> 00:34:06.705 line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878952

00:34:07.325 --> 00:34:08.864 according to the same protocol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9571577

 $00:34:09.244 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.244$ And from, my observation that

 $00:34:11.244 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.330$ they didn't care who who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9571577

 $00:34:12.330 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.370$ in the information, who's in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9571577

 $00:34:13.370 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.330$ the control. We asked them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9571577

 $00:34:14.330 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.530$ not to ask, and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9571577

 $00:34:15.530 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.130$ they just want to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9571577

 $00:34:17.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.570$ their job, which is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9571577

 $00:34:18.570 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.550$ outcome assessment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8953655

00:34:20.090 --> 00:34:22.170 data, collection and do their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8953655

 $00:34:22.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.130$ job well. Can you come

NOTE Confidence: 0.8953655

00:34:23.130 --> 00:34:24.675 back a second? Mhmm. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.8953655

00:34:24.835 --> 00:34:26.114 my first question is, so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8953655

 $00:34:26.114 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.055$ this is an individually randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8953655

 $00:34:28.195 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.315$ study or something? It's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8953655

00:34:29.315 --> 00:34:31.315 cluster randomized trial. Okay. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.8953655

 $00:34:31.475 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.395$ So twenty five villages into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8953655

 $00:34:33.395 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.895$ each,

00:34:34.755 --> 00:34:35.955 three. Okay. Because the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.81532407

 $00:34:35.955 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.995$ it says it varies, it

NOTE Confidence: 0.81532407

00:34:36.995 --> 00:34:38.695 said six twenty five receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965322

 $00:34:39.155 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.215$ usual care

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553921

 $00:34:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.150$ and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9317508

 $00:34:42.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.609$ and six twenty five receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.9317508

 $00:34:43.609 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.809$ the cinema model. So you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9317508

 $00:34:44.969 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.430$ So she should have said

NOTE Confidence: 0.9317508

 $00:34:46.489 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.930$ twenty five versus twenty five.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9317508

 $00:34:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.809$ Okay. Yeah. And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9401255

 $00:34:49.450 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.969$ because you wouldn't have exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9401255

 $00:34:50.969 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.250$ six twenty five in both

NOTE Confidence: 0.9401255

 $00{:}34{:}52.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}53.210$ groups. You should be in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9401255

 $00:34:53.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.589$ a real study because

NOTE Confidence: 0.94748926

00:34:54.969 --> 00:34:56.170 every village would have a

00:34:56.170 --> 00:34:57.795 different number of stroke I

NOTE Confidence: 0.94748926

00:34:57.795 --> 00:34:59.315 I don't have exactly six

NOTE Confidence: 0.94748926

00:34:59.315 --> 00:35:00.755 twenty five. Sorry. That's very

NOTE Confidence: 0.94748926

 $00:35:00.755 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.275$ good catch, Donna. This is

NOTE Confidence: 0.94748926

 $00:35:02.275 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.474$ wrong. I should have changed

NOTE Confidence: 0.94748926 00:35:03.474 --> 00:35:03.974 it, NOTE Confidence: 0.9357575

 $00:35:04.515 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.015$ because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966075

 $00:35:06.755 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.035$ this is the design. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966075

 $00{:}35{:}08.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}09.715$ mean, the design also is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966075

00:35:09.715 --> 00:35:10.835 twenty five versus twenty five.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966075

 $00{:}35{:}10.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12.114$ Yeah. Twenty five versus twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966075

 $00:35:12.114 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.050$ five. I mean, I caught

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966075

 $00:35:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.010$ it because this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966075

 $00:35:14.010 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.690$ main area research in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966075

00:35:15.850 --> 00:35:17.930 Yeah. Group looking at Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966075

 $00:35:17.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.890$ And I designs and then

 $00:35:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.010$ I should have caught it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966075

00:35:20.010 --> 00:35:21.530 myself. So that's well, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966075

00:35:21.530 --> 00:35:22.890 had another flowchart, but this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966075

 $00:35:22.890 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.570$ is a well, yeah. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966075 $00:35:25.045 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.545$ and NOTE Confidence: 0.77869844

 $00:35:25.844 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.965$ I don't think I put

NOTE Confidence: 0.77869844

 $00:35:26.965 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.485$ in the final flowchart in

NOTE Confidence: 0.77869844

 $00:35:28.485 \dashrightarrow 00:35:30.085$ here. Something that's written like

NOTE Confidence: 0.77869844

 $00:35:30.085 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.885$ in the back of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.77869844

00:35:30.885 --> 00:35:32.645 chart. Oh, yeah. Sure. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797728

 $00{:}35{:}33.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}34.165$ Can you say a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797728

00:35:34.165 --> 00:35:35.045 bit more about what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797728

00:35:35.045 --> 00:35:36.965 Morisky Grain Louvain scale and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7797728

 $00:35:36.965 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.265$ the time jump in Vowetesta?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427805

 $00:35:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.790$ Yeah. So that is the,

 $00:35:42.190 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.170$ four item

NOTE Confidence: 0.9746533

 $00:35:43.549 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.049$ medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.90960866

00:35:44.349 --> 00:35:46.270 adherence scale. And, I'm glad

NOTE Confidence: 0.90960866

00:35:46.510 --> 00:35:47.969 also, I'm glad you asked

NOTE Confidence: 0.90960866

 $00:35:48.030 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.549$ asked because the more famous

NOTE Confidence: 0.90960866

 $00:35:49.549 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.150$ one is the eight item,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90960866

00:35:51.150 --> 00:35:51.650 MMAS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952007

 $00:35:52.589 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.790$ which is which needs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952007

 $00:35:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.395$ be paid. And at Duke

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952007

00:35:55.395 --> 00:35:56.855 University, we were warned

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874704

 $00:35:57.155 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.435$ that don't use it unless

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874704

 $00:35:58.435 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.875$ you pay them. Otherwise, even

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874704

00:35:59.875 --> 00:36:01.075 after you publish, you may

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874704

00:36:01.075 --> 00:36:01.975 get into lawsuit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956591

 $00:36:02.435 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.935$ However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9568847

 $00:36:03.315 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.835$ this four item one called

 $00:36:04.835 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.335 \text{ MGLS}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672953

 $00:36:06.035 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.520$ is free. So we won't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672953

 $00:36:07.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.640$ get into trouble. So that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672953

 $00{:}36{:}08.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09.920$ what we did. In terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672953

 $00:36:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.040$ of the physical function in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672953

 $00:36:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.420$ the time up and go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672953

00:36:12.640 --> 00:36:13.540 it's a measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.96552867

 $00:36:14.000 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.160$ where, we ask them to

NOTE Confidence: 0.96552867

 $00:36:16.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.760$ sit on the chair, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96552867

 $00:36:17.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.280$ we place a mark three

NOTE Confidence: 0.96552867

 $00:36:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.200$ meters away. So we say

NOTE Confidence: 0.96552867

 $00:36:21.200 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.480$ begin until they stand up

NOTE Confidence: 0.96552867

 $00{:}36{:}22.480 \to 00{:}36{:}23.594$ and walk three

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303627

 $00:36:23.895 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.935$ meters. We turn back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9303627

 $00:36:24.935 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.375$ sit down. So we time

 $00:36:26.375 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.215$ that. So if that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9932018

 $00{:}36{:}28.614 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}36{:}30.695$ above four teen seconds, that means

NOTE Confidence: 0.9932018

 $00:36:30.695 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.075$ their functioning is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9824167

 $00:36:32.455 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.055$ too good in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9824167

 $00:36:34.055 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.555$ lower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956717

 $00:36:35.380 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.180$ Alright. And then in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.956717

 $00:36:36.180 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.700$ of adherence then, the scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.956717

 $00:36:37.700 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.540$ is a self report at

NOTE Confidence: 0.956717

 $00:36:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.760$ the level of the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.956717

 $00:36:40.980 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.020$ where they just say yes

NOTE Confidence: 0.956717

 $00:36:42.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.980$ or no. They took it

NOTE Confidence: 0.956717

 $00:36:42.980 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.180$ or they didn't. Yes. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.956717

 $00{:}36{:}44.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}45.640$ there was no other validation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9331432

 $00:36:46.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.060$ No no pill count and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9331432

 $00:36:47.219 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.765$ or anything like that. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9331432

 $00:36:48.765 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.364$ this is, one of the

 $00:36:50.364 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.305$ secondary outcomes we have. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9331432

 $00:36:52.444 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.964$ And then in the follow-up

NOTE Confidence: 0.9331432

 $00:36:53.964 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.244$ study, we try to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9331432

 $00:36:55.244 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.005$ other measures, but still not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9331432

 $00:36:57.005 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.505$ objective

NOTE Confidence: 0.9310293

 $00:36:57.805 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.125$ type, but another scale to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9415113

 $00:37:00.684 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.305$ to assess medication adherence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99967635

 $00:37:02.844 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.505$ which was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987344

 $00:37:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.310$ done

NOTE Confidence: 0.97599834

 $00:37:04.770 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.930$ in this year. Yeah. In

NOTE Confidence: 0.97599834

 $00:37:06.930 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.989$ this past summer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9528433

 $00:37:09.330 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.770$ So in terms of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9528433

00:37:10.770 --> 00:37:12.530 implementation outcomes framework, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9528433

00:37:12.530 --> 00:37:14.610 there's implementation outcome, service outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9528433

 $00:37:14.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.890$ and patient outcome. So we

 $00:37:15.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.435$ try to assess, many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9528433

 $00:37:18.734 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.734$ this. So, just quick summaries

NOTE Confidence: 0.9528433 00:37:20.734 --> 00:37:21.234 of, NOTE Confidence: 0.98722315

 $00:37:21.695 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.055$ in terms of this trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98722315

 $00:37:23.055 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.195$ the primary outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7546999

 $00:37:24.734 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.474$ for implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.99713707

 $00:37:25.775 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.675$ versus effectiveness

NOTE Confidence: 0.86731386

 $00:37:27.375 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.994$ and then secondary exploratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874066 00:37:29.710 --> 00:37:30.190 So, NOTE Confidence: 0.98405045

 $00:37:30.590 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.870$ at the time of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98405045

 $00{:}37{:}31.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}34.050$ trial, these outcomes were exploratory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845448

 $00:37:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.510$ such as recurrence of stroke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845448

 $00:37:36.510 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.730$ mortality, hospitalization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98916006

 $00:37:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.350$ But in the long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.98916006

 $00:37:40.350 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.710$ follow-up, because we were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.98916006

 $00:37:41.710 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.570$ to accrue enough events,

 $00:37:44.025 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.025$ then, they became primary outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9903844

 $00:37:46.025 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.244$ for the long term follow-up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872610600:37:50.265 --> 00:37:50.765 like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968779

 $00:37:51.145 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.204$ explained here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95204794 00:37:53.305 --> 00:37:53.805 So, NOTE Confidence: 0.97656834

 $00:37:54.825 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.184$ this is more detail that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97656834

 $00:37:56.184 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.464$ I'm not gonna spend too

NOTE Confidence: 0.97656834

 $00:37:57.464 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.505$ much time on. You can

NOTE Confidence: 0.97656834

 $00:37:58.505 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.484$ see our final,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956612

00:38:00.309 --> 00:38:02.710 patient count. Fifty villages and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956612

 $00:38:03.030 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.809$ twelve hundred ninety nine patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956612

 $00:38:04.869 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.390$ So it's not exactly six

NOTE Confidence: 0.956612

 $00{:}38{:}06.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}07.829$ seventy five versus six seventy

NOTE Confidence: 0.956612

 $00{:}38{:}07.829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}08.329$ five.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7186297

 $00:38:10.309 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.809$ Okay.

 $00:38:11.844 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.125$ One nine one two nine

NOTE Confidence: 0.98303

 $00:38:13.125 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.625$ nine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968902

 $00:38:14.245 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.185$ is the final patient count.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968902

 $00:38:16.245 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.265$ So this is a overview

NOTE Confidence: 0.99904025

 $00:38:18.805 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.625$ of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7176134

 $00:38:21.685 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.185$ evaluation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9410302

00:38:23.125 --> 00:38:25.205 framework, which is mostly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9410302

 $00:38:25.205 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.065$ RAIN, but also embedded

NOTE Confidence: 0.96428937

 $00{:}38{:}27.530 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}38{:}29.390$ within the MRC UKMRC

NOTE Confidence: 0.98623854

 $00:38:29.770 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.270$ process evaluation framework for complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.98623854

00:38:32.410 --> 00:38:32.910 interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9727961

 $00:38:35.770 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.130$ You can't really read it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672699

 $00:38:37.530 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.225$ and I have another slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99805915

 $00:38:39.705 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.065$ showing it. This is from

NOTE Confidence: 0.99805915

00:38:41.065 --> 00:38:42.605 our process evaluation

NOTE Confidence: 0.92742324

 $00:38:42.905 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.445$ implementation outcome paper,

00:38:45.065 --> 00:38:46.185 in terms of quotes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599562

00:38:46.185 --> 00:38:47.705 the summary, and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599562

 $00:38:47.705 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.305$ the RE AIM framework. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599562

 $00:38:49.305 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.745$ in summary, in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599562

00:38:50.745 --> 00:38:51.245 fidelity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7499618

 $00:38:52.665 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.364$ is reasonable, not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9768735

00:38:55.320 --> 00:38:57.260 perfect, but reasonable. For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9893449

 $00:38:57.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.860$ in terms of the training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956886

00:38:59.400 --> 00:39:01.400 eighty four percent attended both

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956886

 $00:39:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.900$ sessions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.986443

 $00:39:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.840$ and, some only attended the

NOTE Confidence: 0.986443

 $00:39:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.580$ first one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873249

 $00:39:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.960$ And on average, they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873249

 $00:39:07.960 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.800$ able to perform the monthly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873249

 $00:39:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.300$ follow-up.

 $00:39:11.055 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.655$ And in terms of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9473869

 $00:39:12.655 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.195$ messages sent out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97273135

 $00:39:14.815 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.855$ I mean, sorry. This is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97273135

 $00:39:15.855 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.295$ the WeChat message for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97273135

 $00:39:17.295 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.155$ village doctors as one way

NOTE Confidence: 0.97273135 00:39:19.454 --> 00:39:19.855 of, NOTE Confidence: 0.9642822

 $00:39:20.255 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.755$ support.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9930689

 $00:39:21.855 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.135$ And for the patients, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9930689

 $00:39:23.135 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.875$ can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.950829

00:39:24.719 --> 00:39:26.660 there's eleven percent received

NOTE Confidence: 0.99824476

 $00:39:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.780$ less than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813129

00:39:28.160 --> 00:39:29.219 monthly visit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96458447

 $00:39:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.660$ which means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959647

 $00:39:31.200 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.600$ about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94363594

 $00:39:32.000 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.520$ eighty nine percent received the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94363594

 $00:39:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.420$ monthly visits.

00:39:34.880 --> 00:39:35.619 And also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99563485

 $00:39:36.719 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.080$ in terms of the voice

NOTE Confidence: 0.99563485

 $00:39:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.114$ messages though, it was lower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99563485

 $00:39:40.114 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.855$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94954324

 $00:39:41.875 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.675$ we had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91628915

 $00:39:43.474 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.994$ about a little over a

NOTE Confidence: 0.91628915

 $00:39:44.994 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.494$ quarter

NOTE Confidence: 0.95706123

 $00:39:46.035 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.515$ who,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9609149

 $00:39:47.075 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.435$ didn't answer half of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9609149

 $00:39:48.435 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.594$ voice messages. Because, basically, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9609149

 $00:39:50.594 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.340$ way it worked was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9609149

 $00:39:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.820$ the APP through technical support

NOTE Confidence: 0.9609149

 $00:39:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.080$ at the back end can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9609149

 $00:39:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.780$ automatically send out the messages

NOTE Confidence: 0.990781

 $00:39:58.239 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.060$ to the patients.

 $00:39:59.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.040$ And the time is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98800087

 $00:40:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.400$ the morning when they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.98800087

 $00:40:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.160$ usually at home before they

NOTE Confidence: 0.98800087

 $00:40:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.295$ go out to work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970294

 $00:40:05.775 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.395$ in the farm. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.97513

 $00:40:08.094 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.295$ they if they pick up

NOTE Confidence: 0.97513

 $00:40:09.295 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.895$ this call, then they get

NOTE Confidence: 0.97513

 $00:40:10.895 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.835$ to hear the message recorded

NOTE Confidence: 0.97513

 $00:40:12.895 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.934$ for them, and it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97513

 $00:40:13.934 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.954$ one way. There's no interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97614753

 $00{:}40{:}16.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}18.094$ It's not designed to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97614753

 $00:40:18.335 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.075$ so sophisticated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8499457

00:40:20.415 --> 00:40:20.915 And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97371244

 $00:40:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.460$ the message is usually less

NOTE Confidence: 0.97371244

 $00:40:24.460 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.380$ than one minute long, so

NOTE Confidence: 0.97371244

 $00:40:26.380 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.300$ thirty seconds or less, and

 $00{:}40{:}28.300 \to 00{:}40{:}30.000$ it's repeated one time

NOTE Confidence: 0.8932029

 $00:40:30.380 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.219$ in and recorded in, a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8932029

 $00:40:32.219 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.040$ local dialect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99802744

 $00:40:34.140 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.680$ by the wife of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.99802744

 $00{:}40{:}35.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}37.655$ program officer in the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9491509

 $00:40:38.195 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.555$ So if they picked it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9491509

 $00:40:39.555 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.295$ up, that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98402506

 $00:40:40.675 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.275$ means they got it. If

NOTE Confidence: 0.98402506

 $00:40:42.275 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.035$ not, the system will call

NOTE Confidence: 0.98402506

00:40:44.035 --> 00:40:45.795 two more times before eight

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840250600:40:45.795 --> 00:40:46.295 AM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9921422

 $00:40:46.835 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.355$ And then after that, they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9921422

 $00:40:48.594 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.715$ it will be done for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9921422

 $00:40:49.715 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.260$ the day. So about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9921422

00:40:51.260 --> 00:40:53.180 quarter of them didn't receive

 $00:40:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.020$ half. So the fidelity here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9021778 00:40:55.739 --> 00:40:56.239 is, NOTE Confidence: 0.9996743

 $00:40:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.719$ less than the other measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974534

 $00:40:59.099 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.140$ So in terms of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974534

00:41:00.140 --> 00:41:01.840 summary, we could see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993243 00:41:02.619 --> 00:41:02.859 that NOTE Confidence: 0.90590435

00:41:03.660 --> 00:41:04.640 could you go back?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94264716

 $00:41:05.285 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.645$ So what I'm wondering is

NOTE Confidence: 0.94264716

00:41:06.645 --> 00:41:07.864 in terms of these fidelity

NOTE Confidence: 0.94264716

 $00:41:08.085 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.585$ indicators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93066216

 $00:41:09.285 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.725$ do you have them, like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93066216

 $00:41:10.725 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.685$ do you have them on

NOTE Confidence: 0.93066216

00:41:11.685 --> 00:41:13.605 all privileged doctors and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.93066216

00:41:13.605 --> 00:41:15.225 patients, and how often?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9058791

00:41:16.725 --> 00:41:18.085 That's a good good question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9058791

 $00:41:18.085 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.380$ actually. It's a question want

00:41:19.380 --> 00:41:19.859 to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409101

 $00:41:20.180 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.579$ consult you later on. This

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409101

 $00:41:22.579 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.520$ is for the intervention religious

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409101

 $00:41:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.339$ only, and we have it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409101

 $00:41:26.339 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.020$ on all village doctors, all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409101

 $00:41:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.960$ patients in the intervention arm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9238441

 $00:41:30.739 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.380$ We don't If you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9238441

 $00:41:31.380 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.420$ an alarm, they wouldn't be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9238441

00:41:32.420 --> 00:41:34.279 getting any voice mails Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99954176

 $00:41:34.935 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.055$ Or any of these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99954176

00:41:36.055 --> 00:41:37.435 Right? Right. However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9754715

 $00:41:37.895 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.094$ there is one thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9754715

 $00:41:39.094 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.055$ we could measure, which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9754715

 $00:41:40.055 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.495$ the monthly visit. We ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.9754715

 $00:41:41.495 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.155$ them. Okay.

 $00:41:42.855 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.295$ But, in terms of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9967984

 $00:41:44.295 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.035$ other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9707197

 $00:41:45.655 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.835$ the WeChat group, or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91311723

 $00:41:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.640$ voice messages or the APP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91311723

 $00:41:49.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.760$ They don't talk about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91311723

00:41:50.760 --> 00:41:51.800 They don't have it. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91311723

 $00:41:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.100$ It's gonna be very interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91311723

00:41:53.320 --> 00:41:55.000 Yeah. So, I think for

NOTE Confidence: 0.91311723

 $00:41:55.000 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.900$ the general

NOTE Confidence: 0.9846577

 $00:41:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.480$ outcome, it needs to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9846577

 $00:41:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.400$ across all the clusters and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9846577

 $00:41:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.940$ patients, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9831398

 $00:42:02.735 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.035$ So this is a summary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9187292

 $00:42:04.415 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.915$ They,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732894

00:42:05.535 --> 00:42:06.594 found that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951362

 $00:42:07.135 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.635$ their

00:42:08.815 --> 00:42:09.955 knowledge and capability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9047196

 $00:42:10.735 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.555$ these enablers

NOTE Confidence: 0.70776564

 $00:42:11.935 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.435$ being

NOTE Confidence: 0.934094

 $00:42:12.735 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.235$ improved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9909081500:42:13.935 --> 00:42:14.435 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910318

 $00:42:15.730 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.030$ and there is credibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.98029804

 $00:42:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.850$ credibility for the top down

NOTE Confidence: 0.98029804

 $00:42:18.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.050$ approach because we work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.98029804

 $00:42:20.050 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.989$ the government in implementing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94333124

00:42:22.610 --> 00:42:23.110 And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870289

 $00:42:23.969 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.590$ in terms of the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7803003

 $00:42:25.890 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.730$ they perceive benefits of receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.7803003

00:42:27.730 --> 00:42:29.030 the most voice messages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74503016

 $00:42:29.765 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.665$ They appreciated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9824394

 $00:42:31.685 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.425$ the content

 $00:42:32.725 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.925$ designed to be simple and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93313354

 $00{:}42{:}33.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}35.525$ tailored and give them practical

NOTE Confidence: 0.93313354

00:42:35.525 --> 00:42:37.145 tips, etcetera, and reminders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68102515

00:42:39.525 --> 00:42:40.025 And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97740054

 $00:42:41.045 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.344$ this is the actual flowchart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97740054

 $00:42:42.405 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.125$ I did have it. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.97740054

 $00:42:43.125 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.625$ forgot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99382466

 $00{:}42{:}44.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}45.850$ because the actual flowchart was

NOTE Confidence: 0.99382466

 $00:42:45.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.050$ meant to show the long

NOTE Confidence: 0.99382466

 $00:42:47.050 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.270$ term follow-up mostly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91565144

 $00:42:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.050$ So we have one twelve

NOTE Confidence: 0.91565144

 $00:42:51.130 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.270$ one two nine nine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9927719

 $00:42:52.810 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.790$ and this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704265

 $00:42:54.410 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.330$ three thirty seven, to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704265

 $00:42:56.330 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.870$ exact in the in twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704265

 $00:42:58.170 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.150$ five. And,

 $00:42:59.945 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.305$ the one year follow-up is

NOTE Confidence: 0.96160185

 $00:43:01.305 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.685$ that, and the two year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99730605

 $00:43:03.864 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.145$ and then the long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.99730605

 $00:43:05.145 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.645$ follow-up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97390413

00:43:06.425 --> 00:43:08.905 is reported here. Even if

NOTE Confidence: 0.97390413

 $00:43:08.905 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.185$ they were not, some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97390413

 $00:43:10.185 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.305$ them not in the one

NOTE Confidence: 0.97390413

00:43:11.305 --> 00:43:12.665 year follow-up, they could still

NOTE Confidence: 0.97390413

00:43:12.665 --> 00:43:13.860 be followed up later on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97390413

00:43:14.100 --> 00:43:15.700 And our follow-up rate was

NOTE Confidence: 0.97390413

00:43:15.700 --> 00:43:17.700 very high. It's above ninety

NOTE Confidence: 0.97390413

 $00:43:17.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.300$ five percent of all people

NOTE Confidence: 0.97390413

 $00{:}43{:}19.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20.280$ who survived.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975285

 $00:43:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.060$ So this is the main

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975285

00:43:23.060 --> 00:43:23.560 result,

 $00:43:24.420 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.840$ for the systolic blood pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917181

 $00:43:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.594$ It's two busy slides, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.917181

 $00:43:29.594 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.415$ you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9895226

 $00:43:31.035 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.535$ here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9892613

 $00:43:32.235 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.455$ is the change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982877

 $00:43:34.235 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.315$ by group. The top one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982877 00:43:36.315 --> 00:43:36.815 is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9468702

 $00:43:37.675 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.075$ the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835072

00:43:38.795 --> 00:43:40.235 control group. The bottom one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835072

00:43:40.235 --> 00:43:41.594 is the intervention group. You

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835072

 $00:43:41.594 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.875$ can see the yellow part

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835072

 $00:43:42.875 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.100$ is the one year of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835072

 $00:43:44.260 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.480$ active trial part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9761778

 $00:43:45.860 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.460$ There is a large reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.9761778

 $00:43:47.460 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.360$ in both groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9473945

 $00:43:48.820 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.739$ including the control group. And

 $00{:}43{:}50.739 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}52.920$ then that difference was small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97185296

 $00:43:53.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.540$ It's being hidden here, only

NOTE Confidence: 0.97185296

 $00:43:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.040$ about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96404856

00:43:56.420 --> 00:43:57.880 two point eight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9537594

 $00:43:58.555 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.614$ millimeter mercury,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9830333

 $00:44:00.075 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.235$ but it's significant for, that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9830333

 $00:44:02.235 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.215$ lab trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99569917

 $00:44:03.515 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.255$ And then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95534694

 $00:44:04.875 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.075$ the long in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95534694

00:44:06.075 --> 00:44:07.594 long term follow-up, you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.95534694

 $00{:}44{:}07.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}09.375$ see both group increased,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845002

 $00:44:10.155 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.055$ but the difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97195786

00:44:11.435 --> 00:44:12.840 was more or less capped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97195786

 $00:44:13.080 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.120$ So you could see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97195786

 $00:44:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.020$ net difference,

 $00:44:15.719 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.920$ the result of the test

NOTE Confidence: 0.9735812

 $00:44:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.440$ here is is meant to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9735812

 $00:44:18.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.120$ see to show the difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.9735812

 $00:44:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.780$ in difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9918599

 $00:44:21.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.700$ also here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9753727

00:44:23.239 --> 00:44:25.340 So it's similar in magnitude,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9753727

 $00:44:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.520$ about two point nine or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9753727

00:44:26.520 --> 00:44:28.060 two point eight millimeter mercury.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731464

 $00:44:28.364 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.325$ So in terms of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731464

00:44:29.325 --> 00:44:30.765 sypholic blood pressure, it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731464

 $00:44:30.765 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.825$ not that high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99982417

 $00:44:32.125 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.625$ However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95249915

 $00:44:33.485 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.705$ we found that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99978924

00:44:35.565 --> 00:44:37.344 they are generally consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.98184824

 $00:44:38.205 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.265$ across the groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99812

 $00:44:39.725 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.145$ with stronger impacts among women,

 $00:44:43.170 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.630$ among lower educated

NOTE Confidence: 0.99392146

 $00{:}44{:}45.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}46.770$ people, among people with lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.99392146

 $00:44:46.770 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.450$ income. So in other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99392146

00:44:48.450 --> 00:44:49.190 our intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.99897677

 $00:44:49.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.630$ worked better

NOTE Confidence: 0.9440923

 $00:44:51.089 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.710$ for the more vulnerable group

NOTE Confidence: 0.9440923

 $00:44:52.930 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.430$ even

NOTE Confidence: 0.9386458

00:44:53.730 --> 00:44:55.890 overall in the resorts limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.9386458

00:44:55.890 --> 00:44:57.270 setting of rural China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92181367

 $00:44:58.575 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.175$ And this is but I

NOTE Confidence: 0.92181367

00:45:00.175 --> 00:45:01.055 just wanna look at those

NOTE Confidence: 0.92181367

 $00:45:01.055 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.435$ p values for interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.9375071

00:45:03.135 --> 00:45:04.094 because none of them are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9375071

00:45:04.094 --> 00:45:05.075 actually significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981639

 $00:45:05.455 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.815$ Yeah. So I'm not saying

 $00:45:06.815 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.915$ there is interaction. I'm saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979876 00:45:09.295 --> 00:45:09.795 that NOTE Confidence: 0.88903904

 $00:45:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.940$ within

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939951

 $00:45:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.219$ the subgroups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781228

 $00:45:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.680$ the intervention effect was stronger

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781228

 $00:45:14.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.420$ in magnitude.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97796726

 $00:45:15.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.000$ I think it's accurate to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97796726

 $00:45:17.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.800$ say it in this way

NOTE Confidence: 0.97796726

 $00:45:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.000$ because we really worked hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.97796726

 $00:45:19.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.300$ on how to say it

NOTE Confidence: 0.97796726

 $00:45:20.359 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.560$ in the paper in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.97796726

 $00:45:21.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.099$ paper that's coming out soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9691926

 $00{:}45{:}23.719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.957$ There is a stronger effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.9691926

00:45:26.209 --> 00:45:29.265 size for the subgroup of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9691926

00:45:29.265 --> 00:45:30.565 more vulnerable subgroups

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941052

 $00:45:31.425 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.325$ in within

 $00:45:33.025 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.525$ that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94441557

 $00:45:35.425 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.265$ Yeah. But the injection wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.94441557

 $00:45:37.265 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.765$ significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329777

 $00:45:42.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.809$ And this is the graph

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329777

 $00:45:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.710$ for the mortality. And, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329777

 $00:45:45.710 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.510$ you can see this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9329777

 $00:45:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.489$ the first year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973872

 $00:45:48.109 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.309$ and there is a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973872

 $00:45:49.309 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.809$ separation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95570034

00:45:50.829 --> 00:45:51.730 but not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347219

 $00:45:52.644 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.164$ and even then, it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9347219

 $00:45:54.164 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.664$ significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99037474

 $00:45:54.964 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.244$ but we only had thirty

NOTE Confidence: 0.99037474

 $00:45:56.244 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.744$ deaths

NOTE Confidence: 0.9661652

 $00:45:57.125 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.305$ back then for exploratory outcome.

 $00:45:59.525 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.484$ But you could see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9661652

 $00{:}46{:}00.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}02.404$ separation over time was very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9661652

 $00:46:02.404 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.285$ clear and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.66278976 00:46:03.924 --> 00:46:04.325 if, NOTE Confidence: 0.9709407

 $00:46:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.540$ significant. In a nutshell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9630708

00:46:08.000 --> 00:46:08.640 it's about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99018717

00:46:09.120 --> 00:46:10.719 twenty between twenty to thirty

NOTE Confidence: 0.99018717

 $00:46:10.719 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.020$ percent relative reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.928881 00:46:12.640 --> 00:46:13.040 in, NOTE Confidence: 0.9172926

00:46:13.440 --> 00:46:13.940 cardiovascular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9458854

 $00{:}46{:}14.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}16.660$ mortality or all cause mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.9458854

00:46:16.800 --> 00:46:17.940 or stroke mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9755778

00:46:19.215 --> 00:46:21.375 So cost effectiveness, I'm skipping

NOTE Confidence: 0.9755778

 $00:46:21.375 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.655$ over the details of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9755778

 $00:46:22.655 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.155$ parameters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97551554

 $00:46:24.095 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.095$ and, just to show the

 $00:46:26.095 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.775$ summary that is highly cost

NOTE Confidence: 0.97551554

 $00:46:27.775 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.275$ effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643924

 $00:46:28.735 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.635$ with a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9769383

 $00:46:30.335 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.119$ incremental cost effectiveness

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625039

00:46:32.420 --> 00:46:34.500 ratio of, little over eight

NOTE Confidence: 0.8625039

00:46:34.500 --> 00:46:36.039 hundred per quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98600703

 $00:46:36.420 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.559$ And the threshold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9581692

 $00:46:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.779$ for one, or one and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9581692

 $00:46:39.779 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.700$ a half GDP per capita

NOTE Confidence: 0.9581692

 $00{:}46{:}41.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}43.319$ was over twenty three thousand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9625597

 $00:46:43.700 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.239$ So it's highly cost effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9980336

 $00:46:45.940 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.440$ And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9646325

 $00{:}46{:}47.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}48.775$ the figures are blocked, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9646325

 $00:46:48.855 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.355$ okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.991825800:46:49.655 --> 00:46:50.155 So,

 $00:46:50.614 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.735$ coming to the end, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718962

 $00:46:51.735 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.435$ like to summarize and say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99116653

 $00:46:54.135 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.495$ this summary is not in

NOTE Confidence: 0.99116653

 $00:46:55.495 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.855$ terms of the result, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.99116653

 $00:46:56.855 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.755$ in terms of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98968863

 $00:46:58.535 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.075$ the intervention itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99943185

 $00:47:00.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.310$ So the core functions

NOTE Confidence: 0.85043865

 $00:47:01.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.070$ we identify being

NOTE Confidence: 0.9229

 $00{:}47{:}03.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05.150$ task shifting and task sharing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9578079

 $00{:}47{:}05.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}07.710$ provider and patient interactions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93712306

 $00:47:08.330 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.390$ and the empowerment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9822504

00:47:09.770 --> 00:47:10.590 through different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9334617

 $00:47:11.370 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.750$ ways such as training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978896

 $00:47:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.110$ such as incentives

NOTE Confidence: 0.8096086

 $00:47:14.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.150$ and technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9260743

 $00:47:16.395 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.695$ In terms of the evidence

 $00:47:17.755 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.575$ based practices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9656836

 $00:47:19.915 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.375$ we focus on these three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84905034

 $00:47:22.635 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.135$ follow-up

NOTE Confidence: 0.95233375

 $00:47:23.675 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.835$ and medication adherence and physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.95233375

 $00:47:25.835 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.435$ activity. And these are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95233375

00:47:27.435 --> 00:47:29.855 main implementation strategies of training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94720143

00:47:30.210 --> 00:47:31.989 incentives, and mobile house technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411438

 $00:47:32.930 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.690$ So, here is one slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411438

 $00:47:34.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.969$ that's in a different scheme

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411438

00:47:35.969 --> 00:47:37.010 because I want to catch

NOTE Confidence: 0.9411438

 $00:47:37.010 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.670$ your attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9620615

00:47:38.210 --> 00:47:39.969 My my, take home message

NOTE Confidence: 0.9620615

 $00:47:39.969 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.630$ of summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99140453

 $00:47:41.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.545$ not based just on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.99140453

 $00:47:42.545 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.505$ study, but I think it

 $00:47:43.505 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.625$ applies to all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99140453

 $00{:}47{:}44.625 \to 00{:}47{:}46.864$ implementation studies we did that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99140453

00:47:47.105 --> 00:47:48.725 were complex in nature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99713993

 $00:47:49.185 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.565$ So the three

NOTE Confidence: 0.96682703 00:47:51.265 --> 00:47:51.765 s, NOTE Confidence: 0.9759428

 $00:47:52.545 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.385$ related to the content process

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759428

 $00:47:54.385 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.045$ and approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.93245554

 $00:47:55.345 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.385$ in terms of the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.93245554

 $00:47:56.385 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.960$ two s's I've touched on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98751765

 $00:47:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.560$ saying that even when we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.98751765

 $00:47:59.560 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.239$ designing it, we hope this

NOTE Confidence: 0.98751765

 $00:48:01.239 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.680$ is sustainable over time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98751765

 $00:48:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.140$ scalable over space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94126606

 $00{:}48{:}05.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}06.380$ the designing principles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983335

 $00:48:07.719 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.940$ and the content

NOTE Confidence: 0.98672825

 $00:48:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.940$ is undoubtedly

 $00:48:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.900$ complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8849734

00:48:12.654 --> 00:48:14.194 It covers multiple levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92539394

00:48:14.894 --> 00:48:16.734 patients and village doctors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92539394

 $00:48:16.734 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.015$ the system support, and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.92539394

 $00:48:18.015 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.375$ has technology and all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92539394

 $00:48:19.375 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.275$ different strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98341054

 $00:48:20.654 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.855$ But we should try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98341054

 $00:48:21.855 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.535$ keep it simple. And I

NOTE Confidence: 0.98341054

 $00:48:23.535 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.055$ think some people know what

NOTE Confidence: 0.98341054

 $00:48:25.055 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.714$ this acronym

NOTE Confidence: 0.87266606

 $00:48:26.015 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.515$ stand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5738744

00:48:26.894 --> 00:48:27.394 K?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94155717

00:48:28.560 --> 00:48:29.920 Keep it simple stupid. You

NOTE Confidence: 0.94155717

 $00:48:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.440$ know, it's starting from you

NOTE Confidence: 0.94155717

 $00:48:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.640$ know, I I I this

 $00:48:32.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.840$ is a reminder to myself

NOTE Confidence: 0.94155717

 $00:48:33.840 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.800$ because I tend to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.94155717

 $00:48:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.480$ it more complex. I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.94155717

 $00:48:36.480 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.680$ to pack more into it

NOTE Confidence: 0.94155717

 $00:48:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.800$ because I feel, oh, this

NOTE Confidence: 0.94155717

 $00:48:38.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.239$ is needed. The whole clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.94155717

 $00:48:40.239 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.739$ guideline

NOTE Confidence: 0.85940945

 $00:48:41.040 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.160$ is covered so much, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.85940945

 $00{:}48{:}42.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}44.195$ I remind myself constantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966178

 $00:48:44.655 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.095$ If I don't, I'm being

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966178

 $00{:}48{:}46.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}46.595 \ \mathrm{stupid}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9062498

 $00:48:46.975 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.415$ And the process, we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.9062498

 $00:48:48.415 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.875$ to have a slim and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9062498

00:48:49.935 --> 00:48:51.715 being streamlined and the approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98891956

00:48:52.335 --> 00:48:54.275 with the digital technology, etcetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98316455

 $00:48:54.575 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.475$ to be smart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90900546 00:48:57.135 --> 00:48:57.635 So

NOTE Confidence: 0.99883175

 $00:48:59.550 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.470$ the last slide I have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99897295

 $00:49:01.870 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.770$ other than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9440365

 $00:49:03.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.290$ acknowledgments, etcetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845215 $00:49:04.590 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.989$ is,

00:49:05.390 --> 00:49:06.610 about sustainability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98989385

NOTE Confidence: 0.928639

 $00:49:06.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.950$ I said that we're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.98989385

 $00:49:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.790$ to learn how to transfer

NOTE Confidence: 0.98989385

 $00:49:09.790 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.070$ and adapt to a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.98989385

 $00:49:11.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.370$ setting such as Nepal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98911923

 $00:49:12.965 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.245$ In fact, I'm working on

NOTE Confidence: 0.98911923 00:49:14.245 --> 00:49:14.745 the, NOTE Confidence: 0.67214936

00:49:15.285 --> 00:49:15.785 multimodility

NOTE Confidence: 0.9127452

00:49:16.325 --> 00:49:17.625 NIH grant proposal

NOTE Confidence: 0.98251945

 $00:49:17.925 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.265$ to focus on, the adaptation

 $00:49:20.405 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.765$ to Nepal. So there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98251945

00:49:21.765 --> 00:49:23.125 lot more to learn. In

NOTE Confidence: 0.98251945

 $00:49:23.125 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.265$ terms of sustainability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9917541

 $00:49:24.645 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.545$ I think there's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99912757 00:49:25.844 --> 00:49:26.344 also NOTE Confidence: 0.98305833

 $00:49:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.520$ a lot of studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98305833

 $00:49:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.820$ we could do in implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.98305833

 $00:49:30.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.540$ research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989624

 $00:49:30.920 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.719$ I think we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989624

 $00:49:31.719 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.219$ differentiate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8799592

 $00:49:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.460$ as a very simple scheme,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99384475

 $00:49:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.420$ differentiate

NOTE Confidence: 0.76742595

 $00:49:35.719 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.900$ sustainability versus sustained effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97245336

 $00:49:38.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.660$ For example, we observed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9216571

 $00:49:40.114 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.555$ long term outcomes such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9216571

 $00:49:41.555 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.795$ mortality reduction, etcetera, but that

 $00{:}49{:}43.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}46.035$ sustained effect. It's not about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9216571

00:49:46.035 --> 00:49:46.775 the sustainability

NOTE Confidence: 0.9200034

 $00:49:47.474 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.295$ of the intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93508995

 $00:49:48.755 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.035$ And the intervention being a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93508995

 $00:49:50.035 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.255$ bundle or a package,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99815834

 $00:49:51.635 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.375$ it includes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992649

 $00:49:52.869 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.770$ both the implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.96526545

 $00{:}49{:}54.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}56.070$ strategies and the evidence based

NOTE Confidence: 0.96526545

00:49:56.070 --> 00:49:57.130 practices altogether

NOTE Confidence: 0.98711985

 $00:49:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.810$ as the intervention bundle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98873043

 $00{:}49{:}59.589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}01.510$ I think the most important

NOTE Confidence: 0.98873043

 $00{:}50{:}01.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}02.950$ goal for sustainability is for

NOTE Confidence: 0.98873043

 $00{:}50{:}02.950 --> 00{:}50{:}03.690$ the EBP

NOTE Confidence: 0.94485813

00:50:04.150 --> 00:50:04.905 to go up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99757165

 $00:50:05.705 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.465$ so that, the patients can

 $00:50:07.465 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.505$ reap the benefits in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99757165

00:50:08.505 --> 00:50:09.565 long term outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96358895

 $00:50:09.864 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.885$ As for the implementation strategies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96358895

 $00:50:12.025 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.145$ whether we need that to

NOTE Confidence: 0.96358895

 $00:50:13.145 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.344$ be so similar or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.96358895

 $00:50:14.344 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.465$ depends on whether we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.96358895

00:50:15.465 --> 00:50:17.565 it or not. Maybe, hopefully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978777

 $00:50:17.945 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.325$ we don't need that to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845885

 $00{:}50{:}19.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}20.529$ go on for a long

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845885

 $00:50:20.529 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.369$ time if the evidence based

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845885

 $00:50:22.369 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.170$ practices can go on. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845885

 $00:50:24.289 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.950$ which is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99970704

 $00:50:25.730 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.270$ the case for our study

NOTE Confidence: 0.9744998

 $00:50:27.730 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.809$ that, we have, we didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9744998

 $00:50:29.809 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.270$ continue any of the implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9744998

 $00:50:31.569 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.950$ strategies such as training

 $00:50:33.569 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.145$ or incentives or technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9902146

 $00{:}50{:}35.765 --> 00{:}50{:}36.265 \ \mathrm{But},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.99162716

 $00:50:36.725 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.405$ we have evidence to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.99162716

 $00:50:38.405 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.485$ that the EBP, which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.99162716

 $00:50:40.485 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.305$ the interaction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9332512

 $00{:}50{:}41.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}43.925$ the medication assurance, and physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9332512

 $00:50:43.925 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.425$ activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9761609

 $00:50:45.925 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.385$ some of them were kept.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85848767

 $00:50:48.260 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.219$ So so this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781332

 $00:50:49.859 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.359$ still,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9284061

 $00:50:50.820 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.420$ work in progress, and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9284061

 $00{:}50{:}52.420 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}50{:}54.339$ welcome really feedback if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9284061

 $00:50:54.339 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.859$ are interested in sustainability and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9284061

 $00:50:55.859 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.359$ scalability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9374555

 $00:50:57.140 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.180$ And, also, I want to

00:50:58.180 --> 00:51:00.099 say we didn't explicitly identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.9374555

 $00:51:00.099 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.839$ our study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95796746

 $00:51:01.224 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.905$ And, as a hybrid two

NOTE Confidence: 0.95796746 00:51:02.905 --> 00:51:03.405 trial NOTE Confidence: 0.99200183

 $00:51:03.785 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.605$ in the beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.90256757

 $00:51:05.464 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.905$ until later on because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.90256757

 $00:51:07.065 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.185$ this was funded as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.90256757

 $00:51:08.185 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.125$ health system trial and etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89488304

 $00:51:10.905 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.425$ So there even in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89488304

00:51:12.425 --> 00:51:14.430 professor Kuran's paper, they say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89488304

00:51:14.510 --> 00:51:15.569 maybe in the grantsmanship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9550429

 $00:51:15.869 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.230$ whether it's if it's better

NOTE Confidence: 0.9550429

00:51:17.230 --> 00:51:18.030 for you to say it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9550429

 $00:51:18.030 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.550$ then you say it. Otherwise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9550429

 $00:51:19.550 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.589$ maybe you focus on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9550429

 $00:51:20.589 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.109$ house outcome, which is okay,

 $00:51:22.109 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.170$ but you can do

NOTE Confidence: 0.92649436

 $00{:}51{:}23.469 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}51{:}24.430$ do it as a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.92649436

 $00:51:24.589 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.969$ hybrid type type two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987022

 $00:51:26.750 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.869$ So this is only a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987022

00:51:27.869 --> 00:51:28.369 partial

NOTE Confidence: 0.5743019

00:51:29.454 --> 00:51:30.675 acknowledgement list,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98684776

 $00:51:31.135 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.594$ and my email is here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95548415

 $00:51:33.135 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.135$ So this is, my talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95548415

 $00:51:35.135 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.655$ I'm sorry I took pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.95548415

 $00:51:36.655 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.415$ long. So only limited time

NOTE Confidence: 0.95548415

 $00:51:38.415 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.094$ for questions, but I'm available

NOTE Confidence: 0.95548415

 $00:51:40.094 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.970$ here this afternoon.