WEBVTT

- $1\ 00:00:00.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.863 < v \longrightarrow Reductions in criteria. </v>$
- 2 00:00:04.620 --> 00:00:06.330 Attempts and ideation,
- $3\ 00:00:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.523$ including a one and two year follow up.
- $4\ 00:00:11.670 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.500$ And here's just an example.
- 5 00:00:13.500 --> 00:00:16.110 <v Donna>Oh, I'm sorry, Tracy. This is Donna Spiegelman.
 </v>
- 6 00:00:16.110 --> 00:00:18.120 I raised my hand- <v ->Oh.</v>
- 7~00:00:18.120 --> 00:00:19.500 < v Donna> Through the screen. </v>
- 8 00:00:19.500 --> 00:00:21.570 But I'm not sure how that's monitored,
- 9 00:00:21.570 --> 00:00:25.590 or if you can see whether people are raising their hands.
- 10 00:00:25.590 --> 00:00:26.787 But I had a question,
- 11 00:00:26.787 --> 00:00:29.133 if you could go back to the previous slide.
- 12 00:00:30.180 --> 00:00:31.140 < v ->Absolutely.</v> < v Donna>Just starting with</v>
- 13 00:00:31.140 --> 00:00:32.970 sending patients who are suicidal,
- $14\ 00:00:32.970$ --> 00:00:35.715 and you mentioned something about screening for them.
- $15\ 00:00:35.715 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.473$ Could you say a little bit about how that's done?
- $16\ 00:00:38.473 --> 00:00:42.720$ 'Cause that can be a very big part of a project like this,
- 17 00:00:42.720 --> 00:00:44.823 especially when it's, like, scaled up.
- 18 00:00:45.870 --> 00:00:49.200 <v -> Absolutely, so in the VA, they have implemented </v>
- $19\ 00{:}00{:}49.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52.860$ the Columbia Screener in emergency departments.
- $20\ 00:00:52.860 \dashrightarrow 00:00:56.430$ And this is being implemented in the ED, by the way.
- $21\ 00:00:56.430 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.910$ So they are screened when they present
- $22\ 00:00:59.910 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.940$ to emergency departments using the Columbia.
- $23\ 00:01:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.713$ And that's already been in place,
- $24\ 00:01:05.850 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.893$ and we are building off of that.

- 25 00:01:11.190 --> 00:01:14.392 <v Donna>So every person who goes to a VA emergency room</v>
- 26 00:01:14.392 --> 00:01:16.770 as part of the standard of care,
- 27 00:01:16.770 --> 00:01:19.353 they're getting this screener?
- 28 00:01:20.190 --> 00:01:22.380 <v -> Absolutely.</v> <v Donna> And then its result</v>
- 29 00:01:22.380 --> 00:01:26.193 is entered into the computer? <v -> Absolutely. Yep.</v>
- $30\ 00:01:27.540 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.160$ Lots of screening at the VA.
- $31\ 00:01:29.160 --> 00:01:31.757$ They get screened for all sorts of things.
- 32 00:01:34.878 --> 00:01:36.980 <v Donna>Okay. Thank you.</v> <v ->I can't see if anyone</v>
- $33\ 00:01:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.940$ raises their hand.
- $34\ 00:01:38.940 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.120$ Just throw something at me if that happens again.
- 35 00:01:42.120 --> 00:01:46.901 Okay, so these are examples of Caring Contacts
- $36\ 00:01:46.901 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.630$ that we adapted
- $37\ 00:01:51.630 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.150$ for veterans, of course
- $38\ 00:01:54.150 --> 00:01:57.783$ with feedback from veterans.
- $39\ 00:01:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.720$ There is no Ms. Squirrel, of course.
- $40\ 00:02:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.040$ That's just the example.
- 41 00:02:02.040 --> 00:02:04.500 So you can see very non-demanding.
- $42\ 00{:}02{:}04.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}07.110$ We value your health and are honored to serve you,
- $43\ 00:02:07.110$ --> 00:02:09.933 and it should say in the Emergency Department.
- 44 00:02:10.980 --> 00:02:13.260 And then they also get birthday cards,
- $45~00:02:13.260 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.963$ and they get another card on Veteran's Day.
- 46 00:02:20.220 --> 00:02:22.710 Of course, it's always helpful
- $47\ 00:02:22.710 --> 00:02:26.130$ to have a framework borrowed from implementation science
- $48\ 00:02:26.130 --> 00:02:29.310$ if you want to successfully implement something.

- $49\ 00:02:29.310$ --> 00:02:32.073 And our framework is the i-PARIHS Framework.
- $50\ 00:02:33.300 --> 00:02:36.305$ So the i-PARIHS has these different dimensions, right?
- 51 00:02:36.305 --> 00:02:39.600 And it hypothesizes it.
- 52 00:02:39.600 --> 00:02:43.290 It's this magical combination of context,
- $53\ 00:02:43.290$ --> 00:02:48.290 innovation and recipient characteristics and qualities
- $54\ 00:02:48.480 --> 00:02:52.830$ when they are joined with facilitation
- 55~00:02:52.830 --> 00:02:55.260 that results in successful implementation
- $56~00:02:55.260 \to 00:02:57.783$ of a practice in a clinical context.
- 57 00:03:00.750 --> 00:03:04.020 And in keeping with that theoretical approach,
- 58~00:03:04.020 --> 00:03:08.430 we are using facilitation, the implementation strategy
- 59 00:03:08.430 --> 00:03:11.340 of external facilitation actually,
- $60\ 00:03:11.340 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.953$ to help implement Caring Contacts in the ED.
- $61\ 00:03:15.210 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.950$ And this is our study design.
- $62\ 00:03:16.950 --> 00:03:19.860$ We bring the sites on in waves.
- $63\ 00:03:19.860 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.673$ Obviously you don't want to bring all 28 sites on at once.
- $64\ 00{:}03{:}24.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.490$ So well, what was intended, what was planned is
- 65~00:03:29.490 --> 00:03:33.810 that they would get six months of facilitation support
- $66\ 00:03:33.810 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.690$ and we would bring them in four waves.
- $67\ 00:03:36.690 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.580$ COVID hit.
- $68\ 00:03:38.580 --> 00:03:40.470$ Taking a bit longer for some sites
- $69\ 00{:}03{:}40.470 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42.840$ to implement than we thought it would.
- 70 00:03:42.840 --> 00:03:45.413 And we're actually moving into a fifth wave
- $71\ 00:03:45.413 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.790$ I think fairly soon.
- $72\ 00:03:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.610$ So things have changed as they often do
- $73\ 00:03:50.610 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.293$ in research in the real world.
- $74\ 00:03:55.890 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.050$ It's a mixed methods evaluation.

- 75 00:03:58.050 --> 00:04:00.240 I won't cover the quantitative part,
- $76~00:04:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.670$ because frankly we could spend all day just talking
- $77~00:04:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.240$ about the entire approach to getting this project
- $78\ 00:04:06.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.410$ off the ground.
- $79\ 00:04:07.410 --> 00:04:09.560$ We'll just talk about the qualitative eval.
- $80~00:04:11.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.890$ And the overarching aim is really to identify
- $81~00:04:13.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.710$ the contextual recipient and innovation factors
- $82\ 00{:}04{:}16.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20.853$ that impact implementation, and that's pretty easy.
- $83\ 00:04:22.410 --> 00:04:26.958$ The challenge that was put to me was understand how barriers
- $84\ 00:04:26.958$ --> 00:04:31.958 and facilitators impact implementation as a process.
- 85 00:04:32.730 --> 00:04:37.110 And that was reiterated to me multiple times,
- 86 $00:04:37.110 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.110$ implementation as a process over and over again.
- 87~00:04:43.530 --> 00:04:46.710 And so I had to think of how exactly would I go
- 88 00:04:46.710 --> 00:04:50.755 about understanding how barriers
- 89 $00:04:50.755 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.797$ and facilitators impact this process.
- 90~00:04:54.797 --> 00:04:58.713 'Cause of course we collect data at discreet time points,
- 91 $00:04:59.730 \rightarrow 00:05:02.553$ and what you have is a window into one time point.
- $92\ 00:05:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.053$ So how do you move that into a process?
- $93\ 00:05:10.320 --> 00:05:15.033$ For the part of the evaluation that I'll be talking about,
- 94 00:05:16.110 --> 00:05:19.560 what we're doing is leading 30 minute dyadic debriefs
- 95 00:05:19.560 \rightarrow 00:05:22.890 with a team of external facilitators.
- $96~00:05:22.890 \dashrightarrow 00:05:27.890$ They're biweekly until the first Caring Contact is sent.
- 97 00:05:28.530 --> 00:05:32.040 And then once the site moves into sustainment,

- $98\ 00:05:32.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.833$ we switch to monthly debriefs.
- $99\ 00:05:35.790 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.210$ As of today, we've led 100, as of September,
- $100\ 00:05:39.210 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.931$ I should say September 10, we had about 169.
- 101 00:05:42.931 --> 00:05:47.553 I think we're close to about 180 at this point.
- 102 00:05:48.450 --> 00:05:50.130 And then we're also leading interviews
- $103\ 00:05:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.140$ with stakeholders and veterans,
- $104\ 00:05:52.140$ --> 00:05:54.843 but I won't be talking about that moving forward.
- $105\ 00:05:55.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.780$ These are what the debriefs look like,
- 106 00:05:57.780 --> 00:06:02.400 or at least a snapshot of part of a debrief.
- 107 00:06:02.400 --> 00:06:05.250 It's the typical sort of probing around,
- $108\ 00:06:05.250 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.130$ what are the barriers and facilitators.
- $109\ 00:06:08.130 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.360$ And of course as we move forward because they're biweekly,
- $110\ 00:06:12.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.700$ they change, and they also change significantly
- $111\ 00:06:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.960$ depending on the time point.
- $112\ 00{:}06{:}18.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.438$ So we have a certain debrief that we used to collect data
- 113 00:06:22.438 --> 00:06:25.650 while they're actively implementing.
- $114\ 00:06:25.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.300$ And then we have another one when
- $115\ 00:06:27.300 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.300$ the implementation plan has been finalized.
- $116\ 00{:}06{:}32.460 -> 00{:}06{:}35.010$ And then we have another one that we bring in
- 117 00:06:35.010 --> 00:06:38.100 after the first Caring Contacts have been sent
- $118\ 00:06:38.100 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.060$ to understand what is going on
- $119\ 00:06:41.910 \dashrightarrow 00:06:44.823$ in these clinics while they're trying to implement.
- 120 00:06:50.130 --> 00:06:52.320 To meet this challenge
- $121\ 00:06:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.830$ of approaching implementation
- $122\ 00:06:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.830$ as more of a process and as discreet time points,
- 123 00:07:02.292 --> 00:07:06.450 I have chosen really a two-part approach
- $124\ 00:07:06.450 --> 00:07:08.970$ to analyzing our data.

- $125\ 00:07:08.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.663$ And that's first we are
- $126\ 00:07:14.100 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.460$ the qualitative researchers
- $127\ 00:07:17.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.083$ that are leading the debriefs.
- $128\ 00:07:20.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.160$ Very quickly record key implementation events
- $129\ 00:07:25.710 --> 00:07:27.840$ and other important things that are happening
- $130\ 00:07:27.840 --> 00:07:31.860$ during implementation in these brief narrative summaries,
- 131 00:07:31.860 --> 00:07:35.850 which I translate into data visualizations
- $132\ 00:07:35.850 --> 00:07:39.390$ that help us understand where the process
- $133\ 00:07:39.390$ --> 00:07:43.353 of implementation progressed and where it stalled.
- $134\ 00:07:45.450 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.370$ Secondly, we are templating each debrief
- $135\ 00:07:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.770$ using individual templates
- $136\ 00:07:52.770$ --> 00:07:55.833 which essentially organize and reduce our data.
- $137\ 00:07:58.290 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.710$ Then we are synthesizing individual templates
- $138\ 00{:}08{:}01.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.710$ into site matrix displays that further reduce the data
- $139\ 00:08:07.290 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.300$ and help me make comparisons
- $140\ 00:08:09.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.350$ across time points and across sites.
- 141 00:08:13.350 --> 00:08:16.560 And these I'm translating into case studies.
- $142\ 00:08:16.560 --> 00:08:19.410$ That's how I'm presenting the findings
- $143\ 00:08:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.633$ that come from the matrices.
- $144\ 00{:}08{:}24.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27.600\ I$ don't wanna go through the analytic approach
- 145 00:08:27.600 --> 00:08:31.710 one by one because I'm worried
- $146\ 00:08:31.710 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.190$ that we won't get through the whole presentation in time.
- $147\ 00:08:35.190 --> 00:08:39.630$ But what I really want to emphasize to everyone on here
- $148\ 00{:}08{:}39.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}44.430$ is that time was actually the least important reason
- $149\ 00:08:44.430 --> 00:08:49.020$ for me to choose rapid analytic approaches for this project.

- $150\ 00:08:49.020$ --> 00:08:52.920 I knew that we would have enough time to analyze the data.
- $151\ 00{:}08{:}52.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56.170$ It was really more about the research questions, the goals
- $152\ 00:08:57.270 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.500$ and the challenges collaborating across sites.
- $153\ 00:09:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.420$ Two of my analysts are located,
- $154\ 00:09:06.420 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.730$ sorry, one of my, no, two of them.
- $155\ 00:09:08.730 \dashrightarrow 00:09:11.940$ One is in Iowa City and one is in California.
- 156 00:09:11.940 --> 00:09:16.260 And sharing analytic programs in the VA
- $157\ 00{:}09{:}16.260 --> 00{:}09{:}17.883$ can get really problematic.
- $158\ 00:09:18.900 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.950$ What templating allows us to do is get around those problems
- $159\ 00{:}09{:}22.950 {\: -->\:} 00{:}09{:}26.370$ because templates are developed in Word documents
- $160\ 00:09:26.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.550$ and that's much easier in the VA.
- $161\ 00{:}09{:}29.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}32.520$ So that was really honestly my primary concern
- $162\ 00{:}09{:}32.520 {\: \hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}09{:}36.660$ is if this thing stalls because we run into problems
- $163\ 00:09:36.660 --> 00:09:39.990$ with the program and sharing across sites,
- $164\ 00:09:39.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.420$ we are in a lot of trouble.
- $165\ 00:09:42.420 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.270$ That was the primary consideration
- $166\ 00:09:45.270 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.843$ rather than the timeframe for this study.
- 167 00:09:50.070 --> 00:09:55.070 And to emphasize that this is a team effort,
- $168\ 00:09:55.110$ --> 00:09:59.370 this is how we manage every step in the analytic process.
- $169\ 00:09:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.700$ You can see the debrief on the left.
- $170\ 00:10:02.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.883$ This is for one site, 695,
- 171 00:10:07.080 --> 00:10:08.880 and then each person along the way
- 172 00:10:08.880 --> 00:10:10.200 has their own role, right?
- $173\ 00:10:10.200 \dashrightarrow 00:10:14.250$ It's audio recorded by the person leading the debrief,
- $174\ 00{:}10{:}14.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18.060$ then transcribed, and then there are these different steps

- $175\ 00:10:18.060 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.410$ in the analytic process.
- $176\ 00:10:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.510$ It's first templated.
- 177 00:10:21.510 --> 00:10:24.963 So each debrief is analyzed independently.
- $178~00:10:26.250 \dashrightarrow 00:10:29.520$ And then I begin bringing those data
- $179\ 00:10:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.520$ into the matrix to synthesize it.
- $180\ 00{:}10{:}32.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37.260$ And what often happens is I have questions, I have comments
- 181 00:10:37.260 --> 00:10:39.180 and using the track changes
- 182 00:10:39.180 --> 00:10:41.460 and the comments feature of order,
- $183~00{:}10{:}41.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.767~\mathrm{I}$ can create this dialogue with that primary analyst
- $184\ 00:10:44.767 --> 00:10:47.640$ to help me understand what's going on in that template
- $185\ 00:10:47.640 --> 00:10:50.130$ before I move it into the matrix.
- $186\ 00{:}10{:}50.130$ --> $00{:}10{:}52.683$ And then once we've gotten through that process,
- $187\ 00:10:54.060 --> 00:10:56.670$ then I can indicate that the matrix is complete.
- 188 00:10:56.670 --> 00:11:00.450 But it is a process and it takes a heavy lift,
- $189\ 00:11:00.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.843$ a lot of collaboration, a lot of coordination.
- $190\ 00{:}11{:}07.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}11.760$ Earlier I spoke of these ongoing brief narrative summaries.
- 191 00:11:11.760 --> 00:11:15.480 These are what the researchers immediately
- 192 00:11:15.480 --> 00:11:17.133 after they've led a debrief,
- $193\ 00:11:18.660 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.960$ they record their observations and they need these actually
- $194\ 00:11:21.960 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.060$ not for analysis so much
- 195 00:11:24.060 --> 00:11:27.360 except for the key implementation events,
- 196 00:11:27.360 --> 00:11:28.703 which I bolded in this for you.
- $197\ 00:11:30.582 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.160$ What they really need it for
- $198\ 00:11:32.160 --> 00:11:35.130$ is to remind the external facilitators
- $199\ 00:11:35.130 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.060$ of what they talked about during the prior debrief,

- $200\ 00{:}11{:}39.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41.755$ because they're doing so much work across so many sites
- $201\ 00:11:41.755 --> 00:11:44.070$ that people just can't always remember
- $202\ 00:11:44.070 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.230$ what they said the time before.
- $203\ 00:11:46.230 --> 00:11:48.540$ So it serves a dual purpose
- $204\ 00:11:48.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.140$ of recording these key implementation events
- $205\ 00:11:52.140 --> 00:11:54.960$ while helping team qual
- $206\ 00:11:54.960 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.263$ to keep the facilitators on track.
- $207\ 00:12:01.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.630$ And then we have templates.
- $208\ 00:12:03.630 --> 00:12:07.500$ For those of you who haven't heard of template analysis,
- 209 00:12:07.500 --> 00:12:10.080 again, they're just Word documents that you use
- $210\ 00:12:10.080 --> 00:12:13.514$ to summarize and organize content
- 211 00:12:13.514 --> 00:12:18.153 from individual interviews or focus group discussions.
- 212 00:12:19.530 --> 00:12:21.390 They can be theoretically informed
- $213\ 00:12:21.390 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.390$ as the one I'm going to show you has been.
- $214\ 00:12:24.390 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.410$ Or they can be goal-oriented, meaning, you know,
- 215 00:12:28.410 --> 00:12:31.300 just let's say you want to adapt
- $216\ 00:12:34.806 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.477$ a program that is delivered via internet
- $217\ 00:12:39.990 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.560$ to veterans and you need to collect data
- 218 00:12:43.560 --> 00:12:46.380 that will help you adapt that program.
- 219 00:12:46.380 --> 00:12:50.160 So you might just organize your template instead
- $220\ 00:12:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.360$ of using theoretical domains or categories.
- $221\ 00{:}12{:}54.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}58.920$ You can say look and feel changes or recommendations
- 222 00:12:58.920 --> 00:13:02.250 or language recommendations if they don't
- $223\ 00:13:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.233$ like the language that's used.
- $224\ 00:13:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.630$ So they focus analysis.

- $225\ 00{:}13{:}06.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}10.350$ You generally start with some deductive domains
- $226\ 00:13:10.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.620$ and categories while permitting discovery.
- 227 00:13:13.620 --> 00:13:14.730 And you'll understand that
- $228\ 00:13:14.730 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.580$ a little bit better in just a second.
- $229\ 00:13:17.850 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.180$ Most importantly from my perspective
- $230\ 00:13:21.180 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.340$ is that when you're an anthropologist working
- $231\ 00{:}13{:}23.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27.210$ in implementation science, templates can provide
- $232\ 00:13:27.210 --> 00:13:31.383$ this common language between you
- $233\ 00:13:31.383 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.080$ and the other people on your team
- 234 00:13:34.080 --> 00:13:37.170 that can help you communicate with them
- 235 00:13:37.170 --> 00:13:39.330 and they can help you translate your findings
- 236 00:13:39.330 --> 00:13:41.700 much more efficiently down the road,
- $237\ 00:13:41.700 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.150$ whether it's in presentations or publications
- $238\ 00:13:45.150 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.223$ or in improving a process.
- 239 00:13:48.930 --> 00:13:52.890 So this is an example of what I call a master template.
- $240\ 00{:}13{:}52.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56.356$ And it's just a template that everyone goes into
- $241\ 00:13:56.356 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.356$ and makes a copy of before they begin analysis.
- $242\ 00:14:02.010$ --> 00:14:07.010 This one has some deductive categories in black.
- 243 00:14:07.382 --> 00:14:11.190 and you can see the inductive categories,
- $244\ 00:14:11.190 --> 00:14:13.817$ domains, here you go, domains from the i-PARIHS,
- $245\ 00:14:14.850 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.373$ categories and subcategories over here.
- 246 00:14:18.210 --> 00:14:21.780 The ones that are in blue are subcategories
- 247 00:14:21.780 --> 00:14:24.690 that we have developed inductively
- 248 00:14:24.690 --> 00:14:26.733 while analysis is ongoing.
- 249 00:14:27.600 --> 00:14:31.240 So you begin deductive and you build in
- $250\ 00{:}14{:}32.550$ --> $00{:}14{:}36.720$ your inductive categories and subcategories as you proceed.

- $251\ 00:14:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.300$ You bring them into the master.
- 252 00:14:39.300 --> 00:14:44.190 And then when it's time for the next episode,
- $253\ 00:14:44.190 --> 00:14:46.710$ if you would, data collection episode
- $254\ 00:14:46.710 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.620$ to be templated, they have it here.
- $255\ 00:14:49.620$ --> 00:14:52.503 They make a copy of the master and away they go.
- $256\ 00:14:53.970 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.830$ And this is what a template looks like
- $257\ 00:14:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.453$ after it's been completed.
- $258\ 00:14:59.490 --> 00:15:02.253$ You have your domains from the i-PARIHS, again,
- $259\ 00:15:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.580$ some more categories and subcategories.
- $260\ 00:15:08.580 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.100$ For this one, you can see they don't have as many
- 261~00:15:11.100 --> 00:15:16.100 categories and subcategories, 'cause when you don't use them
- $262\ 00{:}15{:}16.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19.083$ for any particular template, you delete them off.
- 263 00:15:21.120 --> 00:15:23.640 You only keep the ones that emerged
- 264 00:15:23.640 --> 00:15:26.043 during that data collection episode.
- $265\ 00{:}15{:}27.060 \to 00{:}15{:}29.760$ And then you have your content from your debriefs
- $266\ 00:15:29.760 --> 00:15:32.070$ or your interviews or your focus groups.
- $267\ 00:15:32.070 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.910$ However it is that you are collecting data.
- 268 00:15:35.910 --> 00:15:38.460 So you go into your transcript
- $269\ 00{:}15{:}38.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42.870$ and literally just copy and paste, and often as you can,
- $270\ 00:15:42.870 --> 00:15:44.841\ I$ think you can probably see my pointer.
- 271 00:15:44.841 --> 00:15:47.283 Can you? Yes.
- 272 00:15:48.780 --> 00:15:50.730 You need to get a little bit creative, right?
- 273 00:15:50.730 --> 00:15:52.262 <v Participant>Yes, we can see the pointer.</v>
- 274 00:15:52.262 --> 00:15:54.020 <v ->Great, thank you.</v>
- $275\ 00:15:54.020 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.820$ We all know what qual interviews are like.

 $276\ 00{:}15{:}56.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}00.330$ If it's a good qualitative interview, you ask a question

 $277\ 00:16:00.330 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.030$ and people talk and talk and talk.

278 00:16:03.771 --> 00:16:06.840 And so you have to reduce those data.

279 00:16:06.840 --> 00:16:09.641 If you're putting, you know, oceans of words

 $280\ 00{:}16{:}09.641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13.920$ on your template, your template can't tell you a story,

281 00:16:13.920 --> 00:16:16.803 because you'll be drowning in those words.

282 00:16:18.390 --> 00:16:19.833 Right, the beauty of a template is

 $283\ 00:16:19.833 --> 00:16:22.590$ that it reduces those data for you.

284 00:16:22.590 --> 00:16:25.110 And what you see, look at this,

 $285\ 00:16:25.110 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.470$ what you see is this beautiful coherent story

 $286\ 00:16:28.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.008$ of one data collection episode of what was happening

287 00:16:32.008 --> 00:16:35.550 at that site, at that point in time.

 $288\ 00:16:35.550 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.820$ The barriers and facilitators that either helped

 $289\ 00{:}16{:}38.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}42.810$ or hindered implementation of this particular practice,

 $290\ 00:16:42.810 --> 00:16:46.503$ in this particular clinic, in this particular point in time.

291 00:16:48.690 --> 00:16:51.780 So delete off everything that didn't apply,

 $292~00{:}16{:}51.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56.110$ keep everything that did, reduce it down to its bare essence

293 00:16:59.340 --> 00:17:02.163 and it tells you this beautiful coherent story.

 $294\ 00:17:03.834 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.270$ In the hands of a skilled analyst, of course.

295 00:17:06.270 --> 00:17:09.900 It does take time to pick up these skills.

 $296~00:17:09.900 \dashrightarrow 00:17:13.230$ And then after this, what do you do, right? Okay, great.

297 00:17:13.230 --> 00:17:15.930 So this is what happened at this clinic

298 00:17:15.930 --> 00:17:18.240 at this particular point in time.

299 00:17:18.240 --> 00:17:19.590 Now what do you do with it?

 $300\ 00:17:20.970 --> 00:17:22.410$ Well, there are a few different things

 $301\ 00:17:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.280$ that you can do with it.

- $302\ 00:17:23.280$ --> 00:17:26.760 And what I chose to do was synthesize and further reduce it
- $303\ 00:17:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.653$ in what's called a site matrix.
- $304~00{:}17{:}30.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33.810$ And what makes our site matrices for this project
- $305~00{:}17{:}33.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}38.280$ a little bit different is that generally matrices tend
- $306\ 00:17:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.690$ to be organized by participants and by category,
- 307 00:17:42.690 --> 00:17:45.873 and you compare across participants.
- $308~00:17:47.670 \dashrightarrow 00:17:50.490$ The way that I organized these was by time point,
- $309\ 00:17:50.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.050$ you'll see this in just a second,
- $310\ 00:17:52.050 --> 00:17:55.075$ to allow me to get this processual insight
- $311\ 00:17:55.075 --> 00:17:58.263$ into what's happening over time.
- $312\ 00:17:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.243$ And matrices give you a very broad overview,
- $313\ 00:18:04.197 --> 00:18:07.530$ at least this particular matrix does,
- 314 00:18:07.530 --> 00:18:10.170 into when factors come into play,
- $315\ 00:18:10.170 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.330$ which factors are coming into play,
- $316\ 00:18:12.330 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.120$ and for how long they continue
- $317\ 00:18:15.120 --> 00:18:17.793$ to impact implementation over time.
- $318\ 00:18:19.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.623$ And then on another tab,
- $319\ 00:18:24.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.103$ this is what a matrix looks like.
- $320\ 00{:}18{:}27.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}30.390$ On another tab, that is where the magic happens.
- $321~00{:}18{:}30.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}33.093$ That's where you put all your brilliant insights.
- $322\ 00{:}18{:}35.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}39.390$ All the, what I call qualitatively significant factors
- $323\ 00:18:39.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.840$ that impacted implementation.
- $324\ 00:18:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.820$ Those go on a separate tab. So here we go.
- 325~00:18:44.820 --> 00:18:45.900 It's in Excel form.
- 326 00:18:45.900 --> 00:18:48.810 <v Participant>So it's just one. Is it one site?</v>

- 327 00:18:48.810 --> 00:18:51.510 <v -> This is just one site, yeah.</v>
- 328~00:18:51.510 --> 00:18:56.460 One site, I organized it by time points across the x-axis.
- $329\ 00:18:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.510$ You can see there's been 18, at least for this one,
- $330\ 00:19:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.492$ at the time that I took this snapshot,
- $331\ 00:19:02.492 \dashrightarrow 00:19:07.492\ 18$ different debriefs with facilitators from this site.
- $332\ 00:19:09.660 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.060$ And here are all those categories
- $333\ 00:19:12.060 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.713$ that you saw on the template.
- $334\ 00:19:17.610 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.653$ And then in these fields,
- 335 00:19:21.210 --> 00:19:23.920 I literally, again, just copy and paste
- $336\ 00:19:24.900 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.380$ the subcategories, the barriers and facilitators,
- $337\ 00:19:28.380 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.870$ and as much of the excerpts
- $338\ 00:19:30.870 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.533$ as I think I need or want.
- 339 00:19:35.490 --> 00:19:37.736 And another factor that has to come into play
- 340 00:19:37.736 --> 00:19:42.736 when you're populating matrices
- $341\ 00:19:43.175 --> 00:19:46.007$ is how much data can you manage
- 342 00:19:46.007 --> 00:19:48.813 before you're going to get overwhelmed.
- $343~00{:}19{:}49.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}53.820~I$ can wrap my head around a relatively large volume
- $344\ 00{:}19{:}53.820 --> 00{:}19{:}56.040$ of words of qualitative data.
- 345 00:19:56.040 --> 00:19:58.830 So I tend to have matrices
- $346\ 00:19:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.513$ that have a lot of words in them.
- 347 00:20:01.513 --> 00:20:05.070 And I think other people not so much.
- $348\ 00:20:05.070 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.970$ So here we go.
- $349\ 00{:}20{:}05.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}09.333$ Here's an excerpt again, straight from the template.
- $350\ 00:20:14.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.683$ And there's the magical tab.
- 351 00:20:17.700 --> 00:20:19.620 That's actually, I put analysis there,
- $352\ 00:20:19.620 --> 00:20:21.693$ but it's actually the results tab.
- $353\ 00:20:23.070 --> 00:20:26.490$ So everything, what I do is scroll through that matrix,

- $354\ 00:20:26.490 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.993$ and look over time.
- $355\ 00:20:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.293\ I\ scroll\ up\ and\ I\ scroll\ down.$
- $356\ 00:20:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.240$ And I take a good hard look at what is happening.
- 357 00:20:36.240 --> 00:20:38.844 What are the qualitatively significant
- 358 00:20:38.844 --> 00:20:41.340 barriers and facilitators?
- $359\ 00:20:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.480$ So not just what's happening, but what holds things up?
- $360\ 00{:}20{:}45.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}48.840$ That's what I mean by qualitatively significant.
- $361\ 00:20:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.839$ There are always all sorts of things going on in the clinic,
- $362\ 00:20:51.839 --> 00:20:55.162$ but what is really holding things up
- $363\ 00:20:55.162 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.453$ or speeding them along?
- $364\ 00{:}20{:}59.938 --> 00{:}21{:}03.570$ That's where you put your observations, if you would,
- $365\ 00:21:03.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.143$ of what's happening in the data right on this tab.
- 366~00:21:08.160 --> 00:21:12.330 And then I'm doing even, to make my job even harder,
- $367~00{:}21{:}12.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}16.140$ I've decided that I'm going to take data from stakeholders
- $368~00:21:16.140 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.080$ and compare what the facilitators are saying
- $369\ 00:21:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.790$ and see what I come up with,
- 370 00:21:20.790 --> 00:21:22.193 because I just, I don't know.
- $371\ 00{:}21{:}22.193 \to 00{:}21{:}26.250\ I$ must have a masochistic streak in me or something.
- $372\ 00:21:26.250 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.020\ I$ just, nothing is ever good enough.
- $373\ 00:21:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.220$ I have to take everything to the next level.
- $374\ 00:21:31.740 --> 00:21:36.030$ So what do you get then? What can you do with this?
- $375\ 00{:}21{:}36.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}39.450$ Well, one thing that you can do is build case studies.
- $376\ 00{:}21{:}39.450 --> 00{:}21{:}44.103$ You can tell a story about implementation. Okay?

- 377 00:21:46.260 --> 00:21:47.490 I'm not going to go through
- $378\ 00:21:47.490 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.620$ all three of these case studies.
- $379\ 00{:}21{:}49.620$ --> $00{:}21{:}53.670$ I'll just show you one. We don't have time for all three.
- 380 00:21:53.670 --> 00:21:55.519 But early on in this project,
- $381\ 00:21:55.519 --> 00:21:57.630$ I thought that a good idea would be
- $382\ 00:21:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.380$ to characterize implementation at each site.
- $383\ 00{:}22{:}01.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}05.220$ And so I called Case Study One, Rapid Implementation.
- $384\ 00:22:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.680$ It launched after only three months.
- $385\ 00:22:07.680 --> 00:22:10.233$ Remember they were on a six month timeline.
- 386 00:22:11.430 --> 00:22:14.400 Case Study Two, I called Delayed,
- $387\ 00:22:14.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.400$ because they completed their formative evaluation on 5/29,
- $388\ 00:22:20.730 --> 00:22:24.360$ but Caring Contacts didn't launch for another six months.
- $389\ 00:22:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.030$ So they experienced some delays.
- 390~00:22:27.030 --> 00:22:31.230 And this one I called Interruption, because it was delayed
- $391\ 00:22:31.230 --> 00:22:35.676$ and then later delayed indefinitely at the site.
- $392\ 00:22:35.676 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.920$ So let's jump into...
- $393\ 00:22:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.170\ \text{I'm}$ no longer characterizing them by the way,
- 394 00:22:40.170 --> 00:22:42.210 because I've now discovered how
- 395 00:22:42.210 --> 00:22:44.490 to use these cool data visualizations,
- $396\ 00:22:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.400$ which we'll get to in a second.
- $397~00{:}22{:}47.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}50.340$ That's so much more informative about the process
- 398~00:22:50.340 --> 00:22:54.063 of implementation than characterizing them in this way.
- $399\ 00:22:55.470 --> 00:22:57.450$ So Interrupted Implementation.
- $400\ 00:22:57.450 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.293$ What the heck happened at this site in this case study?
- 401 00:23:02.370 --> 00:23:04.440 Well, you know, honestly, this was a site

- $402\ 00:23:04.440 --> 00:23:06.897$ where the facilitators anticipated
- 403 00:23:06.897 --> 00:23:09.570 implementation would be really easy,
- $404\ 00:23:09.570 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.580$ because they had a lot more facilitators
- $405\ 00:23:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.620$ than they had barriers.
- $406\ 00{:}23{:}13.620 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}23{:}17.730$ It was this frontier site, and of course, you expect,
- 407 00:23:17.730 --> 00:23:19.986 you know, these way out in the boonies,
- 408 00:23:19.986 --> 00:23:22.740 very rural sites to run into problems.
- $409\ 00:23:22.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.685$ But they had this incredibly cohesive clinic culture,
- 410 00:23:25.685 --> 00:23:27.960 and they had an influential
- $411\ 00:23:27.960 --> 00:23:31.920$ and really motivated champion on site.
- 412 00:23:31.920 --> 00:23:35.820 And some key players, as in leaders,
- $413\ 00:23:35.820 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.210$ within the clinic were at the planning meetings.
- $414\ 00:23:39.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.160$ And this was the initial thoughts
- $415\ 00:23:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.160$ of the facilitators when we interviewed them.
- $416\ 00:23:44.160 --> 00:23:47.025$ They're used to just sending each other things and tasks.
- $417\ 00:23:47.025 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.100$ And even though it's spread out, it meaning the clinic,
- 418 00:23:50.100 --> 00:23:51.960 it's in different locations,
- $419\ 00:23:51.960 --> 00:23:53.880$ they really, truly work together.
- $420\ 00:23:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.820$ But they are quick, and they are cohesive,
- $421\ 00:23:56.820 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.950$ and they are really well integrated,
- 422 00:23:58.950 --> 00:24:00.870 I think, given their setting.
- $423\ 00:24:00.870 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.670$ So they had these incredible facilitators there
- $424\ 00:24:05.670 --> 00:24:08.043$ that everyone thought would really help them.
- $425\ 00{:}24{:}09.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}13.050$ But then unfortunately, they ran into some hitches here.
- 426 00:24:13.050 --> 00:24:14.460 They were having a really hard time
- $427\ 00{:}24{:}14.460 --> 00{:}24{:}17.279$ using the SPED dashboard, which is how you identify

- $428\ 00{:}24{:}17.279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22.279$ veterans who have been screened for suicidal ideation.
- $429\ 00{:}24{:}22.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25.758$ They had a really hard time learning how to use it
- $430\ 00:24:25.758 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.100$ to identify the veterans that they needed
- $431\ 00:24:29.100 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.600$ to be sending the cards to.
- $432\ 00{:}24{:}30.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}34.679$ And then of course, COVID hit, and people were pulled
- 433 00:24:34.679 --> 00:24:37.140 and reassigned to other places in the clinic,
- $434\ 00:24:37.140 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.330$ and they needed to be retrained.
- 435 00:24:39.330 --> 00:24:41.377 And at this point, one of the facilitators said,
- 436 00:24:41.377 --> 00:24:43.380 "I'm not sure for how long we will be
- 437 00:24:43.380 --> 00:24:44.728 in the implementation phase,
- $438\ 00:24:44.728 --> 00:24:47.580$ 'cause we can't move forward 'til they're able
- 439 00:24:47.580 --> 00:24:50.580 to fix the health factor link to the Columbia."
- $440\ 00:24:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.320$ So they really just stalled,
- 441 00:24:52.320 --> 00:24:56.280 despite having every advantage it seemed.
- $442\ 00{:}24{:}56.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59.850$ They stalled because of this issue with the SPED dashboard,
- $443\ 00:24:59.850 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.293$ and then of course, COVID.
- 444 00:25:02.520 --> 00:25:04.740 So that's one thing that you can do,
- $445\ 00{:}25{:}04.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}09.480$ is these beautiful case studies that help you tell a story.
- $446\ 00:25:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.170$ And we know that our brains, our human brains like stories.
- $447\ 00{:}25{:}13.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16.560$ So this is a really powerful way to communicate
- 448 00:25:16.560 --> 00:25:19.290 what's happening to the rest of your team
- $449\ 00:25:19.290 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.063$ and make course corrections.
- 450 00:25:23.730 --> 00:25:27.180 But insofar as really understanding
- $451\ 00{:}25{:}27.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32.100$ implementation as a process, these data visualizations
- $452\ 00:25:32.100 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.510$ are really what get you there.

- $453\ 00:25:33.510 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.970$ It's not these case studies.
- 454 00:25:35.970 --> 00:25:37.890 And what we're visualizing
- $455\ 00:25:37.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.260$ are five key implementation events
- $456\ 00:25:40.260 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.150$ that are plotted along a line graph.
- $457\ 00:25:42.150 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.443$ And these are not complicated visualizations.
- $458\ 00:25:48.390 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.863$ This is what we end up with.
- $459\ 00{:}25{:}52.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56.850$ Remember those key facilitation implementation events
- $460\ 00:25:56.850 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.180$ are kept in those brief narrative summaries, right?
- 461 00:26:00.180 --> 00:26:02.520 So you just go back to those,
- $462\ 00:26:02.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.823$ look at the dates and plot them.
- $463\ 00:26:06.960 --> 00:26:10.890$ And what we've done is use a color for each phase
- $464\ 00:26:10.890 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.890$ of implementation and one dot is for each month.
- 465 00:26:15.900 --> 00:26:18.490 And you can much more intuitively grasp
- $466~00{:}26{:}19.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}23.070$ for how long implementation was ongoing, right?
- $467\ 00:26:23.070 --> 00:26:27.063$ This site has fewer dots than does this site.
- $468\ 00:26:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.230$ What that means is this site took longer
- $469~00{:}26{:}31.230 \longrightarrow 00{:}26{:}34.200$ to implement and reach sustainment.
- $470\ 00:26:34.200 --> 00:26:38.490$ Not only that, but in using colors, you can see
- $471\ 00:26:38.490 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.433$ for how long these sites were in each phase.
- $472\ 00:26:42.690 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.060$ So one one dot equals one month.
- $473\ 00:26:45.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.770$ You can see this moved along
- $474\ 00:26:46.770 --> 00:26:50.583$ fairly, fairly quickly to sustainment.
- 475 00:26:52.410 --> 00:26:55.020 And you can see right here, right,
- $476\ 00:26:55.020 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.900$ this is where they were hung up at this site.
- $477\ 00:26:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.700$ This is where the process stalled
- 478 00:26:59.700 --> 00:27:01.890 between this formative eval
- 479 00:27:01.890 --> 00:27:04.173 and the implementation planning meeting.

- $480\ 00{:}27{:}05.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}07.560$ And that's because they ran into these problems
- $481\ 00:27:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.800$ with the SPED dashboard
- $482\ 00:27:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.973$ and then with staffing difficulties.
- $483\ 00:27:13.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.150$ And then they very quickly,
- $484~00:27:15.150 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.563$ once they got roll in here, they very quickly moved on.
- 485 00:27:21.720 --> 00:27:24.780 So this is what's really allowing us insights
- $486\ 00:27:24.780 --> 00:27:26.850$ into implementation as a process.
- $487~00{:}27{:}26.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30.960$ And I think that I might take this one step up
- $488\ 00:27:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.970$ and actually start plotting the barriers
- $489\ 00:27:32.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.743$ and facilitators on here.
- $490\ 00:27:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.350$ I think that could be really helpful.
- $491\ 00:27:37.350 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.620$ The really qualitatively important ones.
- $492\ 00{:}27{:}40.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43.710$ And then imagine how that's going to enable us
- 493 00:27:43.710 --> 00:27:47.080 to look across sites and see what's happening
- $494\ 00:27:48.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.500$ across these sites and see if we can
- 495 00:27:49.500 --> 00:27:51.153 pick up on any patterns.
- $496~00{:}27{:}55.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}59.280$ So what are we doing? What are we doing with these data?
- $497~00{:}27{:}59.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}01.470$ Of course it's fun just to play with the data, right?
- $498\ 00:28:01.470 --> 00:28:03.450\ I\ mean,\ I'm\ more\ than\ happy\ just\ to\ play\ with\ data,$
- $499\ 00:28:03.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.397$ if that's what you want me to do.
- $500~00{:}28{:}05.397 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09.420$ But on a project like this, we want to use qualitative data
- $501\ 00:28:09.420 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.420$ to inform facilitation/implementation.
- $502~00{:}28{:}14.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18.090$ And some of the recommendations that we fed back
- $503\ 00:28:18.090 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.940$ to the larger team is that they need

- $504\ 00{:}28{:}20.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24.420$ to initiate contact with the leaders there on site
- 505 00:28:24.420 --> 00:28:26.640 early in the process of implementation.
- $506~00{:}28{:}26.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}31.470$ Because in the VA where you have this incredible hierarchy
- 507 00:28:31.470 --> 00:28:34.380 and you have your Caring Contact specialists,
- 508 00:28:34.380 --> 00:28:38.128 who are the ones identifying the veterans,
- 509 00:28:38.128 --> 00:28:41.730 getting the cards printed, signing the cards,
- $510~00{:}28{:}41.730$ --> $00{:}28{:}45.450$ and mailing the cards, they are really low down
- 511~00:28:45.450 --> 00:28:48.960 on this hierarchy, and they actually sometimes don't know
- $512\ 00:28:48.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.750$ who to even talk to, to get what they need.
- $513\ 00:28:51.750 --> 00:28:55.560$ And they do not often have direct contact with leaders.
- $514\ 00{:}28{:}55.560 \rightarrow 00{:}28{:}58.110$ So you need to involve leaders in very early on
- 515 00:28:58.110 --> 00:28:59.814 in the process, because they're the ones
- 516 00:28:59.814 --> 00:29:02.883 that help you get over these barriers.
- 517 00:29:04.500 --> 00:29:06.850 Stakeholders were saying that they weren't
- $518\ 00:29:08.379 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.370$ really clear on what the roles were,
- $519~00{:}29{:}11.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}14.580$ what was expected of them, how much time it would take
- $520\ 00:29:14.580 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.373$ to implement and what the costs would be.
- $521\ 00:29:18.330 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.330$ And so the feedback was to communicate more clearly
- $522\ 00:29:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.650$ with stakeholders upfront
- 523 00:29:25.650 --> 00:29:28.233 about these aspects of implementation.
- $524\ 00:29:29.070 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.510$ Ensure that the site leaders
- $525\ 00:29:30.510 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.123$ are both influential and engaged.
- $526~00{:}29{:}34.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}37.560$ So they have to be at the implementation planning meetings
- $527\ 00:29:37.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.390$ and they have to be talking with the people
- $528\ 00:29:39.390 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.840$ who are implementing the interventions

- $529\ 00:29:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.030$ and empower stakeholders with knowledge.
- 530 00:29:45.030 --> 00:29:46.590 Who do I talk to?
- $531\ 00:29:46.590 --> 00:29:50.433$ Who do I go to if I need to get something printed?
- 532 00:29:54.030 --> 00:29:57.660 Some unexpected insights that speak really,
- $533\ 00:29:57.660 --> 00:29:59.920$ to implementation in general
- $534\ 00:30:01.050 --> 00:30:04.540$ is, you know, what constitutes a barrier at one site
- $535\ 00:30:05.940 --> 00:30:09.090$ isn't necessarily a barrier at another.
- $536\ 00:30:09.090 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.810$ And what we sometimes think of as facilitators
- 537 00:30:12.810 --> 00:30:15.120 aren't always facilitators.
- $538\ 00:30:15.120$ --> 00:30:17.910 So leadership involvement can mean many different things.
- $539~00{:}30{:}17.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}21.330~\mathrm{It}$ can mean helping and empowering stakeholders
- $540~00{:}30{:}21.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}24.085$ to implement something, or it can mean pressuring them
- 541 00:30:24.085 --> 00:30:26.520 to implement something.
- $542\ 00:30:26.520$ --> 00:30:28.560 And you want leaders involved in the right way.
- 543 00:30:28.560 --> 00:30:31.290 Virtual facilitation, it works for some sites
- $544\ 00:30:31.290 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.433$ and not for others.
- $545\ 00{:}30{:}33{:}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}37.140$ Even sites with every advantage experience delays,
- 546 00:30:37.140 --> 00:30:38.820 as I said earlier.
- 547~00:30:38.820 --> 00:30:42.510 Implementation readiness, particularly in a time of COVID,
- $548\ 00:30:42.510 --> 00:30:44.550$ it fluctuates over time.
- 549 00:30:44.550 --> 00:30:46.921 A site that's perfectly ready in one moment
- $550\ 00:30:46.921 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.893$ might not be a month down the road.
- $551~00{:}30{:}50.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}53.370~\mathrm{I}$ know there's a move in implementation science
- $552\ 00:30:53.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.210$ to try to measure implementation readiness.
- $553\ 00:30:57.210 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.150$ And this is a real challenge to that movement,

- $554\ 00:31:00.150 --> 00:31:02.160$ because a site that's ready now
- 555~00:31:02.160 --> 00:31:04.383 won't necessarily be ready tomorrow.
- 556 00:31:06.210 --> 00:31:09.213 And what's a barrier or a facilitator,
- $557\ 00:31:10.050 --> 00:31:12.841$ you know, the definition of that changes from site to site.
- $558\ 00:31:12.841 --> 00:31:15.033$ So it's really complicated.
- $559\ 00:31:18.900 --> 00:31:21.693$ And how do we establish rigor in this process?
- 560~00:31:24.390 --> 00:31:26.427 One thing that my colleagues really respect me
- $561\ 00:31:26.427 --> 00:31:30.480$ for is my rigorous approach to qualitative research.
- 562 00:31:30.480 --> 00:31:33.480 And we establish rigor at multiple levels
- $563\ 00:31:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.910$ always during the process of analysis.
- $564~00{:}31{:}35.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}39.077$ First we, for this study, we independently templated
- $565\ 00:31:39.077 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.860$ the first three debriefs together
- $566\ 00:31:43.410 --> 00:31:46.825$ and compared our debriefs to make sure our templates,
- $567\ 00:31:46.825 --> 00:31:49.680$ to make sure we were all on the same page
- $568\ 00:31:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.303$ and everyone was interpreting the categories the same way.
- $569\ 00:31:55.350 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.080$ At that point, I felt like we were good to go.
- 570~00:31:58.080 --> 00:32:01.140 Every fourth template still
- $571\ 00:32:01.140 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.053$ is audited by a secondary analyst.
- $572\ 00:32:06.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.840$ When I'm working in the template or in the matrices,
- 573 00:32:09.840 --> 00:32:14.790 I can see if a template has been consistently,
- $574~00:32:14.790 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.720$ if content has been consistently organized
- $575\ 00:32:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.010$ in the template compared
- 576 00:32:20.010 --> 00:32:22.380 to what they were in the past, right?
- 577 00:32:22.380 --> 00:32:25.637 Because I have prior content
- 578 00:32:27.570 --> 00:32:31.110 from prior templates in that matrix.

- 579 00:32:31.110 --> 00:32:34.860 And so if I see a discrepancy in how people are
- $580\ 00:32:34.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.700$ defining those categories, I kick it back to them,
- $581\ 00:32:38.700 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.990$ and I explain to them,
- $582\ 00:32:39.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.750$ I think this is how we're interpreting this.
- 583 00:32:42.750 --> 00:32:44.610 What do you think?
- $584\ 00:32:44.610 -> 00:32:47.580$ And then I use the Word's comments feature
- $585\ 00{:}32{:}47.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}51.750$ to initiate a dialogue with those primary analysts,
- $586\ 00:32:51.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.380$ not only to ensure that we're being consistent
- 587~00:32:55.380 --> 00:32:59.700 in templating, but also to verify my own insights
- $588\ 00:32:59.700 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.290$ into the data.
- $589\ 00{:}33{:}01.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03.840$ Sometimes those come just looking at the templates
- $590\ 00:33:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.090$ rather than looking at the matrices.
- $591~00{:}33{:}06.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08.640$ And I'll show you what that looks like in a second.
- $592\ 00:33:09.690 --> 00:33:14.690$ This is a template after I've looked at it (laughing)
- $593~00{:}33{:}17.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}20.040$ and before I've moved it into a matrix,
- 594 00:33:20.040 --> 00:33:23.809 and they aren't always quite this hacked into,
- $595\ 00:33:23.809 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.430$ but you can see where I have
- $596\ 00:33:26.430 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.230$ further reduced the data on here.
- $597~00{:}33{:}28.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30.813$ I don't need people's names. That's a lot of noise.
- $598~00{:}33{:}32.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35.220~I$ think I picked this up and moved it somewhere else.
- 599 00:33:35.220 --> 00:33:37.920 You can see I've done quite a bit in here.
- 600 00:33:37.920 --> 00:33:41.070 And for every edit, for every question,
- $601\ 00:33:41.070 --> 00:33:44.640$ I leave a comment here for the primary analyst
- 602 00:33:44.640 --> 00:33:47.070 who was also the one who led the debrief,
- 603 00:33:47.070 --> 00:33:49.170 just to make sure that I'm understanding

- 604 00:33:49.170 --> 00:33:50.340 things correctly, right?
- $605\ 00:33:50.340 --> 00:33:52.485$ Because I am now three people removed
- $606\ 00:33:52.485 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.357$ from the sources of data.
- 607 00:33:54.357 --> 00:33:57.990 And so this dialogue is so important,
- 608 00:33:57.990 --> 00:34:00.591 this constant dialogue with my team
- $609\ 00:34:00.591 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.910$ to help keep me on track.
- $610\ 00:34:02.910 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.660$ Not just them, but keep me on track
- $611\ 00:34:06.660 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.730$ that my insights are really valid.
- $612\ 00:34:08.730 --> 00:34:10.980$ And of course, I occasionally kick things back
- $613\ 00:34:10.980 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.273$ to the larger team and the facilitators to verify with them.
- $614\ 00:34:20.499 --> 00:34:21.780$ You know, I wanna be really forthright
- $615\ 00:34:21.780 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.550$ about these rapid methods.
- 616 00:34:23.550 --> 00:34:26.310 I know there's a lot of curiosity about them,
- $617\ 00:34:26.310 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.433$ and there's advantages and challenges to using them.
- $618\ 00{:}34{:}30.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}34.800$ With templates, like I said, they provide this beautiful,
- $619\ 00:34:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.970$ coherent story of a single data collection episode.
- $620~00{:}34{:}38.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}42.360$ It isn't like coding where you sort of fragment your data
- $621\ 00{:}34{:}42.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}45.213$ and then you have all these codes floating around.
- $622\ 00:34:46.500 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.753$ It's right there in a Word document.
- 623 00:34:49.590 --> 00:34:53.220 You can use them if you're using, you know,
- $624\ 00:34:53.220 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.860$ like, a framework from implementation science
- $625\ 00:34:55.860 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.240$ to structure your template.
- $626\ 00:34:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.018$ It creates this transdisciplinary language
- $627\ 00:35:00.018 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.400$ that an anthropologist can use
- $628\ 00:35:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.220$ to talk with other scientists.
- $629\ 00{:}35{:}05.220$ --> $00{:}35{:}09.270$ And your results are translated much more efficiently.

- $630\ 00:35:09.270 --> 00:35:11.070$ They're translated by your template.
- 631 00:35:11.070 --> 00:35:13.650 If you're skilled at template analysis,
- $632\ 00:35:13.650 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.193$ your template will translate your data for you.
- $633\ 00:35:18.360 --> 00:35:20.970$ The challenge really with individual templates is
- $634\ 00:35:20.970 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.468$ that they look very simple.
- 635 00:35:23.468 --> 00:35:25.830 People look at a completed template
- $636\ 00:35:25.830 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.990$ and they think, "Oh, I can do that.
- $637\ 00:35:27.990 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.340$ That looks easy."
- $638\ 00:35:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.304$ But it takes a remarkable amount of work
- $639\ 00:35:32.304 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.070$ to get it to that point, right?
- 640 00:35:35.070 --> 00:35:36.420 There's reducing the data.
- 641 00:35:36.420 --> 00:35:40.383 What do I cut out and what don't I cut out? That is a skill.
- $642\ 00{:}35{:}41.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}44.100$ There's what I call sinking in and reading deeper.
- 643 00:35:44.100 --> 00:35:46.620 You can't just stay at the surface.
- $644\ 00:35:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.650$ Sometimes you have to unpack what people are saying,
- $645\ 00{:}35{:}49.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52.890$ and you still have to do that with template analysis.
- $646\ 00{:}35{:}52.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56.250$ You can't get in copy and paste mode and just go.
- 647 00:35:56.250 --> 00:35:59.850 You have to continually sink in and dig deeper.
- 648 00:35:59.850 --> 00:36:01.263 And you know, the same challenge
- 649 00:36:01.263 --> 00:36:03.420 that you get with coding, right?
- $650\ 00:36:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.580$ Controlling the codes.
- 651 00:36:05.580 --> 00:36:07.316 You can get to the point where you have,
- 652 00:36:07.316 --> 00:36:10.470 if you let yourself run away with it,
- $653\ 00:36:10.470 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.930$ far too many subcategories
- $654\ 00:36:12.930 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.140$ to be really helpful to you at all.
- $655\ 00:36:16.140 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.413$ So you have to really control the proliferation
- $656\ 00:36:18.413 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.940$ of categories and subcategories.

- 657 00:36:20.940 --> 00:36:23.460 And of course, maintaining consistency
- $658\ 00:36:23.460 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.863$ across templates is always a challenge.
- 659 00:36:27.720 --> 00:36:29.667 Matrices, of course, they do reduce your data,
- 660 00:36:29.667 --> 00:36:31.500 and that's helpful when you're dealing
- $661\ 00:36:31.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.570$ with such a large data set.
- $662\ 00:36:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.353$ And it helps to ensure that consistency across templates.
- $663\ 00:36:39.060 --> 00:36:41.010$ And you know, as you've seen it permits
- $664\ 00:36:41.010 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.313$ longitudinal comparisons and assists
- $665\ 00:36:44.313 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.403$ in the development of these case summaries.
- $666\ 00:36:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.783$ But those matrices have a lot on them.
- 667 00:36:52.980 --> 00:36:54.990 And you have to find your way through
- 668 00:36:54.990 --> 00:36:57.450 all those words, words, words, right?
- $669\ 00:36:57.450 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.460$ I mean, it's still just a lot to deal with.
- $670~00{:}36{:}59.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}02.727$ And the matrices don't analyze the data for you.
- 671 00:37:02.727 --> 00:37:04.860 You don't stick them in the matrix
- $672\ 00:37:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.470$ and suddenly the magic happens.
- $673\ 00:37:07.470 \dashrightarrow 00:37:10.770$ Your brain does that. It's still qualitative research.
- $674\ 00:37:10.770 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.180$ You have to make sense out
- $675\ 00:37:12.180 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.103$ of what the matrix is telling you.
- $676\ 00:37:15.030 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.983$ So that's challenging.
- 677 00:37:18.540 --> 00:37:20.940 And then finally, the overall approach,
- $678~00{:}37{:}20.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}24.000~\mathrm{I}$ mean, it really is allowing us to see implementation
- 679 00:37:24.000 --> 00:37:27.420 as this dynamic process that shifts over times,
- 680 00:37:27.420 --> 00:37:30.183 and sometimes it moves backwards actually,
- $681\ 00:37:31.290 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.110$ so that we can use those findings to, you know,
- 682 00:37:34.110 --> 00:37:37.470 inform these course corrections, if you would.
- $683\ 00:37:37.470 --> 00:37:39.420$ It's allowing us to share across sites
- $684\ 00:37:39.420 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.320$ without really delaying our project.

- $685\ 00:37:43.320 --> 00:37:45.774$ We've experienced no delays whatsoever
- $686\ 00:37:45.774$ --> 00:37:50.520 using Word documents instead of computer software.
- 687 00:37:50.520 --> 00:37:52.650 And it's very rigorous when you put
- $688\ 00:37:52.650 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.513$ all these techniques together.
- 689 00:37:55.620 --> 00:37:57.840 You know, the challenge is, for me,
- $690\ 00:37:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.990$ being three levels removed from the sources of data,
- 691 00:38:00.990 --> 00:38:03.120 that's very challenging.
- 692 00:38:03.120 --> 00:38:07.470 I have to continually kick things back to my team
- 693~00:38:07.470 --> 00:38:10.160 and to not only team qual, but the larger team
- $694\ 00:38:10.160 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.770$ to ensure that my insights are valid.
- $695\ 00:38:13.770 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.130$ And it really requires consistent engagement.
- $696\ 00:38:17.130 --> 00:38:22.090$ This is not a collection of techniques
- 697 00:38:24.690 --> 00:38:28.050 that will allow a qualitative team lead
- $698~00{:}38{:}28.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}32.400$ to just sit back and show up at weekly meetings
- 699 00:38:32.400 --> 00:38:34.257 and say, "So what's going on?"
- 700 00:38:35.910 \rightarrow 00:38:38.820 You have to consistently engage with your data,
- 701 00:38:38.820 --> 00:38:41.370 you have to keep your team motivated,
- 702 00:38:41.370 --> 00:38:44.940 and project management is absolutely vital.
- $703\ 00:38:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.997$ Everyone has to be on top of things,
- 704 00:38:46.997 --> 00:38:50.970 because we all build, right, one after another.
- $705\ 00{:}38{:}50.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}54.390$ So if somebody drops the ball, somebody else has to wait
- $706\ 00:38:54.390 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.090$ for them to pick it back up again.
- 707 00:38:57.960 --> 00:38:59.997 <v Participant>Stop and see if anyone has questions?</v>
- 709 00:39:04.110 --> 00:39:04.943 Yeah...

- $710\ 00:39:10.579 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.162$ That was all right.
- 711 00:39:13.274 --> 00:39:15.690 (participant speaking indistinctly)
- 712 00:39:15.690 --> 00:39:17.610 <
v Participant>Ashley, are you available?
</v>
- 713 00:39:17.610 --> 00:39:18.443 <v ->Yep, I'm here.</v>
- 714 00:39:18.443 --> 00:39:20.866 Yeah, so if anyone has any questions, feel free.
- $715\ 00:39:20.866 --> 00:39:24.390$ We have just five minutes, but yeah,
- $716\ 00:39:24.390 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.330$ we'd love to have you ask some questions
- $717\ 00:39:27.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.630$ to Tracy if you have them.
- 718 00:39:30.360 --> 00:39:31.650 <v ->I have a question.</v>> <v ->I...</v>
- 719 $00:39:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.483$ Oh, go ahead.
- 720 00:39:32.483 --> 00:39:33.565 < v -> This is not (indistinct).< / v >
- 721 00:39:33.565 --> 00:39:38.340 You mentioned, Tracy, that time wasn't the primary reason
- 722 00:39:38.340 --> 00:39:42.330 for adopting rapid qualitative analysis.
- 723 00:39:42.330 --> 00:39:45.120 But for me, I've thought, like, especially
- $724\ 00{:}39{:}45.120$ --> $00{:}39{:}49.020$ in implementation science, where you need this information
- $725\ 00:39:49.020 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.060$ at the stage and the formative stage
- 726 00:39:51.060 --> 00:39:53.370 in terms of refining the intervention,
- 727 00:39:53.370 --> 00:39:56.070 and you might need it for course correction,
- $728\ 00:39:56.070 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.930$ that the rapid aspect
- $729\ 00:39:57.930 --> 00:40:00.960$ of the qualitative analysis is very important.
- $730\ 00{:}40{:}00.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}04.890$ And I've worked in the past with other qualitative analysts
- $731\ 00:40:04.890 --> 00:40:08.430$ in studies where when they use the traditional methods,
- $732\ 00:40:08.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.490$ they're kind of on their own timeframe
- 733 00:40:11.490 --> 00:40:14.310 and may take, like, three or more years
- 734 00:40:14.310 --> 00:40:17.340 to fully process the data and write something up.
- $735\ 00:40:17.340 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.093$ And by that time, the actual study might even be over.

736 00:40:23.220 --> 00:40:25.830 <v -> Absolutely, well, especially if you take, you know,</v>

 $737\ 00:40:25.830 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.830$ what I call slow-mo longstanding sort of approaches to it.

 $738\ 00:40:32.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.900$ For me, what I really like

 $739\ 00:40:36.900 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.990$ about these methods is the translation,

 $740\ 00:40:39.990 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.898$ the ease with which you move from analysis to translating

741 00:40:44.898 --> 00:40:48.420 your findings into something that's meaningful

 $742~00{:}40{:}48.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53.310$ And that's always why I keep going back to rapid methods

743 00:40:53.310 --> 00:40:57.120 and because I find them more challenging, honestly.

 $744\ 00:40:57.120 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.793$ And I really like that challenge.

745 00:41:05.139 --> 00:41:08.513 <v Participant>Did you wanna ask your question?</v>

746 00:41:08.513 --> 00:41:10.515 <v Fauzia>May I just say something?</v>

747 00:41:10.515 --> 00:41:14.760 I want to add a little bit more

748 00:41:14.760 --> 00:41:16.050 to this response.

 $749~00:41:16.050 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.983$ And thank you very much for this wonderful presentation.

750 00:41:21.060 --> 00:41:23.940 I mean, I'm doing this on a lot of projects,

 $751\ 00:41:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.100$ and sometimes what, you know,

 $752\ 00:41:26.100 --> 00:41:29.197$ what you said was very striking that everybody thinks,

753 00:41:29.197 --> 00:41:31.050 "Oh, this is simple, I can do it."

754 00:41:31.050 --> 00:41:35.310 But what goes into it is, you know,

755 00:41:35.310 --> 00:41:38.580 a lot, and that rigor essentially

 $756\ 00:41:38.580 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.550$ is what makes those insights useful

 $757\ 00:41:41.550 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.320$ for the implementation process.

 $758~00{:}41{:}43.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}46.830$ So what I wanted to add to the use of rapid analysis

759 00:41:46.830 --> 00:41:50.670 and Donna's question that it is time bound,

- 760 00:41:50.670 --> 00:41:55.020 I think yes, it's extremely useful,
- 761 00:41:55.020 --> 00:41:56.370 and that's why, like you said,
- $762\ 00:41:56.370 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.710$ you keep going back to these methods.
- 763 00:41:58.710 --> 00:42:01.510 What I have experienced in my work
- $764\ 00:42:02.580 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.570$ is that sometimes it's the data size as well.
- 765 00:42:06.570 --> 00:42:09.900 It might not be urgent, but the data size
- $766~00{:}42{:}09.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}13.380$ can also dictate whether you want to use the rapid methods
- $767\ 00:42:13.380 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.410$ or if you want to go in much more detail.
- 768 00:42:16.410 --> 00:42:18.840 So just a small thing.
- $769\ 00:42:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.080$ But I think that also is one of the reasons.
- 770 00:42:22.080 --> 00:42:23.760 By the way, my name is Fauzia Malik
- 771 00:42:23.760 --> 00:42:26.310 and I'm a medical anthropologist part of-
- 772 00:42:26.310 --> 00:42:28.008 <v ->Oh, hi. (laughing)</v>
- 773 00:42:28.008 --> 00:42:31.893 <
v Fauzia>(laughing) Part of Yale School of Public Health,
</v>
- 774 00:42:32.910 --> 00:42:35.100 Health Policy and Management Department.
- 775 00:42:35.100 --> 00:42:39.870 And I absolutely loved the way you presented
- $776\ 00:42:39.870 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.870$ the use of data and very, very important points
- $777\ 00:42:44.910 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.103$ that you all brought together to make sense of this,
- 778 00:42:48.103 --> 00:42:51.090 you know, application of rapid analysis
- $779\ 00:42:51.090 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.740$ in implementation science.
- 780 00:42:52.740 --> 00:42:55.334 Thank you so much for that. <v ->Oh, thank you.</v>
- 781 00:42:55.334 --> 00:42:56.520 <-> Fauzia>And thank you, Donna and Ashley,</v>
- $782\ 00:42:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.296$ for organizing this.
- $783\ 00:42:58.296 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.173$ This was a pleasure to hear.
- 784 00:43:02.490 --> 00:43:05.280 <v -> Great. Hopefully this will be the first of many.</v>
- $785\ 00:43:05.280 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.280$ Ashley, there's a question in the chat if there's time,

- $786\ 00:43:08.280 --> 00:43:09.270$ but I think there might have been
- $787\ 00:43:09.270 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.463$ some other people speaking up as well.
- 788 00:43:15.352 --> 00:43:17.550 <v Ashley>If you wanna take some, we have one minute,</v>
- $789\ 00:43:17.550 --> 00:43:20.280$ if you wanna take a timed question or-
- 790 00:43:20.280 --> 00:43:21.993 < v -> We can't even hear you, Ashley.< / v >
- 791 00:43:24.161 --> 00:43:29.161 <v -> So for the debriefs, they are really, you know, </v>
- $792\ 00:43:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.350$ as the name suggests, they're very brief.
- 793 00:43:31.350 --> 00:43:34.860 They're 30 minutes long and they're bi-weekly.
- 794 00:43:34.860 --> 00:43:36.810 If you're talking about the time it takes
- $795\ 00:43:36.810 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.630$ for a team to do this, and this is something
- 796 00:43:39.630 --> 00:43:43.170 that people ask quite often, it's a heavy lift.
- $797\ 00:43:43.170 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.640$ We have a team of five of us.
- $798\ 00:43:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.820\ I$ am 30%, the other team members are
- 799 00:43:53.280 \rightarrow 00:43:55.440 30, 50 and 60%.
- $800\ 00:43:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.640$ It is a lot of time
- $801\ 00:43:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.380$ to collect and analyze all these data.
- 802 00:44:01.380 --> 00:44:03.120 It's not just from the debriefs, right?
- $803\ 00:44:03.120 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.880$ It's also the interviews with the stakeholders.
- $804~00{:}44{:}05.880 --> 00{:}44{:}09.540$ And we have interviews with veterans coming up next week.
- 805 00:44:09.540 --> 00:44:12.960 So it's pricey, that's for sure.
- 806 00:44:12.960 --> 00:44:15.540 Especially if you get an expensive
- 807~00:44:15.540 --> 00:44:20.283 investigator level anthropologist on your team. (laughing)
- $808\ 00:44:22.350 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.050 < v > So everyone, thank you so much. < / v >$
- 809 00:44:25.050 --> 00:44:27.355 It was levely to have so many people log in,
- 810 00:44:27.355 --> 00:44:30.630 and we really look forward to, you know,
- $811\ 00:44:30.630 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.890$ more sessions in the future.
- 812 00:44:31.890 --> 00:44:33.780 And so I hope everyone will sort of join me
- $813\ 00:44:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.925$ in thanking Dr. Abraham for her talk.

```
814 00:44:36.925 --> 00:44:39.123 Yeah, and take care.
```

817 00:44:53.599 --> 00:44:58.182 (participants chattering indistinctly)

^{815 00:44:41.690 --&}gt; 00:44:43.213 <v ->Bye. (voices overlapping)</v>

^{816 00:44:43.213 --&}gt; 00:44:48.213 <-v Participant>Thank you so much.</v>