## WEBVTT

- 1 00:00:02.490 --> 00:00:07.080 <v -> We're very delighted to have Gila Neta,</v>
- 2 00:00:07.080 --> 00:00:11.690 who is a Program Director for Implementation Science
- $3\ 00:00:11.690 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.230$  in the Office of the Director
- $4\ 00:00:13.230 --> 00:00:17.190$  in the Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences
- $5~00:00:17.190 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.530$  at the National Cancer Institute.
- 6~00:00:19.530 --> 00:00:23.460 And Gila has actually been a leader
- 7 00:00:23.460 --> 00:00:28.260 in stimulating implementation science approaches
- 8 00:00:28.260 --> 00:00:31.680 to cancer prevention at the NCI for,
- 9 00:00:31.680 --> 00:00:35.130 I'm not sure how many years, 10 or even 15 years.
- $10\ 00{:}00{:}35.130 \to 00{:}00{:}40.130$  And encouraging research in this area, and fixing concepts
- $11\ 00{:}00{:}41.400 \longrightarrow 00{:}00{:}45.385$  and theories of how to approach this sort of work,
- $12\ 00:00:45.385$  --> 00:00:50.385 and yeah, we just look to her for, in terms of cancer
- $13\ 00:00:52.290$  --> 00:00:56.640 and implementation science, she is the top person.
- $14\ 00:00:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.520$  So we're thrilled to have her here today.
- $15\ 00:00:59.520$  --> 00:01:04.230 And we just found out that NCI and NIH people
- $16\ 00{:}01{:}04.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}07.710$  are allowed to travel, but we weren't able to arrange that
- $17\ 00:01:07.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.060$  in time for today.
- $18\ 00:01:09.060 --> 00:01:11.280$  So maybe we'll have her back another time
- $19\ 00:01:11.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.440$  where we can meet with her in person.
- $20~00{:}01{:}13.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}15.480$  But I just wanted to give a little more information
- $21\ 00{:}01{:}15.480 --> 00{:}01{:}18.510$  about her background before turning this over to her.

- $22\ 00:01:18.510 --> 00:01:22.107$  This talk is sponsored by the Center for Methods
- 23 00:01:22.107 --> 00:01:24.660 and Implementation and Prevention Science
- $24\ 00:01:24.660 --> 00:01:26.640$  here at the Yale School of Public Health
- $25\ 00:01:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.170$  and it's co-sponsored
- $26\ 00{:}01{:}28.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.140$  by the Department of Chronic Disease Epidemiology,
- $27\ 00{:}01{:}31.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35.700$  led by Judy Liman, the chair, and also by the Yale Scholars
- $28\ 00{:}01{:}35.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.850$  in Implementation Science Career Development Program,
- $29\ 00:01:38.850 \dashrightarrow 00:01:43.560$  our K12 program, which is actually funded by NHLBI,
- 30~00:01:43.560 --> 00:01:46.020 but I think there are a number of people involved
- $31\ 00:01:46.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.500$  who also are interested in cancer prevention and control,
- $32\ 00:01:49.500$  --> 00:01:52.893 and implementation science perspectives for that.
- 33 00:01:54.150 --> 00:01:58.530 So Gila is the NCI Scientific lead
- $34\ 00:01:58.530 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.030$  for funding announcements
- $35\ 00:02:00.030 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.540$  and dissemination implementation research and health,
- $36\ 00:02:03.540 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.330$  and assists with research and training activities
- $37\ 00:02:06.330 --> 00:02:09.840$  related to implementation science across the division.
- $38\ 00:02:09.840 --> 00:02:12.990$  And she has a secondary appointment within the Epidemiology
- $39~00:02:12.990 \dashrightarrow 00:02:14.730$  and Genomics Research Program
- 40 00:02:14.730 --> 00:02:17.190 and the Center for Global Health.
- 41 00:02:17.190 --> 00:02:18.720 And something I know about Gila
- 42 00:02:18.720 --> 00:02:21.390 that isn't in her biography is, I think she,
- 43~00:02:21.390 --> 00:02:24.750 you can correct me if I'm wrong, PhD Epidemiologist.

- $44\ 00:02:24.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.530$  So you came to implementation science through epidemiology,
- 45~00:02:28.530 --> 00:02:33.530 which is interesting and not the most common path.
- $46~00:02:33.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.150$  Most people come to implementation science
- 47 00:02:36.150 --> 00:02:38.490 through social sciences,
- 48 00:02:38.490 --> 00:02:42.120 so it's nice to have a PhD epidemiologist
- 49 00:02:42.120 --> 00:02:45.033 leading implementation science at NCI.
- $50\ 00:02:45.900 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.600\ Dr.$  Neta's programmatic and research interests
- $51\ 00:02:48.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.540$  within implementation science include training,
- $52\ 00:02:51.540$  --> 00:02:55.920 portfolio analysis, the use of the PRECIS criteria
- $53\ 00:02:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.780$  in evaluating pragmatic trials.
- 54 00:02:57.780 --> 00:02:59.673 Is that the correct pronunciation?
- 55 00:03:01.380 --> 00:03:02.580 <v -> Yeah, PRECIS.</v>
- $56\ 00:03:02.580 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.413 < v \longrightarrow PRECIS. < /v >$
- 57 00:03:03.413 --> 00:03:04.246 Okay.
- $58\ 00:03:04.246 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.103$  I did take French.
- $59~00:03:06.870 \dashrightarrow 00:03:08.880$  And that's something we actually covered in the course
- $60~00:03:08.880 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.640$  I'm offering this semester on advanced methods
- $61\ 00:03:11.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.040$  for implementation and prevention science.
- 62 00:03:14.040 --> 00:03:16.380 Shared decision-making and cancer screening,
- 63 00:03:16.380 --> 00:03:19.410 economic evaluation, de-implementation,
- $64\ 00:03:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.940$  which we were just discussing on an earlier call
- $65\ 00{:}03{:}22.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.160$  and the use of standardized measurement and reporting.
- $66~00{:}03{:}26.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.910$  Dr. Neta's co-chair of the NIH-sponsored Annual Conference
- $67\ 00{:}03{:}29.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}32.520$  on the Science of Dissemination and Implementation,
- 68~00:03:32.520 --> 00:03:35.460 which many of us have participated in for many years

- $69\ 00:03:35.460 --> 00:03:37.800$  and had a very nice representation
- $70\ 00:03:37.800 --> 00:03:39.750$  from the Yale School of Public Health
- $71\ 00:03:39.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.280$  and the Yale Medical School.
- 72 00:03:41.280 --> 00:03:45.090 She also leads the NIH D& I working group,
- $73\ 00{:}03{:}45.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}48.990$  a trans-NIH initiative providing leadership and vision
- $74\ 00:03:48.990 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.320$  for implementation science across the NIH.
- $75~00{:}03{:}52.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}55.770$  Today she'll be talking about opportunities and priorities
- $76~00:03:55.770 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.410$  for dissemination and implementation research
- $77\ 00:03:58.410 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.360$  at the National Cancer Institute.
- $78\ 00:04:00.360 --> 00:04:03.420$  So Dr. Neta, I'm pleased to turn the mic
- $79\ 00:04:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.253$  and screen over to you.
- 80 00:04:04.253 --> 00:04:07.440 We're really looking forward to your talk today.
- $81\ 00:04:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.820 < v \longrightarrow Great$ , thank you so much, Donna.
- 82 00:04:08.820 --> 00:04:12.840 And I should clarify that I don't lead
- 83 00:04:12.840 --> 00:04:14.790 the NCI Implementation Science.
- 84~00:04:14.790 --> 00:04:17.040 David Chambers is definitely our fearless leader,
- $85\ 00:04:17.040 --> 00:04:19.860$  although he does primarily come from mental health.
- 86 00:04:19.860 --> 00:04:22.620 But I would say NCI as our team,
- $87\ 00{:}04{:}22.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25.740$  I'm on a large implementation science team
- $88\ 00:04:25.740 --> 00:04:27.900$  and we are seen as leaders across the NIH,
- 89 00:04:27.900 --> 00:04:30.870 which is great because I have fantastic colleagues
- 90 00:04:30.870  $\rightarrow$  00:04:33.240 and we are truly an interdisciplinary team.
- 91 00:04:33.240 --> 00:04:35.010 So I do think, Donna, what you said is correct,
- $92\ 00{:}04{:}35.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38.730$  that I'm the lead epidemiologist in implementation science
- $93~00{:}04{:}38.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}42.700$  at NCI, in part, 'cause I'm the only epidemiologist
- 94 00:04:43.770 --> 00:04:45.030 in implementation science.

- 95 00:04:45.030 --> 00:04:48.270 But it might be worth mentioning how I came to this
- 96 00:04:48.270 --> 00:04:52.380 as I was, I did my postdoc in radiation epidemiology
- $97~00{:}04{:}52.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54.240$  and the questions that were most interesting to me
- $98~00{:}04{:}54.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57.120$  at that time, and that was when papers were coming out
- 99 00:04:57.120 --> 00:05:00.813 about excess deaths due to unnecessary CT scans.
- $100\ 00:05:01.920 \dashrightarrow 00:05:03.570$  And so I was really interested in the question
- $101\ 00{:}05{:}03.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06.180$  of how do we de-implement, how do we de-implement
- $102\ 00:05:06.180 --> 00:05:09.180$  this practice of unnecessary CT scans
- $103~00:05:09.180 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.640$  while I was doing my epidemiologic research
- $104\ 00:05:11.640 --> 00:05:15.900$  on the risk of thyroid cancer associated
- $105\ 00:05:15.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.710$  with medical diagnostic radiation.
- 106 00:05:19.710 --> 00:05:21.990 But what I was really sort of passionate about,
- $107\ 00:05:21.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.550$  were asking those bigger questions.
- 108~00:05:23.550 --> 00:05:27.090 So now I'm working with this very transdisciplinary team
- $109\ 00{:}05{:}27.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32.090$  at NCI and we consist of health services, researchers,
- 110 00:05:32.670 --> 00:05:35.103 anthropologists, psychologists.
- 111 00:05:36.780 --> 00:05:41.280 So it's just a fantastic, MBAs, MPAs.
- $112\ 00:05:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.923$  So, fantastic Team.
- $113\ 00:05:44.940 --> 00:05:48.540$  One question I wanted to ask before we share the slides,
- $114~00{:}05{:}48.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52.200$  just to get a sense, and I don't know if it's easy
- $115\ 00:05:52.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.243$  for people to raise their hands.
- 116 00:05:55.410 --> 00:05:59.820 I believe raising your hand is an ability,
- 117 00:05:59.820 --> 00:06:00.653 I'm curious.
- 118 00:06:00.653 --> 00:06:02.220 <v Donna>If you go down to reactions</v>

- 119 00:06:02.220 --> 00:06:03.780 and you click on reactions,
- $120\ 00:06:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.830$  and then you'll see raise hand under reactions.
- 121 00:06:07.830 --> 00:06:10.470 <v ->So I would love it if folks could raise their hand </v>
- 122 00:06:10.470 --> 00:06:13.980 if you are an epidemiologist.
- $123\ 00:06:13.980 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.843$  I'm just curious to get a sense.
- 124 00:06:16.950 --> 00:06:18.090 Okay, great.
- $125\ 00:06:18.090 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.923$  Oh, that's great.
- 126 00:06:18.923 --> 00:06:20.853 It's even summarizing how many,
- $127\ 00:06:21.990 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.870$  so we've got some epidemiologists in the group.
- 128 00:06:24.870 --> 00:06:27.570 Can I see a show of hands of how many folks
- $129\ 00{:}06{:}27.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31.473$  have actually submitted a grant in implementation science?
- 130 00:06:36.300 --> 00:06:39.210 <v Donna>You have to lower your hand and then re-raise it.</v>
- $131\ 00:06:39.210 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.710 < v \longrightarrow Yeah$ , no, I see people lower.
- 132 00:06:40.710 --> 00:06:42.330 Okay, now lower your hands.
- 133 00:06:42.330 --> 00:06:45.603 And maybe last question is,
- $134\ 00:06:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.190$  or two, a two-part question, raise your hand if you are new
- $135\ 00:06:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.293$  to implementation science.
- 136 00:07:05.730 --> 00:07:06.630 Okay, great.
- $137\ 00:07:06.630 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.703$  So a significant portion of you,
- $138\ 00:07:10.770 \dashrightarrow 00:07:15.030$  I'm glad that I anticipated that an introduction
- $139\ 00{:}07{:}15.030 {\: -->\:} 00{:}07{:}18.630$  to what implementation science is would be helpful
- $140\ 00{:}07{:}18.630 {\:-->\:} 00{:}07{:}22.590$  in addition to describing funding opportunities,
- $141\ 00:07:22.590 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.183$  resources, and priorities.
- $142\ 00:07:25.080 --> 00:07:26.640 < v Donna>It will be helpful, Dr. Neta.</v>$
- 143 00:07:26.640 --> 00:07:27.750 <--> Great.</->
- $144\ 00:07:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.740$  Okay, great.

- 145 00:07:28.740 --> 00:07:30.900 So with that then, William,
- 146 00:07:30.900 --> 00:07:33.310 if you don't mind sharing my slides
- $147\ 00:07:35.250 --> 00:07:38.700$  and as Donna mentioned the title, the official title
- $148\ 00:07:38.700 --> 00:07:40.560$  of my talk is "Opportunities and Priorities
- $149\ 00{:}07{:}40.560 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}07{:}42.930$  for Dissemination and Implementation Research
- 150 00:07:42.930 --> 00:07:44.637 at the National Cancer Institute".
- $151\ 00:07:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.300$  Summarizing that as implementation science
- $152\ 00{:}07{:}48.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}51.780$  fits cleaner on the slide, but also what I'm going to share
- $153\ 00:07:51.780 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.590$  with you, I do sit on the implementation science team,
- $154\ 00{:}07{:}55.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.860$  but we do use dissemination and implementation research
- $155\ 00:07:58.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.930$  and health sometimes interchangeably
- $156\ 00:08:00.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.330$  with implementation science.
- $157\ 00:08:03.330 --> 00:08:06.360$  And as I'll describe a little bit later in my talk
- 158 00:08:06.360 --> 00:08:08.730 for the purposes of our funding opportunities,
- $159\ 00:08:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.340$  we do think of implementation science
- $160\ 00:08:11.340 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.860$  as those two different component parts.
- $161\ 00:08:13.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.010$  So I'll explain a little bit about that later.
- $162\ 00:08:17.010$  --> 00:08:21.720 But basically, on the next slide, I just wanna summarize
- $163\ 00:08:21.720 \dashrightarrow 00:08:26.130$  what I'm hoping to do over the next 50 minutes with you
- 164 00:08:26.130 --> 00:08:30.240 or 49 minutes with you, which is give you
- $165\ 00{:}08{:}30.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32.940$  a brief background on what is implementation science
- $166\ 00:08:32.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.830$  and why should we care.
- $167\ 00:08:34.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.840$  Then do what Donna asked me to do,
- $168\ 00{:}08{:}36.840 {\: --> \:} 00{:}08{:}39.390$  which is talk about opportunities and priorities
- $169\ 00:08:39.390 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.160$  in implementation science at NCI.

- $170\ 00{:}08{:}41.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}44.010$  So I'm really hoping to wet your appetite in this field
- 171 00:08:44.010 --> 00:08:46.080 and then hoping that you will reach out to me
- $172\ 00:08:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.940$  or any folks on my team
- $173\ 00:08:47.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.110$  to take advantage of these opportunities
- $174\ 00:08:51.180 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.360$  and where our priorities may align with yours.
- $175\ 00:08:54.360 --> 00:08:59.160$  Great to explore ways to collaborate
- $176\ 00:08:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.280$  and synergize those efforts.
- 177 00:09:02.280 --> 00:09:04.410 And then finally, how can you learn more?
- $178\ 00:09:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.010$  So on the next slide, just starting with
- $179\ 00:09:08.010$  --> 00:09:10.923 what is implementation science and why we should care?
- $180\ 00{:}09{:}12.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.270$  So first wanted to start with just simple definitions
- $181\ 00{:}09{:}15.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}19.440$  on the next slide, which is distinguishing the difference
- $182\ 00:09:19.440$  --> 00:09:22.830 between implementation science from implementation practice.
- 183 00:09:22.830 --> 00:09:24.240 And I think in my,
- 184 00:09:24.240 --> 00:09:26.730 I guess it's a little more than eight years
- $185\ 00:09:26.730 --> 00:09:29.310$  that I've been a program director on the team
- $186\ 00:09:29.310 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.440$  and speaking with PIs,
- $187\ 00:09:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.870$  I've realized sometimes this distinction
- $188\ 00:09:33.870 --> 00:09:36.150$  is not always clear upfront.
- $189\ 00{:}09{:}36.150 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}09{:}39.330$  So implementation practice is simply using interventions
- $190\ 00:09:39.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.280$  in healthcare and public health settings.
- 191 00:09:41.280 --> 00:09:42.600 And perhaps, I shouldn't say simply
- $192\ 00:09:42.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.090$  because that's complicated as well,
- $193\ 00:09:45.090$  --> 00:09:48.600 but implementation science is focused on studying the use
- $194\ 00:09:48.600 --> 00:09:50.820$  of those interventions in healthcare

 $195~00{:}09{:}50.820$  -->  $00{:}09{:}55.050$  and public health settings and specifically studying methods

 $196\ 00:09:55.050$  --> 00:09:58.230 and strategies to promote the uptake, the adoption,

 $197\ 00:09:58.230 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.633$  and integration of that evidence into practice.

 $198~00:10:02.880 \dashrightarrow 00:10:06.210$  So why should we care about studying those things?

 $199\ 00:10:06.210 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.330$  Why do we need to focus on those methods and strategies?

 $200\ 00:10:09.330 --> 00:10:11.460$  So on the next slide, I highlight an example

201 00:10:11.460 --> 00:10:14.070 that shouldn't come as a surprise to anyone.

 $202\ 00:10:14.070 --> 00:10:17.280$  I think COVID has really highlighted the importance

203 00:10:17.280 --> 00:10:19.290 of thinking about implementation.

 $204\ 00:10:19.290$  --> 00:10:22.230 It's not enough to just think about what intervention.

205 00:10:22.230 --> 00:10:25.740 So we saw with COVID that it wasn't enough

 $206\ 00:10:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.930$  to just come up with a vaccine.

 $207~00{:}10{:}27.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}32.790$  While that was phenomenal and I mean just an amazing feat

 $208\ 00{:}10{:}32.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37.080$  of science that we had these highly effective vaccines.

 $209\ 00{:}10{:}37.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41.250$  As you see on the next slide, and as you well remember,

 $210\ 00:10:41.250 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.653$  the rollout was slow and complicated.

 $211\ 00:10:45.180 --> 00:10:48.480$  Anxiety was growing, shots were slow to reach arms

 $212\ 00:10:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.480$  as those final steps of ensuring vaccine delivery

 $213\ 00:10:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.283$  were left to be leaguered states.

214 00:10:54.360 --> 00:10:56.100 And I think on the next slide,

 $215\ 00{:}10{:}56.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}58.920$  you'll see that Dr. Ashish Jha, who's now dean

 $216\ 00{:}10{:}58.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01.680$  of the Brown University School of Public Health

 $217\ 00:11:01.680 \dashrightarrow 00:11:04.200$  really nicely articulated the problem.

- $218\ 00{:}11{:}04.200 --> 00{:}11{:}07.200$  And that was that the federal government saw their role
- $219\ 00:11:07.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.510$  as getting vaccines to the states
- $220\ 00{:}11{:}09.510$  -->  $00{:}11{:}12.000$  without considering what support states would need
- $221\ 00:11:12.000 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.860$  to get vaccines to the people.
- 222 00:11:13.860 --> 00:11:15.990 And this type of problem, as you all know,
- $223\ 00:11:15.990 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.253$  is not unique to COVID-19.
- $224\ 00:11:20.073 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.350$  So on the next slide, you'll see that the promise
- $225\ 00{:}11{:}22.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}25.800$  of any effective innovation that our science delivers
- $226\ 00:11:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.020$  can only be fully realized through its use
- $227\ 00{:}11{:}28.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.070$  by a range of stakeholders and attending to a range
- $228\ 00:11:32.070 --> 00:11:34.890$  of different types of barriers held by those stakeholders.
- 229 00:11:34.890 --> 00:11:37.470 So while the vaccine was highly desired,
- 230 00:11:37.470 --> 00:11:39.060 there was still a significant portion,
- $231\ 00:11:39.060 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.060$  and continues to be a significant portion of the population,
- $232\ 00:11:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.740$  who continues to refuse to take it.
- 233 00:11:43.740 --> 00:11:48.740 So how do we deliver our innovations most effectively?
- 234 00:11:49.710 --> 00:11:52.800 Is it, A, not thinking about implementation?
- 235 00:11:52.800 --> 00:11:55.260 B, thinking about implementation with a plan
- 236 00:11:55.260 --> 00:11:57.000 informed by intuition?
- $237\ 00:11:57.000 --> 00:11:58.710$  Or C, having a plan informed
- $238\ 00{:}11{:}58.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01.653$  by empirical evidence, the signs of implementation?
- 239 00:12:02.790 --> 00:12:04.920 So too often we don't focus on thinking about
- 240 00:12:04.920 --> 00:12:07.020 and generating the necessary evidence
- $241\ 00:12:07.020 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.673$  to inform implementation.
- $242\ 00{:}12{:}10.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}13.860$  And on the next slide, I think this won't be new to you,

- $243\ 00:12:13.860 --> 00:12:16.110$  but what this slide illustrates is what happens
- $244\ 00{:}12{:}16.110 \longrightarrow 00{:}12{:}19.080$  if we don't also focus on implementation.
- $245\ 00:12:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.160$  And Donna's center highlights this as well, noting this gap.
- 246 00:12:23.160 --> 00:12:27.120 But what this displays to give you the story
- $247\ 00{:}12{:}27.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31.260$  behind that 17 year gap, these are findings of a study
- $248\ 00:12:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.020$  from  $2000\ \text{by}$  Andrew Balas and Sue Boren
- $249\ 00{:}12{:}34.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}37.200$  that asked the question, let's assume that the end product
- $250\ 00{:}12{:}37.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40.170$  of our research is simply a high-impact publication,
- $251\ 00:12:40.170 --> 00:12:43.350$  an RCT on the effectiveness of an innovation.
- $252\ 00:12:43.350 --> 00:12:46.080$  What happens next if we're not thinking about implementation
- $253\ 00:12:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.760$  and not attending to it?
- $254\ 00:12:47.760 --> 00:12:49.800$  So what you see in the middle of the slide
- $255\ 00{:}12{:}49.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}52.710$  is the rough publication pathway from publication
- 256 00:12:52.710 --> 00:12:55.620 of our original research to implementation,
- $257\ 00:12:55.620 --> 00:12:59.730$  which they defined in this paper as 50% uptake.
- $258\ 00{:}12{:}59.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01.800$  So on the left side of the slide you see all the ways
- $259\ 00{:}13{:}01.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}05.190$  we lose valuable evidence and on the right side
- $260\ 00:13:05.190 --> 00:13:06.990$  it estimates about how long it takes
- $261\ 00:13:06.990 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.870$  to get through each one of these steps.
- 262 00:13:09.870 --> 00:13:12.090 And on the next slide, you see the punchline
- $263\ 00:13:12.090 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.630$  that it takes 17 years for only 14%
- $264\ 00:13:15.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.510$  of original research to benefit patients.
- $265\ 00:13:18.510 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.190$  So it shouldn't be the case that so little
- $266\ 00:13:20.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.900$  takes so long and that's the time

 $267\ 00:13:21.900 --> 00:13:24.870$  to reach just half of the people who could benefit.

 $268\ 00:13:24.870 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.583$  We need to do a better job.

269 00:13:27.510 --> 00:13:30.780 And on the next slide, just wanted to mention,

 $270\ 00:13:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.430$  Balas and Boren looked at a range

271 00:13:32.430 --> 00:13:33.510 of healthcare interventions,

 $272\ 00:13:33.510 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.730$  but specifically for cancer control,

 $273\ 00:13:35.730 --> 00:13:37.620$  this time lag is not much better,

274 00:13:37.620 --> 00:13:39.783 as you can see from this recent review.

275 00:13:42.900 --> 00:13:44.250 So on the next slide,

 $276\ 00:13:44.250 --> 00:13:47.340$  and as you saw with the COVID-19 vaccine example,

 $277\ 00{:}13{:}47.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}49.170$  the problem really goes beyond the strength

 $278\ 00:13:49.170 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.300$  of the evidence for effectiveness.

 $279\ 00{:}13{:}51.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.790$  An intervention is going to be only as good as how

 $280\ 00{:}13{:}53.790$  -->  $00{:}13{:}56.400$  and whether it is adopted by the different systems

 $281\ 00:13:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.490$  within different communities and that we can identify

 $282\ 00{:}13{:}59.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01.920$  the relevant practitioners who can then be trained

 $283\ 00:14:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.470$  to deliver the intervention.

 $284\ 00:14:04.470 --> 00:14:07.380$  But we can't stop with training and education.

 $285\ 00:14:07.380 --> 00:14:08.970$  I've often seen a lot of applications

286 00:14:08.970 --> 00:14:10.560 that are simply focused on training,

 $287\ 00{:}14{:}10.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13.140$  thinking education will be enough, but we have a history

288 00:14:13.140 --> 00:14:15.390 and we know this, that training is not enough,

289 00:14:15.390 --> 00:14:17.220 because we have a history of training providers

 $290\ 00{:}14{:}17.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20.010$  where there isn't then a way to come back from that training

291 00:14:20.010 --> 00:14:23.070 and incorporate the intervention into routine practice.

- $292\ 00:14:23.070 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.710$  So we need to think about the barriers to doing that,
- $293~00{:}14{:}25.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.800$  attending to those, and making sure we also consider
- $294\ 00:14:28.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.090$  the needed supports to ensure that delivery and integration.
- $295~00{:}14{:}33.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}35.610$  And we need to make sure that once those trained providers
- $296\ 00:14:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.830$  are able to deliver the intervention
- 297 00:14:37.830 --> 00:14:39.810 and have the needed supports,
- 298 00:14:39.810 --> 00:14:41.790 that they can also reach all those people
- 299 00:14:41.790 --> 00:14:43.690 who could potentially benefit from it.
- 300 00:14:44.940 --> 00:14:46.137 So on the next slide,
- 301 00:14:46.137 --> 00:14:48.990 and I don't believe this is the next slide,
- $302\ 00:14:48.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.843$  the next slide is, even one more,
- $303\ 00:14:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.650$  even if we get halfway there at each of these steps,
- $304~00{:}14{:}55.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58.800$  not accounting for issues with access, adherence, dosage,
- $305\ 00{:}14{:}58.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01.710$  and maintenance, we are down to just a fraction
- 306~00:15:01.710 --> 00:15:03.480 of the benefit that we thought we were going to have
- $307~00{:}15{:}03.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05.850$  with that promising intervention.
- 308~00:15:05.850 --> 00:15:07.830 And we need to make sure that we don't assume these steps
- $309\ 00:15:07.830 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.573$  are going to happen by themselves.
- $310\ 00:15:11.760 --> 00:15:13.770$  So how can we accelerate the time it takes
- 311 00:15:13.770 --> 00:15:15.570 for our evidence to be implemented?
- 312 00:15:15.570 --> 00:15:19.050 On the next slide, you can see here,
- $313\ 00:15:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.840$  on the left side, you see effective interventions
- $314\ 00:15:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.930$  such as vaccines, technologies, and treatments.
- 315 00:15:24.930 --> 00:15:26.970 On the right side of the slide, you see our goal
- $316\ 00{:}15{:}26.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29.910$  to decrease the burden of disease or cancer.

- $317\ 00:15:29.910 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.730$  And in the middle, you see some reasons why there's a gap
- $318\ 00:15:32.730 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.620$  from the intervention to its intended effect.
- $319\ 00:15:34.620 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.140$  It's that challenge of implementation.
- $320\ 00{:}15{:}37.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40.590$  So interventions are often underused and overused
- $321\ 00:15:40.590 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.570$  and this has been highlighted during the COVID pandemic,
- $322\ 00:15:42.570 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.390$  of course, but as similarly true as those of you
- $323\ 00:15:45.390 \dashrightarrow 00:15:48.450$  in chronic diseases, it being known this is also true
- $324\ 00:15:48.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.800$  for cancer control measures
- $325\ 00:15:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.530$  and other chronic disease measures.
- $326\ 00:15:52.530 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.570$  And some reasons for that implementation gap
- $327\ 00{:}15{:}54.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57.780$  include insufficient training, infrastructure, governance,
- $328\ 00:15:57.780 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.900$  and policies to provide the needed supports
- $329\ 00:16:00.900 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.000$  to deliver interventions.
- $330\ 00:16:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.833$  On the next slide,
- 331 00:16:03.833 --> 00:16:07.920 you can see that through implementation science
- $332\ 00{:}16{:}07.920 \to 00{:}16{:}11.220$  we can understand those implementation barriers.
- $333\ 00:16:11.220 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.100$  and develop, and importantly develop and test,
- $334\ 00:16:14.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.280$  strategies to overcome those barriers.
- $335\ 00:16:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.220$  So on the final slide, on the next slide,
- $336\ 00:16:20.220$  --> 00:16:25.220 final slide of this picture, if you advance one more,
- $337\ 00:16:25.830 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.923$  how do we know if those strategies are working?
- $338\ 00:16:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.420$  As you will find out, here we go.
- $339\ 00:16:33.420 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.270$  Those strategies should improve the feasibility

- 340 00:16:36.270 --> 00:16:38.880 and acceptability of an intervention.
- $341\ 00:16:38.880 --> 00:16:40.980$  Ensure delivery is cost-effective
- $342\ 00:16:40.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.850$  and can reach as many people as possible, ensure fidelity
- $343\ 00:16:44.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.220$  so that the intervention works as it's intended,
- $344\ 00:16:47.220 --> 00:16:49.590$  that we can see high rates of uptake
- $345\ 00:16:49.590 --> 00:16:51.930$  and sustain the intervention over time.
- $346~00{:}16{:}51.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54.510$  So these are really critical outcomes that we seek
- $347\ 00:16:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.580$  to advance through implementation science
- $348\ 00:16:56.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.330$  and that our strategies are intended to improve,
- $349\ 00{:}17{:}00.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}04.470$  to ensure that we can promote the adoption and integration
- $350\ 00:17:04.470 --> 00:17:06.490$  of interventions into practice
- $351\ 00:17:07.380 --> 00:17:09.300$  by developing and testing strategies
- $352\ 00:17:09.300 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.580$  to advance these implementation outcomes,
- $353\ 00:17:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.860$  and generating evidence on these strategies
- $354~00:17:13.860 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.980$  which can improve our ability to ultimately decrease
- $355\ 00:17:16.980 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.940$  the burden of cancer
- $356\ 00:17:17.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.553$  through effective data-driven implementation.
- $357\ 00{:}17{:}21.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}23.910$  On the next slide, I just wanted to give you an example
- $358\ 00:17:23.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.833$  of what this looks like in practice.
- 359 00:17:27.266 --> 00:17:29.820 And I realize many of you may be interested
- $360\ 00:17:29.820 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.653$  in global health.
- $361~00{:}17{:}30.653 --> 00{:}17{:}35.340$  So I pulled two examples of studies that NCI funded
- 362 00:17:35.340 --> 00:17:38.790 and that's the example of testing a strategy,
- $363~00{:}17{:}38.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}42.810$  a general strategy, of task shifting to address the barrier
- $364\ 00:17:42.810 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.270$  of limited access to cancer control intervention.

 $365\ 00{:}17{:}45.270 --> 00{:}17{:}49.650$  So task shifting is a broad strategy to enhance access

366 00:17:49.650 --> 00:17:51.960 through decentralization of care,

 $367\,00{:}17{:}51.960 {\:\raisebox{---}{\text{---}}}> 00{:}17{:}55.440$  and we funded these two studies to test specific types

 $368~00{:}17{:}55.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}57.990$  of task-shifting strategies to increase the uptake

 $369\ 00:17:57.990 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.450$  of different cancer control interventions

 $370\ 00:18:00.450 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.670$  in two LMIC countries.

 $371\ 00{:}18{:}02.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}07.200$  So interestingly both found a threefold increase in uptake

372 00:18:07.200 --> 00:18:09.240 with these two different approaches,

 $373\ 00:18:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.790$  suggesting that this strategy of task-shifting

374 00:18:11.790 --> 00:18:14.490 can be broadly effective to address a range

 $375\ 00{:}18{:}14.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}17.343$  of global cancer control implementation challenges.

376 00:18:19.890 --> 00:18:22.260 So on the next slide, I just wanna clarify

377 00:18:22.260 --> 00:18:24.870 that task shifting is one example,

 $378\ 00:18:24.870 --> 00:18:27.570$  but there are a whole host of implementation strategies

 $379\ 00:18:27.570 \dashrightarrow 00:18:30.540$  that have been identified, and tested, and developed,

 $380\ 00:18:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.060$  and studied in the literature,

381 00:18:33.060 --> 00:18:36.000 you see these nine categories,

 $382\ 00:18:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.700$  but these categories were actually grouping,

383 00:18:38.700 --> 00:18:42.390 I think it was about 73 different strategies

 $384\ 00:18:42.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.390$  that through a systematic review had been identified.

 $385~00{:}18{:}48.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}52.540$  And this paper from Byron Powell et al. in 2015

 $386\ 00:18:54.030 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.430$  talks about those 73 strategies

 $387\ 00:18:56.430 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.530$  and these different categories.

 $388\ 00:18:58.530 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.810$  But just to give you a sense of what these things are,

 $389\ 00:19:00.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.910$  these include things like strategies to educate

390 00:19:02.910 --> 00:19:05.253 and train practitioners, as I've mentioned,

 $391\ 00:19:06.300 --> 00:19:09.180$  as well as strategies to ensure that those practitioners

 $392\ 00:19:09.180 --> 00:19:13.140$  can incorporate the intervention into the workflow

393 00:19:13.140 --> 00:19:15.390 and integrate it into community settings,

 $394\ 00:19:15.390 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.680$  providing that interactive or technical assistance,

395 00:19:19.680 --> 00:19:21.903 strategies to support fidelity,

 $396~00:19:23.490 \dashrightarrow 00:19:25.920$  and other supports for clinicians.

 $397\ 00:19:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.120$  Also at the front end,

398 00:19:27.120 --> 00:19:31.290 really ensuring stakeholder buy-in, building relationships

 $399\ 00:19:31.290 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.250$  among stakeholders as needed, engaging consumers,

400 00:19:35.250 --> 00:19:39.090 and importantly, financial strategies,

 $401\ 00{:}19{:}39.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}41.190$  as well as thinking about whether there might be a need

 $402\ 00:19:41.190 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.820$  to change the infrastructure to deliver the intervention.

 $403\ 00{:}19{:}44.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}48.090$  So how would you select these range of strategies?

404 00:19:48.090 --> 00:19:50.460 And in your research proposals, in particular,

405 00:19:50.460 --> 00:19:54.630 it will be really dependent on what barriers

406 00:19:54.630 --> 00:19:56.640 you are seeking to overcome,

 $407\ 00:19:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.370$  what resources you are able to leverage,

 $408\ 00{:}19{:}59.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02.190$  and the critical implementers or other stakeholders

 $409\ 00:20:02.190 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.283$  who you are seeking to affect.

 $410\ 00{:}20{:}07.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.500$  So on the next slide you'll see in terms of thinking

 $411\ 00{:}20{:}10.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}15.030$  about who those stakeholders are in implementation science.

- $412\ 00:20:15.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.290$  we recognize that intervention and innovation delivery
- 413 00:20:19.290 --> 00:20:21.360 is really context-dependent
- $414\ 00:20:21.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.400$  and there are a range of multilevel factors
- $415\ 00:20:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.290$  that can influence that.
- 416 00:20:25.290 --> 00:20:26.913 And so on the next slide,
- $417\ 00:20:28.380 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.750$  engaging stakeholders at all of these levels.
- $418\ 00:20:30.750 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.270$  So thinking beyond that relationship between a provider
- 419 00:20:33.270 --> 00:20:36.600 and a consumer, but also taking into account
- $420\ 00:20:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.360$  the organization in which that provider is working,
- $421\ 00:20:39.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.850$  the community in which that organization exists,
- $422\ 00:20:41.850 --> 00:20:44.910$  and what higher-level policies may be needed
- $423\ 00:20:44.910 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.713$  to put in place in order for those things to be possible.
- $424\ 00:20:50.820$  --> 00:20:53.430 And when we've historically ignored these levels,
- $425\ 00:20:53.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.020$  we often leave out populations
- $426\ 00:20:55.020 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.760$  who don't have as good access to care.
- $427\ 00{:}20{:}56.760 --> 00{:}20{:}59.970$  So this is really critical in thinking about equity as well.
- 428 00:20:59.970 --> 00:21:02.130 How do we best get organizational change?
- $429\ 00:21:02.130 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.140$  How do we best get communities and states
- $430\ 00{:}21{:}04.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06.783$  to support implementation of these interventions?
- $431\ 00{:}21{:}07.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}10.260$  And so it is particularly important to engage stakeholders
- $432\ 00:21:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.540$  at all of these levels to build the evidence base
- $433\ 00:21:12.540 --> 00:21:15.273$  to support implementation at each of these levels.
- 434 00:21:16.800 --> 00:21:20.050 So on the next slide, I had mentioned earlier

- $435\ 00:21:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.660$  the distinction, how we define implementation science,
- $436\ 00{:}21{:}24.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28.710$  and the component parts of implementation science.
- $437\ 00:21:28.710 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.500$  So this is how we think of it at NCI
- $438\ 00:21:31.500 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.330$  and these definitions come from
- 439 00:21:33.330 --> 00:21:35.670 our trans-NIH funding opportunities,
- $440\ 00:21:35.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.070$  which I'll talk about in a few slides.
- 441 00:21:38.070 --> 00:21:40.170 But we see implementation science broadly
- $442\ 00:21:40.170 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.300$  as bridging the gap between research, and practice,
- 443 00:21:42.300 --> 00:21:44.820 and policy by building a knowledge base
- $444\ 00{:}21{:}44{:}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}47.640$  on how evidence can be most effectively communicated
- $445\ 00:21:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.890$  and integrated into practice.
- $446\ 00:21:49.890 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.400$  And so for the purposes of our funding announcements,
- $447\ 00{:}21{:}53.400 {\: -->\:} 00{:}21{:}55.830$  we break it down into these two different components
- $448\ 00:21:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.290$  where dissemination research is the study
- $449\ 00:21:58.290 --> 00:22:00.990$  of the targeted distribution of information
- $450\ 00:22:00.990 --> 00:22:04.140$  and how best to spread or sustain knowledge and evidence.
- 451 00:22:04.140 --> 00:22:06.330 Whereas implementation research is focused on
- $452\ 00:22:06.330 --> 00:22:09.750$  what strategies can best facilitate the adoption
- $453\ 00{:}22{:}09.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}12.603$  and integration of evidence into a given practice
- $454\ 00:22:13.770 --> 00:22:15.750$  So on the next slide, I just wanna break down
- $455\ 00:22:15.750 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.583$  a little bit further.
- $456\ 00{:}22{:}16.583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19.980$  The goal of dissemination research is really to understand
- $457\ 00{:}22{:}19.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.310$  how, when, by whom, and under what circumstances

- $458\ 00:22:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.270$  evidence most effectively spreads focusing on all the stages
- $459\ 00:22:27.270 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.150$  of evidence from its creation to its reception.
- $460~00:22:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.850$  And these are important steps that we often jump over.
- 461 00:22:34.410 --> 00:22:35.973 So in the next slide,
- $462\ 00:22:36.900 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.570$  focusing more on the implementation research
- $463\ 00{:}22{:}39.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.650$  and what we try to draw contrast from what you typically see
- $464\ 00:22:43.650 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.430$  in effectiveness trials, which tend to focus on the what,
- $465\ 00:22:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.460$  what intervention can improve health outcomes?
- $466\ 00:22:50.460 --> 00:22:52.770$  And most studies assume that if we focus on the what
- $467\ 00:22:52.770 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.900$  we will get the answers that we need,
- $468\ 00:22:54.900 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.600$  what do we need to do for the these individuals
- $469\ 00:22:57.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.170$  in this population to improve a range of health outcomes?
- $470\ 00:23:01.170 --> 00:23:03.930$  But we often jump over, through doing this,
- $471\ 00:23:03.930 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.150$  we jump over this important middle
- $472\ 00:23:06.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.430$  which is seen on the next slide.
- 473 00:23:08.430 --> 00:23:11.070 And that's the question of how,
- $474\ 00:23:11.070 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.860$  how can we ensure those interventions are delivered?
- $475\ 00:23:13.860 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.590$  So what are those implementation strategies
- 476 00:23:16.590 --> 00:23:18.690 that will support our ability
- 477 00:23:18.690 --> 00:23:20.580 to deliver those interventions?
- $478\ 00{:}23{:}20.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}23.460$  And you can see here in the implementation outcomes
- 479 00:23:23.460 --> 00:23:25.230 that I had mentioned earlier,
- $480\ 00:23:25.230 --> 00:23:27.030$  those outcomes that those strategies
- $481\ 00:23:27.030 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.890$  are intended to improve.

- $482\ 00:23:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.590$  And so how do we know those strategies are working,
- $483\ 00{:}23{:}31.590 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}23{:}33.930$  in implementation science we're really focused on
- 484 00:23:33.930 --> 00:23:36.960 understanding what strategies, what methods
- $485\ 00:23:36.960 --> 00:23:39.460$  can improve implementation
- $486\ 00:23:43.140 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.200$  and focusing on the implementation of something
- $487\ 00:23:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.460$  that is evidence-based.
- $488\ 00:23:47.460 --> 00:23:51.900$  So for those of you who are thinking about implementation,
- $489\ 00:23:51.900 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.060$  it's very important that this really is the science
- 490 00:23:54.060 --> 00:23:56.760 of implementing evidence.
- $491\ 00{:}23{:}56.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00.660$  It's also increasingly I brought up deimplementation.
- 492 00:24:00.660 --> 00:24:02.820 We're also interested in thinking about
- $493\ 00{:}24{:}02.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}05.130$  where it may not be an evidence-based intervention,
- 494 00:24:05.130 --> 00:24:06.690 but something is being implemented
- $495\ 00:24:06.690 --> 00:24:09.270$  that is not evidence-based, then you would flip it,
- $496\ 00:24:09.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.610$  and it would be implementation strategies
- 497 00:24:11.610 --> 00:24:14.163 to reduce the use of those things.
- 498 00:24:15.930 --> 00:24:17.730 Just wanted to mention that as an aside
- $499\ 00:24:17.730 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.660$  because that is something
- $500\ 00:24:18.660 --> 00:24:20.703$  that we are also very interested in.
- $501~00{:}24{:}21.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25.350$  So by focusing here we see this knock on benefit
- $502\ 00{:}24{:}25.350$  -->  $00{:}24{:}28.233$  of improving service outcomes and health outcomes.
- 503 00:24:30.090 --> 00:24:33.450 So, on the next slide,
- $504\ 00{:}24{:}33.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36.090$  just reiterating what we mean by those strategies,

- 505 00:24:36.090 --> 00:24:38.490 it's really developing and testing,
- $506~00{:}24{:}38.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}41.940$  here are a range of strategies that have been studied
- $507\ 00:24:41.940 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.390$  but focusing again on that question of what are the barriers
- $508~00{:}24{:}45.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48.210$  that you're observing for your evidence-based intervention
- 509 00:24:48.210 --> 00:24:50.250 or innovation, whatever it is that you're trying
- $510~00{:}24{:}50.250$  -->  $00{:}24{:}54.540$  to implement, understanding why it's not being implemented
- $511~00{:}24{:}54.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57.840$  or perhaps in some cases, you see in certain places
- 512 00:24:57.840 --> 00:24:59.280 it's being implemented very well
- $513\ 00:24:59.280 --> 00:25:01.430$  and you wanna understand why that might be,
- $514\ 00:25:03.300 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.180$  and then trying to overcome those barriers.
- 515 00:25:06.180 --> 00:25:09.570 So on the next couple slides I just wanna mention
- 516 00:25:09.570 --> 00:25:12.510 that in implementation science,
- $517\ 00:25:12.510 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.330$  a lot of this work really hinges on theories, frameworks,
- $518\ 00:25:15.330 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.163$  and models.
- 519 00:25:16.163 --> 00:25:18.030 So I'm gonna review just a few.
- 520 00:25:18.030 --> 00:25:19.890 And the reason why these are valuable
- $521\ 00:25:19.890$  --> 00:25:22.590 and as an epidemiologist, I was not trained in theories,
- $522\ 00{:}25{:}22.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25.140$  frameworks, and models, but I've come to appreciate,
- $523~00{:}25{:}25.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}27.990$  I mean we learned about DAGs, the directed acyclic graphs
- $524\ 00:25:27.990 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.590$  because we need some sort of basis to inform
- $525\ 00{:}25{:}31.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.343$  what variables we include in our regression models.
- 526 00:25:36.900 --> 00:25:38.610 But as Donna mentioned,
- 527 00:25:38.610 --> 00:25:40.830 this is also very much a social sciences,

- $528\ 00{:}25{:}40.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}44.100$  behavioral science, and implementation science
- 529 00:25:44.100 --> 00:25:46.230 is truly a transdisciplinary science,
- 530~00:25:46.230 --> 00:25:51.150 and I think epidemiologists are a real asset for the field.
- 531 00:25:51.150 --> 00:25:53.130 But in terms of thinking about,
- $532\ 00:25:53.130 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.560$  in terms of developing measurement methods,
- $533\ 00:25:55.560 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.410$  but in terms of understanding what are those barriers,
- $534\ 00:25:58.410 --> 00:26:01.080$  I think that that's where social and behavioral scientists
- $535\ 00:26:01.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.253$  can really help us.
- $536\ 00:26:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.340$  Through these theories and frameworks
- $537\ 00:26:05.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.590$  is understanding what are those drivers.
- $538~00{:}26{:}07.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11.700$  So here you see one of the oldest theories in the field,
- 539 00:26:11.700 --> 00:26:14.310 Roger's Diffusion of Innovations theory,
- 540 00:26:14.310 --> 00:26:16.110 which actually comes from agronomy.
- 541 00:26:16.110 --> 00:26:18.177 And in fact, because implementation science
- 542 00:26:18.177 --> 00:26:20.520 and health is a relatively new field,
- $543\ 00{:}26{:}20.520$  -->  $00{:}26{:}23.610$  a lot of our theories, and frameworks, and methods
- $544\ 00:26:23.610 --> 00:26:26.280$  are borrowed from other fields.
- $545~00{:}26{:}26{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30{.}240$  And so in this case, what Everett Rogers highlighted
- $546\ 00:26:30.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.240$  was that what influences our ability to adopt and deliver
- $547~00{:}26{:}37.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}41.280$  is not just the characteristics of the intervention itself
- 548 00:26:41.280 --> 00:26:43.590 but also the organizational characteristics,
- $549\ 00:26:43.590 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.480$  the environmental context.
- $550~00{:}26{:}45{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}48.570$  And those are the types of things that can influence

- $551\ 00:26:48.570 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.740$  or impede our ability to adopt and implement something.
- $552~00{:}26{:}52.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}57.090$  So on the next slide, this is the consolidated framework
- $553\ 00:26:57.090 --> 00:26:58.650$  for implementation research.
- $554\ 00:26:58.650 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.830$  Those of you who are less new to the field
- $555\ 00:27:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.140$  I'm sure are very familiar with this.
- $556\ 00:27:04.140 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.140$  But this was actually developed in 2009
- $557~00{:}27{:}07.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09.590$  from Laura Dan Schroeder at the VA and colleagues
- $558~00{:}27{:}10.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}15.650$  where they identified a range of constructs of categories,
- $559\ 00:27:16.590 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.700$  which you can see are similar
- 560 00:27:17.700 --> 00:27:19.770 to what Everett Rogers laid out.
- $561\ 00:27:19.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.470$  There's the inner setting, and the outer setting,
- $562\ 00:27:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.330$  as well as the intervention characteristics.
- $563\ 00:27:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.460$  And I realize this graph is a little bit confusing
- $564~00{:}27{:}26.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28.470$  so I'm gonna take a moment to walk through it
- $565\ 00:27:28.470 --> 00:27:31.920$  'cause I actually think it's popular for a reason.
- $566~00:27:31.920 \dashrightarrow 00:27:36.360$  I think they really do a great job of describing the range
- 567~00:27:36.360 --> 00:27:41.220 of constructs that can influence our ability to implement.
- $568\ 00:27:41.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.370$  And a few things that they add
- 569 00:27:44.370 --> 00:27:46.230 to what Everett Rogers had posited,
- $570\ 00:27:46.230 --> 00:27:49.050$  as you see on the left side of the slide,
- $571\ 00:27:49.050 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.630$  the intervention as unadapted.
- 572 00:27:51.630 --> 00:27:53.460 And so we think of interventions
- $573\ 00:27:53.460 --> 00:27:55.110$  as having their core components
- 574 00:27:55.110 --> 00:27:58.230 and that's what you need to ensure fidelity.
- 575 00:27:58.230 --> 00:28:00.720 But there's also an adaptable periphery

- 576 00:28:00.720 --> 00:28:02.820 and on the left side, you see it doesn't quite fit
- $577\ 00:28:02.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.563$  There's some white space there.
- 578 00:28:05.520 --> 00:28:07.470 But this bottom part that they added
- 579 00:28:07.470 --> 00:28:11.880 is this critical iterative process of planning,
- $580\ 00:28:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.880$  of engaging stakeholders, of implementing and iterating,
- 581 00:28:16.890 --> 00:28:19.800 of assessing your ability to implement
- $582\ 00:28:19.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.810$  and testing those strategies.
- 583~00:28:21.810 --> 00:28:25.080 The hope is that you can get to the right side of the slide
- $584\ 00:28:25.080 --> 00:28:28.290$  where now you have an adapted intervention
- 585 00:28:28.290 --> 00:28:30.720 that fits much better into the context
- $586\ 00:28:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.040$  in which it is delivered.
- $587\ 00:28:32.040 --> 00:28:35.610$  Understanding that both that inner and outer context
- $588\ 00:28:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.900$  are critical.
- $589\ 00{:}28{:}36{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39{.}420$  And what's really nice is there's a whole website
- $590\ 00:28:39.420 --> 00:28:42.780$  for CFIR that describes all of these constructs
- $591\ 00:28:42.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.030$  and they're also SIRC,
- $592~00{:}28{:}46.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}50.490$  the Society for Implementation Research Collaboration,
- $593\ 00:28:50.490 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.730$  they've created, unfortunately it's behind a paywall,
- $594\ 00:28:53.730 --> 00:28:56.190$  but there are a whole host of measures
- 595 00:28:56.190 --> 00:28:58.620 that have been developed, validated,
- $596~00{:}28{:}58.620$  -->  $00{:}29{:}01.950$  and tested to measure these constructs as well.
- $597\ 00:29:01.950 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.210$  So I think that's one reason
- $598\ 00:29:03.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.490$  why this is very popular framework.
- $599~00{:}29{:}05.490$  -->  $00{:}29{:}07.560$  You don't have to invent these things from scratch.

- $600\ 00{:}29{:}07.560 {\:-->\:} 00{:}29{:}10.710$  There are existing tools to measure these constructs
- $601\ 00:29:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.110$  to understand what those barriers are
- 602 00:29:13.110 --> 00:29:15.570 and perhaps what their relative weights are
- $603\ 00:29:15.570 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.980$  to help you decide on
- $604\ 00:29:16.980 --> 00:29:19.173$  where you can most appropriately intervene.
- $605\ 00:29:20.010 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.443$  So on the next slide, last, oh
- $606\ 00:29:27.300 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.760$  and I wanted to mention also the re-aim framework,
- $607\ 00:29:29.760 --> 00:29:32.520$  which is another popular framework in the field.
- $608\ 00:29:32.520 --> 00:29:34.860$  It's often been used as an evaluation framework,
- $609\ 00:29:34.860 --> 00:29:37.310$  but I think it nicely highlights what are the key questions
- $610\ 00:29:37.310 --> 00:29:38.700$  in implementation science.
- 611 00:29:38.700 --> 00:29:40.860 So beyond the effectiveness,
- 612 00:29:40.860 --> 00:29:43.680 how do I know my intervention is effective?
- $613\ 00:29:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.880$  It's also focusing on
- 614 00:29:44.880 --> 00:29:46.950 how do I develop organizational support
- $615~00{:}29{:}46.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}50.130$  to deliver my intervention, the implementation,
- $616~00{:}29{:}50.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}54.030$  how do I ensure the intervention is delivered properly
- $617\ 00:29:54.030 \dashrightarrow 00:29:56.940$  and that maintenance, how do I incorporate the intervention
- $618\ 00:29:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.520$  so it is delivered over the long term?
- $619\ 00{:}29{:}59.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}02.340$  And finally, how do I reach the targeted population?
- $620\ 00:30:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.450$  So re-aim is another framework in the field
- $621\ 00:30:06.450 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.740$  that was developed for the field
- $622\ 00:30:07.740 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.670$  and also has a website with extensive guidance
- $623\ 00:30:11.670 --> 00:30:15.330$  on the use of this framework and measures.
- $624\ 00:30:15.330 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.330$  So on the next slide, I wanted to move now

- $625\ 00:30:20.670 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.640$  to what opportunities and priorities
- $626\ 00:30:23.640 --> 00:30:25.893$  in implementation science at NCI.
- $627~00{:}30{:}27.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}30{:}810$  So first starting with the trans-NIH funding opportunities
- $628\ 00:30:30.810 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.933$  that NCI leads on the next slide.
- $629\ 00:30:35.010 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.200$  And so these are called the Dissemination
- 630 00:30:37.200 --> 00:30:40.020 and Implementation Research in Health,
- $631~00{:}30{:}40.020$  -->  $00{:}30{:}43.110$  PAR, that's program announcement with review.
- $632\ 00:30:43.110 --> 00:30:46.470$  So that R is that dedicated study section.
- $633\ 00:30:46.470 --> 00:30:48.720$  If folks were here at the very beginning of the call
- $634\ 00:30:48.720 --> 00:30:52.080$  when Melinda and I were talking about review committees.
- $635\ 00{:}30{:}52.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}56.010$  So applications submitted to these funding opportunities
- 636 00:30:56.010 --> 00:30:58.860 which do include 22 institute centers
- $637\ 00:30:58.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.140$  and offices across the NIH
- $638\ 00:31:01.140 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.140$  participate in these funding opportunities.
- $639\ 00{:}31{:}04.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07.050\ I$  believe I can share with you that while they will,
- $640\ 00{:}31{:}07.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}10.860$  the current versions that are published expire in May, 2022,
- $641\ 00:31:10.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.500$  you can expect that those will be renewed.
- 642 00:31:13.500 --> 00:31:15.003 So those will continue.
- $643\ 00:31:16.290 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.140$  And to date, we've funded well over 300 grants
- $644\ 00:31:19.140 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.890$  across the NIH just in the last decade
- $645\ 00:31:22.890 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.050$  through these funding opportunities.
- 646 00:31:25.050 --> 00:31:27.420 And that review committee I mentioned,
- $647\ 00{:}31{:}27.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}31.860$  it used to be called DIRH, our Center for Scientific Review
- 648 00:31:31.860 --> 00:31:35.580 at the NIH recently went through a process
- 649 00:31:35.580 --> 00:31:37.740 of reviewing the study sections

- 650 00:31:37.740 --> 00:31:40.230 and coming up with new study sections
- $651\ 00{:}31{:}40.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}43.260$  or revising somewhat how the study sections are
- $652\ 00:31:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.760$  to stay up to date with the science.
- $653~00{:}31{:}44.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}48.330$  And this is a process CSR goes through periodically.
- 654 00:31:48.330 --> 00:31:52.050 So now DIRH has become SIHH,
- $655\ 00{:}31{:}52.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}54.240$  which stands for the Science of Implementation
- $656\ 00:31:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.280$  in Health and Healthcare.
- $657\ 00{:}31{:}56.280 {\: -->\:} 00{:}31{:}59.280$  There are an additional four review committees
- $658\ 00:31:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.880$  that were newly created that have overlapping interest
- 659 00:32:02.880 --> 00:32:05.070 with implementation science,
- $660\ 00:32:05.070 \dashrightarrow 00:32:07.860$  which basically means just additional expertise.
- $661\ 00:32:07.860 \dashrightarrow 00:32:11.340$  So if you submit through these funding opportunities,
- 662 00:32:11.340 --> 00:32:13.770 but for some reason, it is not assigned to SIHH,
- $663\ 00:32:14.910 --> 00:32:16.800$  there can be a few reasons why that might happen.
- $664\ 00:32:16.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.760$  Feel free to reach out to me
- $665\ 00:32:17.760 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.760$  and we can talk about that another time.
- $666~00{:}32{:}21.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}25.560$  But all of these committees should have the capacity
- $667\ 00:32:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.720$  to review implementation science grants.
- $668~00{:}32{:}27.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}30.630$  And just also as a side note, for those of you familiar
- $669\ 00:32:30.630 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.930$  with the review committee, SIHH is almost entirely
- $670\ 00{:}32{:}33.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}37.380$  the same members as DIRH, the chair is the same.
- $671\ 00{:}32{:}37.380 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}32{:}40.323$  So it has been a pretty seamless transition.

- 672 00:32:41.370 --> 00:32:46.140 On the next slide, for those of you unfamiliar
- 673 00:32:46.140 --> 00:32:49.110 with these funding opportunities, I did want to highlight
- $674\ 00:32:49.110 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.210$  what the purpose of these are,
- $675\ 00:32:51.210 --> 00:32:55.230$  which is to support innovative approaches to identifying,
- $676\ 00:32:55.230 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.810$  understanding, and developing strategies
- $677\ 00{:}32{:}57.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}01.950$  for overcoming barriers to the adoption, adaptation,
- 678 00:33:01.950 --> 00:33:03.960 integration, scale-up, and sustainability
- $679\ 00:33:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.210$  of evidence-based interventions.
- $680\ 00:33:06.210 --> 00:33:08.220$  And I had mentioned earlier
- $681~00{:}33{:}08.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}10.080$  also an interest in de-implementation.
- $682\ 00{:}33{:}10.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}13.950$  So conversely, as we recognize there's a benefit
- $683\ 00{:}33{:}13.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}16.890$  in understanding circumstances that create a need to stop
- $684\ 00:33:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.220$  or reduce the use of interventions that are ineffective,
- 685 00:33:20.220 --> 00:33:22.293 unproven, low value, or harmful.
- 686 00:33:23.190 --> 00:33:24.420 And these funding opportunities,
- $687\ 00{:}33{:}24.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}27.210$  in addition to studying those strategies to implement
- $688\ 00{:}33{:}27.210$  -->  $00{:}33{:}32.210$  or de-implement, we also seek studies that advance methods
- $689\ 00:33:33.420 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.613$  in our field as well as measures.
- 690 00:33:36.930 --> 00:33:39.840 So on the next slide, I just wanted to give you
- 691 00:33:39.840 --> 00:33:41.820 some example research questions
- 692 00:33:41.820 --> 00:33:44.190 from the funding opportunities, but I do encourage you
- 693 00:33:44.190 --> 00:33:47.310 to take a look at these funding opportunities
- $694~00{:}33{:}47.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51.990$  and take a look again after, at the end of February
- 695 00:33:51.990 --> 00:33:54.330 when we hope that they will be reissued.

 $696~00{:}33{:}54.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}56.850$  So you can see slightly updated version of these,

 $697~00{:}33{:}56.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}01.260$  but essentially, these are focused on understanding

 $698\ 00:34:01.260 --> 00:34:04.320$  what factors influence the creation, packaging, transmission

 $699\ 00{:}34{:}04.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}06.870$  and reception of valid health research knowledge.

 $700\ 00:34:06.870 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.660$  That's the dissemination research questions

 $701~00{:}34{:}09.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}13.230$  as well as understanding how do we adapt our interventions

702 00:34:13.230 --> 00:34:16.650 to best fit within specific contexts or settings,

 $703\ 00:34:16.650 --> 00:34:20.370$  what strategies best support uptake in sustainability,

 $704\ 00{:}34{:}20.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}24.810$  also strategies to ensure scale-up and sustainability.

 $705\ 00:34:24.810 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.980$  And then finally, that de-implementation question.

 $706~00{:}34{:}28.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}32.730$  So on the next slide I just wanted to very briefly mention

707 00:34:32.730 --> 00:34:36.183 that there are, on our website,

 $708\ 00:34:37.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.760$  which I'll show you at the end,

 $709~00{:}34{:}38.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}42.480$  we do have examples of sample grant applications

 $710\ 00:34:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.210$  that have been successfully funded through these.

 $711\ 00:34:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.210$  And this is one of the most popular websites on our website

712 00:34:53.760 --> 00:34:57.540 because it's nice, not only do you have the abstract

713 00:34:57.540 --> 00:34:59.340 which anyone can access in reporter,

 $714\ 00:34:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.850$  but we had about a dozen investigators

 $715\ 00:35:02.850 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.060$  generously agree to have their full application,

 $716\ 00:35:06.060 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.520$  the research strategy, and specific aims

 $717\ 00:35:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.800$  also made available publicly.

 $718\ 00:35:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.350$  So you can see here and on the next slide

 $719\ 00:35:13.350 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.120$  a range of sample grants that are available

 $720\ 00:35:18.120 --> 00:35:22.410$  and that really are spanning a range of different topics

721 00:35:22.410 --> 00:35:26.073 from healthcare, public health, sustainability,

 $722\ 00:35:27.630 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.630$  de-implementation, both in global and domestic settings.

723 00:35:34.200 --> 00:35:37.380 So on the next slide, in terms of priorities,

724 00:35:37.380 --> 00:35:39.990 just generally, I would like to mention for those of you

 $725\,00:35:39.990 --> 00:35:44.990$  who aren't aware, well, NCI is the one institute at NIH

 $726\ 00:35:45.450 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.200$  that has a separate congressional line item since.

 $727\ 00:35:49.200 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.120$  and our director is a presidential appointee.

728 00:35:54.120 --> 00:35:57.540 And so because of that we do submit each year an annual plan

 $729\ 00{:}35{:}57.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00.930$  and budget proposal to Congress that needs to be approved.

 $730\ 00:36:00.930 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.930$  And so for this fiscal year that just ended,

731 00:36:06.480 --> 00:36:10.140 implementation science was recognized as a key priority area

732 00:36:10.140 --> 00:36:11.493 by the NCI.

 $733\ 00:36:12.930 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.970$  And on the next slide, you can see,

 $734\ 00:36:14.970 --> 00:36:17.040$  and I think this really launched interest

735 00:36:17.040 --> 00:36:21.720 across the divisions and centers within the NCI

736 00:36:21.720 --> 00:36:25.715 and so our NCI Center for Global Health,

 $737\ 00:36:25.715 --> 00:36:29.910$  they recently hired a new director

 $738\ 00{:}36{:}29.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}33.870$  and released this new strategic plan for the next four years

739 00:36:33.870 --> 00:36:36.510 where implementation science was also highlighted

740 00:36:36.510 --> 00:36:38.103 as a key priority area.

 $741\ 00:36:39.000 --> 00:36:43.170$  So on the next slide, I just wanted to mention this,

 $742\ 00{:}36{:}43.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}47.280$  this is a real opportunity as we are thinking across the NIH

 $743\ 00:36:47.280$  --> 00:36:50.940 and NCI of the importance of addressing inequities.

744 00:36:50.940 --> 00:36:54.990 And here the simple graph from the WHO really highlights

 $745\ 00:36:54.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.053$  how those global inequities in cancer control.

 $746\ 00:36:59.070 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.630$  And so on the next slide you can see, oh,

 $747\ 00:37:03.630$  --> 00:37:08.630 and so just as an aside, this was a systematic review

 $748\ 00:37:09.450 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.330$  not just in cancer but across a range of health issues

 $749\ 00:37:12.330 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.500$  focusing on studies that have been published

750 00:37:16.500 --> 00:37:19.200 on implementing health interventions in LMICs.

 $751\ 00:37:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.510$  And these weren't implementation studies necessarily,

 $752\ 00:37:21.510 --> 00:37:24.750$  these were just all studies in literature

753 00:37:24.750 --> 00:37:28.440 where they were looking at health interventions in LMICs.

 $754\ 00:37:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.890$  And what was interesting about this review

 $755~00{:}37{:}31.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}36.890$  is that relatively few, painfully few, only 14 studies.

 $756\ 00:37:37.530 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.750$  if you look at that smallest circle at the bottom,

 $757\ 00:37:39.750 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.750$  actually measured implementation outcomes.

 $758\ 00{:}37{:}42.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}46.390$  So really we saw this as a huge missed opportunity

759 00:37:48.060 --> 00:37:51.390 to be studying implementation in the context

 $760\ 00:37:51.390 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.373$  of global cancer control.

 $761\ 00:37:54.420 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.570$  And so on the next slide you can see that

 $762\ 00:37:58.570 --> 00:38:00.870$  the Center for Global Health

763 00:38:00.870  $\rightarrow$  00:38:04.110 has issued two funding opportunities.

 $764\ 00:38:04.110 --> 00:38:07.410$  One is a Notice of Special Interest for Dissemination

 $765~00{:}38{:}07.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}12.090$  and Implementation Science in Low Resource Environments.

766 00:38:12.090 --> 00:38:17.090 And those are, NOSIs are Notices of Special Interests

 $767\ 00:38:18.390 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.000$  that are tied to an existing funding opportunity.

 $768~00:38:21.000 \dashrightarrow 00:38:23.610$  So in this case, these are tied to those dissemination

 $769\ 00:38:23.610 --> 00:38:24.537$  and implementation research

 $770\ 00:38:24.537 --> 00:38:26.373$  and health program announcements.

 $771\ 00:38:27.540 --> 00:38:32.130$  And then most recently, the Center for Global Health

772 00:38:32.130 --> 00:38:37.130 issued a UO1 Clinical Trial Optional, Implementation Science

773 00:38:38.130 --> 00:38:40.860 for Cancer Control in People Living with HIV

774 00:38:40.860 --> 00:38:43.410 in Low and Middle-Income Countries.

775 00:38:43.410 --> 00:38:46.083 So given that Center for Global Health,

776 00:38:46.920 --> 00:38:48.480 one of their main strategic goals

 $777\ 00{:}38{:}48.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}52.350$  is to advance implementation science and cancer control

778 00:38:52.350 --> 00:38:54.060 in Low and Middle-Income countries,

779 00:38:54.060 --> 00:38:57.690 I would stay tuned for additional funding opportunities

 $780\ 00:38:57.690 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.683$  that may come out.

781 00:39:00.330 --> 00:39:03.840 And I'm happy to talk about any of these as well.

782 00:39:03.840 --> 00:39:07.170 So on the next slide I wanted to mention

 $783\ 00:39:07.170 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.800$  in addition to global and global is a part of this,

784 00:39:10.800 --> 00:39:14.220 the NCI launched a consortium

 $785\ 00:39:14.220 --> 00:39:16.110$  for cancer implementation science

786 00:39:16.110 --> 00:39:18.330 and I remember one of your colleagues,

 $787\ 00:39:18.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.250$  Steve Bernstein was at that initial launch meeting.

 $788\ 00:39:23.250$  --> 00:39:26.280 And so this was a consortium of implementation scientists

 $789\ 00:39:26.280 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.340$  and cancer control researchers identifying

 $790\ 00:39:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.340$  key areas that the field could really advance

 $791\ 00:39:35.160 --> 00:39:38.490$  and we could all benefit from advancing those areas.

 $792~00:39:38.490 \dashrightarrow 00:39:43.490$  So you can see here the CCIS development of public goods

 $793\ 00:39:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.740$  on the bottom left of the slide.

 $794\ 00:39:46.740 --> 00:39:51.210$  And on the next slide, you can see examples

 $795\ 00:39:51.210 --> 00:39:55.410$  of what those different areas were.

 $796\ 00:39:55.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.130$  So one was focused on enhancing community participation

797 00:39:59.130 --> 00:40:02.220 and more broadly, stakeholder engagement.

 $798~00{:}40{:}02.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}06.120$  One is focused on advancing economic evaluation

799~00:40:06.120 --> 00:40:09.150 and really understanding not just the cost-effectiveness

 $800\ 00{:}40{:}09.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}12.150$  of the intervention but the cost of the strategies

 $801\ 00:40:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.490$  and how do we measure those costs.

 $802\ 00:40:14.490 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.470$  And there are relatively few good measures for that.

 $803\ 00:40:19.470 --> 00:40:23.010$  In fact, none to date have been validated measures

 $804\ 00:40:23.010 --> 00:40:24.480$  of implementation costs.

 $805\ 00{:}40{:}24.480 {\: -->\:} 00{:}40{:}28.560$  So there's been a thriving community of economists,

806 00:40:28.560 --> 00:40:31.380 healthcare, health service researchers,

 $807~00{:}40{:}31.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}33.270$  and implementation scientists working together

 $808\ 00{:}40{:}33.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}36.390$  to try to develop guidance for the field on doing that.

- $809\ 00:40:36.390 --> 00:40:39.900$  Also a focus on policy implementation science
- $810\ 00:40:39.900 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.580$  and context and equity.
- 811 00:40:41.580 --> 00:40:43.140 And as you can see in the small print,
- $812\ 00:40:43.140 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.140$  additional areas that were identified as key priorities
- $813\ 00:40:48.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.730$  where those public goods are forthcoming.
- $814\ 00:40:50.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.160$  So if you were to click on those pluses
- 815 00:40:53.160 --> 00:40:56.970 by each of those four areas I mentioned,
- $816\ 00:40:56.970 --> 00:41:00.150$  on the next slide you can see for example
- $817~00{:}41{:}00.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}04.860$  with the economics and costs group, there is a link
- $818\ 00{:}41{:}04.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}08.230$  to a new collection of papers that was just published
- 819 00:41:09.180 --> 00:41:12.240 in BMC, and it's a collection
- 820 00:41:12.240 --> 00:41:14.190 because it's not only in one journal
- $821\ 00:41:14.190 --> 00:41:16.140$  but it's across several BMC journals.
- $822\ 00:41:16.140 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.400$  So implementation science
- $823\ 00:41:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.683$  and implementation science communications.
- $824\ 00{:}41{:}20.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}23.340$  And these are a series of papers that are providing
- $825~00{:}41{:}23.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}25.710$  that guidance I had mentioned around measuring cost
- $826\ 00:41:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.530$  in implementation science.
- $827\ 00{:}41{:}27.530$  -->  $00{:}41{:}32.530$  On the next slide you can see resources for stakeholder
- $828\ 00:41:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.470$  and community engagement.
- $829\ 00:41:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.393$  There's a whole list on the next,
- 830 00:41:41.040 --> 00:41:43.320 I think somebody is not on mute,
- 831  $00:41:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.463$  but I'm almost done.
- $832\ 00:41:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.693$  The next slide.
- 833 00:41:53.017 --> 00:41:56.267 <v Donna>Gila, can you mute everybody?</v>

834 00:42:05.039 --> 00:42:09.933 <<br/>v ->Oh, I see Amaka joined who I know from Aortic.</br/>/v>

 $835\ 00{:}42{:}12.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16.140$  So on the next slide, that community engagement

 $836\ 00{:}42{:}16.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}19.473$  and stakeholder engagement, if you go one more, William,

837 00:42:20.490 --> 00:42:25.490 you'll see that that group created this phenomenal resource

 $838\ 00:42:25.560 --> 00:42:29.520$  on stakeholder, and community engagement literature,

 $839\ 00:42:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.100$  and best practices and measures of doing that.

 $840\ 00:42:32.100 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.970$  So on the next slide, you can see

 $841\ 00:42:35.970 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.940$  what are the contents of that resource.

842 00:42:38.940 --> 00:42:41.910 Key readings focused on health equity

 $843\ 00:42:41.910 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.490$  and community engagement in implementation science,

844 00:42:44.490 --> 00:42:45.960 the rationale for doing it,

 $845\ 00{:}42{:}45.960$  -->  $00{:}42{:}50.960$  frameworks for doing it, and assessing, and measuring it.

846 00:42:51.210 --> 00:42:54.060 And so that's a really rich guide

847 00:42:54.060 --> 00:42:57.810 if you're interested in focusing on that aspect.

848 00:42:57.810 --> 00:42:59.373 On the next slide,

 $849\ 00:43:00.540 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.240$  this gets to the policy implementation science

 $850\ 00:43:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.240$  and I did see that there may be several of you

 $851\ 00:43:08.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.870$  or many of you who are really interested

 $852\ 00:43:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.407$  in advancing policy implementation science.

 $853\ 00:43:13.407 --> 00:43:15.820$  And so there was this recent commentary

 $854\ 00:43:17.070 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.680$  addressing that in particular

 $855\ 00{:}43{:}19.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}21.960$  as a way to address social determinants of health.

 $856~00{:}43{:}21.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}26.960$  We also had Karen Emmonds from Harvard come and work with us

 $857\ 00:43:28.200$  --> 00:43:31.380 over the last two years to really build out this area.

 $858\ 00:43:31.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.540$  And one of the things that she did

 $859\ 00{:}43{:}33.540 {\: -->\:} 00{:}43{:}37.800$  was help curate this fantastic series of webinars.

 $860\ 00:43:37.800 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.010$  I think there were six in total, here are four of them,

 $861\ 00:43:41.010 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.360$  they've all been archived.

 $862\ 00:43:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.360$  So something that you may be interested in exploring.

 $863\ 00:43:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.690$  And so those are just some of the key priority areas

 $864\ 00:43:51.690 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.060$  that I wanted to mention.

 $865\ 00:43:54.060 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.380$  But then finally, where can you learn more?

 $866\ 00:43:58.380 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.380$  So on the next slide, and the next slide after that.

867 00:44:03.900 --> 00:44:06.660 So in addition to those policy webinars,

868 00:44:06.660 --> 00:44:09.210 we do have a whole host of webinars,

869 00:44:09.210 --> 00:44:10.620 two different webinar series.

 $870\ 00:44:10.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.230$  All of these webinars are archived.

871 00:44:13.230 --> 00:44:17.070 Some of those include, from years past,

 $872\ 00:44:17.070 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.463$  a focus on different methodologies, measurement,

 $873\ 00:44:22.140 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.400$  the use of frameworks.

 $874\ 00{:}44{:}23.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}28.350$  And upcoming our next webinar at the end of November

 $875\ 00:44:28.350 --> 00:44:30.600$  is focused again on economic evaluation

 $876\ 00{:}44{:}30.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}33.990$  because of that recent publication of those collection

 $877\ 00:44:33.990 --> 00:44:36.060$  of papers which continues to grow.

 $878\ 00:44:36.060 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.480$  Right now we have two published in that collection,

 $879~00{:}44{:}39.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}43.453$  two forthcoming any day now, and an additional six

880 00:44:44.580 --> 00:44:48.960 that are forthcoming in the coming months.

 $881\ 00{:}44{:}48.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}51.990$  And so in addition to the webinars, I did wanna mention

 $882\ 00:44:51.990 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.200$  for those of you new to implementation science

883 00:44:55.200 --> 00:44:57.150 and hopefully, I've convinced you

 $884\ 00:44:57.150 --> 00:45:00.600$  that you may wanna learn more, on the next slide,

 $885\ 00{:}45{:}00.600$  -->  $00{:}45{:}05.240$  you can see that NCI has been hosting a training institute

886 00:45:05.240 --> 00:45:07.233 in implementation science.

887 00:45:08.344 --> 00:45:09.990 And here you can see the main modules

888 00:45:09.990 --> 00:45:12.060 for this training institute.

889 00:45:12.060 --> 00:45:15.420 It historically had been an institute

 $890\ 00:45:15.420 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.300$  where initially was a residential program,

891  $00:45:18.300 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.940$  five-day intensive residential program.

 $892\ 00:45:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.310$  Then we moved to a hybrid model

 $893\ 00:45:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.340$  where we would do three months online

894 00:45:26.340 --> 00:45:28.080 where people could just integrate it

 $895\ 00:45:28.080 --> 00:45:31.410$  into their everyday lives but then a two-person

 $896\ 00:45:31.410 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.760$  in day meeting.

 $897~00{:}45{:}32.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35.640$  And now with COVID, it's been completely virtual

898 00:45:35.640 --> 00:45:40.020 but also it has been a highly competitive program

 $899~00{:}45{:}40.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}42.870$  and we've wanted to be able to train more people

 $900~00{:}45{:}42.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}46.590$  than we can necessarily accommodate at our NCI offices.

901 00:45:46.590 --> 00:45:48.510 So we have made it open-access

 $902\ 00:45:48.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.060$  and all the modules now are available

 $903\ 00:45:51.060 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.070$  as well as the readings.

904 00:45:53.070 --> 00:45:56.520 The one thing that you don't get from the open-access

905 00:45:56.520 --> 00:46:00.040 and during those three months of the online

- 906 00:46:02.400 --> 00:46:07.380 you get feedback from faculty on your proposal.
- 907 00:46:07.380 --> 00:46:09.960 So it's really an opportunity to develop a proposal
- $908\ 00:46:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.123$  through this training program.
- $909\ 00:46:13.170 --> 00:46:17.427$  But thankfully you have a whole team at NCI
- 910 00:46:17.427 --> 00:46:21.180 and program directors across the NCI and NIH
- 911 00:46:21.180  $\rightarrow$  00:46:23.820 who can help you as you're developing your proposal.
- 912 00:46:23.820 --> 00:46:27.900 So I would encourage you as you are developing proposals
- 913 00:46:27.900 --> 00:46:30.600 for implementation science or if you are
- $914\ 00:46:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.070$  and when you are to reach out
- $915\ 00:46:32.070 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.010$  to program staff often and early.
- 916 00:46:35.010 --> 00:46:38.190 So on the next slide, I did just wanna mention
- 917 00:46:38.190 --> 00:46:42.210 at least for NCI, on the next slide,
- 918 00:46:42.210 --> 00:46:46.800 we do have a pretty user-friendly search function
- 919 00:46:46.800 --> 00:46:50.880 where you can look through at the division of cancer control
- 920 00:46:50.880 --> 00:46:54.060 and population sciences grant opportunities,
- 921  $00:46:54.060 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.400$  we have a filter where implementation science
- $922\ 00:46:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.540$  is one of those filters.
- 923 00:46:57.540 --> 00:47:00.930 So in addition to the funding opportunities I mentioned,
- 924 00:47:00.930 --> 00:47:05.100 you can see which other funding opportunities might exist
- 925 00:47:05.100 --> 00:47:07.410 that could align with the specific topics
- $926\ 00:47:07.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.460$  that you're focused on.
- $927\ 00:47:08.460 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.660$  We do have one for example,
- 928 00:47:09.660 --> 00:47:13.650 that's specifically on de-implementation in cancer screening
- 929 00:47:13.650 --> 00:47:15.243 for the overuse of screening.

- 930 00:47:16.320 --> 00:47:20.610 And lastly, on the last slide, I just wanted to leave you
- 931 00:47:20.610 --> 00:47:23.490 with a link to our team's website and to remind you
- $932\ 00:47:23.490$  --> 00:47:28.490 that I am just one of a fantastic group of folks at the NCI
- 933 00:47:29.400 --> 00:47:31.110 on the implementation science team.
- 934 00:47:31.110  $\rightarrow$  00:47:36.110 You can see there in the back left is David Chambers,
- 935 00:47:36.353 --> 00:47:39.270 our director for implementation science,
- 936 00:47:39.270 --> 00:47:42.310 as well as Wynne Norton, April Oh, Cindy Vincent
- $937\ 00:47:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.260$  who are other critical members of our team.
- 938 00:47:48.990 --> 00:47:50.670 So thanks, I hope that was helpful.
- 939 00:47:50.670 --> 00:47:53.160 And I was hoping we'd have at least 10 minutes
- $940\ 00:47:53.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.993$  for questions.
- 941 00:47:53.993 --> 00:47:57.390 So I hope, Donna, we can use some of that time
- $942\ 00:47:57.390 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.523$  for questions if folks have questions.
- 943 00:48:02.730 --> 00:48:07.620 < v ->Yeah, so perfect timing< / v >
- $944\ 00:48:07.620 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.610$  and it's great to see the overview
- $945\ 00:48:11.610 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.640$  and it's so interesting that some of the slides
- $946\ 00:48:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.580$  that you have shown, I also show in my class
- 947 00:48:17.580 --> 00:48:19.020 and I'm guessing Luke Davis
- 948 00:48:19.020 --> 00:48:22.590 who teaches our implementation science course here
- 949 00:48:22.590 --> 00:48:24.300 probably uses some of these slides.
- 950 00:48:24.300 --> 00:48:26.280 So there's so much common knowledge
- 951 00:48:26.280 --> 00:48:29.340 and kind of perspective that I think we all share.
- $952\ 00:48:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.410$  I see that Luke Davis has his hand up.
- 953 00:48:31.410 --> 00:48:35.910 He is an implementation scientist focusing primarily

- 954 00:48:35.910 --> 00:48:38.670 on HIV and tuberculosis,
- $955\ 00{:}48{:}38.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}41.190$  particularly from the global health perspective.
- $956~00{:}48{:}41.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}44.880$  And he's an associate faculty member of our center.
- $957\ 00{:}48{:}44.880 \longrightarrow 00{:}48{:}48.960$  So Luke, what are your questions and comments?
- 958 00:48:48.960 --> 00:48:51.720 <v -> Thank you, Donna, and thank you Gila for a great talk.</v>
- 959 00:48:51.720 --> 00:48:54.420 Donna's right, I really do enjoy your slides
- 960 00:48:54.420 --> 00:48:56.100 and a lot of the materials that you, and David,
- 961 00:48:56.100 --> 00:48:57.960 and others have put together, they've been very helpful
- $962\ 00{:}48{:}57.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}00.420$  in setting up our implementation science course
- 963  $00:49:00.420 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.040$  here at Yale.
- 964 00:49:02.040 --> 00:49:02.873 As Donna mentioned,
- $965~00{:}49{:}02.873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}04.320$  I'm primarily a global health researcher,
- $966\ 00:49:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.060$  but I wanted to ask you a general question
- 967 00:49:06.060 --> 00:49:08.280 about grant strategy 'cause this is something
- 968 00:49:08.280 --> 00:49:09.420 that's come up in my own work
- 969 00:49:09.420 --> 00:49:12.120 and also in talking with other colleagues here at Yale
- 970 00:49:12.120 --> 00:49:13.980 who are interested in putting in proposals
- 971 00:49:13.980 --> 00:49:17.280 and I think the issue that arises
- $972\ 00:49:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.890$  is that often one of the most common critiques, I think,
- 973 00:49:19.890 --> 00:49:22.440 of any type of grant is that there's an inter-dependence
- 974 00:49:22.440 --> 00:49:24.450 of the aims and in implementation science,
- 975 00:49:24.450 --> 00:49:25.950 I think it's very common
- 976 00:49:25.950 --> 00:49:28.170 that you may be thinking of adapting
- 977 00:49:28.170 --> 00:49:31.710 or scaling-up intervention or an implementation strategy

- $978\ 00:49:31.710 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.970$  in a new setting
- 979 00:49:32.970  $\rightarrow$  00:49:37.230 and you don't yet have the intervention adapted.
- 980 00:49:37.230 --> 00:49:39.570 And so often maybe aim one might be to adapt it
- $981\ 00:49:39.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.490$  and aim two might be to evaluate it.
- 982 00:49:41.490 --> 00:49:43.350 And that leads commonly to a critique
- 983  $00:49:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.270$  that the two aims are interdependent.
- 984 00:49:45.270 --> 00:49:47.880 And I'm just curious how you would respond to that
- $985\ 00:49:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.650$  from an implementation science perspective.
- 986 00:49:49.650 --> 00:49:52.380 And then more practically when you're in that type
- 987 00:49:52.380 --> 00:49:55.440 of situation, are you better off, say, pursuing an R21
- $988\ 00:49:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.980$  and doing the adaptation in one grant,
- 989 00:49:58.980 --> 00:50:01.290 and then pursuing the evaluation in another.
- 990  $00:50:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.123$  Thanks so much.
- 991 00:50:02.123 --> 00:50:04.020 Really enjoyed your talk.
- 992 00:50:04.020 --> 00:50:06.510 < v -> Very important question, Luke. </ v>
- 993 00:50:06.510 --> 00:50:07.920 <v ->Yes, excellent question</v>
- $994\ 00:50:07.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.680$  and not the first time I've been asked.
- 995 00:50:10.680 --> 00:50:12.960 Yeah, no, it's a great question.
- 996 00:50:12.960 --> 00:50:14.223 I guess the first thing,
- 997 00:50:15.120 --> 00:50:17.970 well, there's several first reactions I have to that
- 998 00:50:17.970 --> 00:50:22.970 and one is, I think that one of the struggles is making sure
- 999 00:50:24.450  $\rightarrow$  00:50:28.650 for each aim they have to have their own hypothesis.
- $1000\ 00:50:28.650 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.660$  They are each individual scientific aim.
- 1001 00:50:30.660 --> 00:50:33.780 So is it enough to just say you wanna adapt
- $1002\ 00:50:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.400$  the intervention in your aim?

- 1003 00:50:35.400 --> 00:50:37.380 I think part of it is understanding
- $1004\ 00:50:37.380 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.910$  what are the most effective ways
- $1005\ 00:50:38.910 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.640$  and you may hypothesize an effective way to adapt it.
- $1006\ 00:50:41.640 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.050$  So the use of different methods to do that
- $1007\ 00:50:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.590\ I$  think could be the focus.
- $1008\ 00:50:46.590$  --> 00:50:51.590 But I think what we've seen more in terms of the challenge
- $1009\ 00:50:51.810$  --> 00:50:55.320 of that interdependence has been where the first aim
- 1010 00:50:55.320 --> 00:50:57.120 is trying to understand the barriers
- $1011\ 00:50:58.410$  --> 00:51:02.130 and you don't know then whether those barriers will be ones
- $1012\ 00:51:02.130 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.020$  that can be overcome for example,
- $1013\ 00:51:04.020 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.140$  or how that would influence your strategy.
- $1014\ 00:51:07.140 --> 00:51:10.650$  So I think we often encourage investigators
- $1015\ 00:51:10.650 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.110$  to already have a clear sense
- 101600:51:13.110 --> 00:51:15.750 or already have a reasonably clear sense
- 1017 00:51:15.750 --> 00:51:18.480 of what the likely barriers might be.
- $1018~00{:}51{:}18.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}21.180$  But also given that implementation sciences really focused
- $1019\ 00:51:21.180 --> 00:51:22.560$  on understanding context,
- $1020\ 00{:}51{:}22.560 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}51{:}26.520$  we understand that that's also a significant aspect
- $1021\ 00:51:26.520 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.470$  of the study.
- $1022\ 00:51:28.470 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.330$  So I think, if you go through those sample grants,
- $1023\ 00{:}51{:}33.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}37.710$  you'll see that, I think this is a nuanced challenge
- $1024~00{:}51{:}37.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}41.040$  because many of our grants that aim one, it does have to do
- $1025\ 00{:}51{:}41.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}44.040$  with adaptation or understanding barriers and context.
- 1026 00:51:44.040 --> 00:51:47.160 And I think part of it is how it is framed,

- 1027 00:51:47.160 --> 00:51:52.020 and how you can justify that this is needed,
- $1028\ 00:51:52.020 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.070$  and it will not, I think it's needed
- $1029~00:51:56.070 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.600$  because it's needed to make sure that you're tailoring
- $1030\ 00:52:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.140$  those strategies, that they are attentive.
- $1031\ 00:52:04.140 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.090$  But it's true that the more
- $1032\ 00{:}52{:}06.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}10.290$  you can have some preliminary evidence on the feasibility
- 1033 00:52:10.290 --> 00:52:13.080 and acceptability of those strategies,
- $1034~00{:}52{:}13.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}15.480$  and some preliminary evidence on those barriers
- $1035\ 00:52:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.313$  would be critical.
- 1036 00:52:16.313 --> 00:52:18.000 And I think that gets to your second question
- $1037\ 00:52:18.000 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.960$  of whether you go for the R21 or the R01.
- $1038\ 00:52:21.960 --> 00:52:25.080$  I can't tell you how much preliminary evidence you need.
- $1039\ 00:52:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.343$  That is a tough one.
- $1040\ 00:52:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.670$  The answer is always, it depends,
- 1041 00:52:29.670 --> 00:52:31.800 but I think for a particular study,
- $1042\ 00:52:31.800 --> 00:52:33.780$  and I think that those are the conversations
- $1043\ 00:52:33.780 --> 00:52:36.450$  that presumably program directors,
- $1044\ 00:52:36.450 --> 00:52:40.500$  program officers can help you figure out
- $1045\ 00:52:40.500 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.903$  as you're developing those aims pages.
- 1046 00:52:46.950 --> 00:52:48.093 <v Donna>Great.</v>
- $1047\ 00:52:48.093 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.926 < v \longrightarrow I$  hope that's helpful. </v>
- $1048\ 00:52:48.926 --> 00:52:50.072$  Yeah, glad to talk more about that offline.
- 1049~00:52:50.072 --> 00:52:50.905 < v Luke>Yeah.</v>
- $1050\ 00:52:50.905 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.738$  Great.
- $1051\ 00:52:51.738 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.571$  Thank you.
- 1052 00:52:52.571 --> 00:52:56.170 <v -> Does anybody else in our audience have a question </v>
- 1053 00:52:57.330 --> 00:52:58.893 or comment they'd like to make?

 $1054\ 00:53:01.380 --> 00:53:04.743$  Okay, well, Gila, I was wondering,

 $1055~00{:}53{:}05.589 {\:\hbox{--}}{>} 00{:}53{:}08.970$  I'm kind of interested and I keep encouraging colleagues

 $1056~00{:}53{:}08.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}13.440$  that I work with to think about integrated approaches

 $1057\ 00:53:13.440 --> 00:53:17.370$  to health promotion that might, let's say for example,

1058 00:53:17.370 --> 00:53:21.240 there's the PEN guidelines from WHO

 $1059\ 00:53:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.000$  for chronic disease prevention and control.

1060 00:53:24.000 --> 00:53:26.820 And it addresses the controllable cancers,

1061 00:53:26.820 --> 00:53:30.060 it addresses cardiometabolic diseases,

 $1062\ 00{:}53{:}30.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}34.140$  and I think it includes mental health disorders that have,

 $1063\ 00{:}53{:}34.140 --> 00{:}53{:}37.890$  all of which have well-known evidence-based interventions

 $1064\ 00:53:37.890 --> 00:53:40.920$  that probably need to be adapted to different contexts.

 $1065\ 00:53:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.480$  By the way, I should mention,

 $1066\ 00:53:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.090$  we do have quite a bit of global reach in our center

 $1067\ 00{:}53{:}45.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}49.200$  and there's people on this call from, at least from Nigeria

 $1068\ 00:53:49.200 --> 00:53:52.110\ I\ can\ see,\ and\ also\ from\ Mexico.$ 

 $1069\ 00{:}53{:}52.110$  -->  $00{:}53{:}55.950$  So anyway, but then we have the problem with NIH

 $1070\ 00:53:55.950 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.130$  that a proposal has to be cancer

 $1071\ 00:53:59.130 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.810$  or it has to be mental health

 $1072\ 00:54:00.810 --> 00:54:03.720$  or it has to be cardiovascular disease

1073 00:54:03.720 --> 00:54:06.840 when really probably the most sustainable,

 $1074\ 00:54:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.970$  when once somebody's in a center

1075 00:54:08.970 --> 00:54:10.350 or once a community health worker

1076 00:54:10.350 --> 00:54:12.150 is going to somebody's home,

 $1077~00{:}54{:}12.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}16.290$  why would they only focus on HPV vaccination

- 1078 00:54:16.290 --> 00:54:18.510 or taking a TB test,
- $1079~00{:}54{:}18.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}21.420$  it just isn't really the best thing for public health.
- $1080\ 00{:}54{:}21.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}23.970$  And how do you suggest that we address that
- $1081\ 00:54:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.880$  in terms of developing interventions
- $1082\ 00:54:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.253$  that are really integrated?
- $1083\ 00:54:30.510 --> 00:54:33.063 < v -> That's a visionary question. < / v >$
- $1084\ 00:54:34.348 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.260$  I think it's the next horizon and I can say,
- $1085\ 00:54:37.260 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.430$  I know this is an area
- $1086\ 00:54:38.430 --> 00:54:41.610$  that David Chambers has been really pushing this concept of
- 1087 00:54:41.610 --> 00:54:44.460 how do we best bundle our margins?
- 1088 00:54:44.460 --> 00:54:45.960 And I think that's really critical,
- 1089 00:54:45.960 --> 00:54:50.960 especially, in global contexts, but oh yeah,
- $1090~00{:}54{:}51.480 --> 00{:}54{:}56.407$  and I see that perhaps some of your tighter alum
- $1091\ 00:54:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.990$  can speak to that as well.
- $1092\ 00{:}55{:}00.990$  -->  $00{:}55{:}04.320$  But no, I think absolutely that is really critical
- $1093\ 00:55:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.730$  and I think that is an area
- $1094\ 00:55:05.730 --> 00:55:09.720$  that we are hoping to see advance.
- 1095 00:55:09.720 --> 00:55:12.510 <v -> But right now it isn't really an option.</v>
- 1096 00:55:12.510 --> 00:55:14.220 Do you agree?
- $1097\ 00:55:14.220 --> 00:55:18.420$  For example, I'm a methodologist, so I work in cancer,
- $1098\ 00:55:18.420 \dashrightarrow 00:55:21.630$  but I also work on HIV AIDS, and other areas,
- $1099\ 00:55:21.630 --> 00:55:24.570$  and we're involved in implementation science work
- 1100 00:55:24.570 --> 00:55:27.390 in ending the AIDS epidemic domestically.
- $1101\ 00:55:27.390 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.020$  And we have a core, a technical support core,

- $1102\ 00:55:31.020 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.140$  for implementation science methods across the range
- $1103\ 00:55:34.140$  --> 00:55:36.870 of qualitative, quantitative, and health economics.
- $1104\ 00:55:36.870 --> 00:55:40.560$  Anyway, when we had our renewal, they wanted an innovation.
- $1105\ 00{:}55{:}40.560 {\: -->\:} 00{:}55{:}44.850$  And so I suggested maybe thinking about integration
- $1106\ 00{:}55{:}44.850 {\: -->}\ 00{:}55{:}49.850$  of HIV prevention and control with say some other issues
- $1107\ 00:55:51.900 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.950$  that people are facing.
- 1108 00:55:52.950 --> 00:55:57.780 And so because this particular core, and overall project,
- $1109\ 00{:}55{:}57.780$  -->  $00{:}56{:}01.530$  and consortium is funded by NIMH and NIAD,
- $1110\ 00:56:01.530 --> 00:56:04.860$  they said we could only integrate with substance abuse
- $1111\ 00:56:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.883$  and mental health.
- $1112\ 00:56:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.673 < v \longrightarrow Well, actually < / v >$
- 1113 00:56:07.673 --> 00:56:11.490 <v ->Not in diabetes or obesity or cancer screening,</v>
- $1114\ 00:56:11.490 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.680$  that was like off the table.
- $1115\ 00:56:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.540 < v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v > 00:56:15.540 < v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v > 00:56:15.540 < v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v > 00:56:15.540 < v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v > 00:56:15.540 < v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v > 00:56:15.540 < v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v > 00:56:15.540 < v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v > 00:56:15.540 < v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v > 00:56:15.540 < v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is one challenge < / v \longrightarrow Well, that is on$
- $1116\ 00:56:15.540 --> 00:56:18.120$  sometimes people bring up depending on the institute.
- 1117 00:56:18.120 --> 00:56:21.210 But you said the Center for Global Health
- $1118\ 00:56:21.210 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.640$  recently released as you know the U01
- $1119\ 00:56:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.200$  that's focused on cancer control
- $1120\ 00:56:25.200 --> 00:56:26.940$  and populations living with HIV.
- 1121 00:56:26.940 --> 00:56:31.260 And so there, I think that opportunity,
- $1122\ 00:56:31.260 --> 00:56:35.370$  that funding announcement, I think bundling is identified
- $1123\ 00:56:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.380$  as one of the key questions there
- 1124 00:56:37.380 --> 00:56:40.230 and how do you integrate it with HIV care,

- $1125\ 00:56:40.230 --> 00:56:42.751$  how can you cancer control of HIV care.
- $1126\ 00:56:42.751 --> 00:56:46.770 < v -> Yeah. < / v >$
- $1127\ 00:56:46.770 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.603$  Great.
- $1128\ 00:56:49.740 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.590$  So any other comments?
- $1129\ 00:56:52.590 --> 00:56:54.873$  We're a minute away from the hour.
- 1130 00:56:57.330 --> 00:56:58.163 Okay.
- $1131\ 00:56:58.163 --> 00:57:00.810$  Well, thank you all for tuning in
- $1132\ 00{:}57{:}00.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}04.800$  and continuing with your work in implementation science
- $1133\ 00:57:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.120$  here at Yale and elsewhere.
- $1134\ 00:57:06.120 \dashrightarrow 00:57:09.360$  And thank you, Dr. Neta, for such an interesting
- $1135\ 00:57:09.360 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.220$  and informative talk.
- 1136 00:57:11.220 --> 00:57:13.830 So have a great rest of your day everybody.
- 1137 00:57:13.830 --> 00:57:14.880 <-v -> Thank you for inviting me</v>
- $1138\ 00:57:14.880 \dashrightarrow 00:57:16.133$  and thank you to William for advancing my slides.
- 1139 00:57:16.133 --> 00:57:17.216 <v Luke>Bye.</v>
- 1140 00:57:18.554 --> 00:57:19.908 <v Donna>Bye-bye.</v>
- $1141\ 00:57:19.908 --> 00:57:20.970 < v \text{ Gila>Thanks, bye.} < /v>$