WEBVTT

- 1.00:00:00.190 --> 00:00:02.460 < v Ashley>Okay, it's two after,</v>
- $2\ 00:00:02.460 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.433$ so I think we'll go ahead and get started.
- $3\ 00:00:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.590$ My name is Ashley Hagaman.
- $4~00:00:10.590 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.240$ I'm a faculty member
- 500:00:13.240 --> 00:00:16.590 in our Social and Behavioral Sciences Department
- $6~00:00:16.590 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.990$ and the Director of the Qualitative Methods
- $7~00:00:18.990 \dashrightarrow 00:00:22.380$ Innovation Program within our Center for Methods
- 8~00:00:22.380 --> 00:00:26.010 and Implementation and Prevention Science here at Yale.
- $9\ 00:00:26.010 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.010$ And we are delighted to welcome Dr. Cakouros
- $10\ 00:00:34.310 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.760$ as our seminar speaker today.
- $11\ 00:00:38.760 \dashrightarrow 00:00:43.170$ She is a global health scientist and mixed methodologist
- $12\ 00:00:43.170 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.990$ with expertise in health systems.
- 13 00:00:45.990 --> 00:00:48.930 I met Bridget a couple of different ways.
- 14 00:00:48.930 --> 00:00:50.970 One was through one of our
- $15\ 00:00:50.970 --> 00:00:52.680$ Master's in Public Health students
- $16\ 00:00:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.640$ and another was an email just asking
- $17\ 00:00:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.030$ about access to qualitative methods training
- $18\ 00:01:00.030$ --> 00:01:03.750 and methods just like more generally here at Yale.
- $19\ 00:01:03.750 --> 00:01:06.240$ And then of course our shared interest in global health.
- $20\ 00{:}01{:}06.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08.590$ So we had been in some common spaces together
- $21\ 00:01:09.720 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.450$ and I was just immediately impressed
- 22 00:01:12.450 --> 00:01:14.340 with her wealth of experience
- $23\ 00:01:14.340 --> 00:01:17.670$ working on complex systems studies
- $24\ 00:01:17.670 \dashrightarrow 00:01:21.640$ and in sort of implementing programs around the world.

- $25\,00:01:21.640 --> 00:01:25.260$ She has a really interesting and really important focus
- $26\ 00{:}01{:}25.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}30.260$ on developing and development research more broadly.
- $27\ 00{:}01{:}30.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}32.550$ Global health research and global health development
- $28\ 00:01:32.550 --> 00:01:34.950$ are topics that I engage with every day
- $29\ 00:01:34.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.870$ as a part of my own career.
- $30~00{:}01{:}36.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}40.500$ And my teams and I often think about the larger dynamics
- $31\ 00:01:40.500 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.120$ that we have with our composition
- 32 00:01:43.120 --> 00:01:46.050 and our positionality and relationality
- $33\ 00:01:46.050 --> 00:01:49.100$ both within our team and our project is being implemented.
- $34\ 00{:}01{:}49.100 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}01{:}54.100$ But I hadn't thought about how we understand that
- $35~00{:}01{:}54.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58.380$ or what we do with that and kind of what we can learn
- $36\ 00:01:58.380 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.083$ about these dynamics more broadly.
- 37 00:02:02.580 --> 00:02:06.450 And so Dr. Cakouros' work is so important
- $38\ 00:02:06.450 --> 00:02:08.610$ because it will help us not only do better
- $39~00:02:08.610 \ensuremath{\longrightarrow} > 00:02:11.340$ global health work and health systems work
- 40~00:02:11.340 --> 00:02:14.760 but it'll help global health programs be more successful
- 41 00:02:14.760 --> 00:02:16.300 which is really all health programs.
- $42\ 00:02:16.300 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.020$ And so at Yale, she is a postdoctoral fellow
- $43\ 00:02:20.020$ --> 00:02:23.070 with Professor Talbert-Slagle, the Associate Director
- $44\ 00:02:23.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.080$ of our Yale Institute for Global Health.
- 45~00:02:25.080 --> 00:02:28.920 And today she's going to talk about qualitative methods
- $46\ 00:02:28.920 --> 00:02:31.740$ to study global health collaboration
- 47 00:02:31.740 --> 00:02:35.800 anchoring in her collaborative work in Liberia.

- 48~00:02:35.800 --> 00:02:40.080 And so thank you so much for joining us Dr. Cakouros
- 49 00:02:40.080 --> 00:02:42.060 and I'll hand it over to you.
- 50~00:02:42.060 --> 00:02:45.750 And then just a note, I can kind of monitor the chat as well
- 51 00:02:45.750 --> 00:02:47.130 in case there were any questions
- 52 00:02:47.130 --> 00:02:48.750 but let us know if you want to take questions
- $53\ 00:02:48.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.443$ in the middle or if you just want to wait till the end.
- 54 00:03:03.559 --> 00:03:06.892 (Brigid speaks faintly)
- 55 00:03:11.351 --> 00:03:14.268 (audio distortion)
- $56~00:03:34.763 \dots > 00:03:36.827 < v$ Brigid>I'm just going to go to my camera now.
</v>
- 57 00:03:36.827 --> 00:03:39.657 Here's my computer, there you're welcome.
- 58 00:03:39.657 --> 00:03:42.690 <
v Ashley>Hey Brigid, just to stop you for a second.
</v>
- $59\ 00:03:42.690 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.700$ It sounds very muffled on our end.
- 60 00:03:44.700 --> 00:03:45.780 <v Brigid>How about now?</v>
- $61\ 00:03:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.770 < v \text{ Ashley>Ooh, that's great.} < /v >$
- 62 00:03:46.770 --> 00:03:48.990 <v Brigid>Okay, we switch it to my computer.</v>
- $63\ 00:03:48.990 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.460$ So when we start maybe in chat as a group.
- $64\ 00:03:53.460 --> 00:03:54.630 < v Ashley>Okay, thank you.</v>$
- $65\ 00:03:54.630 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.860 < v \ Brigid>Perfect.</v>$
- 66 00:03:55.860 --> 00:03:56.693 All right.
- 67 00:03:57.570 --> 00:03:59.370 So to summarize what I was saying,
- $68\ 00:03:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.620$ I'm just really excited to talk about this project.
- $69\ 00:04:01.620 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.080$ I've been working on it for about a year now,
- $70\ 00:04:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.850$ a little over a year.
- $71~00:04:05.850 \dashrightarrow 00:04:09.750$ And I'm really excited about qualitative methodology
- $72\ 00:04:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.980$ and especially in global health
- $73~00:04:10.980 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.290$ and what you can learn about systems

- $74~00:04:13.290 \dashrightarrow 00:04:16.860$ and how systems operate using qualitative methods.
- $75\ 00:04:16.860 --> 00:04:19.890$ It's kind of a fun frontier to be working on
- $76~00{:}04{:}19.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}23.010$ and I'm looking forward to sharing this project with you.
- $77\ 00:04:23.010$ --> 00:04:26.430 So this is titled using qualitative thematic analysis
- $78\ 00:04:26.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.870$ to explore financial donor
- $79\ 00:04:27.870 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.303$ and recipient collaboration in Liberia.
- 80 00:04:32.100 --> 00:04:36.960 And a little overview, there we go,
- $81\ 00:04:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.670$ for what I'll be talking about today.
- 82 00:04:38.670 --> 00:04:40.830 I'll talk a little bit about why Liberia,
- $83\ 00:04:40.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.690$ why it makes sense to be thinking
- $84\ 00:04:42.690 --> 00:04:45.780$ about collaborations in Liberia, kind of what sets the stage
- $85\ 00:04:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.057$ for the importance of studying this topic there.
- 86~00:04:48.057 --> 00:04:51.510 And then I'll talk about the study design and methodology,
- $87\ 00:04:51.510 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.220$ why we chose the methods we did,
- $88\ 00:04:53.220 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.260$ the processes we went through
- $89\ 00:04:55.260 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.290$ for analyzing our qualitative data and such.
- 90 00:04:58.290 --> 00:05:00.540 And then I'll touch briefly at the end,
- $91\ 00:05:00.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.220$ summarizing some of the lessons we learned.
- 92 00:05:02.220 --> 00:05:03.630 This was really a training opportunity
- 93 00:05:03.630 --> 00:05:06.120 with some of our students.
- 94 00:05:06.120 --> 00:05:07.990 So I talk about that kind of throughout
- 95 00:05:07.990 --> 00:05:09.810 this presentation as well,
- 96 00:05:09.810 --> 00:05:13.170 but I think it's kind of cool to reflect on that as we go.
- $97\ 00:05:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.350$ And there's a couple of pictures throughout here of just,
- 98 00:05:16.350 --> 00:05:19.020 they'll be of the team or pictures I took in Liberia.

- 99 00:05:19.020 --> 00:05:21.930 So they're really to just enhance this visually
- 100 00:05:21.930 --> 00:05:24.240 because qualitative sometimes is just words,
- 101 00:05:24.240 \rightarrow 00:05:26.840 but giving a little bit of context of where we were.
- $102\ 00:05:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.270$ So why Liberia?
- $103\ 00:05:30.270 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.010$ I'll give a little brief background on it.
- 104~00:05:32.010 --> 00:05:35.160 And I wanna highlight that what I'm gonna focus on
- $105\ 00:05:35.160 --> 00:05:38.040$ is really the reasons why the health system there is broken.
- $106\ 00:05:38.040 --> 00:05:41.730$ So it's focusing on some of the tougher times
- $107\ 00:05:41.730 --> 00:05:45.870$ and the harder hit parts of the health system.
- $108\ 00:05:45.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.770$ Liberia is great.
- $109\ 00:05:46.770 --> 00:05:48.660$ There's a lot to offer from this country.
- $110\ 00:05:48.660 --> 00:05:52.050$ So I'm not trying to just ground Liberia
- 111 $00:05:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.740$ and being like this place of civil conflict and Ebola,
- $112\ 00{:}05{:}55.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58.050$ but that's really what's weakened the health system
- $113\ 00:05:58.050 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.553$ to make this type of study.
- $114\ 00:06:00.770$ --> 00:06:05.770 So between 1989 and 2003, I'm sure that people remember
- $115\ 00:06:06.390 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.710$ that there was civil conflict there.
- $116\ 00:06:07.710$ --> 00:06:12.660 It was more by child soldiers and extreme poverty and fear.
- $117~00{:}06{:}12.660$ --> $00{:}06{:}16.560~90\%$ of the skilled health workers fled the country.
- $118\ 00:06:16.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.810$ So the health infrastructure was destroyed.
- 119 00:06:18.810 --> 00:06:20.670 And this really started a strong reliance
- $120\ 00{:}06{:}20.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}24.600$ on humanitarian organizations and international NGOs
- $121\ 00:06:24.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.423$ and foreign donors to kind of keep this system afloat.
- $122\ 00:06:28.670 --> 00:06:31.080$ So then there was a brief period

- 123 00:06:31.080 --> 00:06:36.080 of health system rebuilding from 2006 until 2013.
- 124 00:06:36.390 --> 00:06:38.610 This is a picture of Ellen Johnson Sirleaf,
- 125 00:06:38.610 --> 00:06:41.130 the first female elected president
- $126\ 00:06:41.130 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.540$ on the continent of Africa.
- 127 00:06:42.540 --> 00:06:43.570 Very exciting.
- $128\ 00:06:43.570 --> 00:06:46.380$ And she really, when she was sworn into power,
- $129\ 00:06:46.380 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.290$ she not only negotiated down and canceled a lot of debt,
- $130\ 00{:}06{:}49.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51.570$ but she was able to renegotiate some investments
- $131\ 00:06:51.570 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.440$ from global donors.
- 132 00:06:52.440 --> 00:06:54.090 So she was really working on rebuilding
- $133\ 00:06:54.090 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.890$ a lot of these partnerships.
- $134\ 00:06:55.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.980$ And this chart here just shows the change
- 135 00:06:58.980 --> 00:07:00.690 in under five mortality rate,
- $136\ 00:07:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.780$ which is a strong indicator of a health system.
- $137\ 00:07:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.990$ So Liberia had started to turn the corner
- $138\ 00:07:06.990 --> 00:07:11.990$ right around 2010, a little bit earlier in the early 2000s
- $139\ 00:07:12.660 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.570$ from when it was in civil conflict.
- $140~00{:}07{:}15.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}20.570$ And access to health facilities increased to 71% by 2013.
- 141 00:07:20.610 --> 00:07:25.530 In 2008, only 41% of people were within one hour walk
- $142\ 00:07:25.530 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.580$ of a health facility.
- $143\ 00:07:26.580 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.190$ And by 2013, it was up to 71%.
- $144\ 00:07:29.190 \dashrightarrow 00:07:31.803$ So these are huge gains in a short amount of time.
- $145\ 00:07:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.920$ But then there was Ebola,
- $146\ 00:07:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.700$ which also many public health people probably remember.
- $147\ 00:07:38.700 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.090$ There was just over 5,000 or just under 5,000 deaths,

- 148~00:07:42.090 --> 00:07:45.930 including 8% of the skilled healthcare providers,
- $149\ 00:07:45.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.330$ which is the doctors, nurses, midwives.
- $150\ 00{:}07{:}49.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}53.100$ So routine healthcare service essentially collapsed
- 151 00:07:53.100 --> 00:07:56.190 once again, which was really unfortunate
- 152 00:07:56.190 --> 00:07:58.950 because as I noted in that period of rebuilding,
- $153\ 00:07:58.950 \dashrightarrow 00:08:01.683$ they were really on a strong chart to go forward.
- 154 00:08:03.090 --> 00:08:03.923 So now what?
- $155\ 00:08:03.923 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.923$ You know, this timeline not only increased
- $156\ 00:08:09.060$ --> 00:08:11.520 reliance on donors, it brought in a lot of donors.
- 157 00:08:11.520 --> 00:08:14.470 So many donors started engaging in Liberia
- 158 00:08:14.470 --> 00:08:17.370 with different aspects of strengthening
- $159\ 00:08:17.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.420$ the global health system.
- $160\ 00{:}08{:}18.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20.770$ There was funding coming in from many partners.
- $161\ 00{:}08{:}21.630 {\: \hbox{--}}{\:\raisebox{3.5pt}{$>$}}\ 00{:}08{:}26.280$ And again, you know, there's many more people
- 162 00:08:26.280 --> 00:08:29.190 that are just even highlighted on this slide.
- $163\ 00{:}08{:}29.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}34.170$ So in 2015, Liberia created this investment plan
- 164 00:08:34.170 --> 00:08:35.880 for building a resilient health system
- $165\ 00:08:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.740$ because clearly the system's taken some hits
- $166~00:08:37.740 \dashrightarrow 00:08:39.870$ and it's how do we build up, make it even stronger?
- $167\ 00:08:39.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.500$ So again, this is just some examples
- 168 00:08:42.500 --> 00:08:45.150 of the numerous partners working in Liberia.
- 169 00:08:45.150 --> 00:08:47.130 And if you're thinking about the government
- $170\ 00:08:47.130 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.500$ as where this document is fitting,
- 171 00:08:49.500 --> 00:08:52.020 think about how many different negotiations
- $172\ 00{:}08{:}52.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54.150$ and collaborations and partnerships are operating

- $173\ 00:08:54.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.803$ just over this document.
- 174 00:08:57.360 --> 00:08:58.830 And again, if that's the government,
- $175\ 00:08:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.277$ here's where the government sits now.
- 176 00:09:00.277 --> 00:09:02.670 You know, some of these organizations
- $177\ 00:09:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.260$ are dealing with one or two different arrows.
- $178\ 00:09:04.260 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.480$ The government is negotiating all of them.
- $179\ 00:09:06.480 --> 00:09:08.670$ And, you know, just to highlight some of the arrows
- $180\ 00:09:08.670 --> 00:09:10.620$ don't even connect directly to the document.
- $181\ 00:09:10.620$ --> 00:09:14.700 So it's a really complex kind of daunting system
- $182\ 00:09:14.700$ --> 00:09:17.523 to try to negotiate what these collaborations look like.
- 183 00:09:19.050 --> 00:09:20.340 So now I hope I've convinced you
- $184\ 00:09:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.770$ that Liberia is a very interesting place to study this.
- $185\ 00{:}09{:}22.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}26.400$ I'll talk a bit now about the study design and methodology
- $186\ 00:09:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.550$ and we'll talk about some of the influences
- $187\ 00:09:29.550 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.650$ for how this was designed.
- $188\ 00:09:31.650 \dashrightarrow 00:09:35.130$ So the overview and goal of this particular study
- $189\ 00:09:35.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.500$ was to explore these dynamics
- $190\ 00:09:36.500 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.810$ of global health collaborations
- 191 00:09:37.810 --> 00:09:40.710 from the perspectives of those working in Liberia.
- $192\ 00:09:40.710 --> 00:09:43.530$ So pictured here is Dr. Bernice Dahn.
- $193\ 00:09:43.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.930$ She's a former minister of health.
- 194 00:09:45.930 --> 00:09:47.370 She was chief medical officer
- $195\ 00:09:47.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.530$ for a time as well in the country.
- 196 00:09:49.530 --> 00:09:53.250 And she's just all around, you know,
- 197 00:09:53.250 -> 00:09:56.310 an expert on health system resilience in Liberia.

- 198 00:09:56.310 --> 00:09:58.440 And she gave a lecture at Yale,
- $199\ 00:09:58.440 --> 00:10:01.740$ I believe it was in either the spring of 2022
- $200\ 00:10:01.740 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.000$ or the fall of 2021,
- 201 00:10:03.000 --> 00:10:05.460 titled The Unchecked Power of the Purse.
- $202\ 00:10:05.460 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.080$ And this talked about a lot of the inequities
- $203\ 00:10:07.080 --> 00:10:09.840$ she's seen over her career working in global health.
- 204 00:10:09.840 --> 00:10:12.360 And from this lecture,
- $205\ 00:10:12.360$ --> 00:10:14.700 there were two master's students and two undergrads
- 206 00:10:14.700 --> 00:10:16.650 along with Christina Talbert-Slagle
- 207 00:10:16.650 --> 00:10:18.720 who designs this qualitative study
- $208\ 00:10:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.960$ to explore these relationships in Liberia.
- 209 00:10:21.960 --> 00:10:26.960 So Dr. Talbert-Slagle was the co-PI along with Dr. Dahn.
- $210\ 00{:}10{:}27.280$ --> $00{:}10{:}30.540$ So we had the US representation affiliated with Yale
- $211\ 00{:}10{:}30.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33.900$ and then strong Liberian representation as well.
- $212\ 00:10:33.900 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.750$ So there's this whole push that global health
- $213\ 00:10:36.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.700$ is inherently equitable
- $214\ 00:10:38.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.410$ when you talk about the word collaboration,
- 215 00:10:40.410 --> 00:10:43.440 but I think many of us kind of know that it's not.
- 216 00:10:43.440 --> 00:10:45.120 And from Dr. Dahn's experience,
- $217\ 00{:}10{:}45.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}48.570$ she's talked about how accountability and transparency
- 218 00:10:48.570 --> 00:10:49.560 are a one-way street.
- $219\ 00:10:49.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.570$ Essentially that the donors are controlling
- 220 00:10:51.570 --> 00:10:54.300 what accountability looks like,
- $221\ 00:10:54.300 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.760$ what is allowed to be transparent.
- 222 00:10:56.760 --> 00:10:59.370 She's talked about donors' priorities
- $223\ 00:10:59.370 --> 00:11:01.500$ being favored over government needs

- 224 00:11:01.500 --> 00:11:04.140 and that there's a value of,
- 225 00:11:04.140 --> 00:11:06.360 there's claims of value of empowerment,
- $226\ 00{:}11{:}06.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}09.540$ but that's never actually transferred to the government.
- 227 00:11:09.540 --> 00:11:11.100 So it's leaving weak systems weak
- 228 00:11:11.100 --> 00:11:13.500 and perpetuating this corruption
- 229 00:11:13.500 --> 00:11:16.390 and ideas of perceived corruption.
- 230 00:11:16.390 --> 00:11:19.920 So really hearing these issues,
- 231 00:11:19.920 \rightarrow 00:11:22.260 knowing that Liberia is a great setting to study this,
- 232 00:11:22.260 --> 00:11:23.970 this is why a really qualitative study
- $233\ 00:11:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.560$ makes a lot of sense here.
- 234 00:11:25.560 --> 00:11:27.300 So if we're looking at,
- $235\ 00{:}11{:}27.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}29.190$ trying to understand the subjective meanings
- $236\ 00{:}11{:}29.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.220$ from social contexts, from perceptions and understandings
- $237\ 00:11:32.220 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.750$ and these actions and behaviors
- 238 00:11:33.750 --> 00:11:36.030 of people working in this setting,
- $239\ 00{:}11{:}36.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}38.730$ we can try to map out what this actually looks like.
- $240\ 00{:}11{:}38.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}40.530$ You can have as many structures and norms
- $241\ 00:11:40.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.670$ and frameworks as you want,
- $242\ 00:11:41.670 --> 00:11:43.860$ but we really would like to understand
- $243\ 00{:}11{:}43.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}46.803$ and gain insight to the human experience here.
- 244 00:11:47.850 --> 00:11:49.110 So a couple of terminology,
- $245\ 00{:}11{:}49.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51.810$ I know I've talked a lot about the word collaboration.
- $246\ 00{:}11{:}53.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}56.400$ So collaboration generally in this type of setting
- $247\ 00:11:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.760$ is a low resource country that needs support.
- 248 00:11:59.760 --> 00:12:01.740 Here we're talking really about financial support

- 249 00:12:01.740 --> 00:12:02.890 from a high resource setting.
- $250\ 00:12:02.890 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.780$ And this again can create a sort of reliance
- 251 00:12:06.780 --> 00:12:09.840 on needing that type of funding and support.
- $252\ 00:12:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.460$ And I think it's really important
- $253\ 00:12:11.460 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.420$ that collaboration is accepted as positive.
- 254 00:12:15.420 --> 00:12:17.070 It's not always positive.
- $255\ 00:12:17.070 --> 00:12:18.600$ You really wanna understand the nuance
- $256\ 00:12:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.730$ of what's driving a collaboration
- $257\ 00:12:20.730 --> 00:12:22.470$ or collaborative partnership.
- 258 00:12:22.470 --> 00:12:23.550 And this is kind of known
- 259 00:12:23.550 --> 00:12:25.570 in the field of global health and development,
- $260\ 00{:}12{:}25.570$ --> $00{:}12{:}30.570$ but there are calls to have more equitable collaborations
- $261\ 00:12:30.610 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.233$ and decrease this dependency, but is it really happening?
- 262 00:12:35.190 --> 00:12:38.430 So again, another push for why qualitative.
- 263 00:12:38.430 --> 00:12:39.270 By the end of this part,
- $264\ 00{:}12{:}39.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}41.340$ I'm gonna be convinced that qualitative is perfect
- $265\ 00:12:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.603$ to be studying this.
- $266~00{:}12{:}43.620$ --> $00{:}12{:}48.620$ And so, oops, my keys jumps forward a few slides.
- 267 00:12:49.670 --> 00:12:53.220 To sum up this notion of,
- $268\ 00:12:53.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.050$ we can have all of these calls and frameworks.
- $269\ 00{:}12{:}55.050 \dashrightarrow > 00{:}12{:}57.810$ We can have normative accounts of why equity is essential,
- 270 00:12:57.810 --> 00:12:59.370 and we can have practical guidelines.
- 271 00:12:59.370 --> 00:13:00.900 which are frameworks and norms
- $272\ 00:13:00.900 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.390$ of how we should be operating in these collaborations,
- $273\ 00{:}13{:}03.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06.990$ but we need these empirical studies to inform action.
- 274 00:13:06.990 --> 00:13:08.760 And I think this quote from Bauer,

- 275 00:13:08.760 --> 00:13:11.630 it's a study of why considering equity
- 276 00:13:11.630 --> 00:13:15.190 in global health collaborations is necessary.
- $277\ 00:13:15.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.220$ This really just sums up all of that.
- 278 00:13:17.220 --> 00:13:18.390 I'm not gonna read the whole quote,
- $279\ 00:13:18.390 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.890$ but I'd like to focus on this idea
- $280\ 00{:}13{:}19.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.220$ that empirical studies can provide important insights
- 281 00:13:23.220 --> 00:13:25.380 from the experiences of those involved
- $282\ 00{:}13{:}25.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28.470$ in developing equitable research collaborations.
- 283 00:13:28.470 --> 00:13:30.930 They could also inform policies, frameworks,
- $284\ 00{:}13{:}30{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33{.}620$ and guidelines related to equitable research collaborations
- $285\ 00:13:33.620 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.500$ in global health.
- 286 00:13:34.500 --> 00:13:36.930 So tying to implementation science,
- 287 00:13:36.930 --> 00:13:38.850 we're really trying to build an evidence base
- $288\ 00:13:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.260$ of we have these frameworks,
- $289\ 00:13:40.260 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.030$ but are these frameworks actually doing
- $290\ 00:13:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.730$ what we think that they are doing?
- 291 00:13:46.620 --> 00:13:49.920 And just, again, I wanna reflect on this image.
- $292\ 00:13:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.720$ This is what we're trying to understand.
- 293 00:13:51.720 --> 00:13:53.910 We're trying to use qualitative data
- $294\ 00:13:53.910 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.290$ to make sense of these arrows
- $295\ 00:13:55.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.820$ and to discern some of the patterns
- $296\ 00:13:56.820 --> 00:14:00.090$ and how individuals are acting in this system.
- 297 00:14:00.090 --> 00:14:01.710 And a lot of times in global health,
- 298 00:14:01.710 --> 00:14:03.180 in health system studies,
- $299\ 00{:}14{:}03.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.450$ we talk about the software and the hardware of the system.
- 300 00:14:06.450 --> 00:14:09.270 And briefly, I'll just talk about that.
- 301 00:14:09.270 --> 00:14:11.970 The hardware is generally the infrastructure,
- 302 00:14:11.970 --> 00:14:13.500 the finance, technology,

- $303\ 00:14:13.500 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.450$ interventions that are really easy to measure
- $304\ 00:14:15.450 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.000$ and see that we're making these investments.
- $305\ 00:14:18.000 --> 00:14:21.390$ And then associated with that is the tangible software.
- $306\ 00:14:21.390 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.800$ So those are kind of go together.
- $307~00:14:22.800 \dashrightarrow 00:14:25.110$ That's how you create structures and systems
- $308~00{:}14{:}25.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.380$ and hierarchies of how you're going to make change
- $309\ 00:14:28.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.180$ within health system.
- $310\ 00:14:30.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.130$ Now, what we're really curious about though,
- $311\ 00:14:32.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.903$ and especially in this study,
- $312\ 00:14:35.100 --> 00:14:38.130$ are the values and norms and the relationships
- $313\ 00:14:38.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.650$ and communication and power that exists
- 314 00:14:40.650 --> 00:14:44.670 that aren't as easy to measure or to understand.
- $315\ 00{:}14{:}44.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47.010$ You can't really put a quantitative measure on this.
- $316\ 00{:}14{:}47.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49.710$ So by understanding the lived experience of it,
- $317\ 00{:}14{:}49.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52.510$ we can try to map places to intervene and strengthen it.
- $318\ 00{:}14{:}53.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}56.700$ So all of these questions and all of this background
- $319\ 00:14:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.960$ now led to a study of this.
- $320\ 00:14:57.960 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.100$ So we wanted to examine these dynamics and perceptions
- 321 00:15:01.100 --> 00:15:03.810 through financial control, accountability,
- $322\ 00:15:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.580$ and decision-making.
- $323\ 00{:}15{:}05.580 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}15{:}09.450$ And the idea of focusing on these three within the study
- 324 00:15:09.450 --> 00:15:11.670 gave us our interview guide,
- $325\ 00:15:11.670 --> 00:15:13.890$ some structure to follow along with.
- $326\ 00:15:13.890 --> 00:15:16.350$ And we really wanted to keep it broad
- $327\ 00:15:16.350 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.540$ to talk about the partnerships in Liberia.

- $328\ 00:15:18.540 --> 00:15:20.880$ It wasn't just financial donors
- $329\ 00:15:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.960$ and it wasn't just recipients.
- $330\ 00:15:21.960 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.020$ We talked to academics, we talked to NGOs
- $331\ 00:15:25.020 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.623$ to really try to get a rich experience of how this operates.
- $332\ 00:15:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.873$ So a few of the procedures.
- $333\ 00:15:31.980 --> 00:15:35.700$ This here is a picture of some of the research team.
- 334 00:15:35.700 --> 00:15:37.440 I think we're missing a few people,
- 335 00:15:37.440 --> 00:15:40.380 but right in the center there is Dr. Talbert Slagle.
- $336\ 00:15:40.380 \dashrightarrow 00:15:42.300$ And these are some of the students that were there
- $337\ 00:15:42.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.540$ over the summer representing Yale.
- $338\ 00:15:45.540 --> 00:15:49.620$ So we obtained IRBs to start from both universities.
- $339\ 00:15:49.620 --> 00:15:52.890$ So it was grounded in ethics in both Liberia
- $340\ 00:15:52.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.510$ and here at Yale.
- $341\ 00:15:54.510 --> 00:15:55.920$ And the participants were recruited
- $342\ 00:15:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.680$ through purposeful sampling and then snowball sampling.
- $343\ 00:15:58.680 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.970$ So the purposeful part of it
- $344\ 00:15:59.970 --> 00:16:04.110$ was reached out directly to by Dr. Dahn.
- $345\ 00:16:04.110 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.560$ And so she was able to work within her network as well.
- $346\ 00{:}16{:}07.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10.080$ It was so strong and it would kind of have been a huge pass
- $347\ 00:16:10.080 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.620$ to not use her assistance with that.
- 348 00:16:13.620 --> 00:16:15.090 And then once we started the interviews,
- $349\ 00:16:15.090 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.350$ there was snowball sampling.
- $350\ 00{:}16{:}16.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18.900$ So interviewees were able to recommend different people
- 351 00:16:18.900 --> 00:16:19.770 throughout the country

- $352\ 00:16:19.770 --> 00:16:22.590$ that they felt would have powerful insight as well.
- $353\ 00:16:22.590 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.760$ So the interviews were in the summer of 2022
- 354 00:16:26.760 --> 00:16:27.900 between July and August.
- $355\ 00:16:27.900 --> 00:16:30.600$ Then they were anywhere between 30 and 60 minutes
- 356 00:16:30.600 --> 00:16:34.230 either on Zoom or in-person in Liberia as possible.
- 357 00:16:34.230 --> 00:16:37.683 And to ensure kind of quality assurance,
- 358~00:16:40.020 --> 00:16:42.000 we had a Liberian data collector
- 359 00:16:42.000 --> 00:16:44.220 and an American data collector
- 360 00:16:44.220 --> 00:16:46.860 present at each data collection opportunity.
- $361\ 00:16:46.860 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.980$ And this was to make sure it was quality data collection,
- $362\ 00:16:49.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.240$ but also we were using this
- $363\ 00:16:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.737$ as a training opportunity for students.
- 364 00:16:52.737 --> 00:16:55.500 And so it's really good to be able to hear
- $365\ 00:16:55.500 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.940$ what your colleagues are doing
- 366 00:16:56.940 --> 00:16:59.490 or follow-up questions they might use
- $367\ 00:16:59.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.470$ or be able to flag a point where they missed the question.
- $368~00:17:01.470 \dashrightarrow 00:17:03.450$ These were all novice data collectors.
- $369\ 00:17:03.450 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.490$ So it was great to have the teams.
- $370\ 00:17:05.490 --> 00:17:09.300$ And then the students all transcribed the data themselves,
- $371\ 00{:}17{:}09.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}12.360$ which also helped embed them fully in this process
- 372 00:17:12.360 --> 00:17:14.520 because if anyone's done transcription,
- $373\ 00:17:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.400$ it is not the most fun of a process
- $374\ 00:17:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.020$ and it takes a lot of time.
- $375\ 00:17:19.020 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.700$ But they were great.
- $376\ 00{:}17{:}20.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}25.700$ And we ended up having a total of 38 interviews.

- $377\ 00:17:26.250 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.590$ We realized after the fact
- $378\ 00:17:28.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.940$ that we didn't do great training on note-taking
- $379\ 00:17:31.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.470$ if anyone declines to be interviewed.
- $380\ 00:17:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.300$ So we did eliminate three of those
- $381\ 00:17:36.300 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.580$ just on the idea that it didn't seem like
- 382 00:17:38.580 --> 00:17:40.290 we really had done adequate training
- $383\ 00:17:40.290 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.790$ for the data collectors.
- 384 00:17:41.790 --> 00:17:43.893 But we were still left with 35 interviews.
- $385\ 00{:}17{:}44.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}47.850$ We were really trying to have solid female representation
- $386\ 00:17:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.340$ because sometimes in global health, that can be very skewed.
- $387\ 00:17:50.340 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.263$ And I'd say 40% we did okay.
- 388 00:17:53.340 --> 00:17:54.173 And nationality,
- $389\ 00{:}17{:}54.173 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}57.260$ we definitely wanted the Liberian experience overall
- $390\ 00:17:57.260 --> 00:17:59.490$ and what it's like to be working in Liberia.
- $391\ 00:17:59.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.280$ So that felt good as well.
- $392\ 00:18:02.280$ --> 00:18:04.650 And then this idea of the classification of position.
- $393~00{:}18{:}04.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06.990$ Many of these people have been working in this field
- $394\ 00:18:06.990 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.850$ for many, many years.
- 395 00:18:08.850 --> 00:18:10.320 And they had many different roles.
- 396 00:18:10.320 --> 00:18:12.330 Some had been Liberian government workers.
- $397\ 00:18:12.330 --> 00:18:14.780$ Some had then switched into NGOs
- 398~00:18:14.780 --> 00:18:17.700 and some then had even shifted into the role of a donor.
- 399 00:18:17.700 --> 00:18:20.550 So in the process of reviewing the data,
- $400\ 00:18:20.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.650$ we did our own classification then
- $401\ 00:18:22.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.620$ of what was the most prominently discussed role.

- $402\ 00{:}18{:}25.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}28.170$ So that also forced the deeper reading of the data
- $403\ 00:18:28.170 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.870$ to be sure we were capturing that.
- $404\ 00:18:33.540 --> 00:18:36.780$ So here we are now, we have these 35 interviews
- $405\ 00:18:36.780 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.550$ and we were trying to think like,
- $406\ 00:18:38.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.800$ what is the best way to be analyzing this data?
- 407 00:18:40.800 --> 00:18:42.330 And we were really guided
- $408\ 00:18:42.330 --> 00:18:44.760$ by Brown and Clark's thematic analysis,
- $409\ 00:18:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.610$ which is a method for identifying, analyzing
- $410\ 00:18:47.610 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.660$ and reporting patterns or themes within data.
- 411 00:18:51.660 --> 00:18:53.790 And I know I have this as my last bullet point,
- 412 00:18:53.790 --> 00:18:55.830 but I think I will note it as my first.
- $413\ 00:18:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.990$ Thematic analysis is the most used
- $414\ 00{:}18{:}57.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}00.510$ but least well-defined method of qualitative analysis.
- 415 00:19:00.510 --> 00:19:03.180 So it was really important,
- $416\ 00{:}19{:}03.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}05.610$ especially for me having worked with qualitative data
- 417 00:19:05.610 --> 00:19:06.790 that I really wanted to document
- $418\ 00:19:06.790 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.900$ why we were making the decisions we were making
- 419 00:19:09.900 --> 00:19:12.660 and why this actually was the best way
- $420\ 00:19:12.660 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.240$ to be conducting this analysis.
- 421 00:19:15.240 --> 00:19:16.890 And again, this is a training opportunity.
- $422\ 00:19:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.410$ So this type of analysis fit well
- $423\ 00:19:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.300$ with being able to train students.
- 424 00:19:21.300 --> 00:19:24.780 It's a good intro to qualitative analysis.
- $425\ 00{:}19{:}24.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27.630$ We could also go from picking a rich description
- $426\ 00{:}19{:}27.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30.570$ of the dataset and doing a broad overview of it.

- $427\ 00:19:30.570 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.640$ or we were able to dive in with questions.
- 428 00:19:32.640 --> 00:19:33.900 And at this stage, I'll be honest,
- $429\ 00{:}19{:}33.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36.510$ we weren't really sure what we were going to do.
- $430\ 00:19:36.510 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.120$ If that we thought we wanted to do a rich description,
- $431\ 00:19:39.120 --> 00:19:41.580$ the more we engage with the data, it was huge.
- $432\ 00:19:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.370$ So we started asking more specific questions.
- 433 00:19:44.370 --> 00:19:45.720 And you'll see when we get to the analysis,
- $434\ 00:19:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.720$ we actually kind of did a layered like two-level analysis,
- $435\ 00:19:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.160$ which was really fun.
- $436\ 00:19:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.050$ So I've never done that either.
- $437\ 00:19:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.020$ But just to note that we actually started
- 438 00:19:55.020 --> 00:19:55.980 with grounded theory.
- $439\ 00:19:55.980 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.170$ We thought that's what we were going into.
- $440\ 00:19:58.170 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.150$ So just to highlight that this really was
- $441\ 00:20:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.410$ like a team engagement.
- 442 00:20:01.410 --> 00:20:02.730 We were going back and forth thinking
- $443\ 00:20:02.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.170$ what theories make sense.
- $444\ 00:20:04.170 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.940$ And grounded theory, we felt we
- 445 00:20:05.940 --> 00:20:07.590 actually already kind of knew
- $446\ 00:20:07.590 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.150$ what we were expecting from the data.
- $447\ 00:20:09.150 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.690$ We knew what patterns existed in this type of world,
- $448\ 00:20:12.690 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.790$ but we were trying to see how they manifested
- $449\ 00:20:14.790 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.623$ within Liberia.
- $450\ 00:20:15.623 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.690$ So we felt that there was enough of already theoretical,
- $451\ 00:20:18.690 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.820$ small T theoretical background
- 452 00:20:20.820 --> 00:20:23.793 of what we could be expecting with this data.

- $453\ 00{:}20{:}25{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28.680$ So just a bit about the structure of the application
- $454\ 00:20:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.970$ of thematic analysis.
- $455\ 00:20:29.970 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.610$ It kind of is on a spectrum a little bit,
- 456 00:20:32.610 --> 00:20:34.470 starting with coding reliability,
- 457 00:20:34.470 --> 00:20:36.810 which really focused on getting this objective
- $458\ 00:20:36.810 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.040$ and unbiased coding.
- $459\ 00{:}20{:}38.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}41.640$ You're using a codebook that every one agrees is defined
- $460~00:20:41.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.090$ and strongly used and reflective of the data
- $461\ 00:20:45.090 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.100$ and also what you expect to see.
- 462 00:20:47.100 --> 00:20:50.130 So the goal with that is that every team member
- $463\ 00:20:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.780$ could see an excerpt of data
- $464\ 00{:}20{:}51.780 --> 00{:}20{:}54.540$ and know exactly what code that would go into.
- $465\ 00:20:54.540 \dashrightarrow 00:20:57.240$ I mean, that's if you have perfect inter-rater reliability,
- $466\ 00:20:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.940$ which is a measure of how much you are actually
- $467\ 00{:}20{:}59.940 {\: -->}\ 00{:}21{:}03.650$ as a team coding the same data the same way over and over.
- $468\ 00{:}21{:}03.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}08.250$ So this is great for teams, but it also is pretty rigid
- 469 00:21:08.250 --> 00:21:09.930 in how you're structuring your codebook.
- $470\ 00:21:09.930 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.260$ So you kind of have that set early on.
- $471\ 00:21:13.260 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.770$ And then on the other end, all the way on the flexible end,
- $472\ 00{:}21{:}16.770 \longrightarrow 00{:}21{:}20.810$ you are kind of, the idea is that the researcher embraces
- $473\ 00:21:20.810 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.070$ where they're sitting with the data.
- $474\ 00{:}21{:}23.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25.380$ You're really acknowledging that like you are part
- 475 00:21:25.380 --> 00:21:27.750 of the tool that is working with this data.

- 476 00:21:27.750 --> 00:21:29.550 You're having like a big impact
- $477\ 00:21:29.550 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.280$ on how the data is shaped as well.
- $478\ 00{:}21{:}32.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36.090$ There's open coding and no real structured codebook.
- $479\ 00{:}21{:}36.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}38.760$ You can have like notes and documentation throughout,
- $480\ 00:21:38.760 --> 00:21:43.020$ but the goal is that your end result from coding is themes.
- 481 00:21:43.020 --> 00:21:45.240 It's not really creating a codebook to go back
- $482\ 00:21:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.500$ and reapply this codebook.
- $483\ 00{:}21{:}46.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}50.163$ So really that's kind of like an individual setting.
- $484\ 00{:}21{:}51.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}53.637$ And then somewhere in the middle, we have codebook
- $485\ 00{:}21{:}53.637 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}57.060$ and codebook uses kind of both of them a little bit.
- $486\ 00{:}21{:}57.060 --> 00{:}21{:}59.340$ You're using a structured codebook to assist analysis,
- $487\ 00{:}21{:}59.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02.943$ but that's not driving the objectivity of your results.
- $488\ 00:22:04.200 \dashrightarrow 00:22:06.770$ Accuracy between coders is not the focus of the codebook.
- $489\ 00{:}22{:}06.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09.420$ And the codebook can really evolve throughout the process.
- $490\ 00:22:09.420 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.300$ Again, with qualitative, as long as you're documenting,
- $491\ 00:22:12.300 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.310$ the goal is to really be documenting, documenting,
- $492\ 00:22:14.310 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.650$ documenting why you're doing what you're doing
- $493\ 00:22:16.650 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.150$ and why it makes sense.
- $494\ 00:22:18.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.980$ And it's really great for teams.
- $495\ 00:22:19.980 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.480$ Again, we were working with a team.
- $496~00{:}22{:}21.480 --> 00{:}22{:}23.640$ It was a pretty big team by the time we got to coding.

- $497\ 00:22:23.640 --> 00:22:27.240$ So we needed some kind of structure to assist us.
- 498 00:22:27.240 --> 00:22:29.010 But again, we were really trying to keep it
- $499\ 00:22:29.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.670$ as organic as possible when we were moving through the data.
- $500\ 00:22:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.650$ So I'd say we fell kind of about there.
- 501 00:22:34.650 --> 00:22:36.990 We were within the codebook structure,
- $502\ 00:22:36.990 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.990$ but there was a lot of reflexive aspects going on.
- $503\ 00:22:40.990 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.100$ I'll talk a bit more about the structure of the team,
- 504 00:22:44.100 --> 00:22:46.740 but I was reflexive of working
- $505\ 00:22:46.740 --> 00:22:48.450$ between two different teams on this
- 506 00:22:48.450 --> 00:22:50.850 and also how the codebook was adapting
- $507\ 00:22:50.850 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.050$ and changing throughout.
- $508\ 00:22:53.910 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.450$ So there are six steps of thematic analysis.
- $509~00:22:57.450 \dashrightarrow 00:22:59.090$ You start with familiarizing yourself
- $510\ 00:22:59.090 --> 00:23:01.410$ and generating your initial codes.
- 511 00:23:01.410 --> 00:23:02.400 And that's kind of a phase
- $512\ 00:23:02.400 --> 00:23:05.550$ where you're going back and forth a little bit.
- $513\ 00{:}23{:}05.550$ --> $00{:}23{:}10.550$ But we tended to kind of stay in this cycle for a while here
- $514\ 00:23:11.370 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.730$ before we moved on to themes and the other steps in it.
- 515 00:23:14.730 --> 00:23:16.740 But I'll focus on this for now,
- $516~00{:}23{:}16.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}20.340$ the process that we had five members now of the team coding.
- $517~00{:}23{:}20.340$ --> $00{:}23{:}25.340$ We had two Liberian citizens and two American, and then me.
- $518\ 00:23:26.430 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.480$ I was the fifth.
- 519 00:23:27.480 --> 00:23:30.360 So we started our first meeting.
- $520\ 00:23:30.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.310$ Both PIs were present as well.
- 521 00:23:32.310 --> 00:23:34.130 We all read through three interviews

- $522\ 00:23:34.130 --> 00:23:36.780$ and created an initial codebook
- 523 00:23:36.780 --> 00:23:39.630 and thought, had many discussions
- 524 00:23:39.630 --> 00:23:41.610 of how does this codebook pan out?
- 525 00:23:41.610 --> 00:23:42.930 Does it work? Does it not?
- $526\ 00:23:42.930 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.200$ And I think, I know we had at least one two-hour meeting.
- 527 00:23:46.200 --> 00:23:47.730 I think we had two two-hour meetings
- 528 00:23:47.730 --> 00:23:49.410 to kind of get through this rough idea
- $529\ 00:23:49.410 --> 00:23:51.360$ of what a codebook could look like.
- $530\ 00:23:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.410$ And then we came to an idea,
- $531\ 00:23:52.410 --> 00:23:54.360$ like a consensus on what a draft was.
- $532\ 00:23:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.180$ And then we moved it to code two more interviews.
- 533 00:23:57.180 --> 00:23:59.640 And this ended up just being the coding team,
- $534\ 00:23:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.370$ the four students and myself.
- $535\ 00:24:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.470$ And then we came back and continued
- $536\ 00:24:04.470 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.350$ to refine this codebook and finalize it.
- $537\ 00:24:07.350$ --> 00:24:10.350 So after our second meeting, then we split into two teams.
- $538\ 00:24:10.350 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.640$ I'll walk through that a little bit now.
- $539~00{:}24{:}11.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}14.577$ This is a screen shot of kind of how we organized it.
- $540~00{:}24{:}14.577 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17.880$ And I think it'll walk through a little bit of this process.
- 541 00:24:17.880 --> 00:24:20.640 It says we did 10 weeks.
- $542\ 00:24:20.640 --> 00:24:22.230$ That was over the course of many months.
- 543 00:24:22.230 --> 00:24:24.690 We were working with multiple schedules,
- 544 00:24:24.690 --> 00:24:29.250 multiple commitments, multiple time zones,
- 545~00:24:29.250 --> 00:24:32.040 and students in very different aspects of their careers.
- 546 00:24:32.040 --> 00:24:35.010 So we ended up having two meetings.
- $547\ 00:24:35.010 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.950$ Once we sat with, you know,
- $548\ 00:24:36.950 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.530$ this part of having the initial code team going,

- $549~00{:}24{:}40.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}43.350$ then we split into two teams, one and team two.
- $550\ 00:24:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.330\ I$ sat on both teams.
- $551\ 00:24:45.330 --> 00:24:48.180$ So every time each team met, I met as well.
- 552 00:24:48.180 --> 00:24:50.010 And then we'd meet as a group every Friday
- $553\ 00:24:50.010 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.540$ to then go over the codebook
- 554 00:24:51.540 --> 00:24:54.180 and reassess and decide, you know,
- 555 00:24:54.180 --> 00:24:55.830 what changes are we making?
- 556 00:24:55.830 --> 00:24:57.060 And you can kind of see at the top,
- $557\ 00{:}24{:}57.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00.960$ we had the deadline for when we wanted to complete it.
- $558~00{:}25{:}00.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03.930$ And then in blue, it was when we actually completed it
- $559~00:25:03.930 \dashrightarrow 00:25:06.010$ because some meetings took a lot longer.
- $560~00{:}25{:}06.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}09.330$ And then we highlighted which codebook we would be using
- $561~00{:}25{:}09.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11.850$ because we were using many iterations of this codebook
- $562\ 00:25:11.850 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.397$ as it was defined throughout.
- 563 00:25:14.397 --> 00:25:17.280 And I'll do a quick screen share then
- $564\ 00:25:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.710$ to just highlight the evolution of the codebook.
- $565\ 00:25:19.710 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.410$ This is from the end of September last year,
- $566\ 00:25:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.330$ one of our first codes.
- $567\ 00:25:24.330$ --> 00:25:27.420 You can see that we started with what we would have
- $568\ 00:25:27.420 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.820$ as like our parent code, how we defined it,
- $569\ 00:25:29.820 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.800$ how we had child codes.
- $570~00:25:31.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.690$ I'll just focus on accountability right now.
- $571\ 00:25:33.690 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.620$ You can see that we broke that down into many different,
- 572 00:25:37.620 --> 00:25:40.620 you know, D meant donor, R meant recipient.
- $573\ 00{:}25{:}40.620 {\: -->\:} 00{:}25{:}43.290$ At this point, we were still classifying our interviews

- $574\ 00:25:43.290 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.010$ as donor and recipient, which then the more we read them,
- $575\ 00:25:47.010 --> 00:25:49.140$ that's where we started to get, you know,
- 576 00:25:49.140 --> 00:25:52.410 some donors are, or some recipients
- $577\ 00:25:52.410 --> 00:25:54.540$ are actually donors themselves.
- 578 00:25:54.540 --> 00:25:55.920 And, you know, it was a really,
- $579\ 00:25:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.830$ we had to actually classify the interviews then
- $580\ 00:25:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.060$ and it made it a lot easier.
- $581~00{:}26{:}00.060$ --> $00{:}26{:}02.280$ But you can see that this is like the first iteration.
- $582\ 00:26:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.280$ You can see notes, you can see changes.
- $583\ 00:26:05.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.650$ And then finally to the last one.
- $584\ 00:26:07.650 --> 00:26:10.140$ So this was really just a screenshot
- 585 00:26:10.140 --> 00:26:13.440 of how, you know, accountability evolved.
- $586~00{:}26{:}13.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.350$ Then we, once we had realized we could classify people
- 587~00:26:16.350 --> 00:26:19.530 as to what position they were speaking about the most,
- $588~00{:}26{:}19.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22.680$ it just came down to, was there a form of accountability
- 589 00:26:22.680 --> 00:26:24.480 or was there a lack of accountability?
- $590~00{:}26{:}24.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.580$ So then we could go into how are NGOs
- 591 00:26:26.580 --> 00:26:28.890 talking about forms of accountability?
- 592 00:26:28.890 --> 00:26:31.950 How are donors, foreign donors
- 593 00:26:31.950 --> 00:26:34.470 talking about forms of accountability?
- $594~00{:}26{:}34.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38.010$ They aren't, if you want to know, in their own form.
- $595\ 00:26:38.010 --> 00:26:40.680$ So it became a lot easier to figure out
- $596\ 00{:}26{:}40.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}44.310$ how to start organizing and structuring the data.
- $597~00:26:44.310 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.190$ Oh, I just saw the note that every time I turn my head,
- 598 00:26:48.190 --> 00:26:49.620 I'm muscling sound.

- $599~00:26:49.620 \dashrightarrow 00:26:52.443$ So I will keep focused on the computer from now on.
- $600\ 00:26:54.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.520$ So yeah, the codebook, as you can also see
- $601\ 00:26:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.310$ at the bottom of the screen here,
- $602~00{:}26{:}58.310 --> 00{:}27{:}01.140$ we went through so many iterations and we dated them
- $603\ 00{:}27{:}01.140 --> 00{:}27{:}03.750$ so that as a team, we could go back to the shared document
- $604\,00{:}27{:}03.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}07.803$ and know which is the most relevant codebook to be using.
- $605\ 00:27:11.670 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.500$ So here we are now coming back together.
- $606\ 00:27:13.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.610$ We're searching for themes.
- $607\ 00:27:14.610 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.500$ So imagine this is now,
- $608\ 00:27:16.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.760$ we've got through all 11 weeks of those meetings,
- 609 00:27:20.760 --> 00:27:24.360 which were 30 some meetings on my end
- $610\ 00:27:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.887$ of like getting these teams together.
- $611\ 00:27:25.887 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.480$ And we're starting to talk about themes.
- $612\ 00{:}27{:}27.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}29.790$ So all five of us met and discussed common themes
- 613 00:27:29.790 --> 00:27:31.600 and talked about our notes on what we saw
- $614\ 00:27:31.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.997$ kind of coming out of the data.
- $615\ 00{:}27{:}32.997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35.910$ And we started grouping codes based on where we thought
- $616\ 00:27:35.910$ --> 00:27:39.693 codes were fitting into give development to these themes.
- $617~00{:}27{:}41.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.780$ And then from here, we did discuss as a big group,
- $618\ 00:27:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.440$ but then really only me and one of the team members
- $619\ 00{:}27{:}49.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52.740$ continued to start actually writing our results up
- $620\ 00{:}27{:}52.740$ --> $00{:}27{:}57.740$ and refining and defining and naming the themes.
- 621 00:27:57.750 --> 00:28:00.840 And I'll be honest at this stage, it was just,

- $622\ 00:28:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.140$ we were doing a lot of wrangling with a lot of people.
- 623 00:28:04.140 --> 00:28:06.480 So it kind of made sense to just start focusing
- 624 00:28:06.480 --> 00:28:08.220 a little bit more on how to move forward
- $625\ 00:28:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.400$ now the data analysis part of it.
- $626\ 00:28:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.800$ And it was great.
- 627 00:28:10.800 --> 00:28:12.750 People, I think within that group,
- 628 00:28:12.750 --> 00:28:15.300 I'd say people were graduating,
- $629\ 00:28:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.133$ some were working on other projects.
- $630~00:28:18.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.060$ So it was never like people didn't want to be involved.
- 631 00:28:21.060 --> 00:28:22.530 They were always willing to give feedback
- $632\ 00:28:22.530 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.580$ and step in as needed.
- $633\ 00:28:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.193$ But this one student really had an aptitude for coding
- $634\ 00:28:28.193 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.300$ as well for qualitative analysis.
- $635\ 00:28:30.300 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.740$ And in the vein of being reflexive,
- $636~00:28:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.470$ I'd say maybe she and I worked well together.
- $637\ 00:28:37.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.970$ Maybe we approached the data the same,
- $638\ 00:28:38.970 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.340$ but at the same time, I was just,
- $639\ 00{:}28{:}41.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.650$ she was a student who had never taken qualitative before,
- 640 00:28:43.650 --> 00:28:46.410 but she was willing to just really discuss.
- $641~00{:}28{:}46.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48.870$ And she was never a fraid to kind of get knee deep in it
- $642\ 00{:}28{:}48.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}52.170$ and really talk through what definition she was seeing
- 643 00:28:52.170 --> 00:28:53.730 or to push back against something
- $644\ 00:28:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.140\ I$ or someone else was saying,
- $645\ 00:28:55.140 --> 00:28:59.010$ or to be quick to jump in and give examples
- $646\ 00:28:59.010 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.750$ to bolster other people's feedback.
- $647\ 00:29:00.750 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.580$ So she just got it.

- $648~00{:}29{:}02.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}06.600$ So I'd say from there, it became her and I really working on
- 649 00:29:06.600 --> 00:29:08.970 how to move forward with this.
- $650\ 00:29:08.970 \dashrightarrow 00:29:13.970$ So I'll present now some of the results that we saw.
- 651 00:29:14.370 --> 00:29:16.830 Again, a lot of this is focused on the analysis,
- $652\ 00:29:16.830 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.580$ but we do have results that I think were really powerful.
- $653\ 00:29:20.580 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.890$ We have two phases of the analysis.
- 654 00:29:22.890 --> 00:29:25.140 And after I go through some of the results,
- $655\ 00:29:25.140 --> 00:29:27.390$ I'd like to talk a bit about just what it took
- $656\ 00:29:27.390 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.970$ to get to the images that we used,
- $657\ 00{:}29{:}29.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32.220$ because I think also in qualitative work,
- $658\ 00:29:32.220 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.453$ it is so powerful to have images
- $659\ 00:29:33.453 --> 00:29:35.970$ that can represent what you're trying to say.
- $660\ 00:29:35.970 \dashrightarrow 00:29:38.620$ And it's kind of a quick takeaway for people as well.
- 661 00:29:39.720 --> 00:29:43.320 So starting here, we found this cycle of,
- $662\ 00:29:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.480$ it's pretty clear, whoever had control of the money
- $663\ 00:29:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.700$ was the person making the calls
- $664\ 00:29:47.700 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.940$ for how they were going to prioritize using that money.
- 665~00:29:50.940 --> 00:29:54.540 And then a lot of times, so we'll talk actually first a bit
- 666 00:29:54.540 --> 00:29:56.850 about just that pattern right there,
- $667\ 00:29:56.850 --> 00:29:59.460$ who has the money and how that gets to be
- $668\ 00:29:59.460 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.290$ in that priority setting power.
- $669~00:30:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.960$ So we started this analysis really diving first
- 670 00:30:03.960 --> 00:30:05.550 into priority setting power.
- $671\ 00{:}30{:}05.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}08.550$ So we wanted to see kind of how that manifested
- $672\ 00{:}30{:}08.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}11.160$ within the system, but how that was being pushed along.

- $673\ 00:30:11.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.050$ So that's why we focus now a bit
- $674\ 00:30:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.903$ on what financial control really means.
- $675\ 00{:}30{:}17.340 --> 00{:}30{:}20.850$ So the theme we discussed was that priority setting power
- $676\ 00:30:20.850 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.340$ is most strongly tied to whoever has the financial control.
- 677 00:30:24.340 --> 00:30:25.800 Not surprising, again,
- $678\ 00:30:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.500$ this was something we kind of expected to see.
- $679~00{:}30{:}28.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}31.530$ There was a strong hesitancy among those we interviewed
- $680\ 00:30:31.530 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.150$ to say no to donors.
- $681\ 00:30:33.150 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.430$ There was kind of a pressure that if you say no,
- $682\ 00:30:35.430 --> 00:30:37.200$ you're going to damage a relationship
- $683\ 00:30:37.200 --> 00:30:39.060$ to have funding for a long time.
- $684\ 00:30:39.060 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.620$ So it was tedious.
- $685\ 00:30:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.120$ And that also there was a feeling
- $686\ 00:30:42.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.830$ that these gestures of collaboration
- $687\ 00:30:43.830 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.910$ were not actually genuine.
- $688\ 00:30:44.910 --> 00:30:47.130$ They were kind of just checking boxes.
- 689 00:30:47.130 --> 00:30:50.670 And it was kind of obvious to definitely
- $690\ 00:30:50.670 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.140$ on the side of being a recipient,
- $691\ 00:30:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.693$ but sometimes donors are mentioning that as well,
- $692\ 00{:}30{:}54.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}57.700$ that they were doing this because it was expected.
- $693\ 00:30:57.700 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.100$ And I'd like to read this quote.
- 694 00:30:59.100 --> 00:31:01.120 I think it's a great quote that sums up
- $695\ 00:31:01.120 --> 00:31:04.113$ being engaged in this type of work right now.
- 696 00:31:04.950 --> 00:31:06.000 Most of the time,
- $697\ 00{:}31{:}06.000$ --> $00{:}31{:}09.180$ the international partners come with what they want to do.

698 00:31:09.180 --> 00:31:11.430 So they prioritize what they want to do

 $699\ 00{:}31{:}11.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}15.080$ in a particular environment or in a particular area.

700 00:31:15.080 --> 00:31:17.920 And in many instances, you either accept it

 $701\ 00:31:17.920 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.803$ or it is pushed down your throat.

 $702\ 00:31:20.670$ --> 00:31:24.030 It is a bad process because when you are building a system,

 $703\ 00:31:24.030 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.470$ if you want to help,

 $704\ 00:31:25.470 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.530$ it is good to work with the people that you want to help

 $705\ 00:31:28.530 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.680$ to tell you what their priorities are.

 $706\ 00:31:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.630$ And you can work around it so that at the end of the day,

 $707\ 00:31:33.630 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.550$ they have an ownership to it.

 $708\ 00:31:35.550 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.030$ But if you decide on what you want to do for the recipient,

 $709\ 00:31:39.030 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.830$ there is no ownership.

 $710\ 00:31:40.830 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.513$ So it's just thrown on them.

711 00:31:43.410 --> 00:31:46.740 And I think that that push of it being,

 $712\ 00:31:46.740 --> 00:31:49.410$ that notion of it being pushed down your throat,

713 00:31:49.410 --> 00:31:51.330 like this quote just stood out immediately

 $714\ 00{:}31{:}51.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56.330$ that it was, you know, people are very graphically honest

 $715\ 00:31:57.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.483$ that they have no say in how this is being negotiated.

716 00:32:03.480 --> 00:32:05.940 So then now we'll focus a bit on the other side

717 00:32:05.940 --> 00:32:08.640 You'll see that I like to really demarcate

 $718\ 00:32:08.640 --> 00:32:11.940$ where I'm functioning in these images as well.

 $719\ 00:32:11.940 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.100$ But now there's this idea.

720 00:32:14.100 --> 00:32:15.360 So you set your priorities,

721 00:32:15.360 --> 00:32:18.360 you have how you want this work to get done,

 $722\ 00:32:18.360 --> 00:32:21.840$ but then metrics are being consistently set

- $723\ 00:32:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.880$ to meet those priorities.
- 724 00:32:23.880 --> 00:32:26.380 So if you're meeting those priorities,
- $725\ 00{:}32{:}26.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29.820$ you hit those metrics, the funders are seeing this
- $726\ 00:32:29.820 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.950$ and they're funding the same type of cycle.
- 727 00:32:31.950 --> 00:32:33.900 You know, that's just innate nature.
- $728\ 00:32:33.900 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.100$ It's a feedback loop,
- $729\ 00:32:35.100 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.180$ a reinforcing feedback loop of that exact process happening.
- $730\ 00{:}32{:}39.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}42.060$ So these implementation plans are driven by donor priorities
- $731\ 00:32:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.321$ with outputs that reflect these
- $732\ 00:32:44.321 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.100$ donors' measures and metrics.
- 733 00:32:47.100 --> 00:32:48.360 Sometimes they're not reflecting
- $734\ 00:32:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.543$ what the country members really even want to be seeing.
- 735 00:32:52.440 --> 00:32:54.800 So there's a stronger obligation back to home country
- $736\ 00:32:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.760$ than to the Liberian government.
- 737 00:32:56.760 --> 00:33:01.040 A lot of times we did hear quotes on tax payer dollars
- $738\ 00:33:01.040 --> 00:33:04.080$ and who needs to be having reports back
- $739\ 00:33:04.080 --> 00:33:06.230$ on what's going on with their money abroad.
- 740 00:33:07.680 --> 00:33:09.300 And donors generally in this sense
- 741 00:33:09.300 --> 00:33:10.980 focused on short-term metrics.
- 742 00:33:10.980 --> 00:33:13.290 I'll talk about this a bit in the quote,
- 743 00:33:13.290 --> 00:33:15.000 but the Liberian government really wanted
- $744\ 00:33:15.000 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.140$ to see longer term sustainability interventions.
- 745 00:33:17.140 --> 00:33:19.290 And it's really hard to factor that in
- $746\ 00:33:19.290 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.500$ when you have to be working under someone else's priority.
- 747 00:33:22.500 --> 00:33:24.750 So then the idea was that these successful outcomes

- 748 00:33:24.750 --> 00:33:26.020 lead to successful funding,
- 749 00:33:26.020 --> 00:33:26.910 and that funding leads to, again,
- $750\ 00:33:26.910 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.130$ like I said, a reinforcing loop.
- 751 00:33:29.130 --> 00:33:33.210 So for this quote, it's not just priorities,
- $752\ 00:33:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.010$ but even the results are like,
- 753 00:33:35.010 --> 00:33:37.800 who decides what success looks like?
- $754\ 00:33:37.800 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.450$ Part of the problem is the way
- $755\ 00:33:39.450 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.610$ that the funders define success.
- $756\ 00:33:41.610 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.400$ The way that a lot of donor funded projects are measured,
- $757\ 00:33:44.400$ --> 00:33:48.030 their success is measured by performance-based indicators.
- 758~00:33:48.030 --> 00:33:50.910 And I think, you know, an important measure of success
- $759\ 00:33:50.910 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.250$ is contribution towards building systems
- $760~00:33:53.250 \dashrightarrow 00:33:56.100$ and a lot of long-term things that are difficult to measure
- $761\ 00:33:56.100 --> 00:33:58.890$ within funding cycle of even five years.
- $762\ 00:33:58.890 --> 00:34:02.140$ That also distorts how things are planned
- $763\ 00:34:02.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.910$ and how success is defined, and that's a cycle.
- 764 00:34:05.910 --> 00:34:07.620 I mean, this quote really just summarizes
- $765\ 00:34:07.620 --> 00:34:10.170$ everything I showed within that cycle.
- 766 00:34:10.170 --> 00:34:12.690 And there were a few people who also spoke about this idea
- $767\ 00:34:12.690 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.970$ that when you come into a lot of these big
- $768~00{:}34{:}14.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}19.230$ international donor organizations, or even some of the NGOs,
- $769\ 00:34:19.230 --> 00:34:21.780$ you're coming in on a five-year timeframe.
- $770\ 00{:}34{:}21.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}24.300$ And so what you wanna achieve in that five-year time frame
- 771 00:34:24.300 --> 00:34:25.950 for your specific role
- $772\ 00:34:25.950 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.510$ is what's gonna get you to your next role.

 $773\ 00:34:27.510 \dashrightarrow 00:34:30.540$ So people are naturally looking out for themselves too

774 00:34:30.540 --> 00:34:32.580 in their own career, but at this point,

775 00:34:32.580 --> 00:34:35.280 it's not creating an allegiance to building a bigger system

 $776\ 00:34:35.280 --> 00:34:37.430$ that exists beyond them when they're there.

 $777\ 00:34:41.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.760$ So reinforcing here is the first phase of the analysis.

 $778\ 00:34:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.290$ We created this loop.

779 00:34:46.290 --> 00:34:47.340 We were left thinking though,

780 00:34:47.340 --> 00:34:49.620 like, why is this loop still existing?

 $781\ 00:34:49.620 --> 00:34:51.210$ Is there a way that we can understand

 $782\ 00:34:51.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.860$ what's going on underneath it?

 $783\ 00:34:52.860 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.500$ So this is what we call the cyclical process

784 00:34:55.500 --> 00:34:56.640 of priority setting.

 $785\ 00:34:56.640 --> 00:34:59.220$ It keeps operating in this cycle.

 $786\ 00:34:59.220 --> 00:35:01.680$ So our goal was to then rotate it

787~00:35:01.680 --> 00:35:04.630 and think how can we discern what's going on underneath it?

 $788\ 00:35:05.610 --> 00:35:08.580$ So we came up with this type of image.

 $789\ 00:35:08.580 \dashrightarrow 00:35:11.670$ What's going on that's driving these types of patterns?

 $790~00:35:11.670 \dashrightarrow 00:35:14.190$ And we wanted to really understand the underlying factors

791 00:35:14.190 --> 00:35:16.023 that are influencing this process.

 $792\ 00:35:16.023 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.370$ When we wanted to create it,

793 00:35:17.370 --> 00:35:19.770 it's kind of like a vortex in a way,

 $794\ 00:35:19.770 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.170$ or the idea of the iceberg model,

 $795\ 00:35:22.170 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.280$ where what you see on the surface,

 $796\ 00:35:23.280 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.630$ there's a lot more going underneath.

 $797\ 00:35:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.110$ And it's kind of the power inherently of qualitative work.

798 00:35:28.110 --> 00:35:29.040 But at the same time,

- $799\ 00:35:29.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.950$ we wanted to show that within this data,
- $800\ 00:35:31.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.500$ three major themes kind of emerged,
- $801\ 00:35:34.500 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.840$ that there was a history of prior engagement,
- $802\ 00:35:36.840 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.390$ a level of transparency and patterns of accountability.
- $803\ 00:35:39.390 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.910$ And some of these were reflective
- $804\ 00:35:41.910 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.090$ of what we asked in our guide, our interview guide.
- 805 00:35:45.090 --> 00:35:47.130 Some had emerged a bit more organically
- $806\ 00:35:47.130 --> 00:35:50.580$ in how interviewees were talking about these topics.
- $807\ 00:35:50.580 --> 00:35:53.010$ But we did want to note that there's likely more
- $808\ 00:35:53.010 --> 00:35:55.710$ that exists underneath this as well, driving this pattern.
- $809\ 00:35:55.710 --> 00:35:57.993$ But this is what we saw within this data.
- 810 00:36:00.990 --> 00:36:02.880 So the history of a prior engagement
- 811 00:36:02.880 --> 00:36:05.880 is referring to the ways that prior engagement in Liberia
- $812\ 00:36:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.620$ forms current collaboration.
- 813 00:36:07.620 --> 00:36:10.620 So as I had said, giving the background of Liberia,
- 814 00:36:10.620 --> 00:36:12.660 there was civil conflicts
- 815 00:36:12.660 --> 00:36:14.760 that kind of set the stage on reliance.
- $816\ 00:36:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.500$ Then there was Ebola.
- 817 00:36:16.500 --> 00:36:19.470 So it created this state of emergency and crisis
- $818\ 00:36:19.470 --> 00:36:22.503$ that many donors continued to collaborate in this way.
- $819\ 00{:}36{:}23.660 \rightarrow 00{:}36{:}27.210$ There's kind of this mentality of get in, get work done,
- $820\ 00:36:27.210 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.500$ and less of a focus
- $821\ 00:36:28.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.500$ on the long-term sustainability of a system.
- $822\ 00:36:31.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.570$ Donors did have a continued fear of corruption
- $823\ 00:36:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.280$ and mismanaged funds.

- 824 00:36:35.280 --> 00:36:38.160 And many Liberians were not ignoring that.
- 825 00:36:38.160 --> 00:36:39.870 They were not saying that that wasn't true,
- $826\ 00:36:39.870 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.580$ but that was perpetuating a fear
- $827\ 00:36:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.643$ of changing types of investment and ways to engage.
- 828 00:36:46.000 --> 00:36:49.140 And this wasn't just seen,
- $829\ 00:36:49.140 --> 00:36:52.260\ I$ would assume this isn't only seen in Liberia.
- 830 00:36:52.260 --> 00:36:54.660 I think we also know from other studies and reports
- 831 00:36:54.660 \rightarrow 00:36:57.180 that external partners often operate
- $832\ 00:36:57.180 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.290$ in low resource settings
- 833 00:36:58.290 --> 00:37:01.920 in unethically, unethically questionable ways.
- 834 00:37:01.920 --> 00:37:03.390 They might not do in their home country
- $835\ 00:37:03.390 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.190$ or different types of settings.
- $836\ 00:37:05.190 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.290$ And that was definitely evident in Liberia
- $837\ 00:37:07.290 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.140$ in the way that some people spoke about this.
- 838 00:37:10.140 --> 00:37:12.360 So this quote summarizing would be,
- $839\ 00:37:12.360 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.450$ they, the donors, resist channeling their money
- 840 00:37:15.450 --> 00:37:17.100 to a recipient country government
- 841 00:37:17.100 --> 00:37:19.760 until it's running as well as their own.
- $842\ 00:37:19.760 \dashrightarrow 00:37:22.770$ Like at that point, they don't need your money.
- 843 00:37:22.770 --> 00:37:25.410 The country's already running really well.
- 844 00:37:25.410 --> 00:37:28.380 So it's, if you want to wait for the country to be perfect
- $845\ 00:37:28.380 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.060$ before you take any risk,
- $846\ 00:37:30.060 --> 00:37:33.120$ what that does, a preoccupation with fiduciary risk
- 847 00:37:33.120 --> 00:37:34.960 ends up becoming a strategic risk
- $848\ 00:37:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.440$ that your programs can fail.
- 849 00:37:37.440 --> 00:37:38.610 You're not helping the country
- $850\ 00:37:38.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.370$ to achieve its development goals and progress.

- $851\ 00:37:41.370 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.760$ So this idea of there's a constant risk state
- $852\ 00{:}37{:}44.760 {\:-->\:} 00{:}37{:}47.400$ is not allowing there to be many different ways
- $853\ 00:37:47.400 --> 00:37:50.223$ to consider how to fund or support or collaborate.
- 854 00:37:51.540 --> 00:37:54.090 Next, you'll remember in that diagram,
- $855\ 00:37:54.090 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.620$ I talked about that the donor
- $856\ 00:37:55.620 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.840$ is determining how transparent they are willing to be.
- $857\ 00:37:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.490$ And this was really specific to funds
- $858\ 00:37:59.490 --> 00:38:01.740$ because that was a lot of what we were asking.
- $859\ 00:38:01.740 --> 00:38:05.610$ But a lot of times, and a lot of us are in a cademia here.
- 860 00:38:05.610 --> 00:38:07.380 I'm assuming it's not all of us,
- 861 00:38:07.380 --> 00:38:10.470 indirect costs are not totally transparent,
- $862\ 00:38:10.470 --> 00:38:12.507$ but especially members of the Liberian government
- 863 00:38:12.507 --> 00:38:14.010 are saying, we don't know how much money
- 864 00:38:14.010 --> 00:38:15.180 is coming through our country now.
- $865~00{:}38{:}15.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}18.390$ We don't know what the overhead costs are for NGOs.
- $866\ 00:38:18.390 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.830$ We don't know this.
- $867\ 00:38:19.830 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.950$ So sometimes, when a donor is filtering money
- 868 00:38:22.950 --> 00:38:26.920 through either NGOs or supporting partners,
- $869\ 00:38:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.750$ it's not clear what money is actually getting into Liberia.
- $870\ 00:38:30.750 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.610$ And this quote, I think sums that up perfectly
- $871\ 00:38:32.610 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.290$ where it's an imbalance of maybe
- $872\ 00:38:34.290 --> 00:38:37.830$ what the public perception is of a huge investment,
- $873\ 00:38:37.830 --> 00:38:39.720$ but then what really is happening in countries
- $874\ 00:38:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.190$ is not the same amount.
- $875\ 00{:}38{:}41.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}43.650$ So one thing that was of concern was the NGOs,

 $876\ 00:38:43.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.920$ not the donors, because the donors will channel funding

 $877\ 00:38:46.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.290$ through the international NGOs.

 $878\ 00:38:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.670$ The concern was that they will come

 $879\ 00:38:50.670 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.980$ and give the narrative of what needs to be done,

 $880\ 00{:}38{:}52.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}56.040$ but the actual financial display was kept secret.

881 $00:38:56.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.440$ And I always told them, we know that you write proposals.

882 00:38:58.440 --> 00:39:00.570 We know that a certain percentage of money

883 00:39:00.570 --> 00:39:03.090 is there for your administrative costs.

 $884\ 00:39:03.090 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.680$ We know, but we also wanna know

885 00:39:04.680 --> 00:39:06.840 how much is there for service delivery.

886 00:39:06.840 --> 00:39:08.640 You cannot get up and tell Liberia,

887 $00:39:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.630$ oh, we channel \$2 million through external organization,

 $888~00{:}39{:}12.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}14.940$ and then you come and you use 50% of that money

 $889\ 00:39:14.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.200$ on administrative costs.

890 00:39:16.200 --> 00:39:17.820 It is wrong.

 $891\ 00:39:17.820 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.810$ There were a couple accounts too of different partners,

 $892\ 00:39:21.810 --> 00:39:23.880$ either Liberians or based in Liberia

893 00:39:23.880 --> 00:39:25.710 or strong collaborations in Liberia

 $894\ 00:39:25.710 --> 00:39:28.740$ saying that there is an inequity of how resources are used.

895 00:39:28.740 --> 00:39:30.960 Liberia doesn't even have stable electricity

 $896\ 00:39:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.620$ and fuel costs to run an office are astronomical,

 $897\ 00:39:34.620 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.950$ but sometimes there's rules of what would be funded,

 $898\ 00:39:37.950 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.420$ what wouldn't be funded

899 00:39:39.420 --> 00:39:42.120 when it's not in that organization's office.

900 00:39:42.120 --> 00:39:44.160 So it was really tricky to navigate

- 901 00:39:44.160 --> 00:39:46.563 some of the transparency on what was going on
- 902 00:39:47.480 \rightarrow 00:39:51.270 And finally, at the bottom of this vortex I had shown,
- $903\ 00:39:51.270 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.830$ the donor is creating structures
- $904~00:39:52.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.510$ that are holding the recipient accountable
- $905\ 00:39:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.790$ by often overlooking their own accountability
- $906\ 00:39:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.860$ back to the Liberian government.
- 907 00:39:58.860 --> 00:40:00.960 So the government,
- $908~00{:}40{:}00.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}04.110$ we didn't ever explicitly ask about audits.
- 909 00:40:04.110 --> 00:40:06.510 We asked about accountability and auditing came up
- 910 00:40:06.510 --> 00:40:10.320 by almost every single Liberian government representative.
- 911 00:40:10.320 --> 00:40:11.520 And they were really proud of it
- $912\ 00:40:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.110$ because they said we would meet
- $913\ 00:40:13.110 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.190$ all of our audits in the end.
- 914 00:40:14.190 --> 00:40:15.960 We were hitting these milestones,
- 915 00:40:15.960 --> 00:40:18.360 but also there were reports of being audited
- 916 00:40:18.360 --> 00:40:20.910 like 17 times during a project or something.
- 917 00:40:20.910 --> 00:40:23.550 So it's continuous, continuous monitoring
- 918 00:40:23.550 --> 00:40:25.340 and reports being written.
- 919 00:40:25.340 \rightarrow 00:40:27.630 And that was never happening on the donor side.
- 920 00:40:27.630 --> 00:40:29.940 There were not audits on what the donors were doing
- 921 00:40:29.940 --> 00:40:32.400 or not audits on how, again,
- 922 00:40:32.400 --> 00:40:34.350 tying back to the financial aspect
- $923\ 00:40:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.000$ on where they were spending their money.
- $924\ 00:40:36.000 --> 00:40:39.120$ So it really was, as Dr. Dunn had alluded to,
- $925\ 00:40:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.470$ a one-way street.
- 926 00:40:40.470 --> 00:40:42.030 And it was really hard for anyone

927 00:40:42.030 --> 00:40:45.570 other than who had that financial control to negotiate that.

928 00:40:45.570 --> 00:40:48.360 So we thought it was also interesting,

 $929\ 00:40:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.600$ and this was noted when we talked about the bigger circle,

 $930\ 00:40:51.600 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.720$ the original phase one of the analysis,

931 00:40:54.720 --> 00:40:56.460 that donors are really responsible

932 00:40:56.460 --> 00:40:58.500 only to report to their home countries.

933 00:40:58.500 --> 00:41:01.080 So you're seeing now that there's this discrepancy

934 00:41:01.080 --> 00:41:05.130 of kind of where there is kind of what you're owing

935 00:41:05.130 --> 00:41:07.820 when you're working in another setting.

936 00:41:07.820 \rightarrow 00:41:10.730 So again, there aren't really any systems for accountability

 $937\ 00:41:10.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.850$ in the other direction.

 $938\ 00{:}41{:}11.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}14.970$ That is, for a funder to even be really questioned

939 00:41:14.970 --> 00:41:17.970 for maybe with drawing funding or changing their priorities

940 00:41:17.970 --> 00:41:20.560 or not providing the amount of funding originally promised

941 00:41:20.560 --> 00:41:23.250 or any number of things,

942 00:41:23.250 --> 00:41:25.347 the decisions they make about what can be paid for

943 00:41:25.347 \rightarrow 00:41:26.790 and what can't,

 $944\ 00{:}41{:}26.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}29.070$ the extent that they're really being held accountable,

945 00:41:29.070 --> 00:41:30.720 that's internal itself.

946 00:41:30.720 --> 00:41:32.790 They're self-regulated, really.

 $947\ 00:41:32.790 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.900$ So if there's no structure

948 00:41:33.900 --> 00:41:35.490 of who these donors are reporting to,

949 00:41:35.490 --> 00:41:38.380 remember back that document that I had representing

- $950\ 00:41:38.380 --> 00:41:40.470$ how the Liberian government is trying to work
- 951 00:41:40.470 --> 00:41:43.143 with all these red arrows kind of shooting around it.
- $952\ 00:41:44.010 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.710$ There's no way to kind of make sense of that
- 953 00:41:45.710 --> 00:41:47.880 if there's no responsibility to report
- 95400:41:47.880 --> 00:41:49.980 back to the government of what's going on.
- $955\ 00:41:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.060$ So I'd like to just reflect and really give,
- $956~00:41:57.060 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.790$ I know Christina Talbert-Slagle is on this call.
- 957 00:41:59.790 --> 00:42:04.140 We spent so much time trying to get to these images.
- 958~00:42:04.140 --> $00:42:06.690~\mathrm{I}$ think it's also really important to talk about that
- 959 00:42:06.690 --> 00:42:08.580 in the process of qualitative work,
- 960 00:42:08.580 --> 00:42:11.040 because again, it's really words,
- 961 00:42:11.040 --> 00:42:12.390 but images can be powerful.
- 962 00:42:12.390 --> 00:42:15.780 So we went from something like this,
- 963 00:42:15.780 --> 00:42:19.080 trying to map out what these themes and codes
- $964\ 00:42:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.580$ are looking like and where they're fitting in.
- $965\ 00:42:20.580 \dashrightarrow 00:42:22.650$ This looks like something out of like an electric box
- $966\ 00:42:22.650 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.760$ or something,
- 967 00:42:23.760 --> 00:42:27.320 where we finally then shift into this cyclical pattern.
- $968\ 00:42:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.120$ But then thinking of where does collaboration fit in?
- 969 00:42:30.120 --> 00:42:31.920 Is it within the priority setting
- 970 00:42:31.920 --> 00:42:34.170 to the implementation of the plan,
- 971 $00:42:34.170 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.700$ or is it part of this cycle
- $972\ 00:42:35.700 --> 00:42:38.060$ rather than where we kind of ended with it being
- $973\ 00:42:38.060 --> 00:42:42.820$ under neath and embedded by supporting this cycle?
- 974 00:42:42.820 --> 00:42:45.720 We kind of went back to the square again,

- 975 00:42:45.720 --> 00:42:47.360 thinking about a lot of definitions
- $976\ 00:42:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.283$ of what is legacy of engagement?
- 977 00:42:51.180 --> 00:42:53.610 Who's being held accountable again?
- 978 00:42:53.610 --> 00:42:57.330 And then finally, we even did a causal loop diagram.
- $979\ 00:42:57.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.810$ So we really were going all over with trying to get to this,
- $980\ 00:43:00.810 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.160$ but as you can see,
- 981 00:43:02.160 --> 00:43:04.380 there's kind of this building to get to this point
- 982 00:43:04.380 --> 00:43:07.600 of it actually being a cyclical pattern
- 983 00:43:07.600 --> 00:43:10.113 that we can kind of start to dig underneath of.
- 984 00:43:12.360 --> 00:43:14.703 So then finally producing the final report.
- 985 00:43:15.990 --> 00:43:19.233 I'm excited that we're pretty much about to submit it.
- 986 00:43:20.460 --> 00:43:21.690 As I'm reading some of these quotes,
- 987 00:43:21.690 --> 00:43:23.250 I'm seeing spots where we had to clean them up
- 988 00:43:23.250 --> 00:43:26.820 a little bit too, but the report I think is great.
- 989 00:43:26.820 --> 00:43:27.653 I'm really excited.
- $990~00{:}43{:}27.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}29.550$ It's something that we've worked on for a long time
- 991 00:43:29.550 --> 00:43:30.720 and going through these methods
- $992\ 00{:}43{:}30.720 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}43{:}33.720$ are showing like how strategically and thoughtfully
- 993 00:43:33.720 \rightarrow 00:43:35.300 we thought about every step of the way
- 994 00:43:35.300 --> 00:43:37.233 in how to represent this data.
- 995 00:43:39.720 --> 00:43:41.280 And just some, you know,
- 996 00:43:41.280 --> 00:43:42.870 this ended up being embedded throughout,
- 997 00:43:42.870 --> 00:43:44.910 but just some highlights of using this
- 998 00:43:44.910 --> 00:43:46.580 as a training opportunity.
- 999 $00:43:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.573$ The size of the team was big.

- $1000\ 00:43:49.650 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.180$ And I think in a setting other than academia and training,
- 1001 00:43:54.180 --> 00:43:56.070 it would have been like a little bit daunting
- $1002\ 00:43:56.070 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.960$ to try to have a timeframe to do this,
- $1003\ 00{:}43{:}57.960 {\: \hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}44{:}00.660$ but it really allowed for a hands-on experience
- $1004\ 00:44:00.660 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.250$ to learn these qualitative methods.
- $1005\ 00:44:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.650$ And I think for students, that's really invaluable.
- $1006~00{:}44{:}04.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}06.810$ So it would be kind of cool to think about ways
- 1007 00:44:06.810 --> 00:44:09.600 that we can kind of implement something
- 1008 00:44:09.600 --> 00:44:11.460 like training on the go with students
- $1009~00{:}44{:}11.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}14.970$ in a way that is also standing true to the data
- $1010\ 00:44:14.970 --> 00:44:16.380$ and moving along projects.
- $1011\ 00{:}44{:}16.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}20.910$ I really had fun being reflexive on my own aspect
- 1012 00:44:20.910 --> 00:44:21.990 of working with this data,
- 1013 00:44:21.990 --> 00:44:23.910 as well as trying to maintain reflexive
- $1014\ 00:44:23.910 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.100$ of the process of working with multiple teams
- $1015\ 00{:}44{:}26.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}29.640$ and editing a codebook and moving that part along.
- $1016\ 00:44:29.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.610$ It was kind of unexpected that I would end up in that role.
- 1017 00:44:32.610 --> 00:44:33.690 I don't think any of us knew that
- 1018 00:44:33.690 --> 00:44:34.860 that would end up being my role,
- 1019 00:44:34.860 --> 00:44:38.640 but I really enjoyed it and it was fun.
- 1020 00:44:38.640 --> 00:44:40.770 And then also time is key.
- $1021\ 00{:}44{:}40.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}43.260$ You can see this was 40 minutes of just talking through
- $1022\ 00{:}44{:}43.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}45.990$ like some of the different meetings and discussions
- $1023\ 00:44:45.990 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.270$ and considerations we had to make.

 $1024\ 00:44:48.270 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.620$ But if we really wanted these methods to make sense,

 $1025\ 00:44:50.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.260$ especially in qualitative,

 $1026\ 00:44:52.260 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.870$ where I think that some of the rigor is always challenged,

 $1027\ 00:44:54.870 --> 00:44:56.670$ like we put so much time and effort

 $1028\ 00:44:56.670 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.950$ into making sure this was sound.

 $1029\ 00{:}44{:}58.950 --> 00{:}45{:}00.870$ We wanted to make sure the codebook was inclusive.

 $1030\ 00{:}45{:}00.870 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}45{:}03.390$ We wanted to make sure the approach made sense.

 $1031\ 00:45:03.390 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.610$ So that takes a lot of time.

 $1032\ 00:45:05.610 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.160$ And I loved the idea of thematic analysis

 $1033\ 00{:}45{:}08.160 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}45{:}11.250$ where you don't have to do everything all at once.

1034 00:45:11.250 --> 00:45:13.740 We did code the whole project at once,

 $1035\ 00:45:13.740 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.000$ but then we started to realize,

 $1036~00{:}45{:}15.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17.820$ no, we wanna ask questions to really get further

 $1037\ 00:45:17.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.767$ into these codes and develop the themes that way.

 $1038\ 00:45:19.767 --> 00:45:21.960$ And it felt a lot more manageable

 $1039\ 00:45:21.960 --> 00:45:23.860$ and a lot more interesting personally.

 $1040\ 00:45:25.260 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.260$ And then also the idea that we didn't expect anything wild,

 $1041\ 00:45:30.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.060$ like we kind of knew what we would see with this data,

 $1042\ 00{:}45{:}33.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35.580$ but I think with qualitative, it offers great insight

 $1043\ 00:45:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.680$ and it offers great insight to,

 $1044\ 00:45:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.000$ are these systems working the way

 $1045\ 00:45:39.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.710$ that we think that they're working?

 $1046\ 00{:}45{:}40.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}44.043$ And the human experience of tentimes might tell you not. 1047 00:45:45.020 --> 00:45:48.690 So finally, I'd like to just thank

1048 00:45:48.690 --> 00:45:50.100 Dr. Kristina Talbert-Slagle.

1049 00:45:50.100 --> 00:45:52.380 She was the US Co-PI and Dr. Bernice Don

 $1050\ 00:45:52.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.900$ who was our Liberian Co-PI.

 $1051\ 00:45:54.900 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.260$ These are the four students and master's students,

 $1052\ 00{:}45{:}58.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01.800$ undergrad and recently graduated master's students

 $1053\ 00:46:01.800$ --> 00:46:06.330 who worked with us, Defne, Joseph, Antoinette and Johannah.

 $1054\ 00{:}46{:}06{:}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}10.110$ And then again, there was a lot of support from CTLI,

 $1055\ 00{:}46{:}10.110$ --> $00{:}46{:}12.100$ which is the Center for Teaching, Learning and Innovation

1056 00:46:12.100 --> 00:46:15.990 at ULCHS, which is University of Liberia

 $1057\ 00:46:15.990 --> 00:46:17.250$ College of Health Sciences.

 $1058\ 00:46:17.250 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.440$ It's a great team and supportive network to be.

 $1059\ 00:46:19.440 --> 00:46:22.320$ And overall, this was under BRIDGE-U Liberia,

1060 00:46:22.320 --> 00:46:24.990 which is a USAID grant between here

 $1061\ 00:46:24.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.400$ and the University of Liberia.

 $1062~00{:}46{:}26.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}29.780$ So I think thank everyone for having me to do this seminar

 $1063\ 00{:}46{:}29.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}32.370$ and please reach out with any questions or thoughts.

 $1064\ 00{:}46{:}32.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}36.123$ And I will let you know the results of the impending paper.

 $1065\ 00{:}46{:}37.140$ --> $00{:}46{:}40.140$ I'll take questions now or feedback, comments.

 $1066\ 00:46:40.140 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.253$ I'm excited to engage.

1067 00:46:46.216 --> 00:46:49.716 (attendee speaks faintly)

1068 00:46:51.030 --> 00:46:52.880 <v Attendee>It looks like we entered</v>

 $1069\ 00{:}46{:}53.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}58.590$ your toning and analysis process like remarkably fast.

- $1070\ 00:46:58.590 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.170$ And I mean, I think that's because we're doing
- $1071\ 00{:}47{:}01.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05.230$ a rapid qualitative analysis in order to get results
- 1072 00:47:05.230 --> 00:47:07.590 quickly from qualitative studies,
- 1073 00:47:07.590 --> 00:47:09.530 especially in information science
- $1074\ 00:47:09.530 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.870$ where we need the information to understand
- $1075\ 00:47:12.870 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.730$ and change or tweak interventions.
- $1076\ 00:47:16.730 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.370$ But on the tip of what you were doing this time,
- $1077\ 00{:}47{:}19.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}23.790$ rapid analysis, but yet you sound like you're analyzing
- $1078\ 00:47:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.050$ very large amount of data.
- 1079 00:47:26.050 --> 00:47:29.133 It looks like it's two months period.
- $1080\ 00:47:30.010 --> 00:47:33.660 < v$ Brigid>Oh, let me change that.</v>
- 1081 00:47:33.660 --> 00:47:34.803 I'm gonna switch to.
- $1082\ 00:47:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.100$ Is this a bit better to hear?
- $1083\ 00:47:38.100 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.543$ We're engaging as a room?
- $1084\ 00:47:40.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.603 < v \ Attendee > One thing you could do < /v >$
- $1085\ 00:47:41.603 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.197$ is just repeat the question.
- 1086 00:47:43.197 --> 00:47:44.100 <v Brigid>Okay, yeah, I'll just repeat.</v>
- $1087\ 00{:}47{:}44.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}48.423$ All right, let me get back to it, to my computer.
- $1088\ 00:47:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.120$ I'll repeat the question here.
- $1089\ 00:47:51.120 --> 00:47:54.150$ So it was noted that it seems like the analysis
- $1090~00{:}47{:}54.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}57.090$ was rather quick and I should have emphasized
- $1091\ 00:47:57.090 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.340$ this a bit more that it wasn't.
- $1092\ 00:47:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.573$ It took a long time.
- $1093\ 00:48:01.620 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.420$ The timeline.
- $1094\ 00{:}48{:}03.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}06.210$ So we did, I'd say we started with our analysis.
- $1095\ 00:48:06.210 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.090$ It was, we had 10 weeks of meetings.

- $1096\ 00:48:09.090 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.710$ So probably shouldn't be labeled as weeks.
- $1097\ 00:48:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.970$ It should be labeled as meetings
- $1098\ 00:48:11.970 --> 00:48:15.030$ because some of the meetings took two weeks
- 1099 00:48:15.030 --> 00:48:17.580 of us actually meeting to talk about that
- $1100\ 00:48:17.580 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.233$ and get to the final results.
- 1101 00:48:20.250 --> 00:48:21.950 And again, it was some of the weeks then
- $1102\ 00:48:21.950 --> 00:48:25.200$ if we had team one meeting and team two meeting,
- $1103\ 00:48:25.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.210\ I$ would meet with both of those teams individually.
- $1104\ 00:48:27.210 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.860$ And then we had to find a time
- $1105\ 00:48:28.860 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.620$ for all of us to meet as a group.
- $1106\ 00:48:31.620 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.340$ Sometimes that took a couple of weeks to implement as well.
- 1107 00:48:35.340 --> 00:48:40.200 I think that if I could, if there were a need
- $1108\ 00{:}48{:}40.200 {\: \hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}48{:}42.600$ to have a rapid assessment or a rapid qualitative,
- $1109\ 00:48:42.600 --> 00:48:44.430$ something I would probably go back and do
- $1110\ 00:48:44.430 --> 00:48:48.930$ would be do a really initial, intense, deep dive
- $1111\ 00:48:48.930 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.960$ into the data and then formulate questions
- $1112\ 00{:}48{:}51.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}54.873$ worth kind of probing throughout the data with.
- $1113\ 00{:}48{:}55.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}57.810$ But with this process, we kind of allowed that
- $1114\ 00{:}48{:}57.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}00.660$ to emerge more of what the data was showing us
- $1115\ 00:49:00.660 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.450$ could be different avenues to explore.
- 1116 00:49:03.450 --> 00:49:07.440 So it really was exploratory, I would say.
- 1117 00:49:07.440 --> 00:49:08.880 But we definitely, we had our first meeting
- $1118\ 00:49:08.880 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.740$ with the data at the end of September
- $1119\ 00:49:10.740 --> 00:49:12.330$ and we wrapped up our final coding
- 1120 00:49:12.330 --> 00:49:15.870 at the end of February, beginning of March.

- 1121 00:49:15.870 --> 00:49:18.360 And from there, then we started diving
- $1122\ 00:49:18.360 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.553$ into figuring out what our themes were.
- $1123\ 00:49:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.233$ So it was a process.
- $1124\ 00:49:28.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.730 < v \text{ Attendee}$ In that early process, </v>
- 1125 00:49:29.730 --> 00:49:32.820 you mentioned having the interviewers
- 1126 00:49:32.820 --> 00:49:35.840 who did the transcripts then from recording,
- $1127\ 00:49:35.840 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.470$ except the ones that rejected
- $1128\ 00:49:37.470 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.750$ recording, so they were left out.
- $1129\ 00:49:39.750 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.640$ What are your thoughts on using software
- $1130\ 00:49:41.640 \dashrightarrow 00:49:45.273$ to create initial transcripts and then do the coding?
- 1131 00:49:46.290 --> 00:49:47.280 <v Brigid>I mean, that's how</v>
- 1132 00:49:47.280 --> 00:49:50.700 I've always kind of worked previously.
- $1133\ 00:49:50.700 --> 00:49:52.530\ I$ worked in a mixed methods research lab
- $1134\ 00{:}49{:}52.530 {\: -->\:} 00{:}49{:}55.300$ and mostly my role was doing qualitative analysis
- 1135 00:49:55.300 --> 00:49:58.290 for the quantitative ends of projects,
- 1136 00:49:58.290 --> 00:50:02.400 but I didn't repeat the question, here I go.
- $1137\ 00:50:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.770$ The question was, what are my thoughts on using
- $1138\ 00:50:08.130 --> 00:50:11.460$ like transcribing assistive technology
- $1139\ 00:50:11.460 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.010$ and then going back and recleaning the data?
- $1140\ 00:50:14.010 --> 00:50:17.490$ And my response to that was, I think that's great.
- 1141 00:50:17.490 --> 00:50:20.190 Really, I've used that before in other settings.
- 1142 00:50:20.190 --> 00:50:21.840 It's really difficult in Liberia
- 1143 00:50:21.840 --> 00:50:25.920 because of Liberian English is just hard
- $1144\ 00:50:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.780$ to transcribe from settings.
- 1145 00:50:27.780 --> 00:50:30.540 So you really have to listen.
- $1146\ 00:50:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.840$ You can try using that assistive technology.
- $1147~00{:}50{:}33.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}38.820$ I would have assumed that it would be not worth using.

- $1148\ 00:50:38.820 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.500\ I$ know that a few of the students tried
- $1149\ 00:50:40.500$ --> 00:50:42.930 and it just did not work and they had to listen
- $1150\ 00:50:42.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.820$ and do it on their own.
- 1151 00:50:44.820 --> 00:50:47.070 But in previous studies, I've done that
- $1152\ 00:50:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.410$ where you get the initial transcript
- $1153\ 00:50:49.410 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.180$ and then it's easy to just listen to it
- 1154 00:50:51.180 --> 00:50:53.010 and look at the words and do the editing,
- $1155\ 00:50:53.010 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.500$ stopping the recording as it goes.
- 1156 00:50:55.500 --> 00:50:57.200 <v Attendee>Yeah, I agree with that as well.</v>
- $1157\ 00:50:57.200 --> 00:51:01.140$ It's just a standard American tradition.
- 1158 00:51:01.140 --> 00:51:03.223 Yeah, but anything helps.
- $1159\ 00:51:04.947 --> 00:51:06.540 < v Brigid>Hey, there's a market.</v>$
- $1160\ 00{:}51{:}06.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}10.143$ I'm sure somebody wants to start thinking about that.
- 1161 00:51:10.143 --> 00:51:12.423 I'll go quickly to a question we have online.
- $1162\ 00:51:14.680 --> 00:51:17.190$ How can we have a look at the final report
- $1163\ 00:51:17.190 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.710$ and will it be possible or I'll say ethical
- 1164 00:51:19.710 --> 00:51:21.930 to reproduce this analysis in other countries
- 1165 00:51:21.930 --> 00:51:23.580 based on their work?
- $1166\ 00:51:23.580 --> 00:51:26.970$ This person works in Nigeria and the topic is so familiar.
- $1167\ 00:51:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.290$ I definitely will be able to look at the final report.
- 1168 00:51:31.290 --> 00:51:32.970 We're submitting it to journals,
- 1169 00:51:32.970 --> 00:51:36.660 like I wanna say Monday or Tuesday.
- 1170 00:51:36.660 --> 00:51:39.100 Kristina's probably laughing on this call
- 1171 00:51:39.100 --> 00:51:40.240 as soon as possible,
- $1172\ 00:51:40.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.260$ but definitely would love to disseminate that.
- $1173\ 00:51:43.260 --> 00:51:47.400$ And I think it's absolutely possible to reproduce elsewhere.

- $1174~00{:}51{:}47.400 --> 00{:}51{:}50.190$ I mean, and I would love to talk about how to do that.
- $1175\ 00:51:50.190 \dashrightarrow 00:51:52.230$ I don't think the results are gonna be reproduced,
- 1176 00:51:52.230 --> 00:51:53.063 but this process,
- $1177\ 00:51:53.063 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.530$ and we could have more feedback on refining this process,
- 1178 00:51:55.530 --> 00:51:57.540 but how do you kind of dig below
- $1179\ 00:51:57.540 --> 00:51:59.700$ to understand what these collaborations look like?
- 1180 00:51:59.700 --> 00:52:02.430 I think, again, this is my bias.
- 1181 00:52:02.430 --> 00:52:03.930 I think this could be done everywhere
- 1182 00:52:03.930 --> 00:52:05.580 that these collaborations are happening.
- 1183 00:52:05.580 --> 00:52:07.680 And I think it would really give light
- $1184\ 00:52:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.713$ to how to go forward to structure them a bit more equitably.
- 1185 00:52:13.020 --> 00:52:14.120 Questions in the room?
- 1186 00:52:15.616 --> 00:52:19.116 (attendee speaks faintly)
- $1187\ 00:52:21.090 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.460$ So the question was, aside from journals,
- $1188\ 00:52:23.460 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.950$ what are we hoping to do with the results
- 1189 00:52:25.950 --> 00:52:29.410 outside of submitting them to journals?
- $1190\ 00:52:29.410 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.810$ That is a great question.
- 1191 00:52:30.810 --> 00:52:33.210 And that's something that I think,
- $1192\ 00:52:33.210 --> 00:52:36.150$ especially thinking about peer-reviewed journals
- $1193\ 00:52:36.150 --> 00:52:38.760$ that also comes with a specific audience
- $1194\ 00:52:38.760 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.383$ who's reading those.
- 1195 00:52:41.310 --> 00:52:44.190 I did present this at a conference already.
- $1196\ 00:52:44.190 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.737$ That was the original causal loop,
- $1197\ 00:52:45.737 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.970$ one of the green loop diagrams.
- $1198\ 00:52:47.970 \dashrightarrow 00:52:50.930$ And again, that's also a very specific audience.
- 1199 00:52:50.930 --> 00:52:52.950 But the goal would be to, I think,

- 1200 00:52:52.950 --> 00:52:54.840 try to write even commentaries
- $1201\ 00:52:54.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.670$ or something that's gonna start getting attention
- $1202\ 00:52:56.670 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.150$ that this is worth being investigated in a different way.
- $1203\ 00:53:00.150 --> 00:53:03.670$ There's different documents, like USAID and WHO,
- $1204\ 00{:}53{:}03.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}07.440$ reports about what collaborative partnerships look like.
- 1205 00:53:07.440 --> 00:53:09.900 And if we could get attention of that,
- 1206 00:53:09.900 --> 00:53:11.640 if you have ideas too, I'd love to hear.
- 1207 00:53:11.640 --> 00:53:13.920 But I think getting that attention of like,
- $1208\ 00{:}53{:}13.920 --> 00{:}53{:}16.323$ how can we make this routine to monitor as well?
- 1209 00:53:17.650 --> 00:53:19.151 <v Attendee>So I just want to follow up on</v>
- $1210\ 00:53:19.151 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.750$ some stuff that you guys have.
- $1211\ 00{:}53{:}22.630 {\: \hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}53{:}26.750$ So, and now, what was the experience of donors
- $1212\ 00:53:26.750 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.910$ for this type of action?
- $1213\ 00:53:28.910 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.550$ What was the push of power on the donors?
- 1214 00:53:32.550 --> 00:53:36.030 And I was wondering if you were finding
- $1215\ 00:53:36.030 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.790$ a secondary search that you were looking for on that,
- $1216\ 00:53:40.790 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.130$ like from the risk-taking actionable things
- 1217 00:53:44.130 --> 00:53:45.610 that you were looking for,
- $1218\ 00:53:45.610 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.910$ or were there other things that happened?
- 1219 00:53:47.910 --> 00:53:49.800 <v Brigid>So the question was that a lot of the themes</v>
- $1220\ 00:53:49.800 --> 00:53:52.320$ were donor-centric and things that the donors
- $1221\ 00{:}53{:}52.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}54.900$ could be doing, but are there ways that we could see
- $1222\ 00:53:54.900 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.150$ what the recipients could be doing
- $1223\ 00:53:57.150 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.370$ to change this pattern as well?

- $1224\ 00:53:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.633$ I think that could be,
- $1225\ 00:54:01.740 --> 00:54:04.710$ the way I would envision that for follow-up collection,
- 1226 00:54:04.710 --> 00:54:06.120 I don't think we could see that clearly
- $1227\ 00:54:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.040$ in this data as much.
- 1228 00:54:08.040 --> 00:54:09.240 There's definitely room for it.
- 1229 00:54:09.240 --> 00:54:11.190 I think people would have so much feedback.
- $1230\ 00:54:11.190 --> 00:54:13.920\ I$ think it would be cool to structure workshops around that.
- $1231\ 00{:}54{:}13.920 {\:\hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}54{:}16.560$ Like, what would that look like to implement change
- 1232 00:54:16.560 --> 00:54:20.430 and how, I mean, another facet of my research
- $1233\ 00:54:20.430 --> 00:54:23.190$ is I like future studies and foresight strategic planning,
- $1234\ 00:54:23.190 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.430$ and I'm doing that with a project in Stellenbosch right now,
- 1235 00:54:26.430 --> 00:54:28.620 and thinking their whole focus
- $1236\ 00:54:28.620$ --> 00:54:31.980 is what does the future of development research look like?
- 1237 00:54:31.980 --> 00:54:33.600 And how can you kind of plan that
- $1238\ 00:54:33.600 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.580$ and set the stage for that?
- $1239\ 00:54:35.580 \dashrightarrow 00:54:38.250$ And I think that's like, that type of futures planning
- $1240\ 00:54:38.250 --> 00:54:40.470$ is like, all right, we have an idea of where we wanna be.
- $1241\ 00:54:40.470 --> 00:54:42.330$ and how can we work backwards to get there?
- 1242 00:54:42.330 --> 00:54:43.890 I think that that's gonna be something
- $1243\ 00:54:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.710$ that needs to be a collaborative meeting.
- 1244 00:54:46.710 --> 00:54:48.633 I mean, regardless, we can't just say,
- 1245 00:54:49.500 --> 00:54:51.030 donors, you're kicked out.
- 1246 00:54:51.030 --> 00:54:52.920 Like, that would be ideal, but in reality,
- $1247\ 00:54:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.390$ that's probably not going to happen.
- $1248\ 00:54:54.390 \dashrightarrow 00:54:57.000$ So how to have this be a collaborative meeting

 $1249\ 00:54:57.000 --> 00:55:01.320$ where there is that agency to really put forward ideas

 $1250\ 00:55:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.280$ that are centered on what Liberians think need to happen,

 $1251\ 00:55:05.280 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.550$ I think could be awe some.

 $1252~00:55:08.010 \dashrightarrow 00:55:13.010 < v$ Attendee>I mean, I think, so the answer to this question</br/>/v>

 $1253\ 00:55:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.170$ was to ask what role people thought could be done

 $1254\ 00:55:18.170 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.370$ to improve equity and positive impact research.

 $1255\ 00:55:22.370 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.720$ So it seems like it's an out-of-touch question.

 $1256\ 00:55:26.130 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.450$ But the other question was,

 $1257\ 00:55:29.450 \dashrightarrow 00:55:33.330$ it seems to me that people in this board of controllers

 $1258\ 00:55:33.330 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.580$ clearly have a different idea of government people,

1259 00:55:39.933 --> 00:55:42.100 Liberian government people

 $1260\ 00:55:43.433 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.760$ have a different perspective than Liberians.

1261 00:55:45.760 --> 00:55:50.020 So, I mean, I'm thinking of different elements,

 $1262\ 00:55:50.020$ --> 00:55:53.828 but what are the differences in perspective

1263 00:55:53.828 --> 00:55:58.828 that you've noticed? (speaks faintly)

 $1264\ 00:56:03.100 --> 00:56:06.980$ And I think that's a big thing to kind of have.

 $1265~00{:}56{:}06.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}09.900 < v$ Brigid>Yeah, so the second part of the question,
</v>

 $1266\ 00:56:09.900 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.860$ I'm not gonna look.

 $1267\ 00:56:10.860 \dashrightarrow 00:56:14.120$ Yeah, the second part of the question had to do with it.

 $1268\ 00:56:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.100$ But it was looking at the different perspectives.

1269 00:56:17.100 --> 00:56:20.250 Like we had these, the groups classified as,

 $1270\ 00:56:20.250$ --> 00:56:25.050 Liberian academic, Liberian government donor and NGOs.

1271 00:56:25.050 --> 00:56:26.340 And it's kind of like understanding

- $1272\ 00:56:26.340 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.940$ the nuance of perspectives between those.
- $1273\ 00:56:28.940 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.420$ And we did try to do that.
- $1274\ 00:56:30.420 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.470$ And there definitely was,
- $1275\ 00:56:31.470 --> 00:56:35.073$ especially between Liberian academic and government,
- $1276\ 00:56:36.060 --> 00:56:39.450$ because Liberian universities are so tied to the government
- $1277\ 00:56:39.450 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.480$ to its government funding, they have very similar views too.
- 1278 00:56:42.480 --> 00:56:46.170 And I mean, a quote that's kind of, yeah.
- 1279 00:56:46.170 --> 00:56:47.880 But a quote that's even standing out to me
- 1280 00:56:47.880 --> 00:56:51.180 was one of the academics saying like,
- $1281\ 00:56:51.180 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.470$ you can sit down with people
- $1282\ 00{:}56{:}52.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}55.140$ and you show up with your list of like 12 things
- $1283\ 00:56:55.140 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.310$ that you really want done.
- $1284~00{:}56{:}56{:}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}59{:}100$ And you're hoping that maybe four of them get funded.
- 1285 00:56:59.100 --> 00:57:00.240 And with whatever gets funded,
- $1286~00{:}57{:}00.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}02.400$ you cobble together what you need for your program
- $1287\ 00:57:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.990$ because you need that money.
- 1288 00:57:03.990 --> 00:57:06.030 And that's frustrating, right?
- $1289\ 00:57:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.150$ Like that on that list of 12,
- 1290 00:57:09.150 --> 00:57:12.690 maybe number two, number eight, number four,
- $1291\ 00:57:12.690 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.490$ and $12\ get\ funded$.
- 1292 00:57:14.490 --> 00:57:16.350 And you're like, well, how do you structure?
- $1293\ 00:57:16.350 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.730$ We have this whole plan of a program.
- $1294\ 00:57:17.730 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.940$ How are we structuring those four specific things?
- 1295 00:57:21.940 --> 00:57:24.660 And then the first question I believe was like,
- $1296\ 00:57:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.493$ what could be done?

- 1297 00:57:25.493 --> 00:57:26.730 Did we ask people?
- $1298\ 00:57:26.730 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.620$ We kind of did.
- $1299\ 00{:}57{:}28.620 --> 00{:}57{:}30.960$ How could you envision like these collaborations
- 1300 00:57:30.960 --> 00:57:31.830 be more equitable?
- 1301 00:57:31.830 --> 00:57:33.270 And it was pretty standard answers
- $1302\ 00:57:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.580$ that were reflecting what the issues were,
- $1303\ 00:57:35.580 --> 00:57:39.030$ stronger systems of accountability or stronger reporting.
- $1304\ 00:57:39.030 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.710$ And if we were to do this again,
- 1305 00:57:40.710 --> 00:57:43.110 I would say like, dig into that question,
- $1306\ 00:57:43.110 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.780$ like really dig further and have more.
- 1307 00:57:45.780 --> 00:57:47.730 And again, this is,
- $1308\ 00:57:47.730 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.590$ we were working with novice data collectors
- 1309 00:57:49.590 --> 00:57:50.580 who did a great job,
- $1310\ 00:57:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.750$ but even it highlights like training for people
- 1311 00:57:54.750 --> 00:57:56.130 how to probe,
- $1312\ 00:57:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.130$ training on how to circle back to a previous question.
- $1313\ 00:57:59.130 \longrightarrow 00:58:00.990$ It's like kind of hard.
- $1314\ 00:58:00.990 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.403$ So I think that could be a next,
- $1315\ 00:58:03.403 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.883$ a really great next study as well.
- 1316 00:58:08.610 --> 00:58:11.340 <
v Ashley>So Brigid, I just want to note
</v>
- $1317\ 00:58:11.340 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.180$ that it's one o'clock.
- $1318\ 00:58:13.180 \dashrightarrow 00:58:18.180$ And so I think several folks will probably need to hop off,
- $1319\ 00:58:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.410$ but I just want to thank you so much
- $1320\ 00:58:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.410$ on behalf of CMIPS and others that for this talk,
- $1321\ 00:58:28.740 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.880$ it was really phenomenal.
- $1322\ 00:58:29.880 --> 00:58:33.740$ Thank you for digging into so much of the analytic process.

- $1323\ 00:58:33.740 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.340$ I had a question in the chat
- $1324\ 00:58:35.340 \longrightarrow 00:58:36.960$ that maybe we could chat about later.
- 1325 00:58:36.960 --> 00:58:38.670 You can kind of talk about later,
- $1326\ 00:58:38.670 --> 00:58:40.700$ but it seems like there's a lot of implications
- 1327 00:58:40.700 --> 00:58:43.620 for new NIH requirements and policies,
- $1328\ 00:58:43.620 --> 00:58:47.550$ particularly the one that requires all foreign projects
- $1329\ 00:58:47.550 --> 00:58:51.527$ and foreign agencies to submit their lab, like lab notes,
- $1330\ 00:58:53.550 --> 00:58:57.000$ which is just like a phenomenal amount of work in general.
- 1331 00:58:57.000 --> 00:59:00.390 So I think it's all wrapped up
- 1332 00:59:00.390 --> 00:59:01.860 in everything you're talking about,
- $1333\ 00:59:01.860 --> 00:59:04.743$ about trust and accountability and all of those things.
- $1334\ 00:59:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.840$ So anyway, thank you so much.
- $1335\ 00:59:09.840$ --> 00:59:14.840 And I'll say goodbye to everyone here and take care.
- $1336\ 00:59:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.870$ Thanks everyone.
- 1337 00:59:15.870 --> 00:59:18.423 <
v Brigid>I had a lot of fun chatting about this.
</v>
- 1338 00:59:23.800 --> 00:59:24.633 Okay.